Citation for published version:

Bew, SP, Burrows, AD, Düren, T, Mahon, MF, Moghadam, PZ, Sebestyen, VM & Thurston, S 2012, 'Calix[4]arene-based metal-organic frameworks: Towards hierarchically porous materials', *Chemical Communications*, vol. 48, no. 40, pp. 4824-4826. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc30988b>

DOI:

[10.1039/c2cc30988b](https://doi.org/10.1039/c2cc30988b)

Publication date:

2012

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/xxxxxx

ARTICLE TYPE

Calix[4]arene-based metal-organic frameworks: towards hierarchically porous materials†

Sean P. Bew,^{*a} Andrew D. Burrows,^{*b} Tina Düren,^{*c} Mary F. Mahon,^b Peyman Z. Moghadam,^c Viorica M. Sebestyen^b and Sean Thurston^a⁵ Received (in XXX, XXX) Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXXX 20XX

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

An upper rim-functionalised calix[4]arene dicarboxylic acid (H₂caldc) has been used to prepare four metal-organic frameworks, three of which have been structurally characterised and shown to form two- or three-dimensional network structures. Simulations suggest that such networks are likely to display interesting selectivity to guest molecules.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) are currently attracting high levels of attention in inorganic and materials chemistry.¹ Many MOFs are porous solids on removal of solvent from the as-synthesised materials, and as such they have a wide range of potential applications² including hydrogen storage,³ catalysis⁴ and drug delivery.⁵

Calix[4]arenes are macrocyclic structures capable of forming hydrophobic cavities that bind apolar guests.⁶ In the solid-state calix[4]arenes can act as porous entities allowing gases⁷ or solvents⁸ to be adsorbed. The formation of MOFs with calix[4]arene-based ligands opens up the possibility of forming hierarchically-porous materials, with *two levels* of porosity associated with both the *ligand* and the *structural framework*.

Extended structures containing calixarenes have started to attract attention. Upper-rim *p*-sulfonated calix[4]arenes⁹ and calix[6]arenes¹⁰ have been used to prepare coordination networks, as have lower-rim appended calix[4]arenes containing pyridine groups.¹¹ Similarly, calixarene analogues with sulfur-bridged aryl groups also form coordination networks.¹² Carboxylates are the most commonly used groups for constructing MOFs, but interestingly there are very few reports of metal complexes of calixarene-based polycarboxylates. Brechin and Dalgarno *et al* reported that dimeric upper-rim *p*-carboxylatocalix[4]arenes self-assemble into infinite hydrogen-bonded nanotubes or bilayers, depending on the metal,¹³ whereas *p*-carboxylatocalix[4]arene-O-methyl ethers generate nanocapsules.¹⁴ Huang and Che *et al* reported that lower-rim functionalised calix[4]arenes form three-dimensional networks with cobalt(II), with the metal centres linking the ligands into a non-interpenetrated (10,3)a net.¹⁵

In this communication, we demonstrate how the upper-rim appended 1,3-dicarboxylic acid H₂caldc¹⁶ can be used to prepare MOFs containing copper, zinc, cobalt and cadmium. The compounds [Cu₂(caldc)₂(DMF)₂] **1**, [Zn₂(caldc)₂(DMF)₂] **2**, [Cd₂(caldc)₂(DMF)₂]·3DMF **3** and [Co₅(caldc)₄(OH)₂(H₂O)₄]·4DMF **4** were prepared from the reactions of the appropriate metal(II) salts with H₂caldc in DMF

under solvothermal conditions. Compounds **1**, **3** and **4** were characterised by single crystal X-ray crystallography, whereas **2** was shown by powder X-ray diffraction to be isomorphous with **1**.

The structure of **1** consists of Cu₂(O₂CR)₄ secondary building units (SBUs) that are linked by the dicarboxylates into sheets as shown in Figure 1a. The sheets have a (4,4) topology, though the curvature present within the caldc dianion gives each pore an hourglass shape. The copper 'paddle-wheel' dimers are also coordinated to DMF molecules, so there are no strong interactions between the sheets, which stack in a staggered manner, as shown in Figure 1b. This means that the structure does not contain significant channels.

The structure of **3** contains square Cd₄(O₂CR)₈ SBUs, in which two carboxylates bridge each edge of the square in a bridging chelating (κ¹O,κ²O,O'-) coordination mode, as shown in Figure 2a. The SBUs are connected into (4,4) sheets in the *bc* plane, shown in Figure 2b, but unlike in **1**, these are connected into a three-dimensional network by further caldc dianions, which pairwise link the SBUs into chains along the *a* axis, as depicted in Figure 2c.

The structure of **4** contains infinite SBUs, running along the *a* axis. These SBUs consist of Co₄(μ₃-OH)₂(O₂CR)₆ units, that are linked together via single cobalt centres into chains, as shown in Figure 3a. Each of the single cobalt centres is coordinated to two terminal aqua ligands, with the Co₄ and Co tectons bridged by a carboxylate and an aqua ligand. Although the hydrogen atoms on the hydroxides and aqua ligands were not observed in the crystal structure, the identification of these groups is unambiguous, based on bond length analysis and charge balance. There are two independent caldc dianions within the structure of **4**, and these play different roles. One is solely coordinated to the cobalt centres within a chain, with two of these ligands coordinated to each Co₄(μ₃-OH)₂ unit via both carboxylates, as shown in Figure 3b. The second independent caldc ligand connects the chains into sheets, as shown in Figure 3c. There are no strong interactions

Figure 1. The structure of $[\text{Cu}_2(\text{calcd})_2(\text{DMF})_2]$ **1**, showing (a) part of one of the (4,4) sheets, and (b) the manner in which the sheets stack together.

Figure 2. The structure of $[\text{Cd}_2(\text{calcd})_2(\text{DMF})_2] \cdot 3\text{DMF}$ **3** showing (a) the $\text{Cd}_4(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_8$ SBU, (b) the (4,4) sheets present in the bc plane, and (c) the chains running along the a axis that bridge the sheets into a three-dimensional network.

between the sheets. Although this appears to be the first observation of $\text{Co}_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_6$ units in an extended structure, a similar core has been observed in the structure of $[\text{Co}_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CBu})_6(\text{C}_4\text{H}_6\text{N}_2\text{O})_4]$.¹⁷

In the structures of **1**, **3** and **4**, the calcd dianion adopts a *pinched cone* conformation, which is similar to that observed in the free acid.¹⁶ In **1**, the angle between the planes of the phenyl rings in the calixarene is 26° , whereas that between the carboxyphenyl rings is 120° . In **3**, there are two crystallographically independent calcd ligands. For the one connecting the SBUs into sheets, the angle between the planes of the phenyl rings in the calixarene is 13° , whereas that between the carboxyphenyl rings is 105° . For the calcd ligands connecting the sheets into the three-dimensional structure, the conformation is closer to that of a regular cone, with the angle between the planes of the phenyl rings in the calixarene 26° , and the angle between the carboxyphenyl rings 76° . Both independent calcd dianions in **4** adopt *pinched cone* conformations, though they differ in orientation. Coordination of both carboxylate groups to the same chain ensures that this ligand has the angle between the carboxyphenyl rings much lower (22°) than that of the phenyl rings (101°). For the ligand bridging the chains, it is the phenyl rings that are almost parallel, with an angle between them of 9° whereas the carboxyphenyl rings are more splayed (101°).

The (4,4) sheets present in the structure of **1** with hourglass-shaped pores are reminiscent of those in the structures of MOFs containing the camphorate (cam) linker, such as $[\text{Cd}_2(\text{cam})_2]$ ¹⁸ and $[\text{Zn}_2(\text{cam})_2(\text{dabco})]$.¹⁹ This can be explained on the basis of the similar angular angles between the carboxylate groups in the two ligands – approximately 120° for both camphorate and the

conformation adopted by calcd in **1**. The calixarene backbone ensures that calcd is a more flexible ligand than camphorate, as witnessed by the differences in conformations in the structures of **3** and **4**.

Simulations show that the accessible surface areas for these structures are relatively modest, with a N_2 probe molecule giving calculated values of $190 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for **1**, $410 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for **3** and $441 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$ for **4**. The experimental values obtained for **1**, **3** and **4** are lower than these calculated values, and in combination with the TGA and PXRD data suggest that it is difficult to remove the coordinate DMF molecules without some degree of framework collapse and concomitant loss of porosity. To assess whether incorporating porosity in the bowls of the calcd linkers leads – at least in principle – to interesting adsorption behaviour, we carried out molecular simulation of methane and hydrogen adsorption in **1**, **3**, and **4** with all solvent molecules removed. The bowl of the calcd ligand is not accessible to methane and only in **3** accessible to hydrogen, as shown in Figure 4. This is consistent with the structural data that shows only **3** has a relatively open

Figure 3. The structure of $[\text{Co}_5(\text{caldc})_4(\text{OH})_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4] \cdot 4\text{DMF}$ **4** showing (a) part of the infinite chains formed by alternating $\text{Co}_4(\mu_3\text{-OH})_2(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_6$ and $\text{Co}(\text{H}_2\text{O})_4(\text{O}_2\text{CR})_2$ units, (b) coordination of caldc dianions to the SBU, and (c) caldc dianions bridging the SBUs into a two-dimensional network.

Figure 4. Simulated hydrogen adsorption for desolvated $[\text{Cd}_2(\text{caldc})_2(\text{DMF})_2] \cdot 3\text{DMF}$ **3**, showing adsorption into the calix[4]arene bowl.

conformation of the calix[4]arene bowl. Molecular simulation of adsorption of equimolar H_2/CH_4 mixtures at 300 K in **1**, **3**, and **4** show that all three structures exhibit very similar selectivities varying between 120 and 150 at 0.1 bar to between 40 and 50 at 20 bar. These selectivities are higher than observed in many other MOFs by molecular simulation (*e.g.* $\text{Zn}_4\text{O}(\text{bdc})_3$ (IRMOF-1, $\text{bdc} = 1,4\text{-benzenedicarboxylate}$): ~ 5 ,²⁰ $\text{Cu}_3(\text{btc})_2$ (HKUST-1, $\text{btc} = 1,3,5\text{-benzenetricarboxylate}$): $\sim 18 - 12$,²⁰ $\text{Zn}(\text{imidazolate})_2$ (ZIF-3): $\sim 31 - 25$,²¹) and are in the same order of magnitude of what is observed in $\text{Zn}(\text{tbip})$ ($\text{tbip} = 5\text{-tert-butylisophthalate}$) where the selectivity varies between 200 and 125.²² Here, as in $\text{Zn}(\text{tbip})$, the high selectivities are mainly due to the small size of the pores.

The observation that the calix[4]arene bowl in **3** is accessible to some but not all components of a mixture could in principle be exploited for separations.

Conclusions

Four metal-organic frameworks based on an upper-rim functionalised calixarene dicarboxylate have been prepared and characterised. Although to date it has not been possible to activate these MOFs by removing the coordinated solvent molecules, simulations suggest that these MOFs will display interesting selectivity in their gas adsorption behaviour. Future work will concentrate on changing the solvent system, adding

neutral bridging co-ligands in order to prevent the formation of structures with coordinated DMF ligands and using more rigid calixarene ligands.

The EPSRC are thanked for financial support.

Notes and references

- ^a School of Chemistry, University of East Anglia, Norwich Research Park, Norwich NR4 7TJ, UK; E-mail: s.bew@uea.ac.uk
- ^b Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Claverton Down, Bath BA2 7AY, UK; E-mail: a.d.burrows@bath.ac.uk
- ^c Institute for Materials and Processes, School of Engineering, The University of Edinburgh, King's Buildings, Mayfield Road, Edinburgh, EH9 3JL, UK; E-mail: tina.duren@ed.ac.uk
- † Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: synthetic details for **1-4**, crystal structure information for **1**, **3** and **4**, simulated gas sorption data. See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/
1. C. Janiak and J. K. Vieth, *New J. Chem.*, 2010, **34**, 2366.
2. A. U. Czaja, N. Trukhan and U. Müller, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1284.
3. L. J. Murray, M. Dinca and J. R. Long, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1294.
4. A. Corma, H. García and F. X. Llabrés i Xamena, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4606.
5. A. C. McKinlay, R. E. Morris, P. Horcajada, G. Férey, R. Gref, P. Couvreur and C. Serre, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 6260.
6. C. D. Gutsche, *Calixarenes: Monographs in Supramolecular Chemistry*, The Royal Society of Chemistry, Cambridge, 1989.
7. J. L. Atwood, L. J. Barbour and A. Jerga, *Science*, 2002, **296**, 2367; G. S. Ananchenko, I. L. Moudrakovski, A. W. Coleman and J. A. Ripmeester, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 5616.
8. O. V. Surov, N. Z. Mamardashvili, G. P. Shaposhnikov and O. I. Koifman, *Russ. J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2007, **81**, 1936.
9. S. J. Dalgarno, M. J. Hardie and C. L. Raston, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2004, **4**, 227; W. Liao, C. Liu, X. Wang, G. Zhu, X. Zhao and H. Zhang, *CrystEngComm*, 2009, **11**, 2282.
10. S. J. Dalgarno, M. J. Hardie, J. L. Atwood and C. L. Raston, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 6351; Y. Liu, W. Liao, Y. Bi, X. Wang and H. Zhang, *Cryst. Growth Des.*, 2009, **9**, 5311.
11. J. Olguín, A. Castillo, V. Gómez-Vidales, S. Hernández-Ortega, R. A. Toscano, E. Muñoz and I. Castillo, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2009, **21**, 502.
12. B. Chen, D. Yuan, M. Wu, F. Jiang and M. Hong, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2009, **635**, 1669.
13. S. Kennedy, G. Karotsis, C. M. Beavers, S. J. Teat, E. K. Brechin and S. J. Dalgarno, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4205.
14. S. Kennedy, S. J. Teat and S. J. Dalgarno, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 384.
15. Y.-J. Liu, J.-S. Huang, S. S.-Y. Chui, C.-H. Li, J.-L. Zuo, N. Zhu and C.-M. Che, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 11514.
16. H. Miyaji, M. Dudic, J. H. R. Tucker, I. Prokes, M. E. Light, T. Gelbrich, M. B. Hursthouse, I. Stibor, P. Lhoták and L. Barmmer, *Supramol. Chem.*, 2003, **15**, 385.
17. S. V. Gorbunov, T. O. Denisova, E. V. Amel'chenkova and S. E. Nefedov, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **51**, 1581.
18. J. Zhang and X. Bu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2008, 444.
19. D. N. Dybtsev, M. P. Yutkin, E. V. Peresypkina, A. V. Virovets, C. Serre, G. Férey and V. P. Fedin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, **46**, 6843.
20. Q. Y. Yang and C. L. Zhong, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 17776.
21. S. Keskin, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 800.
22. J. Liu and J. K. Johnson, *J. Low Temp. Phys.*, 2009, **157**, 268.