Citation for published version:

Betteridge, ZE, Woodhead, F, Lu, H, Shaddick, G, Bunn, CC, Denton, CP, Abraham, DJ, du Bois, RM, Lewis, M, Wells, AU & McHugh, NJ 2016, 'Anti-eukaryotic initiation factor 2B autoantibodies are associated with interstitial lung disease in patients with systemic sclerosis', *Arthritis & Rheumatology*, vol. 68, no. 11, pp. 2778-2783.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39755>

DOI:

[10.1002/art.39755](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39755)

Publication date:

2016

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39755)

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Zoe E. Betteridge, Felix Woodhead, Hui Lu, Gavin Shaddick, Christopher C. Bunn, Christopher P. Denton, David J. Abraham, Roland M. du Bois, Mervyn Lewis, Athol U. Wells, Neil J. McHugh (2016) Brief Report: Anti-Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2B Autoantibodies Are Associated With Interstitial Lung Disease in Patients With Systemic Sclerosis. *Arthritis & Rheumatology*, 68(11) which has been published in final form at <https://doi.org/10.1002/art.39755>. This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Running Head: **Anti-eIF2B in systemic sclerosis**

Title: Anti-Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2B Autoantibodies are Associated with Interstitial Lung Disease in Patients with Systemic Sclerosis

Zoe E. Betteridge, Felix Woodhead, Hui Lu, Gavin Shaddick, Christopher C. Bunn, Christopher P. Denton, David J. Abraham, Roland M. du Bois, Mervyn Lewis, Athol U. Wells and Neil J. McHugh

This work was supported by grants from the Raynaud's and Scleroderma Association (ZB and FW), the Bath Institute for Rheumatic Diseases (ZB) and the Asmarley Charitable Trust (FW).

No authors have received financial support or other benefits from commercial sources for this work. No authors have a conflict of interest regarding this study.

Corresponding Author:

Dr Zoe Betteridge

Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath, UK, BA2 7AY

Email: z.e.betteridge@bath.ac.uk

Tel: +44 1225 384271

This article has been accepted for publication and undergone full peer review but has not been through the copyediting, typesetting, pagination and proofreading process which may lead to differences between this version and the Version of Record. Please cite this article as an 'Accepted Article', doi: 10.1002/art.39755

© 2016 American College of Rheumatology

Received: May 23, 2015; Revised: Jan 28, 2016; Accepted: May 10, 2016

Objective

Anti-nuclear autoantibodies are known to occur in 85-99% of Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) patients, with each SSc autoantibody correlating with a distinct clinical subset of patients.

The objective of this study was to investigate novel SSc autoantibodies in the remaining autoantibody negative patients and establish clinical associations.

Methods

Serum samples and clinical data were collected from 548 SSc patients. Sera were tested for known SSc autoantibodies by routine serological techniques, with negative samples being further investigated by radiolabelled protein immunoprecipitation (IPP). Sera that immunoprecipitated a novel 30 kDa band were analysed by indirect immunofluorescence and IPP using depleted cell extracts to establish a common reactivity. Mass spectrometry (MS) was used to identify the novel autoantigen and findings were confirmed using commercial antibodies. Sera from 426 patients with other forms of connective tissue disease, 103 patients with rheumatoid arthritis, 114 patients with idiopathic ILD and 150 healthy controls were serotyped as controls.

Results

A novel autoantigen with a molecular weight of ~30 kDa was recognised by seven sera with SSc, six of whom had interstitial lung disease (ILD) and by no controls. Six of the patients had diffuse cutaneous involvement and four had overlap features with other autoimmune diseases. Immunodepletion experiments indicated that all samples targeted the same

autoantigen and MS identified the novel autoantigen as eIF2B (Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2B).

Conclusion

We report a novel autoantibody (anti-eIF2B) in a small number of patients with SSc (approximately 1%) that is closely associated with diffuse cutaneous manifestations and the presence of ILD.

Systemic Sclerosis (SSc) is an uncommon disease characterised by microvascular damage and fibrosis of the skin and internal organs (1), with anti-nuclear autoantibodies (ANA) occurring in 85-99% of patients (2). The most common autoantibodies are anti-centromere (ACA) found in 7-40% of patients and anti-topoisomerase-1 (ATA, also known as anti-Scl-70) found in 9-42% of patients. Other SSc-specific autoantibodies include anti-RNA-polymerase-III, anti-U3-RNP/Fibrillarin, anti-Th/To and anti-U11/12. Additionally, SSc-associated autoantibodies such as; anti-Pm-Scl, anti-U1-RNP, anti-Ro/La and anti-Ku are found in SSc patients with overlapping autoimmune conditions including; Sjögren's syndrome (SS), systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) and myositis (2, 3). Studies have demonstrated strong clinical associations between autoantibody class and disease phenotype and whilst the SSc-specific autoantibodies are generally mutually exclusive, combinations of SSc-associated autoantibodies are more prevalent (2-4). However, despite the identification of these autoantibodies, there still remains a group of SSc patients who appear to be autoantibody negative. Herein we report a novel autoantibody to eIF2B (Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2B) in seven SSc patients who are negative for published SSc-specific autoantibodies.

Patients and Methods

Patient Cohort

Clinical data and sera were available from 548 SSc patients who fulfilled either the ARA and/or the LeRoy and Medsger criteria (5, 6). The study included 379 consecutive patients assessed for interstitial lung disease (ILD) at the Royal Brompton Hospital (RBH), mainly referred by the Royal Free Hospital. The remaining 169 patients attended the Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases (RNHRD). Clinical data were collected using standardised pro-formas and patients were classified as having either limited (lcSSc) or diffuse (dcSSc) cutaneous SSc according to LeRoy *et al* (6). The control population consisted of 211 patients with SLE, 42 patients with SS, 17 patients with SLE/SS overlap, 103 patients with rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (33 with ILD), 126 patients with myositis, 30 patients with undifferentiated connective tissue disease (UCTD) (defined by having symptoms suggestive of CTD but not fulfilling specific criteria), 114 patients with idiopathic ILD (defined by HRCT scan, or where not available, by the presence of bilateral basal inspiratory crackles on physical examination accompanied by reduced diffusing capacity on pulmonary function tests), and 150 healthy controls. Written consent to participate and provide biological samples was obtained from all subjects according to the Declaration of Helsinki, under the local ethical committee regulations.

Autoantibody measurement

Indirect immunofluorescence (IIF) was performed on all samples. For the RBH SSc patients, ACA were determined by IIF, ATA by counter-immune electrophoresis (CIEP) and anti-RNA-polymerase-III by INOVA ELISA. Samples negative for ACA, ATA and anti-RNA-polymerase-III

were further assessed by radiolabelled protein immunoprecipitation (IPP). All RNHRD SSc sera and controls were screened by both IIF and IPP.

Indirect immunofluorescence

IIF was performed on HEp-2 cells (Immunoconcepts, USA) using either fluorescein-labelled anti-Human IgG (Sigma, UK) (for patient samples) or fluorescein-labelled anti-rabbit IgG (Sigma, UK) (for the anti-eIF2B β control). Samples were screened at 1:40 and where anti-eIF2B positive, titred by serial dilution.

Counter Immune Electrophoresis

CIEP was performed as described previously (7) using lyophilised rabbit thymus extract (Pel-Freez, USA) and bovine spleen extract in PBS (Sigma).

Protein Immunoprecipitation (IPP) using [35 S]-methionine

10 μ l sera was mixed with 2 mg protein-A-Sepharose beads (Sigma, UK) in IPP buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl pH 8.0, 500 mM NaCl, 0.1% v/v Igepal) for 30 min. Beads were washed in IPP buffer prior to the addition of 120 μ l [35 S]-methionine labelled K562 cell extract (prepared from 15×10^6 cells/ml). Samples were mixed at 4°C for 2 hr. Beads were washed in IPP buffer and TBS buffer (10 mM Tris-Cl pH 7.4, 150 mM NaCl) before being resuspended in sample buffer (SB) (Sigma, UK). After heating, proteins were fractionated by 9% SDS PAGE and analysed by autoradiography.

Immunodepletion Experiments

Duplicate samples containing 10 mg protein-A-Sepharose beads and 40 μ l case-E or normal control serum (NS) were mixed for 30 min. Beads were washed in IPP buffer, and 150 μ l [35 S]-methionine labelled K562 cell extract (prepared from 15×10^6 cells/ml) was added to one aliquot. Aliquots were mixed at 4°C for 2 hr, before the supernatants were transferred to the corresponding duplicate and mixed at 4°C for 2 hr. The supernatant was stored at -80°C. IPP using 10 μ l sera from anti-eIF2B positive patients and either 500 μ l NS depleted or case-E depleted [35 S]-methionine labelled cell extracts were completed as described for IPP using [35 S]-methionine.

Mass Spectrometry (MS)

IPP was completed using 40 μ l serum, 4 mg protein-A-Sepharose beads and 5 mM bis-(sulphosuccinimidyl)-suberate (Perbio, UK). Beads were incubated in 4 ml K562 cell extract (prepared from 28×10^6 cells/ml) for a total of 4 hr at 4°C. Samples were resuspended in SB and heated. Proteins were fractionated by 10% SDS-PAGE and stained with Imperial Protein Stain (Perbio, UK). Bands were prepared for MALDI-TOF MS at the University of the West of England. Database matching using the ProteinLynx software required peptide coverage of over 20% with matching of the major theoretical and experimental peptide peaks.

IPP-Blotting

IPP was completed using either 40 μ l sera (case-E, case-F, NS or serum containing known autoantibodies) or 20 μ l polyclonal rabbit anti-eIF2BB antibody, 4 mg protein-A-Sepharose beads and 5 mM bis-(sulphosuccinimidyl)-suberate (Perbio, UK). Beads were incubated in 1 ml K562 cell extract (prepared from 28×10^6 cells/ml) for a total of 2 hr at 4°C. Samples were resuspended in SB, heated and fractionated by 10% SDS-PAGE. Immunoprecipitates were

transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with rabbit polyclonal anti-eIF2BB antibody (1:500 dilution) for 90 min. Bands were detected using alkaline phosphatase conjugated goat anti-rabbit IgG (1:25000 dilution) (Sigma, UK) and BCIP/NBT liquid substrate (Sigma, UK).

Statistical Analysis

Clinical associations were derived from 2×2 contingency tables. Probabilities were calculated using Fisher's exact test except where the 2×2 contingency tables included a '0', in which case p-values were calculated by 1-sided Barnards Test. Where applicable, data were expressed as odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals. Data were analysed using 'R' software.

Results

Frequency of Known Autoantibody Specificities in SSc

223 (58.8%) RBH SSc and 105 (62.1%) RNHRD SSc serum samples were positive for ACA, ATA or anti-RNA-polymerase-III. The respective frequencies are given in Table 1. The RBH cohort was enriched for patients suffering from ILD explaining the higher ratio of ATA to ACA compared to the RNHRD patients (RBH 2.7:1 vs RNHRD 0.4:1). Similarly, the association of ATA with dcSSc enriched the RBH cohort for dcSSc patients compared with the RNHRD patients (33.0% vs 17.2%).

IPP on the RNHRD samples, and the RBH the samples negative for ACA, ATA and anti-RNA-polymerase-III revealed a variety of autoantibodies recognised to occur in SSc or SSc-overlap including; anti-PM-Scl, anti-U1-RNP, anti-U3-RNP and anti-Ku. In addition, anti-tRNA synthetase antibodies were seen in six samples; four with anti-Jo-1 (anti-histidyl-tRNA

synthetase) and two with anti-PL-12 (anti-alanyl-tRNA synthetase). Additional analysis of the RNHRD cohort confirmed the presence of anti-Th/To in seven patients (4.1%), one of which had co-existing anti-Ro60. Three of these anti-Th/To positive patients (42.9%) had ILD, which whilst not statistically significant, demonstrated a similar trend to described previously (8).

Identification of a novel SSc autoantibody

Seven SSc sera (four RBH [A-D] and three RNHRD [E-G] patients) had a distinct IPP pattern (approximately 30, 40 and 53 kDa bands), that did not correspond to any previously identified SSc autoantigen. With the exception of one patient (G), who had co-existing anti-Ro60, this pattern was found to be mutually exclusive from other autoantibodies tested for by IIF or IPP. This pattern was not seen in immunoprecipitations using sera from disease or healthy control groups ($p < 0.001$). Overall the prevalence of this autoantibody in the entire cohort was 1.3% (assuming the RBH ACA, ATA and anti-RNA-polymerase-I/III positive samples were negative for eIF2B) or 1.8% in the RNHRD cohort, all of which were screened by IPP.

Autoantigen identification

Immunodepletion studies demonstrated that the IPP pattern seen with the seven cases was due to the precipitation of the same autoantigen. When cell extracts were pre-depleted with NS, novel antigens were still visible after IPP with each of the patient's samples (Figure 1A). However, after pre-depletion with case-E serum, and removal of the corresponding targets, the 30 and 40 kDa bands were absent, or greatly diminished, after subsequent IPP

by case A-G serum (Figure 1A). These results strongly imply that all seven sera target the same protein complex.

Identification of eIF2B as the Target Autoantigen

IPP and SDS-PAGE demonstrated the presence of approximately 30 and 60 kDa bands using serum from case-E (data not shown). MALDI-TOF MS and Swiss Prot analysis of the peptide fingerprints corresponded to Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2B, subunit β (eIF2BB) and subunit δ (eIF2BD) respectively. These results were consistent when repeated on two separate occasions.

Confirmation of eIF2B as the Target Autoantigen

IIF of HEp-2 cells using the positive cases resulted in a fine cytoplasmic speckled pattern for all cases, with titres between 1:160 and 1:2560 (Table 2). This same pattern was seen on IIF using commercial anti-eIF2B β serum (Supplementary Figure 1). Additional confirmation of the target autoantigen was established by IPP-Blot. IPP was completed using a commercial antibody to eIF2B β , case-E and case-F sera, NS and sera containing known autoantibodies. When the immunoprecipitates were transferred to nitrocellulose and probed with the anti-eIF2B β antibody, bands were seen at the appropriate molecular weight with the eIF2B β , case-E and case-F immunoprecipitates. No bands were apparent with the NS or immunoprecipitates from patients with other known autoantibodies (Figure 1b). These results show that the novel precipitation pattern seen with SSc patients is due to autoantibodies directed against eIF2B.

Clinical Features of Patients with anti-eIF2B Autoantibodies

Clinical features of the seven patients with anti-eIF2B are given in Table 2. The presence of anti-eIF2B autoantibodies was associated with dcSSc compared to the anti-eIF2B negative SSc patients (85.7% (6 out of 7 patients) vs 31.1% (148 out of 476 patients), $p < 0.017$ OR: 13.30 [1.59-111.44]). Two patients had evidence of co-existing myositis and two of RA/SSc overlap. Six patients had documented ILD by HRCT that appeared typical of scleroderma lung. The seventh patient did not have an HRCT, but did have a reduced pulmonary transfer factor (TLco 74% predicted) and was believed to have some pulmonary involvement, however due to the uncertain ILD diagnosis this patient was excluded from statistical analysis. The association of anti-eIF2B and ILD was borderline significant across the entire cohort (100% (6 patients) vs 63.4% (343 from 541 patients), $p = 0.05$), despite the increased ILD bias of the cohort due to the inclusion of the RBH patients. When analysing the association of anti-eIF2B and ILD against the more representative RNHRD cohort, ILD was found to be a strongly associated risk factor in the anti-eIF2B positive patients (100% (6 patients) vs 25.9% (43 patients from 166) $p < 0.001$).

Discussion

Here we report the identification of a novel autoantibody, anti-eIF2B, in seven SSc patients. Four patients formed part of a cohort of SSc patients assessed for ILD at a national unit, with the remaining patients attending a regional rheumatology centre. Anti-eIF2B autoantibodies are uncommon within the SSc cohort only being found in 1.3% of patients. However, whilst all of the RNHRD patients were screened for anti-eIF2B by IPP, the 223 RBH samples found positive for ATA, ACA or anti-RNA-polymerase-III were not screened by this method and not tested for anti-eIF2B. Whilst none of the RNHRD patients with anti-eIF2B had co-existing

ATA, ACA or anti-RNA-polymerase-III autoantibodies, it is possible that some additional patients in the RBH cohort are positive for anti-eIF2B, making the frequency higher than described.

The presence of anti-eIF2B corresponded with an increased risk of ILD, with six patients having definite ILD and the seventh having a reduced pulmonary transfer factor. Furthermore, six of the patients with anti-eIF2B had dcSSc. Over half of the anti-eIF2B positive patients had overlap features of either myositis or RA, although the autoantibody was not detected in any of the control cohorts, strongly inferring that the autoantibody is specific for SSc or SSc-overlap patients.

Previous reports have shown that the prevalence of autoantibodies to nuclear autoantigens in SSc patients ranges from 85-99% (2), with similar frequencies found in both the RBH and RNHRD cohorts. Interestingly, none of the seven anti-eIF2B positive sera had a positive ANA, but all had a cytoplasmic speckle on IIF; consistent with the cytoplasmic location of eIF2B. It may therefore be useful to investigate ANA negative SSc patients for the presence of anti-eIF2B autoantibodies in the appropriate clinical context.

Similarly to other cytoplasmic autoantigens, eIF2B is involved in protein translation. eIF2B is a multimeric protein consisting of 5 subunits that regulate a key step in protein synthesis (9). There have been reports of autoantibodies to other proteins in the eukaryotic protein initiation pathway, including anti-eIF3 in polymyositis (10) and anti-Agonaute/Su (eIF2C) in SLE (11). Interestingly, it has been demonstrated that Epstein-Barr virus expresses potentially immunogenic viral matches to all of the eIF2B subunits (12); and studies have

demonstrated 58% of SSc patients to have abnormal antibodies to EBV (13). It is therefore possible that anti-eIF2B autoantibodies are formed in selected SSc patients due to EBV molecular mimicry.

We report a new autoantibody, anti-eIF2B in 1-2% of SSc patients. This autoantibody is significantly associated with dcSSc and ILD, with a number of anti-eIF2B positive patients also having overlap features of myositis or RA. Sera from patients with anti-eIF2B autoantibodies are ANA negative, but have a cytoplasmic staining pattern by IIF, demonstrating anti-eIF2B to be the first SSc-specific cytoplasmic autoantibody. Further work is now required to understand why eIF2B is targeted by the immune system of these patients.

Acknowledgements

We thank P Owen and J Dunphy (Bath Institute for Rheumatic Diseases, UK) and Dr S Tansley (University of Bath) for their technical assistance. This study was supported by the Respiratory Biomedical Research Unit at Royal Brompton and Harefield National Health Service Foundation Trust.

References

1. Tan FK. Systemic sclerosis: the susceptible host (genetics and environment). *Rheumatic diseases clinics of North America*. 2003;29(2):211-37.
2. Mehra S, Walker J, Patterson K, Fritzler MJ. Autoantibodies in systemic sclerosis. *Autoimmun Rev*. 2013;12(3):340-54.
3. Steen VD. The many faces of scleroderma. *Rheum Dis Clin North Am*. 2008;34(1):1-15; v.
4. Domsic RT. Scleroderma: the role of serum autoantibodies in defining specific clinical phenotypes and organ system involvement. *Curr Opin Rheumatol*. 2014;26(6):646-52.
5. Masi AT. Classification of systemic sclerosis (scleroderma): relationship of cutaneous subgroups in early disease to outcome and serologic reactivity. *J Rheumatol*. 1988;15(6):894-8.
6. LeRoy EC, Black C, Fleischmajer R, Jablonska S, Krieg T, Medsger TA, Jr., et al. Scleroderma (systemic sclerosis): classification, subsets and pathogenesis. *J Rheumatol*. 1988;15(2):202-5.
7. Bernstein RM, Bunn CC, Hughes GR. Identification of antibodies to acidic antigens by counterimmunoelectrophoresis. *Annals of the rheumatic diseases*. 1982;41(5):554-5.
8. Mitri GM, Lucas M, Fertig N, Steen VD, Medsger TA, Jr. A comparison between anti-Th/To- and anticentromere antibody-positive systemic sclerosis patients with limited cutaneous involvement. *Arthritis and rheumatism*. 2003;48(1):203-9.
9. Pavitt GD. eIF2B, a mediator of general and gene-specific translational control. *Biochemical Society transactions*. 2005;33(Pt 6):1487-92.
10. Betteridge Z, Chinoy H, Vencovsky J, Cooper R, McHugh N. Identification of autoantibodies to a novel autoantigen protein complex (EIF3) in polymyositis patients. *Rheumatology*. 2011;50(Suppl 3):167.
11. Satoh M, Chan JY, Ceribelli A, Vazquez del-Mercado M, Chan EK. Autoantibodies to Argonaute 2 (Su antigen). *Advances in experimental medicine and biology*. 2013;768:45-59.
12. Carter CJ. Epstein-Barr and other viral mimicry of autoantigens, myelin and vitamin D-related proteins and of EIF2B, the cause of vanishing white matter disease: massive mimicry of multiple sclerosis relevant proteins by the *Synechococcus* phage. *Immunopharmacology and immunotoxicology*. 2012;34(1):21-35.
13. Fattal I, Shental N, Molad Y, Gabrielli A, Pokroy-Shapira E, Oren S, et al. Epstein-Barr virus antibodies mark systemic lupus erythematosus and scleroderma patients negative for anti-DNA. *Immunology*. 2014;141(2):276-85.

Figure Legends

Figure 1a: Autoradiogram of 10% SDS-PAGE of immunoprecipitates using case A-G serum (IPP Serum), with control [35 S] methionine-labelled cell extract (-) or [35 S] methionine-labelled cell extract depleted with either normal sera (NS) or case E serum (E) (Depletion Serum). Arrows indicate apparent molecular weights of autoantigens immunoprecipitated by some or all case samples. **Figure 1b:** IPP-Blot of eIF2B β autoantigens. Antigens were immunoprecipitated using case sera or controls (Figure 2A, Lane 1: NS, Lane 2: commercial anti-eIF2B β (Sigma, UK), Lane 3: case F serum, Lane 4: case E serum, Lane 5: control anti-PMScl and Ro60 serum, Lane 6: control anti-Jo-1 and U1RNP serum and Lane 7: control anti-PL7 and PL12 serum). Immunoprecipitates were separated on SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were probed with commercial anti-eIF2B β . Bands corresponding to eIF2B β are indicated.

Supplementary Figure 1 – Indirect immunofluorescence staining of HEp-2 cells after incubation with either patient B serum and fluorescein-labelled anti-human immunoglobulin (A), commercial anti-eIF2B β and fluorescein-labelled anti-rabbit immunoglobulin (B). The cytoplasmic speckle seen at 40x magnification is shown.

Table 1 – Frequency of Known SSc Autoantibodies in RBH and RNHRD Cohorts

Cohort	Feature	ATA	ACA	Anti-RNAPIII	eIF2B	Other / None	Overall
RBH	Number	132 (34.8%)	49 (12.9%)	42 (11.1%)	4 (1.1%)	152 (40.1%)	379
	Female	103 (78.0%)	38 (77.6%)	30 (71.4%)	2 (50.0%)	121 (79.6%)	294 (77.6%)
	lcSSc / dcSSc	71 / 46 (60.7% / 39.3%)	40 / 4 (90.9% / 9.1%)	8 / 33 (19.5% / 80.5%)	0 / 4 (0.0% / 100.0%)	88 / 38 (69.8% / 30.2%)	207 / 125 (62.3% / 37.7%)
	ILD Present	128 (97.0%)	15 (30.6%)	26 (61.9%)	4 (100.0%)	131 (86.2%)	304 (80.2%)
RNHRD	Number	24 (14.2%)	66 (39.1%)	15 (8.9%)	3 (1.8%)	61 (36.1%)	169
	Female	20 (83.3%)	60 (90.9%)	13 (86.7%)	3 (100.0%)	51 (38.9%)	147 (87.0%)
	lcSSc / dcSSc	15 / 8 (65.2% / 34.8%)	63 / 1 (98.4% / 1.6%)	3 / 8 (27.3% / 72.7%)	1 / 2 (33.3% / 66.7%)	40 / 10 (80.0% / 20.0%)	122 / 29 (80.8% / 19.2%)
	ILD Present	14 (58.3%)	4 (6.1%)	2 (13.3%)	2/2 (100.0%)	22 (36.1%)	44 (26.2%)

Abbreviations: RBH=Royal Brompton Hospital, London; RNHRD=Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Disease, Bath; lcSSc, limited cutaneous systemic sclerosis; dcSSc, diffuse cutaneous systemic sclerosis; ILD, interstitial lung disease; ATA: anti-topoisomerase 1 autoantibodies; ACA: anti-centromere autoantibodies; Anti-RNAPIII, anti-RNA Polymerase III autoantibodies; Anti-eIF2B, anti-Eukaryotic Initiation Factor 2B Autoantibodies.

Table 2 – Clinical Data of anti-eIF2B positive patients

	Patient A	Patient B	Patient C	Patient D	Patient E	Patient F	Patient G
Cohort	RBH	RBH	RBH	RBH	RNHRD	RNHRD	RNHRD
Gender	Female	Male	Male	Female	Female	Female	Female
Ethnicity	Caucasian	Caucasian	Non-Caucasian	Non-Caucasian	Caucasian	Caucasian	Caucasian
Cutaneous Subset	Diffuse	Diffuse	Diffuse	Diffuse	Limited	Diffuse	Diffuse
Disease course	Presented age 41	Presented age 38	Presented age 52	Presented age 49	RA onset age 40 SSc diagnosed age 56 Sample taken age 58 Disease ongoing age 71	Presented age 41 SSc diagnosed age 42 Sample taken age 42 Deceased age 59	Presented age 47 SSc diagnosed age 52 Sample taken age 53 Lost to follow-up age 60
Lung Disease	Present	Present	Present	Present	HRCT: Mixed small airway disease and mild NSIP tLCO: 54%	HRCT: Moderate fibrosis consistent with SSc tLCO: 47%	HRCT: Not completed tLco 74%
Gastric Involvement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Gastric involvement	Oesophageal immotility	Severe disease:
Cardiac Involvement	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Ischaemic heart disease	Heart conduction defect	No cardiac symptoms
Pulmonary	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Negative	Negative	Borderline pulmonary
Ulceration	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Persistent ulceration	Not reported	Negative
Calcinosis	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Mild calcinosis	Not reported	Negative
Raynaud's	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	Negative	Mild	Not reported
Overlap Disease	None	Myositis	Myositis	None	RA: Progressive erosive arthropathy	None	RA
HEp2 Pattern and Titre	Fine Cytoplasmic Speckle (1:640)	Fine Cytoplasmic Speckle (1:2560)	Fine Cytoplasmic Speckle (1:2560)	Fine Cytoplasmic Speckle (1:2560)	Fine Cytoplasmic Speckle (1:160)	Fine Cytoplasmic Speckle (1:160)	Fine Cytoplasmic Speckle (1:2560)

RBH: Royal Brompton Hospital, RNHRD: Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases, RA: Rheumatoid Arthritis, SSc: Systemic Sclerosis, HRCT: High resolution computed tomography, tLCO: transfer factor for carbon monoxide

Figure 1a: Autoradiogram of 10% SDS-PAGE of immunoprecipitates using case A-G serum (IPP Serum), with control [35S] methionine-labelled cell extract (-) or [35S] methionine-labelled cell extract depleted with either normal sera (NS) or case E serum (E) (Depletion Serum). Arrows indicate apparent molecular weights of autoantigens immunoprecipitated by some or all case samples. Figure 1b: IPP-Blot of eIF2B β autoantigens. Antigens were immunoprecipitated using case sera or controls (Figure 2A, Lane 1: NS, Lane 2: commercial anti-eIF2B β (Sigma, UK), Lane 3: case F serum, Lane 4: case E serum, Lane 5: control anti-PMScl and Ro60 serum, Lane 6: control anti-Jo-1 and U1RNP serum and Lane 7: control anti-PL7 and PL12 serum). Immunoprecipitates were separated on SDS-PAGE and transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. Membranes were probed with commercial anti-eIF2B β . Bands corresponding to eIF2B β are indicated.

257x108mm (150 x 150 DPI)