What are the barriers to the SHAI being completed within a CFS/ME service?

Abstract

**Background:** Chronic Fatigue Syndrome/Myalgic Encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME) is a debilitating condition, characterised by unexplained and excessive fatigue, muscle pain and sleep disturbances. Health anxiety is common in CFS/ME and accurate measurement is essential in facilitating therapeutic gains. However, there are clinical concerns over the utility of the Short Health Anxiety Inventory (SHAI) in measuring health anxiety in this population.

**Aims:** This study aims to use qualitative responses from two ex-service users and specialist health clinicians to explore the barriers to completing the SHAI within a specialist CFS/ME service.

**Method:** Qualitative responses from a focus group comprising of 15 specialist health professionals including occupational therapists, physiotherapists, dieticians, cognitive behavioural therapists, counsellors, clinical psychologists and assistant psychologists were transcribed and analysed for themes. Patient voices were represented by two former service users through individual semi-structured interviews on the telephone, which were recorded, transcribed and later analysed thematically.

**Results:** Clinicians and service user involvement agreed on core difficulties with the utility of the SHAI in the CFS/ME population. The timing of the SHAI being administered pre-diagnosis, the language of the SHAI and lack of context around the questionnaire were identified as barriers that were likely to be contributing to the SHAI not being completed by service users.

**Conclusion:** Sensitive and accurate measurement is required in order to retain patient engagement, which could further facilitate appropriate assessment and treatment of health anxiety and CFS/ME. As such, findings suggest that adaption of the SHAI is vital for use with CFS/ME.
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**Key Learning Aims:**

- Understanding the different barriers to completing the SHAI in a CFS/ME Service
- Understanding the implications of administering the SHAI to CFS/ME Service Users
- Learning from multi-disciplinary CFS/ME health professionals about perceived difficulties in administering the SHAI

Chronic Fatigue Syndrome/Myalgic Encephalomyelitis (CFS/ME) is a debilitating condition that contributes to lower quality of life and is characterised by unexplained, ongoing and excessive fatigue that is not alleviated by rest (NICE, 2007). Other common symptoms include joint pain, sleep disturbances and cognitive difficulties (NICE, 2007). Prevalence rates are estimated at 0.17-2.07% and depression and comorbid anxiety disorders are common (Johnston, Brenu, Staines, & Marshall-Gradisnik, 2013; Cella, White, Sharpe, & Chalder, 2013). This is reflected in the great economic impact of CFS/ME on employment and productivity in the United Kingdom, that is estimated to be over £102 million a year (Collin, Crawley, May, Sterne & Hollingworth, 2011). However, the aetiology of CFS/ME remains poorly understood.

It has been reported that health anxiety is common in those living with CFS/ME (Daniels, Brigden, & Kacorova, 2017). Health anxiety is characterised by a preoccupation
with health concerns and misinterpretation of bodily sensations as more threatening and serious than they actually are (Abramowitz, Olatunji, & Deacon 2007). Daniels et al. (2017) suggest that patients with CFS/ME may be more predisposed to developing health anxiety due to the complex and heterogeneous nature of the condition; CFS/ME bears a significant physical impact and a range of worrying symptoms. When considering the heterogeneity, uncertain prognosis, lack of understanding and confidence among health professionals (Daniels, Parker and Salkovskis, 2020) it seems logical that patients with this condition worry about their health. Health anxiety in those with CFS/ME has been found to be significantly higher than that of other medical conditions and similar to the levels found in chronic pain (Daniels et al., 2020); two studies have reported rates of around 42% in clinical samples (Daniels et al. 2017; Daniels et al. 2020).

Health anxiety is a relatively new concept to be explored within the field of CFS/ME. Yet, pragmatic evidence from case studies suggests that treatment using a CBT-based health anxiety model can benefit those with CFS/ME who are health anxious, both in terms of their physical and mental health (Daniels & Loades, 2016; Daniels et al., 2020). Daniels & Loades (2016) suggest that there is a high degree of overlap between the symptomatic presentation, and by targeting overlapping characteristics, there is likely to be benefit to both conditions.

Current NICE guidelines recommend treating associated comorbid anxiety, depression or mood disorders in individuals with CFS/ME (NICE, 2007). However, only small to moderate improvements in functional impairment, anxiety, depression and fatigue have been found following CBT interventions (Castell, Kazantzis, & Moss-Morris, 2011). Large effect sizes were reported by Daniels et al. (2020), however this was a small uncontrolled sample and specifically targeted health anxiety, despite also seeing treatment effects for anxiety and depression. Based on data from replicated studies, health anxiety is common in CFS/ME and is associated with higher symptom severity and elevated psychological distress (Daniels, Parker and Salkovskis, 2020). It is therefore essential that health anxiety is identified and treated.

The Short Health Anxiety Inventory (SHAI; Salkovskis et al., 2002) is a short form measure designed to screen for clinical levels of health anxiety. It is derived from the clinical cognitive behavioural model of health anxiety and is frequently used in clinical practice and in intervention and epidemiological literature (Alberts, Hadjistavropoulos, Jones, & Sharpe, 2013). The SHAI has been found to be reliable for use in CFS/ME (Daniels et al. 2017; Daniels et al. 2010); however, there are clinical and practical concerns of its acceptability and accessibility to those with CFS/ME. Earlier research has indicated negative views towards the HAI on the part of the clinician (Daniels, Parker and Salkovskis, 2020) which has influenced recruitment to a treatment trial for ME/CFS, and also more directly the patient, where it has been suggested that the content and phrasing of the questionnaire is inflammatory resulting in non-completion. Daniels et al., (2020) reported that a proportion of clinicians and patients expressed scepticism over the SHAI, although this was not formally captured. It was reported that this may have influenced recruitment to the treatment trial; scepticism relating to the SHAI may have subjected recruitment to selective bias from clinicians with positive/neutral views of the SHAI, leaving opportunity for inadvertent sampling bias of psychologically receptive participants only (Daniels, Parker & Salkovskis, 2020). Such concerns indicate that there may be key barriers to the assessment and treatment of CFS/ME due to characteristics associated with the SHAI. The aim of this study was to explore in more detail the potential
barriers to the SHAI being completed within a specialist CFS/ME clinic, using qualitative responses from a range of CFS/ME clinicians and service user involvement in order make recommendations for future use and development of the SHAI.

**Methods**

**Design and setting**

This study adopted a qualitative design, using a focus group approach, and individual telephone interviews to generate themes pertaining to the study aims.

**Participants**

**The service**

The CFS/ME service from which the sample was taken in the present study comprised of multi-disciplinary specialist health professionals, including occupational therapists, physiotherapists, dieticians, cognitive behavioural therapists, counsellors, clinical psychologists and assistant psychologists. The service delivers a range of evidence-based interventions in individual and group formats.

Following a referral into the service, patients are sent an appointment letter and several questionnaires to complete ahead of their assessment. The Health Anxiety Inventory (SHAI; Salkovskis, Rimes, Warwick & Clark, 2002) formed part of the pre-assessment questionnaires, alongside other questionnaires from the UK CFS/ME National Outcomes Database.

**Former Service Users**

Two former service users who had received treatment at the specialist CFS/ME service were recruited to participate in the study. One former service-user was already associated with the broader programme of research (see Daniels et al., 2020) in a public and patient involvement (PPI) role, the other was a service-user identified by the CFS service who regularly advised in a PPI related role.

**Measures**

*Short Health Anxiety Inventory (SHAI; Salkovskis et al., 2002)*

The SHAI is an 18-item questionnaire that measures cognitive factors associated with health anxiety on a four-point scale. The 18-item measure is a short-form of the original health anxiety inventory (Salkovskis et al., 2002). Items assess awareness of bodily sensations, worries over health and feelings of fear associated with having an illness and are summed to produce a total score, with a cut off of ≥18 to indicate clinical levels of distress. The SHAI has demonstrated good reliability and validity across samples with pain, long-term health conditions (Alberts et al., 2013) and CFS/ME (Daniels et al. 2017; Daniels et al., 2020.)

**Procedure**

*Focus Group Discussion with CFS/ME Clinicians*
Fifteen clinicians (four occupational therapists, three physiotherapists, four clinical psychologists, a dietician, assistant psychologist, a cognitive behavioural therapist and councilor) from the CFS/ME service participated in an hour-long discussion about their experiences of using the SHAI using semi-structured questions related to (a) how the SHAI was used in the service and (b) what, if any, barriers were perceived to completing the measure. All clinicians who were invited to the focus group participated. The discussion was very inclusive, all members of the focus group contributed on more than one occasion and the conversation was very fluid and cooperative. The discussion was audio recorded and later transcribed.

**Telephone Consultations with Former Service Users**

For this study, the patient voice was represented by two former service users. They were interviewed individually over the telephone for 30-45 minutes. During this call, the SHAI was discussed line by line and participants were consulted on their views on why the SHAI was not being completed and what could be getting in the way. The telephone call was audio recorded, transcribed and later analysed.

**Analysis**

**Focus Group with CFS/ME Clinicians**

Once transcribed, the data was analysed using Braun & Clarke (2006)’s six phases of analysis. Data was initially coded, with themes then drawn and reviewed. Initial codes were generated by systematically coding interesting features throughout the transcripts. Codes were then gathered into potential themes and reviewed across the entire data set. Themes were reviewed by the authors, refining the specifics of each theme to generate clear definitions and names. Inter-rater reliability was not calculated. The themes were defined and named and weaved together to offer a narrative of the emerging themes from the focus group.

**Telephone Consultations with Former Service Users**

Themes emerging from the telephone calls with two former service users were further transcribed analysed using thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006). A similar process of coding, reviewing and refining was followed as above. Care was taken to not simply fit the data into pre-existing codes.

**Results**

Results from the focus group with 15 clinicians from the specialist CFS/ME service are discussed below; results from telephone consultations with former service users are discussed thereafter.

**Results from Clinician Focus Group**

Discussion over the use and barriers to completion of the SHAI produced salient themes relating to timing, phrasing and language, demand characteristics and response bias, context
and negative past experiences. An item-by-item breakdown of pertinent comments relating the SHAI can be found in Table 1 (supplemented).

**Timing**

The theme of the timing of administration of the SHAI as a barrier to its completion was highlighted by clinicians. In particular, early administration was thought to hamper patient engagement. For example, it was suggested that if the SHAI is given to patients before they have had the opportunity to discuss their CFS/ME symptoms, it may lead to them misinterpreting the purpose of the SHAI. There was a sense of agreement that it would be helpful to screen for health anxiety among patients in the service, but not at the point of assessment. This is reflected in the quote below:

“People have just not understood how to answer these because people often don’t have a diagnosis so they have been going to their GP for all these unexplained symptoms and naturally you’re going to be worried about what is wrong with you, you want to find out what is wrong with you. So there’s something odd about that, how you apply those questions at that time as well, when a diagnosis isn’t known yet.”

Clinicians expressed concerns that administering the SHAI as part of the assessment may skew patients’ interpretations of the questions and consequent responses. One clinician felt the scores on the SHAI were “skewed by the fact that they are coming into a service”, because when patients go to an assessment, “thoughts are going to be around their health.” In this sense, at first point of access, patients are already predisposed to thinking about their health. This may impair the validity and the specificity of the SHAI, as scores may be inflated by the process of being referred to a specialist service. It became apparent through discussion that the completion of the SHAI would possess more meaning to the patient post-diagnosis of CFS/ME “I think down the line with people there is value in identifying those people who are constantly symptom-focussing, I think that’s kind of important, but not at the point of assessment, perhaps that’s not the best stage?”

Taken together, analyses suggest that early administration of the SHAI may negatively influence patients’ perception of and responses to the SHAI.

**Context**

The theme of context arose as an important factor to consider when using the SHAI with regard to the context in which the SHAI is administered and also the context of CFS/ME within wider society. One clinician queried the use of the SHAI and its complex placement in the CFS/ME population. Particular concerns were shared in how best to legitimise patients’ experiences whilst assessing for health anxiety. Clinicians shared fears that the SHAI may not be fully understood by patients and that this may unintentionally reinforce messages about the credibility of their symptoms associated with CFS/ME.

“I think that there is a particular culture around CFS/ME, more so than other health conditions around whether this is real. That is a real theme in our patient group and outpatient clinics.”
Clinicians reported that as part of their clinical practice, clearly explaining the rationale behind the SHAI was imperative to ease patients’ concerns and maintain engagement. Communicating to patients the purpose of the SHAI as an explorative tool was seen as important in order to engage patients:

“often I’ve done a bit of work about ‘yes it is separate to the other forms’ and putting it in the context of ‘we are learning a lot about psychological help for people with all sorts of conditions like, cancer, Parkinson’s, MS, so, we’re exploring’, just trying to provide a bit more context within a wider medical background.”

Clinicians agreed that without this explanation, patients may respond negatively to the SHAI, becoming self-blaming or feeling as though they were being perceived by clinicians a hypochondriac or that they were exaggerating their difficulties somehow. Without appropriate context given, patients may feel that the SHAI trivialises aspects of their condition or discredits concerns that patients may have about their physical health. Clinicians shared a sense of responsibility to reassure patients in order to buffer against any potential stigmatisation that may be implicit in the SHAI:

“patients could think ‘it’s all in my mind’ especially if the question, the individual questions reinforce that.”

“Look, all we really need to say to people is ‘we know you’re ill – do you think you worry about it too much?’ That’s really what we’re saying isn’t it? People can understand that!”

Therefore, a desire for a more transparent and simplified way of measuring health anxiety and illness-related worry, without rupturing rapport, was desired by clinical staff:

“when you finally find a place that you feel trusted and that you can trust and that you can believe and you find you finally feel like you’re getting somewhere, to suddenly have this, this questionnaire, it’s a little bit like a slap in the face. I feel it is quite...strongly worded as to make them think, yeah, their illness it’s saying that their illness might be in their mind, and that we actually maybe secretly do think that.”

Some clinicians also doubted the appropriateness of using the SHAI with those with CFS/ME. Throughout, the uniqueness about the condition was emphasised, with clinicians commenting on the differences between health anxiety in general, and health anxiety in those with CFS/ME. This is reflected in the quote below:

“I think also there’s, whether this is capturing people’s anxiety in the way it presents in this cohort of people. I think the construct is different, being anxious about your health in the context of having a health condition compared to not, I think this isn’t the best tool to do it.”

Negative Past experiences

Numerous clinicians mentioned the contentious culture surrounding CFS/ME and commented on the need to consider the SHAI in the context of negative past experiences that CFS/ME patients may have endured. Words such as “confrontation,” and “fight” articulate a sense of opposition and defiance in patients:
“It depends on what their experience of having the illness is and the messages that they’ve been told, that they’ve been trying to fight against and if that’s not been good, to be suddenly confronted with something like this could be incredibly, well, it could be enough to make someone walk out I’d have thought.”

Clinicians commented that due to the public treatment of CFS/ME, a measure that lacks sensitivity and appears confrontational may be viewed as unacceptable or inappropriate to use for those with CFS/ME. Clinicians shared concerns that some of the items and concepts raised in the SHAI were directly incongruent with how patients viewed themselves and their illness; causing them to feel as though their symptoms and feelings were not being validated through the SHAI:

“Yes, and I think the legitimacy issue, it just, is actually probably very different to a lot of other conditions.”

Clinicians shared concerns that the use of the SHAI may lead to patients disengaging with the measure and with the service generally, given that some patients may not have previously been believed or faced stigma in their help-seeking journey. With acknowledgement of this, it was evident that psychosocial factors that were beyond the control of the service, could directly affect service use because of the SHAI.

“I think we really take a lot of stuff about people’s journeys totally for granted and the jargon that people are suddenly faced with, as, you know, even with all the other forms, let alone this.

Taken together, there was a shared appreciation that the CFS/ME population may be unique in their experiences of gaining help for their illness, and associated measures used at assessments may be sensitive to this. Concerns were mostly raised that the SHAI is not suitable for use with those with CFS/ME, due to some items being perceived as confrontational, echoing previous negative experiences of deligitimisation.

Phrasing and Language

The theme of phrasing and language used in the SHAI was identified as a key barrier to completion. Clinicians were in agreement over the inaccessibility of the SHAI, in terms of the medical language used and its lengthy appearance. There were concerns that this could cause difficulties with patients accurately understanding and responding to the questionnaire. There was also agreement that there were elements of repetition within the SHAI. Clinicians shared concerns that the questionnaire was “pitched too high” as “each line is very wordy” and not accessible to non-medical audiences.

“in terms of readability...that looks really high on a reading scale for most patients.”

Given that the SHAI is typically issued amongst a battery of other questionnaires, within in CFS/ME service, clinicians suggested that the poor readability of the questionnaire, nestled amongst other measures may be overwhelming for service users. Given that completion fatigue is a common issue in the general population, this may be exacerbated in those with CFS/ME, who may struggle to a greater degree with concentration and energy difficulties. The demands of completing a measure laden with emotive items, in combination with complex language, may lead to a reluctance to complete the SHAI. This is demonstrated in the quotes below:
“By the time they’ve got through the rest of the form, they’ve probably run out of energy and steam and mental ability and concentration to be able to cope with it and then they look at the words and just think ‘whoa, I can’t do it’.”

“So just reading them out, I thought if I had to fill that in I’d find it more of a challenge. When you’re struggling with a lot of brain fog...I can see where people would struggle, just physically reading it, let alone the sort of thought process that it takes you down.”

The SHAI’s focus on physical symptoms was also thought to hinder patient engagement and endorsement of specific items. Clinicians agreed that some of the phrasing of the language in the SHAI were potentially inappropriate and unacceptable to those with CFS/ME: that the principles of the SHAI may not generalise to those experiencing physical health problems. There were also concerns that patients may misunderstand the aim of the questions due to the medical focus on physical sensations. Some items were considered to be subjective and therefore more likely to be endorsed in those experiencing physical complaints. For example, one clinician stated:

“I wonder with the specific items, the wording of it, how many things you might endorse, just because you’ve got a health problem with multiple symptoms.”

Some items in the SHAI were also thought to be at odds with the experience of individuals with CFS/ME. Clinicians stated that those with CFS/ME may feel that they are ill and very unwell, and perceive that the authenticity of their illness is being questioned through the SHAI. This may lead to feelings of rejection or belittlement, as illustrated in the below quote:

“They feel ill, their felt experience is that they are very poorly so the idea that their GP telling them there is nothing wrong is never in a million years going to be reassuring.”

In particular, there were strong opinions about the phrasing of individual items of the SHAI, pertaining to phrases such as ‘serious illness’, ‘bodily sensations’, ‘lastingly relieved’ and ‘worry’:

“well ‘lastingly relieved if my doctor tells me there is nothing wrong’, you know, it’s like you want them to find the thing that’s wrong with you from all these investigations, so that’s going to skew that answer as well... I’m not going to be relieved if my doctor tells me there is nothing wrong because I’m still ill, that’s why I’m here!”

Indeed, several clinicians expressed reservations about the appropriateness of using the SHAI in the service in general.

Demand characteristics and response bias

The issue of demand characteristics and response bias to the SHAI was highlighted by the group. Clinicians shared concerns that patients may possibly feel the need to emphasise their symptoms to gain a CFS/ME diagnosis and be ‘believed’. In this sense, service users may respond with social desirability, utilising the measure as a tool to gain additional help from clinicians. One clinician stated,
“I wonder if there might be an element of wanting to feel in a quite extreme way to prove that they are struggling, as a diagnostic almost?”

“I think people are thinking what are we thinking...they see these boxes and they think that they are helping us by providing false information.”

In contrast, clinicians also expressed concerns that CFS/ME patients may answer questions with a sense of preserved caution. Clinicians perceived that patients may be fearful of being misunderstood or believed by health professionals, depending on their responses to the SHAI. Specifically, clinicians perceived a sense of fear of being blamed, not believed, or told “it is all in my head,” during the completion of the SHAI. Words such as “guarded,” and “resistance,” were used by clinicians, conveying a sense of defensiveness and unease from patients. One clinician stated:

“Giving somebody a questionnaire working in CFS/ME, I can imagine, there could be some resistance to it and people being quite guarded around what information they are giving you and if this will go as part of their diagnosis then they might be quite guarded about how they might achieve that. There could be, for some people, well not everybody but, could be some resistance to answering that or disclosing information.”

This perceived sense of guardedness, reluctance and apprehension for fear of being misunderstood or misdiagnosed was seen as a barrier to completing the SHAI. This led to clinicians contemplating whether further training in delivering the SHAI in a CFS/ME service was required:

“maybe we’re not couching it enough in terms of actually, like with other conditions you can have like anxiety as well as other conditions, maybe we’re not doing enough around that because then it becomes either it’s this or it’s CFS.”

There was an agreed sense that the SHAI did not fully capture the multifaceted nature of health anxiety within the CFS/ME population, with the SHAI lacking sensitivity to how features of anxiety is uniquely manifested through living with an illness with no unified cause, whilst also acknowledging features of health anxiety that are in themselves debilitating and common to other medical diagnoses.

Results from Telephone Consultations with Former Service Users

Two former-service users (Participant 1 and Participant 2) were consulted via telephone for their views on why the SHAI wasn’t being completed and what may be perceived barriers to its completion. An item-by-item breakdown of pertinent comments relating to the SHAI can be found in Table 2 (supplemented).

Main themes that emerged from discussions with participants were similar to that of the clinician focus group, but were narrower in focus: Timing, Context and Phrasing and Language. Although participants reported similar views on the timing and context of the administration of the SHAI as barriers to completion, there were differences in opinion on some items of the SHAI.

Timing
There was agreement amongst participants that it would be helpful to think about the timing of administering the SHAI. Administering the SHAI before diagnosis was seen as confusing and left a sense of ambiguity around the purpose of the SHAI. More specifically, administering the SHAI pre-diagnosis led to some items on the SHAI being difficult to answer, with terms mentioned being perceived as conditional or not applicable at that stage.

“A lot of questions are tapping into what I as someone living with CFS experience on a daily basis. You’re giving the questionnaire at a point when you are trying to assess people who don’t have the diagnosis yet and so they could feel confused by the term serious illness.”

As reflected in the quote below, participants warned that the timing of the SHAI was crucial in facilitating rapport and engagement. There was some acknowledgement that the point of administration of the SHAI interacts with the individual’s symptomatic and diagnostic journey. Phrases such as ‘in their face’ suggests that pre-diagnosis, the use of the SHAI may be confrontational, provocative or ‘against’ patients.

“Perhaps it is about timing? If people are diagnosed already, they might be more open. If people are really bad with symptoms, it can be in their face.”

Context

Participants agreed that setting the context of the SHAI was important to aid its completion. One participant suggested that an introductory sentiment was needed to ‘soften’ the use of the SHAI. It was expressed that this would make the SHAI seem more warranted and be greeted with less perceived stigma. This could potentially cushion any negative perceptions of the ‘bluntness’ of the language used in the SHAI. Without this, participants cautioned that patients may perceive a sense of judgement from clinicians when using the measure.

“The statements and language are so dry and very direct. I wonder if an introduction would help put people’s minds in a different mindset? It’s very blunt! So like, ‘This type of questionnaire is generally used in the medical profession and not just CFS/ME, it applies to other conditions...’”

Both individuals suggested recommendations to overcome the barriers they identified, including providing an introduction to give context and to distinguish the use of the SHAI as being a measurement of health anxiety, and not in relation to CFS/ME symptoms.

“If they said, ‘We are not trying to judge. This is a questionnaire used in other services and is part of the whole package to treat you better.’”

Participants emphasised that it would be helpful if the holistic treatment of CFS/ME was communicated when administering the SHAI, as mentioned in treating ‘the whole package’ in the above quote. It could be inferred that for some patients on their diagnostic journey, there may be a misunderstanding or unawareness of the role of health anxiety in CFS/ME. Through explaining the use of the SHAI and emphasising holistic benefits of completing the measure, clinicians could enable openness and instil hope in patients completing the SHAI.

Phrasing and Language
Both Participant 1 and Participant 2 felt there were issues with some of the language used in the SHAI. Concerns were raised over language being outdated or too technical (e.g. ‘hypochondriac’).

Participants queried what whether other patients would be able to understand a number of the words used in the SHAI such as ‘bodily sensations’, ‘ill’, ‘images’, ‘serious illness’, and ‘lastingly relieved.’ These misgivings over the language were particularly salient in reference to the context of CFS/ME and its surrounding social context.

“Lastingly relieved is a strange use of words. If you feel ill and the GP says nothing is wrong, I’m not relieved but angry.”

Participants also described difficulties answering item two (e.g. “I notice aches/pains less than most other people my age”) and fourteen (e.g. “My friends and family would say I worry too much about my health”) of the SHAI. Both individuals struggled to be sure of “what people think.” These responses may reflect isolation from other people their age or a tendency to focus attention on one’s own experience, rather than noticing what others are experiencing in the world around them.

“How do I know what other people are experiencing...a six to me may be a two to you...I have no idea how much pain others may be going through!”

However, Participant 1 and Participant 2’s views differed on a number of other items (including items six to ten and item thirteen), capturing the variety of responses that can be generated after completing the SHAI. Contrasting comments included “I didn’t have a problem with that one”, “I think it is fine” and “I don’t have a problem with it” for items eight, ten and thirteen. Whilst Participant 2 did not have a problem with item nine (e.g “If I hear about an illness I never think I have it myself.”), they stated:

“If people with CFS/ME are not given a diagnosis you do listen to illnesses and wonder if that is what is wrong with you. I tried to make sense of what I was experiencing as no one was making sense of it for me. It is a natural thing to do before receiving a diagnosis. You look at your symptoms and think is that what is happening to me?”

There was concern that many of the items on the SHAI held negative connotations and both Participant 1 and Participant 2 reported experiencing strong emotions in response to some of the items. The language used in some items of the SHAI held a perceived stigma towards patients’ strength and ability to cope with symptoms. Words used in the SHAI such as ‘resist’ or ‘afraid’ may be seen to locate the responsibility and blame of the distress within the individual with CFS/ME. There was a sense that some of the language used in the SHAI implies a specific weakness within the individual, which contrasts against societal expectations of being ‘strong’:

“Worry implying weakness in a society where stiff upper lip prevails.”

“Resisting thoughts seems a bit clumsy and suggests a battle... It insinuates that I am weak in character because I can’t resist them and that a resilient person would be able to.”
“The verb ‘afraid’ insinuates you could be weak or get scared. Strong people don’t get scared.”

As shown in the quotes above, participants often compared implications of strength and resilience, with weakness and fear. There was a sense that the SHAI dichotomised or categorised respondents’ efforts to manage their symptoms. Overall, participants expressed that the language used in the SHAI was experienced at times as invalidating, stigmatising or disapproving.

Discussion

This study aimed to understand the barriers to the Short Health Anxiety Inventory (SHAI; Salkovskis et al., 2002) being completed within a specialist CFS/ME service. This was to give insight into the ways in which this may be overcome, rather than bear impact on assessment and treatment of health anxiety, a commonly presenting problem in CFS/ME. Overall, the themes generated in this study indicate that adaptation of the SHAI is critical for its use with those with CFS/ME. Concerns raised suggest that the SHAI measure is unacceptable to service-users due to the wording and language used, and that this (a) impacts clinicians’ ability to engage and develop a therapeutic relationship and (b) potentially leads to neglect of a pressing clinical need. Research into the socialisation to the model in CFS/ME (Daniels & Wearden, 2011) indicate that holding a shared understanding of the presenting problem is an active component in the therapeutic alliance, supporting clinicians’ views. In addition, such research supports the notion that if questions on the SHAI give the impression that health anxiety, rather than CFS/ME is the problem, or rather that it is a mental health problem rather than a physical health problem, this is likely to undermine a shared understanding and lead to disengagement. This not only risks impacting the treatment of health anxiety, but treatment of CFS/ME also.

Based on the findings of this study, it is recommended that CFS/ME services or those which offer treatment for CFS/ME, should carefully consider the timing of the administration of the SHAI as well as the wider cultural context of CFS/ME. It can be inferred that the administration of the SHAI upon initial consultation may provide a barrier to engagement for service users, altering their perceptions of the role of the service, expected diagnoses and resultant treatment as result. Without acknowledging the broader sociocultural context surrounding CFS/ME, a perceived sense of stigma presents as a barrier to the completion of the SHAI.

Previous studies have shown that those with with CFS have experienced stigmatisation before receiving a formal diagnosis (Åsbring & Närvänien, 2012; Vodel, Wade & Haake, 2006) and perceived stigma and self-stigma has been known to affect psychological help seeking and engagement (Vogel, Wade & Haake, 2006; Varni, Miller, McCuin & Solomon, 2012). Theoretically, this may be because health-focused anxiety is intensified or elicited to greater extents when other social-cultural factors exist, such as the stigmatisation reported in CFS/ME (Daniels et al., 2020; Dickson, Knussen & Flowers, 2007). Indeed, Stoll et al., (2017) suggest that the inability to fully explain the symptoms in CFS/ME and the medical uncertainty around the diagnosis is linked with increased prevalence rates of anxiety in such groups. Withdrawing from with others and withholding information is a common
tactic in reducing stigma (Åsbring & Närvänen, 2012). Therefore, legitimising patient concerns and maintaining rapport remains an important feature of service engagement and therapeutic alliance (Daniels & Warden, 2011). It is likely that the measure requires adaptation to achieve acceptability with a population whose primary physical illness has not as yet identified cause, and when stigma surrounding a condition may present as a barrier to engagement.

It is noted that the SHAI originated from a need to sensitively measure health anxiety in medical contexts, without the elevation of scores through compounding concerns relating to the belief that one is physically ill (Salkovskis et al., 2002). Despite the measure possessing proved utility, with good reliability in medical clinics and CFS/ME groups( $\alpha = .89$) (Daniels et al., 2017) and its use in evaluating health anxiety outcomes from CBT-based interventions for those with CFS/ME (Daniels et al., 2020), it is evident that incompatibilities exist given the unique difficulties facing this population. If it is not acceptable and valid to the clinician, its use is limited.

**Strengths and Limitations**

A strength of the current study is the clinical relevance and ecological validity for health practitioners and patients with CFS/ME which underpins this study. Clinician’s verbatim quotes provided rich qualitative data which can be used as a foundation for the development and adaptation of the SHAI. As a range of CFS/ME health practitioners were consulted, this increases the applicability of findings and reflects experiences from different specialist viewpoints. There was a good degree of cohesion within the group conversation and equal representation of different professionals within the group. Whilst it is noted that clinician views were explored in a group-setting and former service-users were consulted individually, individual interviews were used for the comfort of participants: to protect their anonymity and to create a safe space to explore freely. We acknowledge that individual staff interviews may have provided richer data, although this was a resource limitation in the current study.

This study has identified a range of barriers to completing the SHAI within CFS/ME, an area that has not previously been explored in depth. As there has also been a call for treatments that specifically target anxiety in CFS/ME (Stoll et al., 2017), it is hoped this study adds to the dearth of literature in this area to promote appropriate assessment and treatment of health anxiety in CFS/ME services, allowing for the clinical needs of patients to be met.

Although former service users were interviewed as part of this study, a limitation of this study is that current patients within the service were not consulted regarding their experiences of completing the SHAI, however this work is underway. We also note that there the clinician voice is overrepresented in this study as fewer service users were consulted over their views of the SHAI. However due to service-level limitations, we were unable to consult more patients within the service. Whilst there was a clear consensus within the group interview with clinicians over the barriers of the SHAI in this exploratory study, further investigation is required to establish the generalisability of such viewpoints and comments amongst clinicians.

Additional research should therefore provide a more robust representation of service users and patient experiences and offer in-depth individual clinician consultations in order to provide richer data, contingent on resource availability. Due to the nature of the measure we
were unable to differentiate fears relating ME/CFS or fears relating to contracting an additional medical condition such as Cancer, MS and so forth. Future development of the SHAI should aim to more closely specify whether illness related fears are associated with an existing condition or otherwise. This work is currently on going.

**Implications**

Administering the SHAI at the end of the assessment appointment would allow for additional context to be provided by the assessing clinician for those accessing CFS/ME services; this would provide an opportunity to acknowledge that although it is normal to worry about one’s health, particularly during the process of investigation and repeated assessment, for some, this worry can become a preoccupation which can be distressing. Providing information about the purpose of the questionnaire could also improve its completion. For example, an introductory paragraph could be included to support the rationale for use (i.e. prevalence of health anxiety in CFS/ME), which may then also normalise the experience. Given that health anxiety is significantly more prominent in those with CFS/ME (Daniels et al., 2020), the specific sociocultural backdrop of this condition should also be considered when using standard measures, such as the SHAI to aid its completion.

In terms of the SHAI itself, adaptations could be made to make it more suitable for use with those with CFS/ME, such as the adaptation of language and phraseology. Kehler and Hadjistavropoulos (2008) validated the SHAI within the Multiple Sclerosis (MS) populations and found that adding “other than MS” to items five, nine, eleven and twelve of the SHAI improved its acceptability. In this way, participant’s responses were not limited by having a serious health condition. A study using qualitative feedback from children with CFS/ME found that inclusion of words relating to specific symptoms associated with CFS/ME increased engagement and acceptability of standard interview methods (Parslow, Shaw, Haywood & Crawley, 2019). For example, contextualising the impact of CFS/ME on functioning and interference with completing activities, in terms of ‘payback,’ was reported as a helpful framing method, because it related to a unique feature of CFS/ME (Parslow et al., 2019).

Given the expanding evidence base in relation to interventions for CFS/ME in recent years, there is a paralleled need for the accurate and acceptable measurement of typical outcomes associated with CFS/ME, such as health anxiety (Alberts et al., 2013). Considering that treatment success is dependent upon accurate identification of presenting problems, there is a great need for a reliable and suitable measure, both clinically and in research (Caswell & Daniels, 2018). Accurate identification of presenting problems is further compromised in cases where comorbidity is high, such as those with CFS/ME (Daniels et al., 2020). Recent evidence further indicates that anxiety may interfere with therapeutic outcomes and is associated with greater symptom severity (Daniels et al., 2020). This supports the rationale for the development and adaption of the SHAI and other routine materials for the detection and treatment of co-morbid health anxiety.

Sensitively addressing health anxiety within CBT interventions for CFS/ME may also improve therapeutic gains for service users with CFS/ME. The report ‘No decisions about
me, without me’ (ME Association, 2015) indicated that CBT was found to have a positive effect in aiding some patients to deal with comorbid issues, highlighting the importance of assessing patients with CFS/ME for such difficulties and ensuring they have access to treatment. However, a large meta-analysis showed only small to moderate improvements in functional impairment, anxiety, depression and fatigue are reported in standard CBT interventions for CFS/ME (Castell, Kazantzis, & Moss-Morris, 2011). There are also disappointing differences between outcomes documented for CBT for CFS/ME in routine practice, compared with clinical trials, with levels of levels of anxiety, depression and fatigue in routine contexts failing to reduce to the extent as controlled trials (Fernie, Murphy, Wells, Nikčević, & Spada, 2016). Sensitive measurement and treatment of (health) anxiety with CFS/ME may capitalise on therapeutic gains offered by CBT, when accurately measured, identified and treated (Daniels et al., 2020).

**Conclusion**

This study has identified a number of barriers to completing the SHAI within a CFS/ME service. Specialist clinicians and former sufferers alike shared common concerns relating to core difficulties with the utility of the SHAI in this population. The timing of the SHAI being administered pre-diagnosis, the language of the SHAI and lack of context around the questionnaire were identified as barriers to the SHAI not being completed by service-users. Given the high co-morbidity of health anxiety in those with CFS/ME it is important that health anxiety is appropriately and sensitively measured in order to provide a better-informed assessment, effective treatment and symptom relief for those with CFS/ME. Findings from this study suggest that adaptation of the SHAI is vital for use with CFS/ME and that further investigation of the measurement of health anxiety in this population is required.

**Key Practice Points:**

- The timing of the administration of the SHAI in the patient’s treatment pathway is an important factor to consider, especially when using the instrument at pre-diagnostic CFS/ME assessments.
- Explaining the relationship between health anxiety and CFS/ME to patients at assessment may be important in aiding the completion and understanding of the SHAI and reducing service disengagement.
- Making efforts to explain potentially contentious language used in the SHAI may increase openness and connectivity in those with CFS/ME when assessing for health anxiety.
- Sensitively measuring and addressing health anxiety within CFS/ME may offer greater treatment gains within CBT.

**Further Reading:**
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