Citation for published version:

Combe, B, Behrens, F, McHugh, N, Brock, F, Kerkmann, U, Kola, B & Gallo, G 2016, 'Comparison of etanercept monotherapy and combination therapy with methotrexate in psoriatic arthritis: results from 2 clinical trials', *The Journal of Rheumatology*, vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1063-1067. <https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.151290>

DOI:

[10.3899/jrheum.151290](https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.151290)

Publication date:

2016

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.151290)

This is a pre-copy-editing, author-produced PDF of an article accepted for publication in *The Journal of Rheumatology* following peer review. The definitive publisher-authenticated version Combe, B, Behrens, F, McHugh, N, Brock, F, Kerkmann, U, Kola, B & Gallo, G 2016, 'Comparison of Etanercept Monotherapy and Combination Therapy with Methotrexate in Psoriatic Arthritis: Results from 2 Clinical Trials' *The Journal of Rheumatology*, is available online at: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3899/jrheum.151290>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Title

Comparison of Etanercept Monotherapy and Combination Therapy With Methotrexate in Psoriatic Arthritis: Results From Two Clinical Trials

Authors

Bernard Combe, Frank Behrens, Neil McHugh, Fiona Brock, Urs Kerkmann, Blerina Kola, Gaia Gallo

Affiliations

From Rheumatology Department, Lapeyronie Hospital, Montpellier 1 University, Montpellier, France; CIRI/Rheumatology, Goethe-University & Fraunhofer IME, Translational Medicine and Pharmacology, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases and Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath BA1 1RL, UK; Statistical Consultancy, Quanticate, Hitchin, United Kingdom; Former employee of Pfizer Europe, Rome, Italy; Pfizer United Kingdom; Pfizer Europe, Rome, Italy

This study was sponsored by Pfizer.

Conflicts of interest

Dr. Combe has received honoraria from Abbvie, BMS, Janssen, Lilly, MSD, Pfizer, Roche-Chugai, and UCB, and research grants from Pfizer, Roche-Chugai, and UCB. Dr. Behrens has received honoraria from Abbvie, Biotest, Celgene, Chugai, Janssen, Lilly, MSD, Pfizer, and UCB, and research grants from Chugai, Pfizer, and Roche. Dr. McHugh has received honoraria from Abbvie, Pfizer, Novartis, and Celgene, and research grants from Abbvie, Pfizer, and Celgene. Ms. Brock is an employee of Quanticate, contracted and paid by Pfizer to provide

statistical input to the study and manuscript. Dr. Kerkmann was a former employee of Pfizer.

Drs. Kola and Gallo are employees of Pfizer.

B. Combe, MD, Rheumatology Department, Lapeyronie Hospital, Montpellier 1 University, Montpellier, France; F. Behrens, MD, CIRI/Rheumatology, Goethe-University & Fraunhofer IME, Translational Medicine and Pharmacology, Frankfurt am Main, Germany; N. McHugh, MD, Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases and Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath BA1 1RL, UK; F. Brock, MSc, Statistical Consultancy, Quanticate, Hitchin, United Kingdom; U. Kerkmann, MD, Former employee of Pfizer Europe, Rome, Italy; B. Kola, MD, Pfizer United Kingdom; G. Gallo, MD, Pfizer Europe, Rome, Italy

Corresponding author and reprint requests: Bernard Combe, Rheumatology Department, Lapeyronie Hospital, 371 Avenue du Doyen G. Giraud, 34295 Montpellier Cedex 5, France.
Tel: +33467338710; Fax: +33467337311; E-mail: b-combe@chu-montpellier.fr

Short title: Etanercept mono- vs combination therapy in PsA

ABSTRACT

Objective. To evaluate clinical/functional outcomes associated with etanercept monotherapy vs combination therapy in psoriatic arthritis (PsA).

Methods. Data from PsA patients who received etanercept alone (n = 322) or combined with methotrexate (n = 152) for 24 weeks in 2 placebo-controlled clinical trials were summarized across studies.

Results. Similar proportions of patients in monotherapy- and combination-therapy groups achieved PsARC (80% and 83%) and ACR20 (70%, both); numerically higher proportions receiving monotherapy achieved ACR50 (55% vs 48%) and ACR70 (35% vs 27%). Little between-group difference was observed in DAS28-CRP, PASI, and HAQ-DI improvement.

Conclusions. Etanercept with and without methotrexate provided similar benefits in active PsA.

Key Indexing Terms: psoriatic arthritis, biologic, DMARD, etanercept, methotrexate

INTRODUCTION

In patients with chronic inflammatory diseases, anti-tumor necrosis factor (TNF) agents are often administered in combination with the synthetic disease-modifying antirheumatic drug (DMARD) methotrexate to enhance clinical outcomes, reduce the risk of immunogenicity, and improve drug survival (1). In rheumatoid arthritis, evidence from comparative controlled trials of anti-TNF agents and current treatment guidelines strongly support use of such combination therapy, as it was proven more effective than anti-TNF monotherapy (2-6). Randomized controlled trials in psoriatic arthritis (PsA) have evaluated the efficacy and safety of etanercept, adalimumab, and infliximab as monotherapy and as add-on therapy to ongoing methotrexate treatment (7-13). However, to date, no study has directly compared outcomes in patients receiving anti-TNF monotherapy or anti-TNF–methotrexate combination therapy. Current treatment guidelines do not include recommendations on the appropriate use of biologics as monotherapy or combined with methotrexate (14-16).

Etanercept has been studied in 2 main placebo-controlled trials in PsA (7,9). In a 12-week, single-center trial (9), patients with active disease despite stable doses of methotrexate were permitted to remain on the nonbiologic DMARD and were randomized to etanercept 25 mg twice weekly (BIW) subcutaneously or placebo. At week 12, 87% and 23% of patients in the etanercept and placebo groups, respectively, met the PsA response criteria (PsARC). In a larger multicenter study (7), in which background methotrexate was also allowed but not mandatory, at 12 and 24 weeks, PsARC response was achieved by 72% and 70% of patients receiving etanercept 25 mg BIW compared with 31% and 23% of those receiving placebo, respectively. In the Psoriasis Randomized Etanercept Study in Subjects with Psoriatic Arthritis (PRESTA), patients were randomized to receive etanercept 50 mg BIW or 50 mg once weekly (QW) subcutaneously for 12 weeks (8); patients continued treatment with etanercept 50 mg QW open label for 12 additional weeks, with the option of remaining on stable doses of methotrexate through both study phases. In PRESTA, similar proportions of patients in the BIW and

QW groups achieved PsARC response (i.e., 77% vs 76%, and 82% and 80%, respectively) at weeks 12 and 24.

As the design and patient populations of the latter 2, 24-week clinical trials were relatively similar, post hoc analyses were conducted using pooled data from the trials to evaluate potential differences in clinical and functional outcomes in patients with PsA who received etanercept with and without methotrexate (7,8).

METHODS

Study design and patients

Adult patients (aged ≥ 18 years) with active PsA who participated in the selected studies were divided into 2 groups: patients who received etanercept 25 mg BIW (7) or 50 mg QW (8) without concomitant methotrexate were included in the monotherapy group, and patients who received etanercept 25 mg BIW (7) or 50 mg QW (8) with concomitant methotrexate were included in the combination therapy group. (The etanercept 50-mg BIW group in the PRESTA study (8) was excluded from these analyses.) In the combination therapy group, methotrexate could have been continued at stable dosages of ≤ 25 mg/week (4) or ≤ 20 mg/week (8). Patients in this group were required to have received etanercept plus methotrexate on at least 1 occasion, but their therapy was not restricted exclusively to this combination for the 24-week study period.

Assessments

Clinical efficacy was measured by comparing the proportions of patients who achieved PsARC, American College of Rheumatology (ACR) improvements of 20% (ACR20), 50% (ACR50), and 70% (ACR70) and Psoriasis Area Severity Index (PASI) improvement of 75% (PASI75) in the etanercept monotherapy and combination therapy groups across both studies after 24 weeks of treatment.

Assessments of clinical efficacy in joints and skin also included improvement from baseline to week 24

in Disease Activity Score in 28 joints (DAS28)–C-reactive protein (CRP) and PASI. Physical function was measured using the Health Assessment Questionnaire Disability Index (HAQ-DI).

Statistical analysis

Demographic and disease activity characteristics of patients in the monotherapy and combination therapy groups (intent-to-treat [ITT] populations) across both studies at baseline are summarized using descriptive statistics, as are data for categorical and continuous efficacy/functional variables.

Percentage response at 24 weeks to PsARC, ACR20, 50, 70, and PASI75 were calculated for each treatment arm. Mean response at 24 weeks and the 24-week change from baseline were calculated for DAS28-CRP, PASI, and HAQ-DI for each treatment arm. As the treatment comparison was not part of the randomized design of the original studies, no formal hypothesis testing was applied; 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were calculated to assist with interpretation of the estimated values.

RESULTS

Patients

A total of 322 ITT patients were included in the etanercept monotherapy group and 152 ITT patients in the combination therapy group. In the monotherapy group, 56 patients received etanercept 25 mg BIW (7) and 266 patients received etanercept 50 mg QW (8); in the combination therapy group, 45 patients received the bi-weekly dosage (7) and 107 patients, the QW dosage (8). The mean weekly methotrexate dosage was 13.8 (SD, 4.9) mg in the combination therapy group.

Baseline demographic and disease characteristics were similar across groups (**Table 1**). Duration of PsA and psoriasis in patients receiving etanercept with and without methotrexate was 8.2 and 9.0 years, and 18.4 and 17.5 years, respectively.

Clinical/functional outcomes

Clinical efficacy in joints was similar for the monotherapy and combination therapy regimens over 24 weeks based on most outcomes measured. PsARC was achieved by 80.3% (95% CI, 75.8, 84.8) and 82.6% (76.5, 88.8) of patients in the monotherapy and combination therapy groups, respectively, and an ACR20 response in approximately 70% (70.5% [65.2, 75.8]; 69.9% [62.4, 77.5]) in both groups (**Figure 1**). Numerically higher proportions of patients in the monotherapy group achieved ACR50 (54.9% [49.1, 60.6] vs 48.3% [40.1, 56.4]) and ACR70 (34.7% [29.2, 40.2] vs 26.6% [19.3, 33.8]) responses. Similar mean improvements in DAS28 (−1.85 [−2.03, −1.68] and −1.84 [−2.04, −1.64]) were also observed in these groups from baseline to week 24 (**Figure 2A**).

Clinical responses in skin were also comparable. A PASI75 response was achieved by 59.7% (54.0, 65.5) and 58.6% (50.1, 67.1) of patients receiving monotherapy and combination therapy, respectively (**Figure 1**). Mean improvements in PASI (−13.60 [−14.73, −12.47] and −12.18 [−13.84, −10.53]) were similar between groups from baseline to week 24 (**Figure 2B**). In addition, minimal difference in improvement in HAQ-DI (−0.51 [−0.58, −0.45] and −0.59 [−0.69, −0.50]) was observed between the groups from baseline to week 24 (**Figure 2C**).

DISCUSSION

In these analyses, patients with active PsA who had been treated with etanercept with or without methotrexate achieved similar improvements in clinical and functional outcomes after 24 weeks of treatment. Methotrexate co-administration had minimal impact on the efficacy of etanercept in terms of the joints, skin, or physical function in PsA. Our findings are not in agreement with evidence from controlled trials and management guidelines in rheumatoid arthritis that support use of biologic therapy in combination with methotrexate rather than biologic monotherapy due to superior efficacy (2-6), but they are consistent with results of systematic reviews of randomized trials in PsA (17), registries

(18,19), and observational studies (20), which reported similar responses to anti-TNF agents administered alone and with methotrexate in patients with PsA in a real-world setting.

In systematic reviews, anti-TNF agents combined with methotrexate in PsA were not found to provide greater improvement in clinical symptoms than anti-TNF monotherapy, but combination therapy appeared to play a role in prolonging anti-TNF therapy continuation and decreasing side effects (17,21). Patients treated with anti-TNF agents and concomitant methotrexate in the Southern Swedish Arthritis Treatment Group registry had significantly better drug survival, primarily because of fewer dropouts owing to adverse events, than those treated with anti-TNF monotherapy (18). In the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register and the Norwegian longitudinal observational study on DMARDs, concomitant methotrexate was not associated with an advantage over anti-TNF monotherapy in terms of efficacy. However, drug survival was superior in infliximab-treated and adalimumab-treated patients who received methotrexate but not in etanercept-treated patients (19,20), suggesting that outcomes may be dependent on the individual biologic assessed. Similarly, in the observational PROVE study, drug survival over 5 years in etanercept-treated patients with PsA was not significantly affected by use of methotrexate (22). Drug survival may be influenced by multiple factors, including patient adherence, treatment efficacy, safety and tolerability, and the development of anti-drug antibodies. Anti-drug antibodies against the anti-TNF monoclonal antibodies infliximab and adalimumab have been shown to substantially reduce response rates, an effect that is diminished by concomitant methotrexate; in contrast, antibodies against the fusion receptor protein etanercept are rarely detected (23). Although immunogenicity was not analyzed in the aforementioned studies, this factor may explain, at least in part, the different drug survival profiles associated with these agents.

Among the strengths of these analyses are the large number of patients included and the use of randomized controlled studies. However, these studies were not designed or sized to address differences in clinical outcomes between anti-TNF agents used as monotherapy or in combination with methotrexate, which is a limitation of the analyses. Patients were not randomized to receive anti-TNF

monotherapy or combination therapy, introducing potential bias. In addition, the study duration of 24 weeks was not sufficient to identify long-term treatment effects.

In conclusion, methotrexate administered in combination with the anti-TNF agent etanercept in patients with PsA may not provide significantly greater improvement in arthritis or psoriasis symptoms than etanercept monotherapy. This finding may be particularly important in patients with intolerance or contraindications to methotrexate treatment. Further research is warranted to better understand the potential advantages and disadvantages of methotrexate use with anti-TNF therapy for PsA.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Editorial/medical writing support was provided by Kim Brown, PhD, and Donna McGuire of Engage Scientific Solutions and was funded by Pfizer.

REFERENCES

1. Jani M, Barton A, Warren RB, Griffiths CE, Chinoy H. The role of DMARDs in reducing the immunogenicity of TNF inhibitors in chronic inflammatory diseases. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2014;53:213-22.
2. Klareskog L, van der Heijde D, de Jager JP, Gough A, Kalden J, Malaise M, et al. Therapeutic effect of the combination of etanercept and methotrexate compared with each treatment alone in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: double-blind randomised controlled trial. *Lancet* 2004;28;363:675-81.
3. Breedveld FC, Weisman MH, Kavanaugh AF, Cohen SB, Pavelka K, van Vollenhoven R, et al. The PREMIER study: a multicenter, randomized, double-blind clinical trial of combination therapy with adalimumab plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone or adalimumab alone in patients with early, aggressive rheumatoid arthritis who had not had previous methotrexate treatment. *Arthritis Rheum* 2006;54:26-37.
4. Kameda H, Kanbe K, Sato E, Ueki Y, Saito K, Nagaoka S, et al. Continuation of methotrexate resulted in better clinical and radiographic outcomes than discontinuation upon starting etanercept in patients with rheumatoid arthritis: 52-week results from the JESMR study. *J Rheumatol* 2011;38:1585-92.
5. Smolen JS, Landewé R, Breedveld FC, Buch M, Burmester G, Dougados M, et al. EULAR recommendations for the management of rheumatoid arthritis with synthetic and biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs: 2013 update. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:492–509.

6. Singh JA, Saag KG, Bridges SL, Akl EA, Bannuru RR, Sullivan MC, et al. 2015 American College of Rheumatology guideline for the treatment of rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis Care Res* 2016;68:1-25.
7. Mease PJ, Kivitz AJ, Burch FX, Siegel EL, Cohen SB, Ory P, et al. Etanercept treatment of psoriatic arthritis: safety, efficacy, and effect on disease progression. *Arthritis Rheum* 2004;50:2264-72.
8. Sterry W, Ortonne JP, Kirkham B, Brocq O, Robertson D, Pedersen RD, et al. Comparison of two etanercept regimens for treatment of psoriasis and psoriatic arthritis: PRESTA randomised double blind multicentre trial. *BMJ* 2010;340:c147.
9. Mease PJ, Goffe BS, Metz J, VanderStoep A, Finck B, Burge DJ. Etanercept in the treatment of psoriatic arthritis and psoriasis: a randomised trial. *Lancet* 2000;356:385-90.
10. Mease PJ, Gladman DD, Ritchlin CT, Ruderman EM, Steinfeld SD, Choy EH, et al. Adalimumab for the treatment of patients with moderately to severely active psoriatic arthritis: results of a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled trial. *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:3279-89.
11. Genovese MC, Mease PJ, Thomson GT, Kivitz AJ, Perdok RJ, Weinberg MA, et al. Safety and efficacy of adalimumab in treatment of patients with psoriatic arthritis who had failed disease modifying antirheumatic drug therapy. *J Rheumatol* 2007;34:1040-50.
12. Antoni CE, Kavanaugh A, Kirkham B, Tutuncu Z, Burmester GR, Schneider U, et al. Sustained benefits of infliximab therapy for dermatologic and articular manifestations of psoriatic arthritis: results from the Infliximab Multinational Psoriatic Arthritis Controlled Trial (IMPACT). *Arthritis Rheum* 2005;52:1227-36.

13. Antoni C, Krueger GG, de Vlam K, Birbara C, Beutler A, Guzzo C, et al. Infliximab improves signs and symptoms of psoriatic arthritis: results of the IMPACT 2 trial. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2005;64:1150-7.
14. Mumtaz A, FitzGerald O. Application of the GRAPPA psoriatic arthritis treatment recommendations in clinical practice. *Curr Rheumatol Rep* 2010;12:264-71.
15. Gossec L, Smolen JS, Gaujoux-Viala C, Ash Z, Marzo-Ortega H, van der Heijde D, et al. European League Against Rheumatism recommendations for the management of psoriatic arthritis with pharmacological therapies. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2012;71:4-12.
16. Coates LC, Tillett W, Chandler D, Helliwell PS, Korendowych E, Kyle S, et al. The 2012 BSR and BHPR guideline for the treatment of psoriatic arthritis with biologics. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2013;52:1754-7.
17. Behrens F, Cañete JD, Olivieri I, van Kuijk AW, McHugh N, Combe B. Tumour necrosis factor inhibitor monotherapy vs combination with MTX in the treatment of PsA: a systematic review of the literature. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2015;54:915-26.
18. Kristensen LE, Gülfe A, Saxne T, Geborek P. Efficacy and tolerability of anti-tumour necrosis factor therapy in psoriatic arthritis patients: results from the South Swedish Arthritis Treatment Group register. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2008;67:364-9.
19. Saad AA, Ashcroft DM, Watson KD, Symmons DP, Noyce PR, Hyrich KL. Efficacy and safety of anti-TNF therapies in psoriatic arthritis: an observational study from the British Society for Rheumatology Biologics Register. *Rheumatology (Oxford)* 2010;49:697-705.

20. Fagerli KM, Lie E, van der Heijde D, Heiberg MS, Lexberg AS, Rødevand E, et al. The role of methotrexate co-medication in TNF-inhibitor treatment in patients with psoriatic arthritis: results from 440 patients included in the NOR-DMARD study. *Ann Rheum Dis* 2014;73:132-7.
21. Daly M, Alikhan A, Armstrong AW. Combination systemic therapies in psoriatic arthritis. *J Dermatolog Treat* 2011;22:276-84.
22. de Vlam K, Boone C. Treatment adherence, efficacy and safety of etanercept in patients with active psoriatic arthritis and peripheral involvement in Belgium for 66 months (PROVE study). *Clin Exp Rheumatol* 2015;33:624-31.
23. Zisapel M, Zisman D, Madar-Balakirski N, Arad U, Padova H, Matz H, et al. Prevalence of TNF- α blocker immunogenicity in psoriatic arthritis. *J Rheumatol* 2015;42:73-8.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Proportions of patients (95% CI) achieving clinical responses (joint and skin) at week 24.

Figure 2. Mean changes (95% CI) from baseline to week 24. A. DAS28. B. PASI. C. HAQ-DI.