The curious activity in the nucleus of NGC 4151: jet interaction causing variability?
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ABSTRACT
A key characteristic of many active galactic nuclei (AGN) is their variability, but its origin is poorly understood, especially in the radio domain. Williams et al. (2017) reported a ~50 per cent increase in peak flux density of the AGN in the Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151 at 1.5 GHz with the e-MERLIN array. We present new high resolution e-MERLIN observations at 5 GHz and compare these to archival MERLIN observations to investigate the reported variability. Our new observations allow us to probe the nuclear region at a factor three times higher-resolution than the previous e-MERLIN study. We separate the core component, C4, into three separate components: C4W, C4E and X. The AGN is thought to reside in component C4W, but this component has remained constant between epochs within uncertainties. However, we find that the Eastern-most component, C4E, has increased in peak flux density from 19.35±1.10 to 37.09±1.86 mJy/beam, representing a 8.2σ increase on the MERLIN observations. We attribute this peak flux density increase to continued interaction between the jet and the emission line region (ELR), observed for the first time in a low-luminosity AGN such as NGC 4151. We identify discrete resolved components at 5 GHz along the jet axis, which we interpret as areas of jet-ELR interaction.
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1 INTRODUCTION

Astrophysical radio jets are a common signature of the accretion process onto compact objects such as super-massive
black holes (SMBHs). Jets are responsible for mechanical “feedback” into their surroundings, triggering and quenching star formation as well as regulating galaxy evolution (e.g. Fabian 2012; Morganti et al. 2013). An accreting SMBH is known as an active galactic nucleus (AGN). The most powerful AGN such as those in Fanaroff-Riley Type I and Type II radio galaxies (Fanaroff & Riley 1974) host jets that can be launched at close to the speed of light (Begelman et al. 1984; Urry & Padovani 1995). However, in low-luminosity AGN (LLAGN), and radio-quiet AGN (defined by Keller-Ille radio galaxies (Fanaroff & Riley 1974)), compact radio emission and jet-like features have been observed, though often less collimated and less powerful (e.g. Nagar et al. 2000; Baldi et al. 2018). In such sources, the presence of compact nuclear radio emission has been attributed to a variety of different processes (see Panessa et al. 2019, for a review), including free-free/emission/absorption (Ulvestad & Ho 2001; Gallimore et al. 2004), a combination of a compact radio jet plus an advection dominated accretion flow (ADAF, Falcke & Markoff 2000), a standard geometrically-thin optically-thick accretion disk (Shakura & Sunyaev 1973; Ghisellini et al. 2004) or outflowing material at relatively low velocities (Giroletti et al. 2017; Laor et al. 2019). To resolve these different emission processes in nearby LLAGN, high-resolution radio interferometers such as e-MERLIN are necessary to separate the core component from circum-nuclear star formation.

Radio variability has been observed in luminous AGN (e.g. Hufnagel & Bregman 1992) and is a useful tool for discriminating between the different radio emission mechanisms (Panessa et al. 2019). In some cases, the radio variability is found to be related to activity in the jet, rather than in the self-absorbed synchrotron emitting core component attributed to the AGN (e.g. in NGC 1052, Baczko et al. 2016, 2019). However, due to their intrinsic radio-weakness, variability studies of LLAGN have been limited to small samples of the best known sources, predominantly Seyfert galaxies (Wrobel 2000; Nagar et al. 2002; Mundell et al. 2009; Bell et al. 2011). Variability of up to 40 per cent has been reported in half of a sample of Seyfert galaxies over a seven year period (Mundell et al. 2009), while almost no variability has been reported in some other cases (e.g. NGC 4051, Jones et al. 2011). Shorter, month-long variability has been detected in the Seyfert galaxy NGC 5548, (Wrobel 2000), and weak radio variability has also been detected on a few days timescales in radio-quiet AGN systems (Anderson & Ulvestad 2005; Baldi et al. 2015). However, only a handful of variability studies have been undertaken at decade-long timescales or longer and in most cases the variability has been attributed to the emission from the core.

Recently, a change of radio flux density has been reported for the core of the radio-quiet Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151. In MERLIN and e-MERLIN resolution maps (Pedlar et al. 1993; Williams et al. 2017) the components are labelled from C1 to C5 and the unresolved core is C4. Higher resolution VLBI observations (Ulvestad et al. 1998; Mundell et al. 2003; Ulvestad et al. 2005a) resolve some of these components into multiple smaller components. The VLBI components are labelled A to I. The correspondence between the VLBI and MERLIN/e-MERLIN components is shown in Fig.1 of Mundell et al. (2003). In this paper we use both conventions, which we also illustrate in Fig.1 here.

The central component, C4, unresolved in e-MERLIN observations at 150 mas at 1.5 GHz, increased in peak flux density from ~37 mJy/beam (Mundell et al. 1995) to ~67 mJy/beam (Williams et al. 2017), over a period of 22 years. We obtained new 5 GHz observations of NGC 4151 with e-MERLIN (proposal ID: CY6219), which probes angular resolutions up to 50 mas, improving the angular resolution of the observations of Williams et al. (2017) by a factor of three. This allows us to investigate the varying radio components in the galaxy core at higher imaging fidelity.

We assume a distance to NGC 4151 of 19 Mpc, (Hönig et al. 2014). This paper is structured as follows: In Section 2 we describe the new e-MERLIN data and reduction procedures. In Section 3 we show our results and in Section 4, discuss their implications on the AGN core position in NGC 4151 and Section 5 lists our conclusions.

2 OBSERVATIONS AND DATA REDUCTION

NGC 4151 was observed on 2017 July 19 and correlated at Jodrell Bank, using all e-MERLIN stations except the Lovell telescope. The observing set-up was centred at 5.07 GHz, using a total bandwidth of 512 MHz and split into four spectral windows (spws). The target field was observed for 3.15 hours in 6.5 minute scans, interleaved with 2.5 minute scans of the phase calibrator NVSSJ115354+403652 (J1153+4036, RA: 11h53m54s.6594, Dec: +40°36’52.61”’). The standard e-MERLIN band pass (QQ208) and flux density (3C286) calibrators were observed at the beginning of the observing run for 14 minutes and 25 minutes respectively. Unfortunately, the data for the Mark II telescope did not provide any phase information and thus the antenna was flagged; Pickmere was used as a reference antenna. The remaining five antennas generally showed good phase stability. The data were calibrated with version 0.7.9 of the CASA e-MERLIN pipeline1.

The CASA e-MERLIN pipeline is similar to the previous AIPS data reduction procedure outlined in Williams et al. (2017), (see also Westcott et al. 2017; Baldi et al. 2018; Williams et al. 2019) for e-MERLIN continuum data: the pipeline performs standard calibration of e-MERLIN data by flagging radio frequency interference (RFI) using AOfLAGGER (Offringa et al. 2012), solving the delays of the antennas, performing phase and amplitude calibration, bootstrapping the flux density scaling, fitting and applying a band pass and making preliminary images and diagnostic plots, ready for further imaging and self-calibration of the target fields.

The imaging procedures were conducted in CASA using the CASA task tclean. We performed a standard phase self-calibration on the target source and found some amplitude errors on the shortest baselines between the Pickmere and Darnhall telescopes, which we then flagged from the data. By removing this information, we are less sensitive to the diffuse emission along the jet, but are still able to resolve small compact components of emission. When no further improvements through self-calibration could be made, a final image was produced with natural weighting. An rms noise level of 70µJy/beam was achieved for the e-MERLIN

1 Online documentation can be found at: https://github.com/e-merlin/CASA-e-MERLIN_pipeline/wiki
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Figure 1. Naturally weighted full resolution e-MERLIN 5 GHz image of the central 2.0″×3.75″ (~180×340 pc) of NGC 4151. The e-MERLIN synthesized beam is shown as the filled ellipse in the bottom left hand corner: 0.07″×0.05″ with a P.A. of -24°. Contours are set at the rms noise level, 70μJy/beam × -2.5, 2.5, 5, 10, 20, 40, 80, 160, 320, 500. The bold, blue, labels below (i.e., south of) the components (A to I) refer to the labels from higher resolution VLBA observations (Mundell et al. 2003). The black labels above (i.e., north of) the components (C1 to C5) refer to components from lower resolution MERLIN and e-MERLIN observations (Pedlar et al. 1993; Williams et al. 2017). The component properties are listed in Table 1.

2.1 Archival MERLIN data

NGC 4151 was observed by MERLIN, the predecessor to e-MERLIN, on 1991 September 12 at 4.993 GHz with a bandwidth of 7 MHz. These data are published in Pedlar et al. (1993) at a reduced resolution of 75 mas. We refer the reader to Pedlar et al. (1993) for the details of the 1991 observation and data reduction procedures. We obtained the calibrated Pedlar et al. archival data from Jodrell Bank with the goal of imaging them at the same resolution and uv-range as our new e-MERLIN data, to ensure that any observed flux density was not sensitive to diffuse emission larger that 0.5″ in size. The new e-MERLIN data are not sensitive to diffuse emission larger that 0.5″ in size. We removed antennas from both datasets that only participated in one observation. Finally, we fixed the restoring beam of both datasets to the same major and minor axes, as well as position angle. As the full resolution MERLIN data resulted in a circular beam of 0.05″, we cleaned both datasets with a restoring beam of 0.07″ × 0.05″ and a P.A. equal to that of the new e-MERLIN data.

2.2 uv-matching and imaging the datasets

To ensure that any changes in flux density are genuine and comparison between the two epochs is robust, we ensured that the e-MERLIN and MERLIN datasets used the same uv-information (see Section 2.1.3 of Williams et al. 2017, for details of the procedure performed for the 1.5 GHz data). As the uv-coverage of the new e-MERLIN array is better than that of MERLIN, we first matched the uv-coverage of the two datasets, using the same uv-range (400-3500kλ). This range was chosen to use all of the long baseline information to ensure the highest possible resolution images, but to remove amplitude errors found on the shortest baseline between Pickmere and Darnhall in the e-MERLIN data. As a consequence, this uv-range corresponds to angular scales of 0.05-0.5″, which means the new e-MERLIN data are not sensitive to diffuse emission larger that 0.5″ in size. We removed antennas from both datasets that only participated in one observation. Finally, we fixed the restoring beam of both datasets to the same major and minor axes, as well as position angle. As the full resolution MERLIN data resulted in a circular beam of 0.05″, we cleaned both datasets with a restoring beam of 0.07″ × 0.05″ and a P.A. equal to that of the new e-MERLIN data.

The now defunct Mark III (Wardle) antenna did not take part in the 1991 observations, and we removed the Mark II antenna from the 1991 data as it was not present in the 2017 e-MERLIN data.
the full resolution e-MERLIN data, in order to preserve as much resolution along the jet axis as possible, while avoiding a super-resolution of either dataset.

The final MERLIN image reached an rms-noise value of 500 $\mu$Jy/beam. This noise is higher than that reported in Pedlar et al. (1993) ($\sim$100 $\mu$Jy/beam) and is likely due to the reduced $uv$-range used in these data and removal of the Mark II telescope. As such, we are unable to make an image of the entire jet structure as the $uv$-range cut and lack of short spacing antennas means we are no longer sensitive to the diffuse emission along the jet axis shown by Pedlar et al. (1993). We show the MERLIN image of the detected components C3 and C4 in Fig. 2. We show the $uv$-limited e-MERLIN image for the same region of the jet in Fig. 3.

2.3 Fitting components in the data

The flux densities of all the components were extracted using the IMFIT task in CASA, which fits a two-dimensional Gaussian to the regions of interest. For sources where the component profiles are merged or overlap, e.g. components C4E/C4W, we fix the source size to that of the beam and fit both the positions and flux densities of the sources simultaneously. The flux density uncertainties obtained from the fitting procedure are added in quadrature to a 5 per cent flux density bootstrapping uncertainty for MERLIN/e-MERLIN data (Rampadarath et al. 2018). We report the extracted flux densities and associated uncertainties from the $uv$-matched datasets and are reported in Table 1.

2.4 Radio Spectra

As an additional analysis, we split the e-MERLIN band into two halves, in order to create an in-band spectral index image using the CASA task IMMATH (grey-scale plot in Fig. 3). The spectral index is defined as $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$. As the e-MERLIN band at 5 GHz is 512 MHz, there is a decrease in sensitivity across the band of $\sim$7 per cent, assuming a spectral index of -0.7 is used, applicable for radio galaxies. To ensure that the spectral index values are robust, we require that the difference across the band is at least 3 $\times$ the image rms noise level of 0.07 mJy/beam. Therefore, as 7 per cent of the band is at least 0.21 mJy/beam, then the total flux density must be at least $\sim$3 mJy/beam. Hence, we removed all spectral index values for pixels with a flux density below this level. This step allows us to have confidence that the spectral index values for the detected components are robust and correct. The 3 mJy/beam cut off only leaves in-band spectral index values for components C3, C4E and C4W. We estimate uncertainties on the spectral index of each component by fitting a Gaussian to the pixel values in the spectral index image and report the full-width at half maximum value as the 1$\sigma$ error. The spectral indices of the components detected above the 3$\sigma$ level are shown in Table 1.

3 RESULTS

We present the full resolution e-MERLIN image in Fig. 1. Listed above the components are the component names used in this paper, which are based off the names from previous studies at lower resolution (Carral et al. 1990; Williams et al. 2017). We add additional letters to distinguish Eastern and Western components. Below the components in Fig. 1, we show the component names used in VLBA studies at MNRAS 000, 1–9 (2015).
higher resolution (Ulvestad et al. 1998; Mundell et al. 2003). The increased sensitivity of the new e-MERLIN observations over the archival MERLIN data allows us to investigate additional compact components along the jet axis (see Section 4.2). We now break the radio jets into three parts, to describe their morphological properties, flux densities and spectra. The Western jet contains components C1 through C2, the nuclear region includes components C3 and C4, and the Eastern jet as components C5 to C6.

3.1 The Western Jet
Component A (also known as C1), is the first component of the Western jet, furthest away from the nucleus. It has a peak flux density of 0.66±0.08 mJy/beam, and a similar integrated flux density, indicating that it is unresolved. Component B splits into two separate compact components in our 5 GHz data, which we label C2W and C2E. A hint of this break can be seen in the 1.5 GHz e-MERLIN images (Fig. 3 in Williams et al. 2017), but is strengthened by the appearance of two regions in the VLBA/VLA images at 21 cm. The Western component (C2W) is unresolved and is only detected at ~4σ at a peak flux density of 0.29±0.07 mJy/beam in the e-MERLIN data. The Eastern component of C2 on the other hand is extended north-south, and has a peak flux density of 0.47±0.07 mJy/beam. Due to the low flux density of all components in the Western jet, we were unable to ascertain a spectral index for these components.

3.2 The Nuclear Region
The uv-matched MERLIN and e-MERLIN images of the central core components C3 and C4 are presented in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. The brightest features of both these components are detected in both the archival MERLIN and the new e-MERLIN 5 GHz data. C3 (C) is slightly resolved in the east-west direction and it has a peak flux density of 4.56±0.26 mJy/beam in the new e-MERLIN data, which represents a 2.7σ decrease from the archival MERLIN data, when it was 6.32±0.61 mJy/beam. The in-band e-MERLIN spectral index is -1.19±0.76, indicating it is likely spectrally steep, but the uncertainties are large.

Component C4 is separated into four distinct components in the 21 cm VLBA images: X, D (C4W) and E (C4E) and F. We detect all bar component F, which is likely connected with component E in the VLBA data (see Fig. 2 of Mundell et al. 2003), but is faint, diffuse and possibly also resolved in our e-MERLIN data. Moving west-to-east, component X is faint but detected at ≥10σ, with a peak flux density of 0.92±0.08 mJy/beam. It is slightly extended in the east-west direction. It should be noted that the full resolution images shown in Pedlar et al. 1993 hint at an extension that could plausibly be related to component X, but we do not detect this component in the re-reduced MERLIN images above a 3σ threshold. This is likely due to the reduction in uv-range for our images. As such, it is not possible to investigate whether it has varied between epochs. Similarly, this source is below the cut-off level in the spectral index e-MERLIN image, so we cannot draw any robust conclusions on the spectra in this component.

The AGN is thought to reside in Component C4W (D) (see Discussion), and it is detected in the MERLIN data (3.82±0.54 mJy/beam) and the e-MERLIN data (5.38±0.28 mJy/beam), indicating a tentative increase of 2.6σ in flux density between epochs. This component also has a spectral index of -0.02±0.34, indicating it is spectrally flat. Component C4E is the brightest of the three detected components at a peak flux density of 37.09±1.86 mJy/beam. In the MERLIN data, this component was detected at a peak flux density of 19.35±1.10 mJy/beam, which indicates that this component has nearly doubled in the 25 year period between the two epochs and represents a change of 8.2σ. The radio spectrum of this source (-0.19±0.17) indicates that this component is possibly spectrally steeper than component C4W.

3.3 The Eastern Jet
C5, the largest component from Mundell et al. (2003) is split into three components spanning ~1″: G, H and I in the VLBA/VLA images. Component G is not detected in our e-MERLIN images to a 3σ rms-noise limit of 0.21 mJy/beam, but it is one of the faintest and more diffuse components, which our data is less sensitive to. Component H separates into two components in our e-MERLIN images, which we delineate once more into east and west components. The Western component of H is detected at 0.49±0.08 mJy/beam and the Eastern component has a peak flux density of 0.67±0.08 mJy/beam. Finally, component I is detected also as a compact, unresolved point source with a peak flux density of 0.55±0.08 mJy/beam. None of the components in the Eastern jet were detected in the re-analysed MERLIN data, and similar to the Western jet, and the flux densities in the e-MERLIN data are too low to reach a reliable conclusion for their spectra either.

4 DISCUSSION
Previous VLBI studies of NGC 4151 at GHz frequencies have suggested that the AGN resides unresolved inside either component C4E (Pedlar et al. 1993; Ulvestad et al. 1998) or C4W (Mundell et al. 1995; Ulvestad et al. 2005b). The first VLBI observations of NGC 4151 showed that component E, unresolved inside C4E, was the brightest component at 1.5 GHz and separates into several smaller components that are perpendicular to the overall jet axis (Ulvestad et al. 1998). Hence it was thought that the jet emerged from the AGN in component E and then bent at nearly right angles to form the rest of the observed jet. However, further VLBI studies at multiple frequencies showed that the radio spectral index of component E was steep and therefore unlikely to be the site of the AGN (Ulvestad et al. 2005b). In the same work, component D, unresolved inside component C4W, was shown to separate into three distinct components. The central component of D3, D3b, has a flat spectral index, a flux density of 3.0±0.4 mJy and a brightness temperature, T_b > 10^8 K, indicative of an AGN. Furthermore, in HI studies of NGC 4151, Mundell et al. (1995) showed that the absorbing HI column towards component E/C4E was several orders of magnitude larger than that towards component D/C4W, indicating that component E/C4E lay behind the obscuring medium of the torus and therefore could not be the AGN.
They observed “banana-like” structures in components C4E and C3, indicative of interaction with dense clouds of gas in the emission-line region (ELR) close to the AGN. Thus the consensus now is that the AGN most likely resides in the first jet component that is interacting with the ELR.

Our new e-MERLIN data show that the radio component C4W has not significantly varied over the course of 25 years (±0.26 change). Furthermore, it has a radio spectral index consistent with being an AGN, in agreement with the previous studies. The e-MERLIN data presented here are unable to challenge the interpretation of the AGN being in component C4W.

4.1 Explaining the variability in C4E

Component C4E has clearly doubled in flux density and is responsible for the majority of the flux density increase observed in the lower-resolution e-MERLIN studies. In addition C4E has a slightly steeper radio spectral index, but is also consistent with being spectrally flat, given the uncertainties. We now consider what may be causing the change in flux density in C4E, focussing on processes not related to accretion. These include shock radio emission from interaction of the jet with dense clouds in the extended emission line region (ELR, e.g. Middelberg et al. 2007), and continued acceleration of particles along the jet (e.g. Blandford et al. 2019).

If the flux density increase in C4E is interpreted as due to shocks from jet-ELR interaction, multi-frequency VLBI observations should reveal a significantly brighter component, an extended morphology to that observed in Ulvestad et al. (2005a), and possibly a flat spectrum ‘hot spot’ of emission with a steeper surrounding spectral index. If the observed flux density change is due to further particle acceleration in the jet, we would expect a flat spectrum source. We note that our e-MERLIN data is not of high enough resolution to distinguish between these two scenarios. Thus, VLBI observations are the only way to break the degeneracy of the exact cause of the change in flux density in this component, assuming it is not directly related to the AGN. For this purpose, we have obtained proprietary VLBI and EVN data (PI: Panessa, project EP113) which we will publish in a subsequent paper (Panessa et al. in prep.).

4.2 Testing the adiabatic expansion hypothesis in component C3

Component C3 (C) is the only component in the data to have decreased in flux density between the two epochs of data, from 6.32±0.61 to 4.56±0.26 mJy/beam, though we note that this only represents a change of 2.7σ. Williams et al. (2017) attribute the decrease in flux density to adiabatic expansion. A description of this method is found in Section 3.4 and 3.5 of Williams et al. (2017). We note that while the two epochs have slightly different central frequencies, this difference would only lead to a 1 per cent change in flux density.

Using the component size for C3 (58.1mas × 20.6mas) from the present 5 GHz e-MERLIN observations, and following the method outlined in Williams et al. (2017), we derive a magnetic field strength of 0.9 mG and a synchrotron cooling timescale (τ ∝ B−1.5γ−0.5) of ~2.17×10^4 years. We note, however, that a tighter limit of 700 years is still provided by the VLBI observations (Ulvestad et al. 1998; Mundell et al. 2003; Ulvestad et al. 2005a) which were discussed in Williams et al. (2017). We therefore reaffirm that synchrotron cooling cannot explain the flux density decrease in component C3.

We now turn to understanding the flux density de-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comp.</th>
<th>e-MERLIN Right Ascension</th>
<th>e-MERLIN Declination</th>
<th>e-MERLIN Peak Flux Density mJy/beam</th>
<th>e-MERLIN Int Flux mJy</th>
<th>MERLIN Peak Flux Density mJy/beam</th>
<th>MERLIN Int Flux mJy</th>
<th>Spectral Index</th>
<th>Peak Flux Density Change (σ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1 (A)</td>
<td>12 10 32.441</td>
<td>+39 24 20.64</td>
<td>0.66±0.08</td>
<td>0.70±0.15</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2W (B)</td>
<td>12 10 32.391</td>
<td>+39 24 20.73</td>
<td>0.29±0.07</td>
<td>0.34±0.14</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2E (B)</td>
<td>12 10 32.503</td>
<td>+39 24 20.90</td>
<td>0.47±0.07</td>
<td>0.53±0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3 (C)</td>
<td>12 10 32.543</td>
<td>+39 24 21.02</td>
<td>4.56±0.26</td>
<td>7.31±0.49</td>
<td>6.32±0.61</td>
<td>9.50±1.29</td>
<td>-1.19±0.76</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4 (X)</td>
<td>12 10 32.566</td>
<td>+39 24 21.05</td>
<td>0.92±0.08</td>
<td>1.36±0.20</td>
<td>1.7±0.21</td>
<td>2.1±0.48</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4W (D)</td>
<td>12 10 32.576</td>
<td>+39 24 21.07</td>
<td>5.38±0.28</td>
<td>5.62±0.31</td>
<td>3.82±0.54</td>
<td>5.0±1.1</td>
<td>-0.02±0.34</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4E (E)</td>
<td>12 10 32.563</td>
<td>+39 24 21.07</td>
<td>37.09±1.86</td>
<td>43.70±2.19</td>
<td>19.35±1.10</td>
<td>26.4±1.7</td>
<td>-0.19±0.17</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5W (H)</td>
<td>12 10 32.653</td>
<td>+39 24 21.33</td>
<td>0.49±0.08</td>
<td>1.10±0.25</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5E (H)</td>
<td>12 10 32.662</td>
<td>+39 24 21.40</td>
<td>0.67±0.08</td>
<td>0.86±0.16</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5 (I)</td>
<td>12 10 32.693</td>
<td>+39 24 21.39</td>
<td>0.55±0.08</td>
<td>0.60±0.13</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. New e-MERLIN 5 GHz positions, flux densities and spectral indices of all components identified in Fig. 3, as well as MERLIN flux densities for the detected components obtained from Fig. 2, all obtained from the re-matched datasets. The uncertainties on the flux densities are found from the 2D Gaussian fitting procedure in CASA called IMFIT. We report the flux density uncertainties which include the flux density bootstrapping uncertainty from the flux density calibrator 3C286, thought to be no more than 5 per cent. The flux densities are obtained from the 2D Gaussian fitting procedure in IMFIT, the MERLIN and e-MERLIN data, and the fitting uncertainty from IMFIT, added in quadrature. The flux density change is calculated using the equations in Zhou et al. (2006); Bruni et al. (2012). The positions listed in this table are typically accurate to a ~10 mas, or approximately 20 per cent of the synthesized beam.
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crease from adiabatic expansion of component C3. Following Scheuer & Williams (1968), the change in flux density is related to a simple linear expansion factor, $F$, and the spectral index, $\alpha$, as $F^{\alpha-1}$. Therefore, to explain the change in flux density of $\sim 26\%$ between the two epochs, we require a linear expansion factor of $F \sim 1.04 - 1.05$, which is a similar value to that calculated by Williams et al. (2017), and yields an expansion velocity of $\sim 1700\text{km s}^{-1}$, qualitatively the same as that calculated by Williams et al. (2017).

4.3 NGC 4151 and jets in other low luminosity AGN

Radio jets are seen in other low luminosity AGN, e.g. NGC 1052 (Baczko et al. 2016, 2019; Nakahara et al. 2020). However there are significant differences between both the jet properties and the host galaxy properties between NGC 4151 and these other AGN. For example, in NGC 1052 jet speeds of $\sim 0.34\text{c}$ (western jet) and $\sim 0.53\text{c}$ (eastern jet) are found whereas in NGC 4151 no detectable movement is found (Uvelstad et al. 2005a). Also in NGC 1052, the overall morphologies change over a four year period and flux density variations in jet components are seen on that timescale (Baczko et al. 2016, 2019). Moreover the radio luminosity of NGC 1052 is $100 \times$ that of NGC 4151 and is unequivocally a radio-loud object (Kadler et al. 2004). However although NGC 4151 and NGC 1052 have similar black hole masses ($\log(\text{MBH}) = 7.7$ and 8.2, respectively), they reside in very different host galaxies: NGC 4151 is a Seyfert 1.5 spiral galaxy whereas NGC 1052 is classified as an elliptical LINER galaxy. Thus the majority of the nuclear emission in NGC 4151 is probably powered by an efficient accretion flow whereas that in NGC 1052 is likely powered by an inefficient ADAF flow which more naturally launches jets (e.g. see Narayan & Yi 1994; Yuan & Narayan 2014, and references therein). For a Seyfert galaxy, NGC 4151 is particularly radio luminous (Nagar et al. 2005). Although usually classed as ‘radio-quiet’, it is occasionally classed as radio loud (Zdziarski et al. 2000; Kadler et al. 2004). It also has a relatively low accretion rate ($\sim 2\%\text{c} Eddington$) (McHardy et al. 2018) for a Seyfert galaxy and so may be a transition object between radio loud LINERs and radio quiet Seyferts (Mahmoud & Done 2020).

4.4 Resolving new components along the jet

The higher sensitivity of our new 5 GHz e-MERLIN images have allowed us to clearly resolve compact radio components along the jet, such as C1, C2, C5 and C6, seen previously in the lower-resolution studies. The images presented in Pedlar et al. 1993 are more sensitive to the diffuse emission at 5 GHz, but, due to the higher frequency and lack of uv spacings below 400k$\lambda$, in our new e-MERLIN images, the diffuse emission is resolved out. It is likely that the jet is continuous, as seen in the 21 cm VLBA and phased VLA images of Mundell et al. 2003, which are sensitive to a similar angular scale (40mas synthesized beam) as our data presented here along the jet spine in Fig. 1. Hence, our images show compact hot spots along the jet spine, which are embedded in much larger diffuse components which are resolved out in our images.

As the 21 cm Mundell et al. (2003) data are of a similar resolution to our 5 GHz e-MERLIN data, we are able to reach general conclusions about the individual components along the jet axis, by considering the radio spectra between the two datasets. All of the components in Fig. 1 appear to align closely with components in the Mundell et al. (2003) image and have flux densities in the range $\sim 1-2\text{mJy beam}^{-1}$. Given that the peak flux densities in all of these components are $1\text{mJy beam}^{-1}$ in the 5 GHz e-MERLIN data, this implies a steeper spectral index ($\alpha < 0$). For example, for the brightest and faintest e-MERLIN components in either the Western or Eastern jets, C5E and C2W respectively, the spectral index ranges from $\sim -0.3$ to $\sim -1.0$. These values are only representative, but are qualitatively consistent with those found by Williams et al. (2017) for the same components. The components along the jet spine are likely impact regions where the jet interacts with the extended emission line region and their steeper spectral indices are likely indicative of synchrotron ageing due to radiative losses (Condon & Ransom 2016).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We present new 5 GHz e-MERLIN observations of the radio-quiet Seyfert galaxy NGC 4151, achieving $70\mu Jy\text{rms sensitivity}$ and $\sim 0.05\text{arcsec}$ resolution along the jet axis. We find that the Eastern component, C4E, is responsible for the majority of the increase in flux density in the nucleus of NGC 4151 reported in Williams et al. (2017). Component C4W, generally favoured as the most likely location of the AGN, has not varied significantly between epochs (2.6σ increase). We compare the flux density to archival MERLIN data from 1991 (Pedlar et al. 1993) and find that when comparing like-for-like uv-coverage, component C4E has increased by a factor of $\sim 2$, representing a 8.2σ increase. Furthermore, we calculate in-band spectral indices for all of the detected e-MERLIN components at 5 GHz, which show that component C4W is consistent with being spectrally flat ($\alpha = 0.02 \pm 0.34$), whereas component C4E is slightly steeper ($\alpha = 0.19 \pm 0.17$) and C3 is spectrally steeper still ($\alpha = -1.19 \pm 0.76$). Owing to the improved sensitivity of e-MERLIN, component X is detected in the nuclear region for the first time at 5 GHz, but due to the low flux density, we are unable to produce a reliable spectral index image or variability constraints.

We interpret the increase in flux density of component C4E as most likely due to a region of particle acceleration or continued jet-ELR interaction causing shock excited radio emission. This scenario will be tested using further high-resolution radio interferometers such as the VLBA and EVN. We emphasise that multiple frequency observations are best for future radio studies, as the radio spectral index, $\alpha$, will be important in discerning the cause of the increase in radio emission. We also test the adiabatic expansion hypothesis suggested by Williams et al. (2017), and find it consistent with our new data, though we note that the flux decrease of this component is only significant to 2.7σ. Furthermore, to probe the compact regions further along the jet spine than previously performed at this frequency with the MERLIN interferometer. By comparing to the VLBA/VLA data of Mundell et al. (2003), with a similar angular resolution as e-MERLIN at 5 GHz, we are able to give rough estimates
on the spectral index for these components, which indicate that these are likely hotspots of interaction regions along the jet spine. These hotspots are likely embedded within a more diffuse structure as the jet of NGC 4151 is continuous as shown by Mundell et al. (2003) and other studies with higher sensitivity from longer observations. The inclusion of the Lovell and possibly the VLA would be needed to explore the diffuse emission further with e-MERLIN.

This work emphasises the need for high-resolution studies to fully understand the nature of variability in LLAGN. Previous radio variability studies of LLAGN have had mixed results (Wrobel 2000; Nagar et al. 2002; Anderson & Ulvestad 2005; Mundell et al. 2009; Jones et al. 2011; Bell et al. 2011; Baldi et al. 2015), providing evidence for and against nuclear variability. For the most part, these studies have assumed that the variability is due only to the AGN. However, our observations of NGC 4151 show the need for high-resolution radio interferometry in nearby LLAGN as it is plausible that previous studies of changes in flux density are are potentially unrelated to AGN activity, and can be attributed to regions in the jet. Such variability caused by indirect AGN activity can have important implications for waveband scaling relationships for AGN and therefore further investigation is needed to fully understand the origin of variability in radio-quiet AGN.
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