Integrating CBT and CFT within a case formulation approach to reduce depression and anxiety in an older adult with a complex mental and physical health history: A single case study.

Abstract
Depression and anxiety are major contributors to growing healthcare costs in the UK, particularly with an increasingly ageing population. However, identification of mental health needs in older adults has been overshadowed by a tendency to focus on physical health issues, despite the established comorbidity of depression, anxiety and physical health conditions. When older adults seek psychological support, treatment options may vary and may be time-limited, either because of protocol guidance or due to the resource constraints of psychology services. Time-limited treatment, common in many adult services, may not best meet the needs of older adults, whose physical, cognitive and emotional needs alter with age. It is, therefore, important to identify treatments that best meet the needs of older adults who seek psychological support, but who may arrive with complex mental and physical health histories. This paper aims to explore how a case-formulation driven approach that draws on the theoretical underpinnings of Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT) and Compassion Focused Therapy (CFT) can be used to reduce anxiety and depression in an older adult with a complex multimorbid mental and physical health history. This study employs a single-case (A-B) experimental design [assessment (A), CBT and CFT intervention (B)] over 28 sessions. Results suggest the greatest reductions in depression and anxiety (as measured using PHQ-9 and GAD-7) occurred during the CFT phase of the intervention, although scores failed to drop below subclinical levels in any phase of the intervention. This case highlights the value of incorporating CFT with CBT in case-formulation driven interventions.
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Learning objectives

- To consider the value of using case-formulation approaches in older adult populations.

- To demonstrate flexibility in balancing evidence-based interventions with service-user needs by incorporating CBT and CFT to treat anxiety and depression in an older adult.

- To present a clinical case to identify how assessment, formulation and treatment of anxiety and depression are adapted to best meet the needs of older adults with complex comorbid mental and physical health conditions.

- To appreciate the impact of contextual factors, such as austerity measures, on therapeutic work with individuals with longstanding mental and physical health difficulties.

Introduction
Depression in older adults is a disabling condition associated with increased suicide, morbidity, self-neglect and decreased cognitive, social and physical functioning (Fiske, Wetherall & Gatz, 2010; Rodda, Walker & Carter, 2011). However, it remains under-diagnosed for a variety of
reasons, including communication and cognitive impairment at the patient level, focus on medical conditions at the medical level, and availability of mental health professionals at the systemic level (Martin et al., 2008). Research has identified a high occurrence of mood disorders in older adults with and without physical health conditions (Byers, Yaffe, Covinsky, Friedman & Bruce, 2010; Mehta et al., 2003), yet anxiety symptoms are neglected and undertreated in older adults (Vink, Aartsen & Schoevers, 2008; Laidlaw, 2013). Factors such as ailing health, dementia, being a carer, social isolation, and loss of a partner, which are common in later life, may account for high prevalence rates for anxiety (24%) (Bryant, Jackson & Ames, 2008) and depression (22-28%) (MHF, 2018; Singleton, Bumpstead, O’Brien, Lee & Meltzer, 2000). Moreover, increasing comorbid depression and anxiety in later life carries associated risks of cognitive decline and functional disability (Diefenbach & Goethe, 2006) and treatment is central to minimising these risks.

Various models have been developed for the treatment of depression and anxiety disorders (e.g. Beck, 1967; Westbrook, Kennerley & Kirk, 2007; Wells, 1997; and Dugas, Gagnon, Ladoucer & Freeston, 1998), with strong empirical support for their use, particularly in working-age populations. However, in accordance with clinical guidelines, these models tend to focus on single conditions. Many older adults present to services with complex mental and physical health issues; treatment options therefore need to account for multimorbidity (Guthrie, Alderson, McMurdo & Mercer, 2012) in order to meet the needs of this population. Currently, comorbidity is inconsistently accounted for in NICE guidelines (Hughes, McMurdo & Guthrie, 2013), with clinicians left to select specific models that ‘best fit’ complex presentations.

Transdiagnostic, case formulation-driven interventions, as advocated by Persons (2005), offer an alternative approach that may overcome issues of multimorbidity. Although support for this approach over standard protocols is equivocal, Tarrier & Calam (2002) recognise that existing studies are underpowered but argue that case formulation approaches should not be precluded from clinical trials. Case formulation approaches are gaining credence as research indicates that commonalities in the psychological vulnerabilities, comorbidity and maintaining factors across emotional disorders may outweigh the differences (McEvoy, Nathan & Norton, 2009). Persons (2012) advocates the use of the case formulation approach in CBT, which benefits from being principle-driven rather than protocol-driven, and helps clinicians address various issues that are overlooked in protocol informed work.

Older adults seeking psychological support may benefit from CBT to explore core beliefs and assumptions that have contributed to dysfunctional patterns of thinking and subsequent psychological distress (Beck, 1967), and CBT can help develop strategies that promote wellbeing. However, adaptations for age-related differences in working memory, attention, sensory impairment etc. may be necessary along with consideration of cohort beliefs, physical health and intergenerational relationships (Evans, 2007). Although CBT with older adults is found to be more effective than placebo and wait list controls (Hofmann, Asnaani, Sawyer & Fang, 2013), CBT is not always more effective than antidepressant medication alone (Peng, Huang, Chen & Lu, 2009). It is, therefore, also worth considering additional therapeutic interventions for this population.

Another therapeutic approach that may be beneficial for older adults is Compassion Focused Therapy (CFT), as it has an emerging evidence base for shame reduction (Ashworth, 2014). This is pertinent as research indicates that older adults experience increased stigma and shame, particularly associated with mental illness (Connor et al., 2010), which is a significant barrier to help seeking. CFT fosters the development of compassion and acceptance towards self and others to thwart self-criticism and shame (Boersma, Hakanson, Salomonsson & Johansson, 2014). CFT interventions that promote the development of self-compassion result in reductions in anxiety, depression and stress (Neff & Germer, 2013; Craig, 2017) and
improvements in self-esteem (Andersen & Ramussen, 2017). Research indicates that self-compassion is linked to psychological flourishing and reduced psychopathology (Germer & Neff, 2013) and CFT is considered to be a beneficial intervention for older adults (Collins, Gilligan & Poz, 2018). Although CFT is receiving increasing interest as an intervention for depression and anxiety (Leaviss, & Uttley, 2015), its relative newness means it has not yet accrued an evidence base as strong as CBT, for example. While CFT has been delivered within a CBT programme (Gilbert & Proctor, 2006), this was in a group therapy setting and focused on shame reduction. To date, there is a dearth of literature on integrated CFT and CBT interventions with older adults and no studies use a case-formulation driven approach using combined CBT and CFT with a single-case design. This study, therefore, aims to add to the literature by exploring the utility of this approach with an older adult with complex mental and physical health needs.

Method

Design
An A-B single case experimental design (Barlow & Herson, 1984) was employed for this case study. Baseline assessments (A) were established at four time points prior to 28-weeks of CBT and CFT intervention (B) with a clinical psychologist in training.

Participant
This study reports the case of Ray, a 68-year-old Caucasian male who was referred for psychological therapy by his GP for help with low mood and anxiety. Ray lived alone and had no contact with his family; his parents were no longer alive and he had not spoken to his siblings in many years. Ray had good relationships with his long-term partner, and a close friend, whom he saw regularly.

Presenting problems
Ray presented with low mood, anxiety and difficulties in regulating his emotions. He reported increased anxiety in social settings and reluctance to leave his home, low motivation, fatigue and difficulties in eating and sleeping. Ray struggled with complex physical health issues, including lung, muscular-skeletal and endocrine issues.

Case history
Ray reported having an unhappy childhood that involved years of physical and emotional abuse from his mother. Ray described growing up in the context of poverty, parental mental health issues, and school bullying. Ray described difficult relationships with family members and felt that he never experienced love or adequate care. He recalled a period of separation when he and his siblings spent time in different care homes. Ray encountered childhood sexual abuse (CSA) from a family member and considered jumping off a building in an attempt to receive love, care and attention from his mother. Ray struggled academically and left school early to seek employment. Ray then embarked on a positive phase of his life; he enjoyed the independence afforded by earning a wage, and he attributed his happiness during this time to being away from his family and leaving his unhappy childhood behind. He described improved self-esteem during this time as a result of forming and maintaining good relationships with friends, colleagues and girlfriends and having an active social life. During this time Ray lived

---

1 Supervised by an experienced clinical psychologist
2 Pseudonym used to maintain confidentiality
with a friend, whose kind mother treated Ray like her own child and showed Ray what it felt like to be cared for.

Ray described a long history of anxiety, depression and alcoholism following an injury during his 30's, which resulted in him losing his business, as he could no longer work. However, Ray experienced sobriety for many years following a spell in rehab. Since the accident, where Ray fell and hit his head, he reported having what he termed as ‘delusional episodes’. He described these as hearing a critical voice, which sounded like his own but said the content was similar to the voice of a particularly critical family member. Ray encountered these episodes intermittently over the years and said they occurred when difficult life events caused him significant stress and anxiety with concurrent low mood. Ray had no diagnosis of psychosis and professionals had suggested the voice was trauma-related and induced by stress. Ray previously engaged in CBT and art therapy to address childhood trauma and alcohol dependency, which had helped him to develop some emotional regulation skills, but he identified this as an ongoing area of difficulty. Ray had a supportive long-term girlfriend but had severed all ties with his family. Ray experienced suicidal thoughts. He attempted suicide many years ago, but cited not wanting to go through with it and not wanting to leave his girlfriend as key reasons not to try again. He had previously seen a psychiatrist, but it was several years since his last appointment. Ray described his eating as erratic, sometimes neglecting to eat and care for himself. He consumed caffeine but not alcohol or drugs, except prescription medication for depression, anxiety and physical health issues.

Measures
The following measures were used to assess the impact of therapy:

**Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)**, administered weekly to assess symptoms of depression. The PHQ-9 is considered to be a reliable and valid measure of depression, with Cronbach’s α of 0.89 (Kroenke, Spitzer & Williams, 2001), high test-retest reliability (0.94) (Zuithoff et al., 2010), and good construct validity (Martin, Rief, Klaiberg & Braehler, 2006). Scores ≥10 have a sensitivity of 88% and a specificity of 88% for major depression (Kroenke et al., 2001). This measure has a clinical cut off of 10 (Manea, Gilbody & McMillan, 2012), with a threshold of 20 for severe depression (Kroenke & Spitzer, 2002).

**Generalised Anxiety Disorder (GAD-7) scale**, administered weekly to assess symptoms of general anxiety. The GAD-7 has good “criterion, construct, factorial and procedural validity” (Spitzer, Kroenke, Williams and Lowe, 2006, p. 1092), good internal reliability (α = 0.93) (Mills et al., 2014) and good retest reliability (intra-class correlation = 0.83) (Spitzer et al., 2006). GAD-7 has cut off scores of 5 for mild anxiety, 10 for moderate anxiety and 15 for severe anxiety, with a cut-off score of 10 when screening for anxiety disorders (Williams, 2014), although NHS (2018) advocate a clinical cut off of 8.

Risk
Risk was assessed throughout the therapy process. Ray reported historic suicidal ideation, which was explored during the initial assessment. Specific questions were asked about his mood, goals and readiness for therapy, his current circumstances and risk of harm to himself or others. Ray openly shared that while suicide felt like an option that would enable him to escape his difficulties, he stressed that his prior attempt many years ago confirmed to him that he would not want to try and harm himself again. Ray’s mood was checked every session, following completion of PHQ-9 measures, and Ray shared on two occasions (sessions 10 and
21) that he was experiencing increased suicidal ideation linked to overwhelming stress caused by an unexpected PIP\(^3\) re-assessment. Risk was assessed continuously and time was spent working on a safety plan, problem-solving potential barriers to keeping safe and identifying helpful ways for Ray to openly communicate with his partner and best friend. Contact was made with the wider multi-disciplinary team, including Ray’s GP, the service manager and trainee psychologist’s clinical supervisor, and a referral was made to a Psychiatrist, with Ray’s consent.

**Assessment**

Ray completed one intake assessment with a member of the team prior to being placed on the therapy waiting list, during which he completed the PHQ-9 and GAD-7 measures. He completed these measures again one-week prior to the first assessment session, and weekly thereafter. A further two structured assessments took place, to explore Ray’s difficulties and to assess if anything had changed during the six-month waiting list. Ray identified the key problems as: anxiety and low mood linked to thoughts and memories of childhood abuse. Ray identified the following goals:

(i) Reduce anxiety  
(ii) Improve mood  
(iii) Make sense of past experiences to understand how they affect current thoughts and behaviour

The assessment also identified a number of strengths that helped Ray manage difficulties over the past 30 years, including: his ability to form and maintain positive and supportive close relationships, his willingness to engage with services, his desire to forge a positive future, and his engagement in self-care activities that impacted positively on his mood.

During the assessment Ray explained that he sometimes forgets things. He attributed occasional memory difficulties to poor sleep and anxiety, noticing that his memory improves when he is well-rested and less stressed. The assessment indicated that memory difficulties were mild and there was no evidence or worry about cognitive decline. Following a discussion about Ray’s memory in the assessment session, and during clinical supervision, it was not deemed necessary to undertake further neurocognitive assessments.

**Formulation**

Using a case formulation approach, Johnstone & Dallos’ (2014) 5Ps formulation was developed through collaborative discussion with Ray. This sought to identify the factors that made Ray vulnerable to his difficulties (i.e. various challenging and traumatic early life experiences, an absence of warm and secure early relationships, and managing mixed messages from others); factors that triggered difficulties (including stress, pressure, health issues and social situations), factors that maintained the problem (e.g. stressful situations, time deadlines, focusing on ‘shoulds’, and avoidance); factors that helped Ray to manage daily life (including good relationships with his partner and friend, pleasurable hobbies and self-care activities); and core beliefs (Beck, 2011) that developed over time in response to challenging life experiences (including beliefs that the world is a dangerous place, others cannot be trusted and, in relation to self, beliefs that ‘I need to fight back but I need to keep quiet to stay safe’ and ‘I need to block out and ignore wrongdoings by others’ (as illustrated in Figure 2.1, overleaf).

---

\(^3\) Personal Independent Payment
A compassion-focused formulation (Gilbert, 2010) was also developed with Ray, which identified that Ray's threat system, which focuses on threat detection and protection, was most active and contributed to increased anxiety (refer to Figure 2.2). His drive system was activated when threatened by self-initiated pressure to 'do', 'accomplish' and 'achieve', even when not feeling physically or mentally well enough to accomplish unrealistic tasks. Through psycho-education and collaborative discussion, Ray identified that his soothing system was under-activated, due to having limited opportunities to effectively manage distress, feel safe, and secure. Ray identified that his inner-critic maintained focus on the threat system, which required regulation of threat-focused emotion (Ashworth, Gracey & Gilbert, 2011).

**Hypotheses**

It was initially hypothesised that a case formulation driven approach that draws on the theoretical underpinnings of CBT would improve Ray’s symptoms of anxiety and depression. It was further hypothesised that incorporating CFT would augment the intervention as promoting the development of self-compassion would help Ray to manage his self-critic (Pauley & McPherson, 2010), which negatively impacted on his mood and anxiety.

**Intervention**

During the intake assessment, Ray was offered the choice of group or individual therapy, and was informed that the service offered various interventions including CBT, trauma-focused therapy, mindfulness etc. Although it became apparent during the assessment that trauma-focused work would be suitable, Ray specifically wanted to focus on the 'here and now' and expressed a desire to learn tools to help him manage current anxiety and depression rather than 'open up old wounds'. He explained that he had addressed the past in previous therapy and indicated a preference for CBT, which adhered to NICE guidance for depression and anxiety (NICE, 2018, 2019), albeit in the context of trauma. The therapist was mindful of the value of respecting Ray's needs and wishes.

Ray engaged in 28 1-hour treatment sessions. A CBT approach was initially used to collaboratively map the interaction between Ray's thoughts, feelings and behaviour from a recent distressing incident. This helped to socialise Ray to the model (Padesky & Mooney, 1990). Ray completed 10 CBT sessions in the first phase of therapy and 11 CBT sessions in the third phase of therapy, with an interlude of 7 CFT sessions, which were driven by the case-formulation approach being used (Persons, 2005), (refer to overview of intervention in Table 1).

The first phase of therapy focused on anxiety management (Hofmann et al., 2013); exploring Ray’s stress bucket (Carver, Scheier & Weintraub, 1989) as Ray was experiencing high levels of stress related to his health and benefits reassessment; rating emotions using visual analogue scales (VAS) (Aitken, 1969); practicing grounding techniques (Kennerley, 2000) to help Ray focus on the here and now when his cognitions about the world not being safe took his attention to past traumatic experiences; behavioural experiments (Rouf, Fennell, Westbrook, Cooper & Bennett-Levy, 2004), e.g. alternating behaviours on different days to permit time for hobbies that Ray found therapeutic, scheduling a ‘worry slot’ (Hoyer et al., 2009) to help manage the ‘shoulds’ that perpetuated Ray’s anxiety and low mood; managing the ‘mind bully’ (Vivyan, 2014) and responding to the ‘poisoned/pesky parrot’ (Vivyan, 2010), which Ray linked to his critical family member and likened this critical voice to his school bullying experiences. These techniques equipped Ray with skills to manage anxiety and low mood. This phase of therapy also included mapping a timeline (Pifalo, 2011) of Ray's experiences to help explore thoughts, feelings and behaviours linked to Ray’s past experiences.
Predisposing factors
- Very difficult early experiences
- Lack of warm, secure, loving early relationships
- Emotional, physical and sexual abuse from parents and others
- Bullying at school
- On-going criticism and humiliation from relative
- Mixed messages from parents who had their own mental health struggles
- Complex health issues

Precipitating factors
- Incident where felt disrespected – it sparked anger that escalated
- Anxiety and low mood – worsened since medication review
- Experiencing high amounts of stress – health issues and unexpected PIP assessment
- Increasing thoughts about family members – initiated angry episodes and delusional conversations with relative
- Health issues that impact on energy levels and mood

Problem
Anger, anxiety and low mood

Perpetuating factors
- Situations which increase stress levels – being alone in the community
- Situations where there is an imposed time pressure or deadline
- Focusing on ‘shoulds’, ‘musts’ and ‘oughts’ rather than ‘needs’, ‘wants’ and ‘likes’
- Avoiding conflict – trying to contain anger instead of communicating the impact of others’ wrongdoing
- Distraction – avoiding thinking about events until they escalate

Protective factors
- Good relationship with long-term partner
- Good relationship with close friend who has also overcome alcohol addiction
- Hobbies that feel therapeutic
- Self-care activities that meet own needs
- Practicing self-compassion
- Alleviating time pressures
- Practicing grounding, breathing and mindfulness techniques to be in the ‘here and now’
- Following the safety plan when required

Core Beliefs
Self: I am a failure, I cannot get anything right, I am lazy, I am a bloody nuisance, I may need to harm myself in order to receive the care and love I need, I am no good at reading and writing. I have not made anything of my life, I should take no nonsense but I cannot stand up for myself, I need to fight back but it is safe to be invisible and not say anything. I need to stay in a safe place, I need to keep my mouth shut and take the blame, I need to block out what is going on around me, I need to ignore occasions when I am wronged by others, it is safe to withdraw, I should not challenge powerful others.

Others: Cannot be trusted, do not meet my needs, will judge me, will think I am stupid, do not treat me right, are threatening, let me down, manipulate me, others are more powerful than me, it is better not to challenge others, others ignore me, others do not value me

The world: Is a fearful place, bad things happen, the world isn’t safe

Figure 1. Diagram of Ray’s 5Ps formulation (Johnstone & Dallos, 2014) and core beliefs
It became apparent during the first phase of therapy that Ray’s ‘inner critic’ (Lawrence & Lee, 2013) was significantly hindering his engagement with CBT. For example, Ray shared that he was placing additional pressure on himself when trying to engage in CBT tasks; this was informed by various ‘shoulds’, and unrealistically high expectations of mastering techniques and practicing techniques when he felt physically and mentally exhausted. Ray, understandably, described this as stressful. He became frustrated when trying to manage his anxiety when out in the community; he noticed that he was admonishing himself for being stupid when he was distracted while practicing grounding techniques; he judged himself for not containing worry to the worry slot; and he found himself engaging with the mind bully by arguing back and then getting angry and upset.

Through collaborative discussion with Ray, moving to a compassion-focused approach (Gilbert, 2010) was deemed necessary. This involved psycho-education on the threat, drive and soothing emotion regulation systems (Gilbert, 2014). Ray was very interested in the theory underpinning CFT and said he related to the threat and drive systems, acknowledging that his soothing system was neglected as he felt self-care equated to laziness. Ray found soothing rhythm breathing (Welford, 2010) calming and he engaged well with compassionate letter writing (Gilbert, 2009), which was designed to help Ray shift from his threat to soothing system.

An unwanted stressful event (Schermuly-Haupt, Linden & Rush, 2018), i.e. an unexpected re-assessment of Ray’s PIP benefits, arose during the course of therapy. As research indicates, such events can, and did, cause significant distress (Glickman, Tanaka & Chan, 1991) that required further therapeutic intervention. Guided by research, which suggests that increased therapy duration is associated with better outcomes for older adults (e.g. Pinquart & Sorensen, 2001; Satre, Knight & David, 2006), it was decided during a collaborative review that further sessions were required. Ray identified a need to focus on stress management, address poor sleep and improve self-care. The third phase of therapy therefore returned to CBT-focused anxiety management, psycho-education (Whitworth, 2016) on understanding and managing

Figure 2. Diagram of CFT formulation (Gilbert, 2010) of Ray’s emotion regulation systems
stress and sleep hygiene (Stepanski & Wyatt, 2003) and self-care (Barlow, Sturt & Hearnshaw, 2002).

Homework was also an important part of Ray's intervention and problem solving took place to identify any barriers to compliance, which is linked with effective outcomes (Mausbech, Moore, Roesch, Cardenas & Patterson, 2010). Although Ray did not have fond memories of school and could potentially have been triggered by an intervention that requires homework completion, he was engaged in the therapeutic process and complied with homework tasks. He described being interested in learning and practising tools to help manage anxiety and he was motivated to improve the quality of his life. As advised by Cox & D'Oyley (2011) when undertaking CBT with older adults, memory aids were incorporated and session content and homework details were written down, as Ray identified having mild memory difficulties during the assessment.

Table 1. Overview of CBT intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Session</th>
<th>Summary of session content</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A1-A2</td>
<td>Assessment Exploring the problem (CSA), history, goals, triggers, risk, and plan.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>Anxiety management Thoughts, feelings and behaviours and maintenance cycles. Rating emotions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-7</td>
<td>Timeline and stabilisation Exploring themes related to conflict, control, relationships, coping and core beliefs. Identifying protective factors.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-9</td>
<td>Letter to relative Identifying impact of relative's actions on Ray. Writing a therapeutic letter to externalise anger in Ray's head.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Suicidal – Risk assessment Discussing suicidal ideation and exploring risk. Safety plan. Seek support from partner, friend and agencies if needed.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-17</td>
<td>Compassion focused therapy Psychoeducation on 'tricky brain' and threat, drive and soothing systems, explanation of model. Shared formulation – identifying the inner critic/mind bully. Acknowledging no fault, grief reaction to suffering. Developing compassion for self. Identifying strengths/ personal qualities.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18-20</td>
<td>Anxiety management Stress management, sleep hygiene, self-care; focus on needs/wants/ likes not musts/should/oughts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Suicidal – Risk assessment Revisiting safety plan. Identifying strengths and qualities used to overcome adversity in the past, exploring hopes and goals.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>Anxiety management STOPP, helicopter view, managing the critical voice (Pesky parrot). Self-care. Seek medical support for health issues.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23-25</td>
<td>Revisit letter and grief work Updating letter to include new insight into traumatic past, processing past trauma – recognising shift from anger to sadness, normalising grief and acknowledging loss self-care.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Review and consolidate skills Worry and rumination - review techniques, including: APPLE, breathing and grounding exercises (5 senses and safe place) and planning ‘worry slots’.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Review timeline and formulation Link to theory – Maslow’s hierarchy of needs, core beliefs, managing incongruent messages: keep quiet yet fight back.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Ending session Normalisation of responses to challenging and traumatic circumstances, review of ending letter, self-compassion, self-care.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Outcomes
Ray’s depression, as measured by the PHQ-9 (Kroenke et al., 2001) remained above the clinical cut-off (10) throughout the duration of therapy. Scores remained stable at the severe level (>20) during the four baseline assessments (A) but increased in the second intervention session (23), which Ray attributed to feeling low when thinking about his past and feeling worried about ‘opening up old wounds’. Scores reduced to below the threshold for severe depression during the first phase of the (CBT) intervention (as illustrated in Figure 2.3). The lowest PHQ-9 scores (16) were seen during the CFT part of the intervention although scores fluctuated and rapidly escalated to above the threshold for severe depression in week 18 only, when Ray was notified of his PIP benefit re-assessment, which caused significant distress. Scores fluctuated in the final phase of the (CBT) intervention, but remained below the severe depression threshold. PHQ-9 data were not obtained in sessions 10 and 20, as Ray’s request not to complete the measures was granted and his autonomy was respected (Entwistle, Carter, Cribb & McCaffery, 2010).

Ray’s anxiety, as measured by the GAD-7 scale (Spitzer et al., 2006) remained above the threshold for severe anxiety (15) during the baseline assessments (A) and the first seven weeks of the (CBT) intervention (B). Anxiety scores reduced to below the severe threshold (<15) during the CFT phase of the intervention, with the exception of week 13 (16). Anxiety scores increased yet fluctuated (14-17) during the final (CBT) phase of the intervention, which coincided with increased stress linked to Ray’s PIP re-assessment. All GAD-7 scores remained above the clinical cut-off (8) for the duration of therapy.

![PHQ-9 measure of depression](image)

![GAD-7 measure of anxiety](image)

**Figure 3. Graph of Ray’s depression and anxiety scores over time**

NB: data points are missing for weeks 10 and 20 when Ray requested not to complete outcome measures.
Discussion
This case study aimed to reduce anxiety and depression in an older adult with a history of complex mental and physical health issues, using a case-formulation approach combining CBT with CFT. Findings reveal that depression and anxiety scores decreased yet fluctuated during the course of the A-B intervention but were lowest during the CFT phase of the intervention.

Although one cannot fully discern whether it was the CFT approach, specifically, or the CBT plus CFT intervention that accounted for reductions in Ray’s depression and anxiety, it is noteworthy that PHQ-9 and GAD-7 scores decreased when Ray began to attend to his inner critic (Pauley & McPherson, 2010). During this time, he engaged with compassionate thinking and practised strategies such as rhythm breathing (Welford, 2010) that helped him regulate his threat system and increase capacity for soothing (Braehler et al., 2012; Gilbert, 2009). This supports the work of Fiske et al. (2009), who argue that self-critical thinking plays a role in exacerbating and maintaining low mood, and Collins et al. (2018), who advocate CFT for older adults. Development of the affiliative soothing system may be a potential mechanism of change (Teachman, Beadel & Steinman, 2014). Ray reported how helpful it was to develop a toolbox of techniques to help him cope better, which included techniques learned during both CBT and CFT interventions.

Qualitative feedback from Ray indicated that the intervention had been beneficial. Ray found the STOPP technique particularly helpful, as it provided an opportunity to pause and notice what was happening during stressful situations. The most effective strategy, he believed, was responding to the shoulds/musts/oughts of the poisoned parrot (i.e. his inner critic), which had unrealistically high standards, thus perpetuating the pressure and stress that Ray experienced. Ray reported how helpful and normalising it was to learn about the threat, drive and soothing systems and understand how these were shaped by his early experiences. Realisation that self-care and self-compassion did not equate to laziness, which others have also experienced with CFT (Asano & Shimizu, 2018), was of central importance in this case. Ray previously worried that others would think he was lazy; this was a narrative perpetuated in his formative years when he struggled at school. In accordance with literature that indicates that CFT is a promising intervention for highly self-critical individuals with mood disorders (Leaviss & Uttley, 2015), Ray noticed improved mood and reduced anxiety when he engaged in self-compassion. He also noticed increased productivity. This provided the evidence he needed that it was acceptable to practice self-compassion. Ray also stated that therapy had helped him to ‘metaphorically file family (incidents) that previously caused anger’, and he asserted that therapy had ‘re-balanced the (perceived) power inequity’ with family members. Finally, he reported being ‘more hopeful for a positive future’ and said he would continue to practice compassionate thinking as he found it beneficial.

It is noteworthy that emotion regulation difficulties and frustration were more evident in the first CBT phase, which was more cognitively demanding than the CFT phase. Given Ray’s negative experience of school, it is possible that scores were affected by frustration intolerance (Harrington, 2011) or reduced mood related to lower self-efficacy (Bandura, 1997). Tahmassian & Moghadam (2011) acknowledge that perceived sense of efficacy can influence anxiety arousal.

During the first CBT phase, Ray said he knew, logically, what he needed to do but engagement with the CBT techniques was impacted by his inner-critic, which reinforced feelings of incompetence and self-loathing. As Gilbert (2009) denotes, self-criticism is rooted in histories of neglect, abuse, lack of affection and bullying, all of which Ray experienced.

While anxiety and depression scores did reduce during the CFT phase of the intervention, it is important to note that they did not reach sub-clinical levels, which indicates that persistent moderate-severe depression and anxiety (Kroenke et al., 2001; Spitzer et al., 2006) remained
throughout the intervention. This is, perhaps, explained by anxiety and low mood associated with the challenge of revisiting past traumatic events that had occurred over decades, some of which Ray had not spoken about previously.

Scores may also have been affected by issues related to complex health conditions, which Ray found difficult, and which are associated with depression in older adults (Chapman, Perry & Strine, 2005). Positive associations also exist between anxiety and commonly occurring diseases, including the chronic health conditions experienced by Ray (El-Gabalawy, Mackenzie, Shooshtari & Sareen, 2013). Ray’s health conditions affected his daily life, which, in turn, impacted on his mood. For example, there were occasions where Ray wanted to go out for the day with his partner, but fatigue prevented him from going. Ray noticed his mood dipped when he was not able to accomplish the things he wanted to do. It was not possible to disentangle whether fatigue was associated with depression or Ray’s physical health conditions. As Harvey, Wessely, Kuh and Hotopf (2009) assert, fatigue and mental health issues frequently occur and share related phenomenological characteristics. Similarly, there is a high prevalence of anxiety and depression among people with chronic lung conditions (Kunik et al., 2005), and breathing difficulties caused by an underlying lung condition could exacerbate emotional distress. For Ray, physical and mental health difficulties were closely interrelated. This case highlights the importance of taking a whole-person perspective (Naylor et al., 2016).

Depression and anxiety were also affected by the unexpected and unwanted PIP benefit re-assessment event (Schermuly-Haupt et al., 2018), which Ray found extremely distressing and reported feeling suicidal when fearing an even more difficult future with a reduced income. Ray was not alone in experiencing benefits-related distress, as the move to Universal Credit in the context of government austerity measures has been linked with increased depression, anxiety and suicidality for people with a range of mental and physical health difficulties (Barr et al., 2016; Williams, 2017).

Finally, findings may also be affected by the relatively short CFT phase of the intervention (7 sessions). In contrast with decades of development of Ray’s self-critic (Lawrence & Le, 2013), commencing with critical inter-personal familial relationships that later became internalised (Vygotsky, 1978), it will likely take longer-term self-compassion practice to strengthen affiliative soothing and reduce threat-focused regulation. The findings should, therefore be interpreted with caution.

**Limitations**

Limitations include threats to external validity, which are inherent in single-subject designs (Alnahdi, 2013); however, a key strength of a single case design is its strong internal validity (Byiers, Reichle & Symons, 2014). There is also increased vulnerability to sequence effects in this formulation-driven combined CBT-CFT design (Hains & Baer, 1989). This could have been prevented with a return to baseline as utilised in alternating treatment designs, but this design was neither practical nor ethical to use in this case. When discussing the outcomes of the three phases of the intervention, it is important to consider the possibility of carryover effects; it is not known whether the reduction in depression and anxiety scores to below the severe threshold in the second phase of the intervention was caused by the CFT approach used or whether outcomes were due a combination of CBT and CFT. Although the intervention combined CBT and CFT in three sequential phases, it is interesting that depression and anxiety scores increased during the final CBT phase, which might give credence to the view that CFT was beneficial in alleviating self-reported depression and anxiety.

Further limitations pertain to possible sources of measurement error, including potential social desirability or acquiescence bias, as Ray was keen to co-operate and see improvements in his self-rated scores. Notwithstanding, Ray did not acquiesce and was able to articulate when he
did not want to complete outcome measures (hence the missing data in weeks 10 and 21). Other potential sources of measurement error include idiosyncratic transient factors, such as the effect of mood and pain (Viswanathan, 2005). Additional limitations include collecting baseline data during the assessment phase. It is possible that baseline scores may be impacted by rapport built during the assessment sessions or by very brief socialisation to the intervention, which could have impacted on the outcome. Moreover, outcome measures were not collected in all sessions; there were two sessions when Ray felt too distressed to complete them. It is not known how these data would have affected the validity of the findings (Resnik, Liu, Hart & Mor, 2008), although acknowledging Ray’s choice and promoting autonomy in therapy sessions was beneficial in enhancing the therapeutic relationship (Entwistle, Carter, Cribb & McCaffery, 2010). Additional limitations include the absence of follow-up data due to the therapist leaving the service; these data would have indicated longer-term change and would have increased study validity (von Allmen et al., 2015). In addition, the impact of medication taken for multimorbid physical and mental health conditions was not known. Each medicine has potential side-effects that could have impacted on physical and mental well-being, sleep, and memory etc. Although Ray’s memory difficulties were considered to be mild, in the absence of neuropsychological testing, it was not possible to assess the impact of memory difficulties on Ray’s ability to respond effectively to challenges.

**Reflections**

This work highlighted the value of using a case-formulation driven approach (Persons, 2005), which permitted planning and modifying treatment based on collaborative decision-making, in order to best meet Ray’s therapeutic needs. It was inordinately helpful to be given the opportunity to extend the duration of therapy when it became apparent during a review session that Ray would benefit from a phase of CFT, and then a further phase of CBT when stressful external events necessitated further anxiety management. As a trainee clinical psychologist, the author was perhaps less affected by service and resource constraints that might otherwise have restricted the duration of Ray’s therapy.

Ray was extremely thankful that he had the opportunity to develop a more compassionate way of thinking and to learn various tools to help him manage his difficulties better. Although anxiety and depression scores remained in the clinical range, Ray’s qualitative feedback indicated that combined CBT and CFT had been beneficial for him. One might question how much weight therapists should attribute to outcome measures compared with qualitative accounts of service-users’ experiences. In this case, Ray benefitted from the flexibility he was afforded by the therapist working with him for as long as he needed, which has implications for therapists wanting to meet the needs of individuals in the context of working in a resource-limited NHS.

In this case, a range of techniques were used in the CBT and CFT phases of the intervention. The trainee psychologist was keen to meet Ray’s expectations of wanting to learn a variety of techniques to help him manage his anxiety and low mood but, on reflection, it may have been beneficial to focus on fewer techniques and allow more time for them to be applied more consistently. This could, perhaps, have reduced the pressure and frustration Ray experienced in wanting to master the CBT techniques in the first phase of the intervention.

This work emphasised the value of psycho-education; it was especially helpful for Ray, who explained that he likes to ‘understand the science behind things’ to fully appreciate them. Psycho-education helped Ray to further his knowledge regarding stress and sleep hygiene in the CBT phases and understand the ‘tricky brain’, emotional regulation and the value of
soothing systems in the CFT phase (Gilbert, 2010). Ray was open in sharing difficulties he had with his memory and with reading, so it was relatively easy to use strategies such as memory aides and written instructions to help with homework tasks (Cox & D’Oyley, 2011). This emphasised the importance of checking what specific needs future service-users may have, and never assuming that adaptations do not need to be made.

**Key practice points**

- Increased stigma and shame about mental health difficulties may prevent older people from seeking help; interventions that attend to shame reduction (e.g. CFT) may be useful for this population.
- This case study has clinical implications for working with older adults with multimorbid physical and mental health conditions. Case formulation driven approaches coupled with flexibility in therapeutic intervention may be required to best meet the needs of service-users.
- This case highlights the value in drawing on compassion-focused model in conjunction with traditional CBT. Although anxiety and depression scores didn’t reach sub-clinical levels (in the context of an on-going stressful PIP assessment), they did reduce below the threshold for severe anxiety and depression, particularly during the CFT phase of the intervention.
- Older adults may present to mental health services with comorbid mental and physical health conditions that may impact on therapeutic engagement. Adaptations may need to be made, e.g. writing notes to aid memory difficulties.
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