The mechanism of hydration of MgO-hydromagnesite blends
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ABSTRACT

The hydration of reactive periclase (MgO) in the presence of hydromagnesite (Mg₅(CO₃)₄(OH)₂·4H₂O) was investigated by a variety of physical and chemical techniques. Hydration of pure MgO-water mixtures gave very weak pastes of brucite (Mg(OH)₂), but hydration of MgO-hydromagnesite blends gave pastes which set quickly and gave compressive strengths of potential interest for construction applications. The strengths of the blends increased with hydration time at least up to 28 days, and were not significantly decreased by increasing the hydromagnesite content up to 30%. Raman spectroscopy suggests that an amorphous phase, of composition between that of brucite, hydromagnesite and water, may form. Small amounts of calcite also form due to CaO in the MgO source. Thermodynamic calculations imply that the crystalline phase artinite (MgCO₃·Mg(OH)₂·3H₂O) should be the stable product in this system, but it is not observed by either XRD or FTIR techniques, which suggests that its growth may be kinetically hindered.
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1. Introduction

MgO (periclase) reacts with water to give brucite (Mg(OH)₂) under conditions relevant to normal construction materials. The rate of reaction increases as the crystallinity of the periclase decreases (i.e. smaller mean crystallite size). Periclase is usually manufactured by calcination (decarbonation) of magnesite (MgCO₃) that is obtained from natural mineral deposits. The lower the decarbonation temperature, the lower the degree of crystallinity of the resulting periclase. Lightly-burned magnesite is of particular interest for use in hydraulic cements because it reacts very rapidly with water [1].

The hydration of MgO has been extensively studied [2–6]. Water molecules, even from the vapour phase, react rapidly with the anhydrous MgO surface to form a surface layer of Mg(OH)₂, but with a structure probably significantly different from that of brucite due to interactions with the underlying oxide. This surface hydrate displays an apparent solubility somewhat higher than pure brucite. Thus, at high relative
humidity, when significant amounts of physically adsorbed water, or excess liquid water, is present, this
hydrated surface layer can dissolve in the mobile water layer and reprecipitate as brucite crystallites
further away from the surface. The hydrated surface layer on the oxide is continually reformed by further
reaction of the underlying oxide with water. The hydration rate of MgO is effectively limited by the rate
at which the Mg(OH)$_2$ layer on the surface of the MgO dissolves in the water layer. This in turn is
influenced by the rate at which dissolved Mg$^{2+}$ and OH$^-$ ions are removed from the water layer by, for
example, precipitation.

Periclase can also react with CO$_2$ in the presence of water (liquid or vapour) to give hydrated carbonates
or hydroxy-carbonates such as nesquehonite (MgCO$_3$·3H$_2$O) and hydromagnesite (Mg$_5$(CO$_3$)$_4$
(OH)$_2$·4H$_2$O). It is reported that nesquehonite forms readily from aqueous solutions at ambient
temperature [9,10]. Table 1 lists the known phases in the MgO-CO$_2$-H$_2$O system under conditions of
interest, and gives standard free energies of formation from the elements at 25°C [7, 8]. From this data
it can be shown that under ambient atmospheric conditions, all hydrated magnesium (hydroxy-)
carbonates should convert to magnesite. However, the kinetics of magnesite growth is very slow,
especially below 100°C, and so the hydrated carbonates and hydroxy-carbonates, which have much
higher growth rates, usually form and do not convert substantially to magnesite over decades under

Sealed samples of pure pastes of reactive MgO and water do not gain any significant compressive
strength, despite the formation of brucite. Moreover, if such pastes are left in the open air, they only
carbonate very slowly and do not harden (unlike pastes made of lime, which harden rapidly by
atmospheric carbonation - the basis of the lime mortar technology used for many millennia). For this
reason, MgO has not until recently been considered of value in simple binders except when significant
amounts of other inorganic chemicals are added (e.g. chlorides, sulfates, or phosphates: to make
magnesium oxy-chloride, magnesium oxy-sulfate or magnesium phosphate cements, respectively).
However, over the last decade or so, there has been increasing interest in using MgO in silicate-based
binder system, or in carbonated binders. Blends of MgO with Portland cement, granulated blast furnace
slag (GBFS) or coal fly ash (FA) have been studied to produce low-carbon hydraulic binders [1-5,9].
However, there is little evidence for significant contribution of MgO hydration to the strength
development of such cements. MgO hydration is also known to cause harmful expansion in Portland
cement concretes [10] under certain conditions. Moreover, the fact that conventional MgO production
methods are very energy and CO$_2$ intensive makes it difficult to justify the use of MgO in low-carbon
hydraulic cements [12]. A more promising approach for CO$_2$ emissions reduction is to use MgO in
cements that hardens by carbonation, [6-8], but even then, only a fraction of the CO$_2$ released during
manufacture is recaptured. The most desirable approach would be to use MgO derived from natural
magnesium silicate raw materials by a new low-energy route, as first proposed by Vlasopoulos [13], but
no practical low-energy production process for achieving this goal yet exists [12]. However, developing such a process remains an important long-term research goal.

A significant advance in developing low-carbon hydraulic cements based on MgO was made in 2009, when the addition of hydrated magnesium carbonates was found to significantly change the hydration of MgO, resulting in pastes that rapidly set and developed significant strength [14-16]. These findings were unexpected and novel [19]. The results have been confirmed for mixtures containing hydromagnesite (HY) as the additive at up to 50% MgO replacement levels [14-16]. The fact that cements made from such mixtures contain significant levels of carbonate is the key to reducing the carbon footprint [12]. The addition of HY reportedly results in more rapid and extensive dissolution of MgO and the precipitation of Mg(OH)\textsubscript{2} in the form of very small interlocking crystallites with different morphology from that formed when just MgO is hydrated[16]. However, the reason for this change in morphology, and how it leads to strength development, is still unclear. An improved understanding of the hydration reaction mechanism is essential if these magnesium hydroxy-carbonate cements are to be further developed and optimised. This paper reports on a systematic investigation into the hydration reactions of MgO in the presence of hydromagnesite in order to improve understanding of the hydration mechanism and strength development.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Materials

A commercial MgO powder prepared by calcining magnesite at 900°C (Baymag 30, Baymag Inc., Alberta, Canada) and technical grade hydromagnesite (Calmag CALMAGS GmbH, Germany) were used in all experiments. The chemistry of the raw materials is given in Table 2. XRF results are presented as total mass percentage of non-volatile elemental oxides in the material (i.e. on an ignited basis.) The measured and expected loss on ignition (LoI) data are included to demonstrate the purity of each powder. The particle size distribution of the as-received raw materials are given in Fig. 1.

2.2 Preparation of samples

MgO and HY were mixed at mass ratios of 9:1, 8:2 and 7:3. Water was added to form pastes using the recommended mixing method for mortar and pastes [17]. A water/total solids mass ratio of 0.62 was used in all samples. This was the minimum water content that allowed formation of a homogenous paste in all cases, although increasing the hydromagnesite content always increased paste viscosity. Pastes were cast into 50 x 10 x 10 mm rectangular moulds and vibrated for 5 minutes to remove air bubbles. They were then covered with a glass plate to prevent moisture loss and limit reaction with atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2}, and allowed to hydrate for 24 hours at 22 ± 1°C. The samples were then de-moulded and stored in deionised water at 22 ± 1°C for periods up to 56 days.
2.3 **Physical/chemical properties**

The heat of hydration of trial mixes was monitored by isothermal conduction calorimetry (Wexham Developments Ltd, UK). The bath temperature was set at 20.0 ± 0.1°C and 25g of paste samples with 0.62 w/s ratio were mixed by hand for 2 minutes before being placed in the calorimeter. The time between the start of mixing and obtaining the first calorimetry data point was ~10 minutes.

Cured cast samples were removed from the water bath, cut in half to give 25 x 10 x 10 mm prisms and immediately tested in uniaxial compression, parallel to the long axis at a constant loading rate of 0.08 kN/s (ADR Auto strength machine, ELE International, UK) to give compressive strength data. Preliminary tests demonstrated that this non-standard test procedure gave highly reproducible results.

The pH of slurry samples made with a w/s ratio of 3 was determined using an Inlab Routine Pro electrode, (Mettler Toledo, Switzerland). The slurry was continuously stirred while exposed to the atmosphere, and the pH measured at various times throughout the hydration process at 22 ± 1 °C.

2.4 **Microstructural and phase analysis**

Powder x-ray diffraction (XRD) using Cu Kα radiation in the range of 5 to 65° 2θ was used to semi-quantitatively compare the relative amounts of crystalline phases in paste samples (X-Pert PRO MPD, PANalytical, Netherlands). Fourier transform infrared spectroscopy (FTIR) was used to detect additional phases in the reaction products. Brucite control samples were prepared by hydrating MgO (Baymag 30) in distilled water. Raman spectrometry (Renishaw inVia Raman Microscope, UK) was used to characterise the different MgO/HY mixes. The microstructures of the as-received powder materials and hydrated, fractured MgO/HY samples were analysed using scanning electron microscopy (SEM, JEOL JSM 5610, Japan). SEM samples were gold coated using a current of 20 mA.

Prior to analysis the paste samples were ground with acetone in a mortar and pestle to inhibit further hydration. This is an accepted way to inhibit hydration of calcium silicate-based cements as hydration water is replaced by acetone [18]. The slurry formed was then filtered and rinsed with additional acetone using vacuum filtration and the solid residue dried at 60 °C to constant weight.

3. **Results**

3.1 **Physical properties**

Isothermal conduction calorimetry power output and total heat evolution curves for pastes of pure MgO and a 9:1 MgO: HY blend are shown in Fig. 2. The inclusion of 10% HY greatly accelerates the early hydration compared to pure MgO paste. Hydration occurs prior to obtaining the first calorimetry data point. Both samples show an acceleration period followed by a reducing rate of hydration, suggesting a nucleation and growth process in which the added HY acts as growth sites for hydrates. The maximum
hydration rate occurs after about 10 hours for pure MgO and 2 hours for the sample with 10% HY. The total heat curves may not be very accurate due to the loss of heat in the first few minutes, due to external mixing, and the well-known problem of calibration and baseline drift in long-term isothermal calorimetry experiments, but they appear to indicate that the pure MgO hydrates to a significantly greater extent than the MgO in the 9:1 blend over the one week (168 hours) period shown. Thermodynamic data bases give a heat of hydration of MgO of about 930 J/g [19], so the total heat evolution data suggests that pure MgO is only about 50% hydrated after one week, and the blended MgO is even less hydrated.

Fig. 3 shows the variation in solution pH over 28 days for w/s = 3 slurries of pure MgO, pure HY and a 9:1 MgO: HY mixture. Slurries made with 8:2 and 7:3 MgO: HY mixtures gave similar results to the 9:1 mixture, so the data for these are not shown. The initial pH value for the pure MgO and the MgO: HY mixtures was about 12.4 whereas for pure HY it was close to 10. The pure MgO slurry shows a slow reduction in pH with time, reaching a value of about 11.2 after one week, whereas MgO: HY mixes all showed a much more rapid decrease in pH, reaching values close to, or even slightly below, those of pure HY after ~1 day.

Table 3 shows that all three MgO-HY paste samples give very similar compressive strength development, achieving about 18 MPa after 7 days, and increasing to about 24 MPa at 28 days. Pure MgO pastes did not give sufficient strength for sample demoulding and so cannot be compared directly in the table.

3.2 Microstructural and phase analyses

The XRD scans shown in Fig. 4 are only semi-quantitative, as there were no internal standard. However, given that the sample preparation and analysis techniques were quite repeatable, comparisons of peak intensities seem justifiable as a way of examining changes in the relative amounts of the main crystalline phases present. In the pure system, (Fig. 4b) periclase disappears (dissolves) with time while brucite forms (precipitates). Even after 28 days of hydration, the periclase peak in the pure system has not completely disappeared. A weak peak in Fig. 4a also indicates the formation of some calcite (CaCO$_3$). CaO is an impurity in the MgO that carbonates by reaction with atmospheric CO$_2$.

The reactions of MgO: HY blends show similar trends as a function of hydration time, with the disappearance of periclase and formation of brucite, but at different rates, as clearly shown in Fig 4c for pure MgO and 9:1 MgO: HY pastes hydrated for 28 days. Significantly more MgO appears to have hydrated and more Mg(OH)$_2$ has formed in the pure paste than in the 9:1 blend. In addition, the Mg(OH)$_2$ peak in the 9:1 blend is significantly broader than in the pure system, suggesting a smaller mean crystallite size [20]. This is in agreement with calorimetry data, where MgO does not fully hydrate to brucite.
In the XRD data for MgO: HY blends, HY peaks were observed mainly for samples with MgO: HY ratios of 8:2 and 7:3 but hardly at all for the 9:1 samples due to the low concentration of HY and the corresponding weak diffraction peaks. Mg(OH)₂ and MgO were always present, as expected, and the Mg(OH)₂ peak always shows significant broadening compared to those found in the pure system. No additional peaks are observed, so any other phases which might be present must be effectively x-ray amorphous.

The FTIR spectra of samples produced by hydrating MgO, HY and the MgO: HY 70:30 mix for 28 days are shown in Fig. 5. The MgO: HY mixes with ratios of 90:10 and 80:20 are not shown because HY is only present in small quantities and the corresponding bands are too weak to observe [21]. Several bands can be detected which have been characterised in previous studies, as shown in Table 4. All the bands identified are seen in the spectra for the three samples containing HY, except for that due to O-H bonds in Mg(OH)₂ at 3700 cm⁻¹ which are, as expected, not observed in the pure HY sample. Small amounts of carbonate are observed in hydrated MgO. This is consistent with the XRD data and confirms that the MgO reacts with atmospheric CO₂ over time, although the carbonate ions detected in this case are probably mainly present as CaCO₃. The presence of carbonate impurities in brucite (Mg(OH)₂) has previously been reported in FTIR studies [22]. Previous research has shown that for pure magnesite, (MgCO₃), a single asymmetric stretching band is observed between 1478 and 1450 cm⁻¹. The presence of two bands in the 1420-1480 cm⁻¹ region, as in HY, indicates the presence of two different carbonate ion environments [21, 23, 24]. The HY signal at ~600 cm⁻¹ is unassigned, although this band has been observed by other researchers [25]. Studies on the dehyration and rehydration of HY have also identified a band at ~2350 cm⁻¹ which has been assigned either to a CO₂ inclusion or a terminal CO₂, corresponding to the ν₃ fundamental of CO₂ [25, 26]. However, this band cannot be measured in our study, probably due to the background noise level.

Raman spectroscopy was used to search for other phases not ‘visible’ using FTIR. Fig. 6 shows the Raman spectra of pure HY, hydrated MgO, hydrated MgO: HY samples with ratios of 9:1 and 7:3. All samples had been cured for 28 days. Three main peaks at 280 cm⁻¹, 440 cm⁻¹ and 1100 cm⁻¹ can be identified. The peaks at 280 cm⁻¹ and 440 cm⁻¹ observed in the hydrated MgO sample also appear in the other spectra except for the spectrum of HY. These two peaks are related to Mg(OH)₂ [27]. The peak at ~1120 cm⁻¹ is due to symmetrical stretching of the CO₃²⁻ ion, [28, 29], which is why it is much stronger in Raman spectra. However, of more interest is the formation of a broad weak peak or series of small peaks between 1050 and 1120 cm⁻¹ for MgO: HY mixes. These peaks are not seen for pure MgO and HY and are probably due to symmetrical stretching of CO₃²⁻ in other magnesium carbonate phases, which could be very poorly crystalline. Table 5 lists a number of possible phases with peaks in this range.
Fig. 7 shows SEM images of hydrated MgO and HY and fracture surfaces of pastes cured for 7 and 28 days. Fig. 7 (c, d, e and f) show that over time well-developed crystalline morphologies (plates) are formed. These are not observed either in hydrated pure MgO or in pure HY samples.

4. Discussion

The initial rate of hydration of MgO is strongly increased by HY, which suggests that HY additions provide additional growth sites for the hydrates (Mg(OH)$_2$ and probably other x-ray amorphous hydrate phases) promoting further MgO hydration [13]. It was expected that pure MgO would fully hydrate to Mg(OH)$_2$, but this was not shown by calorimetry or XRD. This incomplete hydration is probably due to a brucite layer forming on the MgO particle surface that inhibits water reaching unreacted MgO by acting as a passivation layer. However, it is expected that eventually pure MgO will fully hydrate to Mg(OH)$_2$. Of particular interest is the high initial pH of 12.4 for MgO and MgO: HY systems when mixed with water, because a pH of ~10 had been expected. The measured pH is actually close to that of a saturated portlandite (Ca(OH)$_2$) solution, leading to the conclusion that CaO impurities in the MgO and HY cause the high initial pH. The pH of the pure MgO slurry then falls slowly with time due to atmospheric carbonation to produce calcite, consistent with XRD observations of calcite in the system. When MgO is mixed with HY, the pH falls much more rapidly, which can be explained by HY containing readily soluble carbonate ions which are capable of neutralizing Ca(OH)$_2$ much more rapidly than CO$_2$ from the atmosphere. Rapid precipitation of calcite at early ages due to reaction with HY could provide another source of nucleation sites for hydration products of MgO and the formation of CaCO$_3$ may also contribute to rapid setting and compressive strength development.

After the first peak in the calorimetry data, the rate of heat evolution is significantly lower for the MgO:HY blends, and the XRD data confirm that the amount of unreacted MgO is higher in these blends at later ages than in the pure system, despite the fact that blends give far higher compressive strengths. This suggests that additional hydrates produced in the blends have a retarding effect on the later hydration of MgO. The effect might be due to surface blocking or to the formation of diffusion barriers. These additional hydrates are also presumed to be responsible for strength development.

Table 1 gives the free energies of the known crystalline phases of interest in this system. Arthinite {MgCO$_3$·Mg(OH)$_2$·3H$_2$O} is intermediate in composition between Mg(OH)$_2$ and HY and can, in principle, be formed from these two phases and water by the following reaction:

$$3\text{Mg(OH)}_2 + 4\text{MgCO}_3\cdot\text{Mg(OH)}_2\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O} + 8\text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 4(\text{MgCO}_3\cdot\text{Mg(OH)}_2\cdot3\text{H}_2\text{O}) \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldots \ldot
Artinite should form in MgO:HY blends. However, it is not detected in the XRD data. This could be due to weak XRD peaks or it could be due to a very low degree of crystallinity. Artinite has a carbonate symmetric stretching peak at 1092 cm⁻¹ (Table 5) which should be observable in both IR and Raman spectra. No such peak is visible in the FTIR spectra of MgO:HY blends (Fig. 5) but it could be too weak to detect. The Raman spectra of hydrated MgO:HY blends (Fig. 6) show a very broad peak between about 1040 and 1120 cm⁻¹ which suggests a disordered hydroxy-carbonate phase that might not be too far from artinite in composition. It thus seems possible that some kind of amorphous hydroxy-carbonate, intermediate in composition between HY and brucite, may form in MgO:HY pastes and be responsible for high compressive strengths relative to pure MgO pastes.

The SEM images in Fig. 7 neither confirm nor deny the hypothesis of a new phase in MgO:HY pastes. It is notable that quite large (~5μm) platy crystals are formed after 28 days in the 9:1 MgO:HY paste. These could be Mg(OH)₂, but they are much larger than the crystals observed in pure MgO. Moreover, the XRD peak broadening of brucite observed in the MgO:HY blends would tend to suggest smaller, rather than larger, crystals. It is therefore possible that these crystals are the new phase, in which case the poor x-ray crystallinity is due to either being very thin in the direction perpendicular to the basal plane, or possibly to some other kind of internal disorder.

5. Conclusions

Addition of hydromagnesite, (HY, Mg₅(CO₃)₄(OH)₂·4H₂O), significantly accelerates the hydration of reactive periclase (MgO) in pastes. The hydration of pure MgO gives very weak pastes despite fairly rapid formation of brucite (Mg(OH)₂), but the addition of HY at MgO at replacement dosages of 10-30% results in pastes that give significant compressive strengths, despite the absence of significant increases in the apparent degree of hydration of the MgO. Evidence from pH measurements also suggests that carbonate ions from HY can combine rapidly in solution with calcium ions released by CaO, (an impurity in the MgO source,) to precipitate small amounts of calcite at early ages. This might also contribute to an acceleration of the hardening reactions, perhaps by acting as nucleation sites.

Analyses of the hydrated pastes by XRD and FTIR techniques do not clearly show any new MgO-based phases, but there is a broad but weak Raman peak at 1120-1040 cm⁻¹ that could represent a new amorphous phase. It is thus concluded that if any new cohesive phase forms in this system, it is probably X-ray amorphous with a composition roughly intermediate between that of HY and brucite. A thermodynamic calculation suggests that artinite (MgCO₃·Mg(OH)₂·3H₂O) should form be a stable product phase during the hydration of MgO:HY blends, but the fact that it is not observed by XRD or FTIR suggests that its formation may be kinetically hindered.
The formation of an amorphous phase intermediate in composition between HY and Mg(OH)2 and water is a possible explanation for the surprisingly high strengths produced by hydrating MgO: HY blends, compared to the very low strengths produced by hydration of pure MgO. Such a phase must have a high degree of cohesion.

These results hold promise for the development of improved binders in the magnesium-hydroxy-carbonate system. Such binders have the potential to reduce carbon emissions from manufacturing construction materials, provided that MgO can be obtained from magnesium silicates by an energy-efficient process. However, there are currently many aspects of hydration and strength development in this system that remain unclear.
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Table 1: Gibbs free energies of formation from the elements in their standard states at 298K for phases of interest to this work. [7, 8]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Phase</th>
<th>chemical composition</th>
<th>$\Delta G^0_f$ [kJ mol$^{-1}$]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Periclase</td>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>-566</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon dioxide (gas)</td>
<td>CO$_2$</td>
<td>-394</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water (liquid)</td>
<td>H$_2$O</td>
<td>-237</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brucite</td>
<td>Mg(OH)$_2$</td>
<td>-835</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesite</td>
<td>MgCO$_3$</td>
<td>-1028</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesquehonite</td>
<td>MgCO$_3$·3H$_2$O</td>
<td>-1724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lansfordite</td>
<td>MgCO$_3$·5H$_2$O</td>
<td>-2200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Artinite</td>
<td>MgCO$_3$·Mg(OH)$_2$·3H$_2$O</td>
<td>-2569</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromagnesite</td>
<td>(MgCO$_3$)$_4$·Mg(OH)$_2$·4H$_2$O</td>
<td>-5865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>-1129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>CaCO$_3$</td>
<td>-1128</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Chemical composition of MgO and hydromagnesite (HY) used in this study analysed by XRF using powder samples. The non-volatile elements (i.e. excluding CO$_2$ and H$_2$O) are assumed to be present as oxides, totalling 100% on an ignited basis. Measured and expected 1000ºC ignition loss values are also given for the actual materials as used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>element</th>
<th>HY</th>
<th>MgO</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MgO</td>
<td>95.55</td>
<td>92.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>1.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>2.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NiO</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>nd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoI measured</td>
<td>55.60</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LoI expected</td>
<td>56.90</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BET surface area [m$^2$/g] n.a 30

nd = not detected
Table 3: Compressive strengths of paste samples at 7 and 28 days. Means and standard deviations are calculated from 6 replicate measurements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time [days]</th>
<th>MgO:HY ratio</th>
<th>strength [MPa]</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>strength [MPa]</th>
<th>SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>9:1</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>24.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8:2</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7:3</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Summary of the bands detected and their origins, for the FTIR spectra in Fig.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band position (cm(^{-1}))</th>
<th>origin</th>
<th>movement</th>
<th>reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>~ 600</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>[25, 26]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>800 - 880</td>
<td>CO(_3^{2-}) from HY</td>
<td>Bending vibrations</td>
<td>[23, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1120</td>
<td>CO(_3^{2-}) from HY</td>
<td>(v_1) symmetric stretching vibration</td>
<td>[23, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1420 - 1480</td>
<td>CO(_3^{2-})/ HCO(_3^{-}) from HY</td>
<td>(v_3) asymmetric stretching vibration</td>
<td>[23, 24, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1650 (shoulder)</td>
<td>H(_2)O</td>
<td>Bending vibration</td>
<td>[31, 32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3450, 3510</td>
<td>HY water of crystallisation</td>
<td></td>
<td>[23, 30]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3650</td>
<td>Mg(OH)(_2) from HY</td>
<td>Free O-H vibration</td>
<td>[23, 33]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3700</td>
<td>Mg(OH)(_2)</td>
<td>Anti-symmetrical O-H stretching vibration of lattice hydroxide</td>
<td>[22, 23]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5: Bands summary of different hydrated magnesium carbonates as well as selected calcium carbonates in the region of 1200 - 1000 cm\(^{-1}\) [28, 34, 35].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mineral name</th>
<th>chemical formula</th>
<th>Wavenumber [cm(^{-1})]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Artinite</td>
<td>Mg(_2)(OH)(_2)CO(_3)\cdot3H(_2)O</td>
<td>1092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dypingite</td>
<td>Mg(_5)(CO(_3))(_4)(OH)(_2)\cdot5H(_2)O</td>
<td>1120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dolomite</td>
<td>CaMg(CO(_3))(_2)</td>
<td>1098</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huntite</td>
<td>Mg(_2)Ca(CO(_3))(_4)</td>
<td>1123</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydromagnesite</td>
<td>Mg(_5)(CO(_3))(_4)(OH)(_2)\cdot4H(_2)O</td>
<td>1119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesite</td>
<td>MgCO(_3)</td>
<td>1094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nesquehonite</td>
<td>Mg(HCO(_3))(OH)(_2)(H(_2)O)</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcite</td>
<td>CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>1084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aragonite</td>
<td>CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>1084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaterite</td>
<td>CaCO(_3)</td>
<td>1089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>HCO(_3)</td>
<td>1017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>CO(_3)^{2-}</td>
<td>1065</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 1. Particle size distributions of the MgO and hydromagnesite (HY) used in this research.

Fig. 2. Isothermal conduction calorimetry data for the pure MgO and 9:1 MgO: HY pastes. Heat output is per kg of total sample mass.
Fig. 3. Change in solution pH over time of w/s = 3 slurries containing 100% MgO, 100% HY and 10% HY - 90% MgO mixes. Each point represents the average of two measurements.
Fig. 4. Powder XRD scans of hydrated MgO and MgO-HY pastes made at 0.62 initial w/s ratio. a) full scans of all four samples after 28 days curing; b) comparison of main periclase and brucite peaks for pure MgO pastes at 7 and 28 days; c) Comparison of the main periclase and brucite peaks for pure MgO and 9:1 MgO: HY mixture after 28 days; d) Comparison of the main periclase and brucite peaks for the 9:1 MgO: HY mixture after 7 and 28 days; e) Comparison of the main periclase and brucite peaks for the 7:3 MgO: HY mixture after 7 and 28 days. Key: B = brucite [Mg(OH)₂], C = calcite [CaCO₃], H = hydromagnesite [Mg₅(CO₃)₄(OH)₂·4H₂O], M = magnesite [MgCO₃] and P = periclase [MgO].
**Fig. 5.** FTIR spectra of pure MgO and 3:7 HY: MgO paste hydrated for 28 days, and HY as used. The peaks of interest are indicated with dotted lines and their wave number labelled.
**Fig. 6.** Raman spectra of the HY sample as used; plus the pastes of 3:7 and 9:1 HY: MgO blends, and pure MgO, hydrated for 28 days.
Fig. 7. SEM images of a) as-received MgO; b) as-received HY sample; c and d) 9:1 MgO:HY pastes after 7 days hydration; e and f) 9:1 MgO:HY pastes after 28 days hydration.