



Citation for published version:

Burstall, F 2019, 'On Conformal Gauss Maps', *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, vol. 51, no. 6, pp. 989-994. <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12293>

DOI:

[10.1112/blms.12293](https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12293)

Publication date:

2019

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Copyright © 2019 Authors(s). The final publication is available at Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society via <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12293>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

ON CONFORMAL GAUSS MAPS

F.E. BURSTALL

ABSTRACT. We characterise the maps into the space of 2-spheres in S^n that are the conformal Gauss maps of conformal immersions of a surface into S^n . In particular, we give an invariant formulation and efficient proof of a characterisation, due to Dorfmeister–Wang [4, 5], of the harmonic maps that are conformal Gauss maps of Willmore surfaces.

INTRODUCTION

A useful tool in conformal surface geometry is the *central sphere congruence* [1, §67; 10] or *conformal Gauss map* [2]. Geometrically, the central sphere congruence of a surface in the conformal n -sphere attaches to each point of the surface a 2-sphere, tangent to the surface at that point and having the same mean curvature vector as the surface at that point. The space of 2-spheres in S^n may be identified with the Grassmannian of $(3, 1)$ -planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ and so the central sphere congruence may be viewed as a map, the conformal Gauss map, to this Grassmannian.

The utility of this construction is that it links the (parabolic) conformal geometry of the sphere to the (reductive) pseudo-Riemannian geometry of the Grassmannian. For example, a surface is Willmore if and only if the conformal Gauss map is harmonic [1, §81; 2; 6; 9]. In another direction, away from umbilic points, the metric induced by the conformal Gauss map, which is in the conformal class of the surface, is invariant by conformal diffeomorphisms of S^n and even arbitrary rescalings of the ambient metric [7, 11].

The purpose of this short note is to characterise those maps into the Grassmannian which are the conformal Gauss map of a conformal immersion. In so doing, we build on a result of Dorfmeister–Wang [4, 5] which treats the case where the map is harmonic. As a by-product of our analysis, we give an invariant formulation and efficient proof of their result.

It is a pleasure to thank David Calderbank, Udo Hertrich-Jeromin and Franz Pedit for their careful reading of and helpful comments on a previous draft of this paper.

1. THE CONFORMAL GAUSS MAP

We view the conformal n -sphere S^n as the projective lightcone $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$ of $\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ [3, Livre II, Chapitre VI; 8, Chapter 1]. Here $\mathcal{L} = \{v \in \mathbb{R}_\times^{n+1,1} \mid (v, v) = 0\}$ and $(,)$ is the signature $(n+1, 1)$ inner product.

Let $f : \Sigma \rightarrow S^n = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$ be a conformal immersion of a Riemann surface into the conformal n -sphere. Equivalently, f is a null line subbundle of the trivial bundle $\underline{\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}} := \Sigma \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$.

Define $f^{1,0} \leq \underline{\mathbb{C}^{n+2}}$ by

$$f^{1,0} = \text{span}\{\sigma, d_Z \sigma \mid \sigma \in \Gamma f, Z \in T^{1,0}\Sigma\}.$$

Here the notation $U \leq V$ means U is a subbundle of V . That f is a conformal immersion is equivalent to $f^{1,0}$ being a rank 2 isotropic subbundle of $\underline{\mathbb{C}^{n+2}}$. Set $f^{0,1} := \overline{f^{1,0}}$ and note that¹ $f^{1,0} \cap f^{0,1} = f$.

The *conformal Gauss map* of f is the bundle of $(3, 1)$ -planes $V \leq \underline{\mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}}$ given by

$$V = \text{span}\{\sigma, d_Z \sigma, d_{\bar{Z}} \sigma, d_Z d_{\bar{Z}} \sigma \mid \sigma \in \Gamma f, Z \in \Gamma T^{1,0}\Sigma\}.$$

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A30 (primary), 53C43 (secondary).

¹We make no notational distinction between a real bundle and its complexification

We have a decomposition $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1,1} = V \oplus V^\perp$ which induces a decomposition of the flat connection d :

$$d = \mathcal{D} + \mathcal{N},$$

where \mathcal{D} is a metric connection preserving V and V^\perp while \mathcal{N} is a 1-form taking values in skew-endomorphisms of $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1,1}$ which *permute* V and V^\perp .

Remark. We may view V as a map from Σ into the Grassmannian of $(3,1)$ -planes in $\underline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1,1}$ and then \mathcal{N} can be identified with its differential.

The flatness of d yields the structure equations of the situation:

$$0 = R^{\mathcal{D}} + \frac{1}{2}[\mathcal{N} \wedge \mathcal{N}] \quad (1.1a)$$

$$0 = d^{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}. \quad (1.1b)$$

Here $d^{\mathcal{D}}$ is the exterior derivative on bundle-valued forms with \mathcal{D} used to differentiate coefficients.

The conformal Gauss map V is defined by the following properties:

1. $f^{0,1} \leq V$;
2. $f^{0,1} \leq \ker \mathcal{N}^{1,0}$.

Now, for Z a local section of $T^{1,0}\Sigma$, \mathcal{N}_Z is skew while $f^{0,1}$ is maximal isotropic in V so that

$$\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp = (\ker \mathcal{N}_{Z|V})^\perp \subseteq (f^{0,1})^\perp \cap V = f^{0,1} \leq \ker \mathcal{N}_{Z|V} \quad (1.2)$$

and we conclude:

Lemma 1.1 (c.f. [4, Proposition 2.2]). *If V is the conformal Gauss map of a conformal immersion then $(\mathcal{N}^{1,0})^2|_{V^\perp} = 0$.*

Following [4], we say that V with the property of Lemma 1.1 is *strongly conformal*.

The conformal Gauss map also satisfies a second order condition. First note that (1.2) tells us that

$$\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp \subseteq f^{0,1}. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, $f^{0,1}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable thanks to the following lemma which will see further use in Section 2:

Lemma 1.2. *Let $W \leq V$ be maximal isotropic in V with a never-vanishing section w such that $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}}w \in W$, for $\bar{Z} \in T^{0,1}\Sigma$. Then W is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable.*

Proof. Let $u \in \Gamma W$ be a local section so that u, w locally frame W . It suffices to show that $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}}u \in W$. However,

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}}u, w) &= -(u, \mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}}w) = 0; \\ (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}}u, u) &= \frac{1}{2}\bar{Z}(u, u) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

since W is isotropic. Thus $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}}u \in W^\perp \cap V = W$ since W is maximal isotropic in V . \square

In the case at hand, for $\sigma \in \Gamma f$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}}\sigma \in f^{0,1}$ by definition so Lemma 1.2 applies to show that $f^{0,1}$ is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable.

Now contemplate the *tension field* $\tau_V := *d^{\mathcal{D}}*\mathcal{N}$ of V . Since $*\mathcal{N} = i(\mathcal{N}^{1,0} - \mathcal{N}^{0,1})$, (1.1b) yields

$$\tau_V = 2i * d^{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}^{1,0} = -2i * d^{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{N}^{0,1}.$$

In view of the last paragraph, $\tau_V V^\perp$ takes values in $f^{0,1}$ since $*d^{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{N}^{1,0}V^\perp$ does. However, τ_V is real so that $\tau_V V^\perp$ takes values in $f^{0,1} \cap f^{1,0} = f$:

$$\tau_V V^\perp \subseteq f. \quad (1.4)$$

In particular, since f is a null line subbundle on which \mathcal{N} vanishes, we conclude:

Proposition 1.3. *If V is the conformal Gauss map of a conformal immersion with tension field τ_V . Then:*

$$\mathcal{N} \circ \tau_V|_{V^\perp} = 0 \quad (1.5a)$$

$$(\tau_V)^2|_{V^\perp} = 0. \quad (1.5b)$$

This line of argument additionally give us some control on the rank of $\mathcal{N} : T\Sigma \otimes V^\perp \rightarrow V$:

Lemma 1.4. *Let V be the conformal Gauss map of a conformal immersion f , then the set*

$$A := \{p \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp = \mathcal{N}_{\bar{Z}} V^\perp \neq \{0\}, Z \in T_p \Sigma\}$$

is nowhere dense.

Proof. Any open set in the closure of A must contain an open set where $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp = \mathcal{N}_{\bar{Z}} V^\perp \neq \{0\}$. On this latter set, we immediately see from (1.3) that $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp = f$. Since $\tau_V V^\perp \leq f$ also, by (1.4), we rapidly conclude (c.f. Lemma 2.2 below) that f is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable and so \mathcal{D} -stable. Since $\mathcal{N}f = 0$ also, f is constant: a contradiction. \square

In the next section, we will establish a generic converse to these results.

2. RECONSTRUCTION OF f FROM V

Suppose now that we have a bundle $V \leq \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1,1}$ of $(3,1)$ -planes and ask whether V is the conformal Gauss map of some conformal immersion f . Our task is then to construct $f \leq V$ but, in fact, it will be more convenient to construct $f^{0,1}$:

Proposition 2.1. *Let $W \leq V$ be a maximal isotropic subbundle of V such that:*

1. W is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable;
2. $\mathcal{N}^{1,0}W = 0$, or, equivalently (c.f. (1.2)), $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp \subseteq W$, for all $Z \in T^{1,0}\Sigma$.

Then $f := W \cap \overline{W}$ is a real, null, line subbundle which, on the open set where it immerses, is conformal with $W = f^{0,1}$ and conformal Gauss map V .

Proof. Since V has signature $(3,1)$, W and \overline{W} must intersect in a line bundle, necessarily null and real. Since f is real, $f \leq \ker \mathcal{N}^{1,0} \cap \ker \mathcal{N}^{0,1}$ so that, for $\sigma \in \Gamma f$, $\bar{Z} \in T^{0,1}\Sigma$,

$$d_{\bar{Z}} \sigma = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} \sigma + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{Z}} \sigma = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} \sigma \in W,$$

since $f \leq W$ and W is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable. Thus $W = f^{0,1}$ on the set where f immerses. We conclude that, on that set, f is conformal, since $f^{0,1}$ is isotropic and V is the conformal Gauss map of f since $f^{0,1} \leq \ker \mathcal{N}^{1,0}$. \square

For our main result, we need the following simple observation:

Lemma 2.2. *Let $V \leq \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1,1}$ be a bundle of $(3,1)$ -planes with tension field τ_V . Let $w = \mathcal{N}_Z \nu$, for $\nu \in \Gamma V^\perp$ and $Z \in T\Sigma$. Then $\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} w \in \mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp + \tau_V V^\perp$.*

Proof. For suitable $Z \in T^{1,0}\Sigma$, $\tau_V = \mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} \mathcal{N}_Z - \mathcal{N}_{[\bar{Z}, Z]}^{1,0}$ so that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} w &= \mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} (\mathcal{N}_Z \nu) = (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} \mathcal{N}_Z) \nu + \mathcal{N}_Z (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} \nu) \\ &= \tau_V \nu + \mathcal{N}_{[\bar{Z}, Z]}^{1,0} \nu + \mathcal{N}_Z (\mathcal{D}_{\bar{Z}} \nu) \in \mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp + \tau_V V^\perp. \end{aligned}$$

\square

With all this in hand, we have:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $V \leq \underline{\mathbb{R}}^{n+1,1}$ be a bundle of $(3,1)$ -planes with tension field τ_V . Suppose that:*

1. V is strongly conformal.
2. Equations (1.5) hold.

3. $\{p \in \Sigma \mid \mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp = \mathcal{N}_{\bar{Z}} V^\perp \neq \{0\}, Z \in T_p \Sigma\}$ is empty.

Set $U := \mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp + \tau_V V^\perp$ and restrict attention to the open dense subset of Σ where U has fibres of locally constant dimension and so is a vector bundle.

Then $\text{rank } U \leq 2$ and we have:

- (a) Where $\text{rank } U = 2$, there is a unique real, null line subbundle $f \leq V$ which, where it immerses, is a conformal immersion with conformal Gauss map V .
- (b) Where $\text{rank } U = 1$, there are exactly two real, null line subbundles $f, \hat{f} \leq V$, which, where they immerse, are conformal immersions with conformal Gauss map V . In this case, V is harmonic and f, \hat{f} are a dual pair of Willmore, thus S -Willmore [6], surfaces.
- (c) Where $\text{rank } U = 0$, V is constant and there are infinitely many real, null line subbundles $f \leq V$ defining conformal immersions with conformal Gauss map V .

Proof. First note that hypotheses 1 and 2 amount to the assertion that $U \leq V$ is isotropic so that $\text{rank } U \leq 2$.

We now consider each possibility for $\text{rank } U$ in turn.

First suppose that $\text{rank } U = 2$. Then U is maximal isotropic in V and is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable by Lemma 1.2 in view of Lemma 2.2. By construction $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp \subseteq U$ so that we may take $U = W$ in Proposition 2.1 to learn that V is the conformal Gauss map of $f = U \cap \bar{U}$ where the latter immerses.

Now suppose that $\text{rank } U = 1$. We claim that $U = \mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp$: first this holds on a dense open set Ω , (if $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp$ vanishes on an open set, so does τ_V) so that, by hypothesis 3, we have $U \cap \bar{U} = \{0\}$ on Ω . Since τ_V is real, we must have $\tau_V = 0$ on Ω and hence everywhere so that the claim follows and V is a harmonic map. It is now immediate that U is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable. By hypothesis 3, we have that $U \cap \bar{U} = \{0\}$ everywhere so that there are exactly two real, null line subbundles $f_1, f_2 \leq V$ orthogonal to $U \oplus \bar{U}$ and we set $W_i = f_i \oplus U$, $i = 1, 2$. Lemma 1.2, applied to a section w of U assures us that each W_i is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable so that Proposition 2.1 gives that each f_i is conformal where it immerses with conformal Gauss map V . In this case, the f_i are dual Willmore surfaces.

Finally, if $\mathcal{N}^{1,0} = 0$ then \mathcal{N} vanishes also so that V is \mathcal{d} -stable and so constant. Thus $S^2 := \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L} \cap V)$ is a conformal 2-sphere and any conformal immersion $f : \Sigma \rightarrow S^2$ (in particular, any meromorphic function on Σ , off its branch locus) has V as conformal Gauss map. \square

Remarks.

1. The caveat that f immerse is not vacuous: one can readily construct V satisfying the hypotheses of Theorem 2.3 for which f we find is constant. Indeed, given constant $f \in \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{L})$, let $W \leq \mathbb{C}^{n+2}$ be a non-constant rank 2 isotropic subbundle containing f with \bar{W} holomorphic with respect to the trivial holomorphic structure of \mathbb{C}^{n+2} and choose V^\perp to be a complement to $W + \bar{W}$ in f^\perp . Then it is not difficult to show that W is $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -stable and $\mathcal{N}^{1,0} W = \{0\}$.
2. For strongly conformal V , equations (1.5) are not independent. Indeed, when $\text{rank } \mathcal{N}^{1,0}|_{V^\perp} = 2$, $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp$ is maximal isotropic in V so that (1.5a) forces $\tau_V V^\perp \leq \mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp$. Thus $\tau_V V^\perp$ is isotropic and (1.5b) holds. Again, when $\text{rank } \mathcal{N}^{1,0}|_{V^\perp} = 1$, it is easy to see that (1.5a) holds automatically.

In the interesting case of harmonic V (so that $\tau_V = 0$), matters simplify considerably. Here, of course, hypothesis 2 of Theorem 2.3 is vacuous. Moreover, $\mathcal{N}^{1,0}$ is a holomorphic 1-form with respect to the Koszul–Malgrange holomorphic structure of \mathbb{C}^{n+2} with $\bar{\partial}$ -operator $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$. It follows that $\mathcal{N}_Z|_{V^\perp}$ has constant rank off a divisor and, moreover, that there is a $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -holomorphic subbundle of \mathbb{C}^{n+2} that coincides with $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp$ away from that divisor. In this setting, we conclude with Dorfmeister–Wang:

Corollary 2.4 (c.f. [4, Theorem 3.11; 5, Theorem 3.11]). *Let $V \leq \mathbb{R}^{n+1,1}$ be a strongly conformal harmonic bundle of $(3,1)$ -planes.*

Let $U \leq V$ be the $\mathcal{D}^{0,1}$ -holomorphic, isotropic bundle that coincides with $\mathcal{N}_Z V^\perp$ off a divisor.

- (a) if $\text{rank } U = 2$, there is a unique real, null line subbundle $f \leq V$ which, where it immerses, is a Willmore, non S -Willmore, surface with conformal Gauss map V .
- (b) if $\text{rank } U = 1$ and $U \cap \bar{U} = \{0\}$, there are exactly two real, null line subbundles $f, \hat{f} \leq V$, which, where they immerse, are a dual pair of S -Willmore surfaces.

Remark. In the notation of Dorfmeister–Wang [4, 5], after a gauge transformation that renders V, V^\perp constant, $\mathcal{N}^{1,0}$ is represented by the matrix B_1 .

REFERENCES

- [1] W. Blaschke, *Vorlesungen über Differentialgeometrie III*, Grundlehren Math., vol. 29, Springer, Berlin, 1929 (German).
- [2] R. L. Bryant, *A duality theorem for Willmore surfaces*, J. Differential Geom. **20** (1984), no. 1, 23–53. MR772125 (86j:58029)
- [3] G. Darboux, *Leçons sur la théorie générale des surfaces et les applications géométriques du calcul infinitésimal. Première partie*, Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, N. Y., 1972 (French). MR0396211
- [4] J. F. Dorfmeister and P. Wang, *Willmore surfaces in spheres via loop groups I: generic cases and some examples* (2013), available at [arXiv:1301.2756](https://arxiv.org/abs/1301.2756).
- [5] ———, *Weierstrass–Kenmotsu representation of Willmore surfaces in spheres* (2019), available at [arXiv:1901.08395](https://arxiv.org/abs/1901.08395).
- [6] N. Ejiri, *Willmore surfaces with a duality in $S^N(1)$* , Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **57** (1988), no. 2, 383–416. MR950596
- [7] A. Fialkow, *Conformal differential geometry of a subspace*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **56** (1944), 309–433. MR0011023
- [8] U. Hertrich-Jeromin, *Introduction to Möbius differential geometry*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series, vol. 300, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2003. MR2004958
- [9] M. Rigoli, *The conformal Gauss map of submanifolds of the Möbius space*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **5** (1987), no. 2, 97–116. MR944775 (89e:53083)
- [10] G. Thomsen, *Grundlagen der konformen Flächentheorie*, Abh. Math. Sem. Univ. Hamburg **3** (1924), no. 1, 31–56 (German). MR3069418
- [11] C. Wang, *Möbius geometry of submanifolds in S^n* , Manuscripta Math. **96** (1998), no. 4, 517–534. MR1639852

E-mail address: feb@maths.bath.ac.uk

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, UK.