Positronium formation in methanol and ethanol
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Cross sections for the formation of positronium (Ps) in collisions of positrons with methanol and ethanol molecules, \( Q_{Ps} \), have been measured for positrons of incident energies from 3 to 40 eV. The threshold energies for Ps formation were found to be 4.0 \( \pm \) 0.2 eV and 3.5 \( \pm \) 0.5 eV, respectively. \( Q_{Ps} \) for the two molecules are very similar in size and energy dependence, suggesting that Ps formation is associated preferentially with the OH group, whereas \( (Q_{tot} - Q_{Ps}) \), where \( Q_{tot} \) is the total scattering cross section, is related more to the physical size of the molecules.

I. INTRODUCTION

Over the past decade there has been increasing interest in the total scattering cross sections \( (Q_{tot}) \) for positrons interacting with biomolecules (e.g., the recent series of measurements by Zecca and co-workers presented in Refs. [1–5]). The motivation for these measurements is to advance the understanding of the interaction of positrons in matters of biological importance, for example, in improving the quality of modeling of positron tracks in positron emission tomography (PET). Of particular interest in this last example is the probability of positronium (Ps) formation in tissue, which can be significant and thus affect tomographic interpretation. In one of the early papers in their biomolecule series Zecca et al. [1] recognize the potential importance of the Ps formation probability and point to discontinuities in their measured \( Q_{tot} \) for methanol and ethanol at positron energies close to the expected thresholds \( E_{Ps} \) for Ps formation. \( E_{Ps} = (E_i - 6.8) \) eV, where \( E_i \) is the first ionization potential and 6.8 eV is the ground-state binding energy of Ps, giving \( E_{th} \) = 4.0 and 3.7 eV for methanol and ethanol, respectively, both with uncertainties of \( \pm 0.1 \) eV. However, it is difficult to separate the Ps formation cross section \( Q_{Ps} \) from \( Q_{tot} \) because the elastic scattering cross section decreases strongly with incident positron energy \( (E) \) through \( E_{th} \), and extrapolation is unreliable.

In 2009 Makochekanwa et al. [6] reported their measurements of \( Q_{tot} \) and \( Q_{Ps} \) in water and formic acid using a positron loss technique. In the present paper a version of this technique is used to make direct measurements of \( Q_{Ps} \) for methanol and ethanol. These two primary alcohols were chosen as simple organic targets—early steps towards a future wider study of Ps formation in biomolecules and to enable comparison with the \( Q_{tot} \) values of Zecca et al. [1].

II. EXPERIMENTAL DETAILS

The apparatus used for the current measurements is described by Thornton and Coleman [7]. Positrons from a \(^{22}\)Na source are moderated by two annealed tungsten meshes, and the energy spread of the resulting positron beam is reduced to below 1 eV by applying a cutoff potential to a 92%-transmission mesh held in front of the moderator. This cutoff mesh is held at a constant \( (V_{mod} + 1.6) \) V, where \( V_{mod} \) is the moderator potential. The mesh also serves as an effective positron reflector, returning to the forward direction positrons which are scattered into the backward hemisphere; this is important in the measurement of \( Q_{Ps} \), as discussed below. The positrons, guided by a 7-mT axial magnetic field, pass through a 70-mm-long gas cell and a cylindrical retarding field analyzer (RFA) and are detected by a single-channel electron multiplier (CEM) whose front end is held at \(-2.0\) kV to reduce the background count rate due to energetic secondary electrons from the moderator meshes. The mean positron energy \( E \) was found, by scanning the RFA potential, to be \( (V_{mod} + 2) \) eV to within 0.1 eV.

The method is based on measuring positron loss from a 4-mm-diameter beam passing through a gas cell under the influence of the high magnetic field; all scattered positrons surviving a collision are constrained by the field to paths which end on a channel electron multiplier (CEM) detector with a 10-mm-diameter acceptance cone. Those which do not are considered to have formed Ps or to have been annihilated. As discussed by Jones et al. [8], enhanced annihilation can occur with molecules like methanol and ethanol below \( \sim 1 \) eV incident energy, but the resultant annihilation cross section is still orders of magnitude lower than the scattering cross sections of interest here, and thus direct annihilation as a loss mechanism is neglected. Another problem with these alcohols is the enormous increase in \( Q_{tot} \) below a few eV, attributed by Zecca et al. [1] to the polarizability of the molecules. The very large \( Q_{tot} \) values mean that the thin target approximation breaks down at these low energies, in that double or even multiple scattering can occur, and so here we present results for positron energies of 3 eV and above. At these energies the only significant loss process is thus Ps formation, in which the positron is essentially neutralized and is no longer constrained by the magnetic field. The beam attenuation under these conditions is \( A_{Ps} \).

Using the same apparatus the total attenuation \( A_{tot} \) of the beam is measured (with all other conditions unchanged) by discriminating against scattered positrons using the RFA between the gas cell and the CEM which is set to a potential which ideally lets only unscattered positrons pass, i.e., those which have lost no axial momentum. This was found to be \( (V_{mod} + 1.6) \) V, where \( V_{mod} \) is the potential applied to the positron moderator.

In a guiding magnetic field of 7 mT this RFA method for measuring \( A_{tot} \) is reliable only when the fraction of positrons elastically scattered at angles below the minimum differentiable by the technique is negligible. In the current...
measurements, in which the full width at half maximum of the beam’s energy spectrum was a little under 1 eV, this was found to be true for $E > 15$ eV, when the measured $A_{\text{tot}}$ led to $Q_{\text{tot}}$ values which agreed extremely well up to 40 eV with those of Zecca et al. [1] by choosing an appropriate (single) value for the gas density-path length product $nL$ in the Beer-Lambert expression:

$$Q_{\text{tot}} = -[\ln(1 - A_{\text{tot}})]/nL.$$  

Below 15 eV, this derived value for $nL$ was used to compute $A_{\text{tot}}$ from Eq. (1) using the $Q_{\text{tot}}$ values of Zecca et al. [1]. It is interesting to note that the only other measurements for positron $Q_{\text{tot}}$ in methanol or ethanol, those by Kimura et al. [9] for methanol, also only agree with Zecca et al. [1] above $\sim 15$ eV, probably for the same reason.

The $\text{Ps}$ formation cross section at any incident positron energy, for a thin target, is then

$$Q_{\text{Ps}} = (A_{\text{Ps}}/A_{\text{tot}})Q_{\text{tot}},$$  

where the $Q_{\text{tot}}$ values from Ref. [1] are used.

To approximate to the thin-target (single scattering) condition, multiple scattering effects were minimized by limiting $nL$, thereby restricting $A_{\text{tot}}$ to a maximum of $\sim 20\%$. The RFA was held at a measured--0.25 V, rather than at 0.00 V, during the $A_{\text{Ps}}$ measurements to avoid any contact potential effects; this was found to make a small but measurable difference at low incident positron energies.

Total and background CEM count rates at each positron energy $E$ (in $\sim 1$ eV steps between 2 and 40 eV) were collected with and without gas in the gas cell, and with and without (200 scans from 2 to 40 eV, each individual dwell time being 10 s. Without gas in the cell the dependencies of the count rates on $E$ were smoothly varying and small, so that fits of the data could be used to decrease statistical uncertainties, as could the background data in gas. The most significant runs, i.e., those used in the determination of $A_{\text{Ps}}$, were repeated and/or extended to improve statistical precision.

It was important to counter any effects of drifts in system performance between these relatively long runs. To do this count rates under all eight conditions described above, in vacuum and in gas, were measured at selected values of $E$ within minutes of each other; the relative count rates thus measured were then used to normalize all the long runs.

III. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results for $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ in methanol and ethanol are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. The scatter in the points indicates statistical precision. There are presently no other measurements or calculations with which these results can be compared; however, in Figs. 1 and 2 the results have been subtracted from the $Q_{\text{tot}}$ of Zecca et al. [1] and demonstrate that the magnitude of $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ appears to fit well into that of the total cross section. The threshold energies suggested by the data for $\text{Ps}$ formation in methanol and ethanol are $4.0 \pm 0.2$ eV and $3.5 \pm 0.5$ eV, respectively, both consistent with the expectations outlined in Sec. I above.

It is evident that $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ is a significant fraction of $Q_{\text{tot}}$ in the energy range from threshold to 40 eV, contributing $\sim 65$ and $50\%$ of the scattering in methanol and ethanol at its peak. The somewhat larger total cross sections for ethanol are attributed in the review by Brunger et al. [10] to its higher dipole polarizability, rather than its larger physical size. However, we note that $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ values for both alcohols are very similar in magnitude (to within $\sim 10\%$) and energy dependence, whereas ($Q_{\text{tot}}-Q_{\text{Ps}}$) for ethanol is approximately double that for methanol. The data therefore suggest the following: (i) the molecular dipole polarizabilities have a strong effect at low ($<5$ eV) positron energies, where $Q_{\text{tot}}$ increases dramatically; (ii) above $\sim 5$ eV the polarizabilities have a much smaller effect on the cross sections and the larger ($Q_{\text{tot}}-Q_{\text{Ps}}$) for ethanol—dominated by the elastic scattering cross section over most of the energy range studied here—is a consequence of its larger size; and (iii) the almost identical $Q_{\text{Ps}}(E)$ for methanol and ethanol.

FIG. 1. Cross sections for positron-methanol interactions. Solid circles: $Q_{\text{tot}}$; Open circles: $Q_{\text{tot}}$ of Zecca et al. [1]; Inverted solid triangles: Scaled $Q_{\text{tot}}$; Open triangles: $Q_{\text{tot}}$--$Q_{\text{Ps}}$. The results for $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ in methanol and ethanol are presented in Figs. 1 and 2. The scatter in the points indicates statistical precision. There are presently no other measurements or calculations with which these results can be compared; however, in Figs. 1 and 2 the results have been subtracted from the $Q_{\text{tot}}$ of Zecca et al. [1] and demonstrate that the magnitude of $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ appears to fit well into that of the total cross section. The threshold energies suggested by the data for $\text{Ps}$ formation in methanol and ethanol are $4.0 \pm 0.2$ eV and $3.5 \pm 0.5$ eV, respectively, both consistent with the expectations outlined in Sec. I above.

It is evident that $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ is a significant fraction of $Q_{\text{tot}}$ in the energy range from threshold to 40 eV, contributing $\sim 65$ and $50\%$ of the scattering in methanol and ethanol at its peak. The somewhat larger total cross sections for ethanol are attributed in the review by Brunger et al. [10] to its higher dipole polarizability, rather than its larger physical size. However, we note that $Q_{\text{Ps}}$ values for both alcohols are very similar in magnitude (to within $\sim 10\%$) and energy dependence, whereas ($Q_{\text{tot}}-Q_{\text{Ps}}$) for ethanol is approximately double that for methanol. The data therefore suggest the following: (i) the molecular dipole polarizabilities have a strong effect at low ($<5$ eV) positron energies, where $Q_{\text{tot}}$ increases dramatically; (ii) above $\sim 5$ eV the polarizabilities have a much smaller effect on the cross sections and the larger ($Q_{\text{tot}}-Q_{\text{Ps}}$) for ethanol—dominated by the elastic scattering cross section over most of the energy range studied here—is a consequence of its larger size; and (iii) the almost identical $Q_{\text{Ps}}(E)$ for methanol and ethanol.

FIG. 2. Cross sections for positron-ethanol interactions. Symbols are as defined in Fig. 1.
and ethanol suggests that Ps formation is associated primarily with the OH group. Postulate (iii) implies that the saturated C-H structures attached to the OH group do not make a significant contribution to Ps formation and conversely that the electronegativity of oxygen and the electronic structure of the OH group dominate this process. It is difficult to separate the elastic contributions from the inelastic contributions to \( \langle Q_{\text{tot}} - Q_{\text{Ps}} \rangle \) but the small, comparable increase at higher energies is probably associated with ionization, which might also be linked to the OH group and thus be similar in the two alcohols.

### IV. CONCLUSIONS

The present results for methanol and ethanol are similar in energy dependence and magnitude, are similar to the only other published \( Q_{\text{Ps}} \) for an organic molecule (formic acid) [6], and suggest that, for simple organic molecules at least, an almost universal form can be adopted in work in which \( Q_{\text{Ps}}(E) \) is required.

Our results support the conclusions of Zecca and co-workers [1–5] that Ps formation and decay is an important process in biomolecular systems, and their understanding is important if reliable modeling of positron tracks in PET is to be achieved.
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