Citation for published version:

Bailey, DM, Williams, C, Betts, J, Thompson, D & Hurst, T 2011, 'Oxidative stress, inflammation and recovery of muscle function after damaging exercise: Effect of 6-weeks mixed antioxidant supplementation', *European Journal of Applied Physiology*, vol. 111, no. 6, pp. 925-936. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-010-1718-x>

DOI:

[10.1007/s00421-010-1718-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00421-010-1718-x)

Publication date:

2011

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

The original publication is available at www.springerlink.com

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

**Title: Oxidative Stress, Inflammation and Recovery of
Muscle Function after Damaging Exercise: Effect of
6-weeks Mixed Antioxidant Supplementation.**

Authors: David M. Bailey¹

Clyde Williams¹

James A. Betts²

Dylan Thompson²

Tina L. Hurst³

Affiliation: ¹ School of Sport, Exercise & Health Sciences, Loughborough University, UK

² Human Physiology Research Group, University of Bath, UK

³ Unilever Discovery, Colworth Park, Sharnbrook, Bedfordshire, UK.

Contact: Dr James A. Betts

Tel: +44 1225 383 448

Fax: +44 1225 383 275

Email: **J.Betts@bath.ac.uk**

This work was supported by a research grant from Unilever R & D.

ABSTRACT

There is no consensus regarding the effects of mixed antioxidant vitamin C and/or vitamin E supplementation on oxidative stress responses to exercise and restoration of muscle function. Thirty-eight men were randomly assigned to receive either placebo group (n=18) or mixed antioxidant (primarily vitamin C & E) supplements (n=20) in a double-blind manner. After 6-weeks, participants performed 90 minutes of intermittent shuttle-running. Peak isometric torque of the knee flexors/extensors and range of motion at this joint were determined before and after exercise, with recovery of these variables tracked for up to 168 h post-exercise. Antioxidant supplementation elevated pre-exercise plasma vitamin C ($93 \pm 8 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$) and vitamin E ($11 \pm 3 \mu\text{mol} \cdot \text{l}^{-1}$) concentrations relative to baseline ($P < 0.001$) and the placebo group ($P \leq 0.02$). Exercise reduced peak isometric torque (i.e. 9-19% relative to baseline; $P \leq 0.001$), which persisted for the first 48 hours of recovery with no difference between treatment groups. In contrast, changes in the urine concentration of F₂-isoprostanes responded differently to each treatment ($P = 0.04$), with a tendency for higher concentrations after 48 h of recovery in the supplemented group ($6.2 \pm 6.1 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$ versus $3.7 \pm 3.4 \text{ ng} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$). Vitamin C & E supplementation also affected serum cortisol concentrations, with an attenuated increase from baseline to the peak values reached after 1 h of recovery compared with the placebo group ($P = 0.02$) and serum interleukin-6 concentrations were higher after 1 h of recovery in the antioxidant group ($11.3 \pm 3.4 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$) than the placebo group ($6.2 \pm 3.8 \text{ pg} \cdot \text{ml}^{-1}$; $P = 0.05$). Combined vitamin C & E supplementation did not reduce markers of oxidative stress or inflammation nor did it facilitate recovery of muscle function after exercise-induced muscle damage.

Key Words: ASCORBIC ACID, RRR- α -TOCOPHEROL, CYTOKINES, MUSCLE DAMAGE

INTRODUCTION

Exercise places a degree of mechanical and metabolic stress on the body, which jointly contribute to a commonly-experienced sub-clinical pathological response involving oxidative stress and subsequent inflammation (Pyne 1994). On one hand, this response plays a key role in the repair and regeneration of tissue as part of a long-term adaptive process, such that any interventions designed to acutely attenuate these responses have impaired training adaptations in some (Gomez-Cabrera et al. 2008) but not all (Yfanti et al. 2010) studies. However, when coupled with an awareness of the distinction between physiological responses to chronic physical training and pathophysiological responses to an acute excessive overload of exercise (Brigelius-Flohe 2009), this view can be balanced against the more immediate implications of exercise-induced muscle damage in terms of transient sensitisation of afferent nerve endings, compromised integrity of myofibrillar contractile proteins and reduced insulin action (Friden and Lieber 1992; Kirwan et al. 1992; Smith 1991). The resultant pain and impairment of muscular function and muscle glycogen resynthesis (Costill et al. 1990) after initial tissue injury thus have relevance to, for example: a relatively sedentary individual at the outset of an exercise program; a habitual exerciser planning a single novel activity beyond their usual regimen; and/or an athlete returning to sport after injury. These populations would benefit from interventions to alleviate such symptoms in the short-term (Howatson and van Someren 2008), either to facilitate adherence to an exercise program or to offset decrements in performance.

Antioxidant vitamins are prime examples of such interventions. Our previous work provided evidence for a modest beneficial effect of 2-weeks vitamin C supplementation in relation to changes in muscle function/soreness and certain aspects of oxidative stress (i.e. lipid peroxidation) and inflammation (i.e. cytokine response) after intermittent exercise (Thompson et al. 2001). This benefit of vitamin C alone is consistent with other studies that have observed improved recovery of maximum voluntary contraction (Jakeman and Maxwell 1993) and

evidence of reduced lipid peroxidation (Alessio et al. 1997) following exercise-induced muscle damage. Interestingly, the latter of these studies also observed a benefit of 21-days supplementation with vitamin C even relative to vitamin E (Jakeman and Maxwell 1993). This result is of relevance given that others have reported independent effects of vitamin E in terms of reducing post-exercise markers of lipid peroxidation (i.e. urinary excretion of thiobarbituric acid adducts; Meydani et al. 1993) and secretion of cytokines involved in the inflammatory process, such as interleukin-6 and -1β (Cannon et al. 1991). In contrast, when the activity of plasma creatine kinase has been used as an indirect measure of exercise-induced muscle damage, vitamin E supplementation alone has not reduced the efflux of this enzyme into the circulation (Cannon et al. 1990; Warren et al. 1992).

In addition to the effects of ingesting single antioxidants, more recent studies have explored the potential benefits of mixed antioxidants that are popular commercial products and may offer better synergism between various interrelated antioxidant networks *in vivo* (Powers et al. 2010). Most commonly, vitamin C (ascorbic acid) and vitamin E (α -tocopherol) have been co-ingested (Bloomer et al. 2007; Bloomer et al. 2006; Dawson et al. 2002; Fischer et al. 2004; Howatson et al. 2009; Mastaloudis et al. 2004; Mastaloudis et al. 2006; Petersen et al. 2001; Rokitzki et al. 1994), although others have examined combined vitamin C and E supplements that also include smaller quantities of vitamin A (α -/ β -carotene) precursors (Kanter et al. 1993; Machefer et al. 2007; Schroder et al. 2000) or selenium (Goldfarb et al. 2005), among other ingredients (i.e. lutein, zinc and magnesium; Teixeira et al. 2009). These supplements have been evaluated using different types of exercise and, while some studies have reported reduced evidence of oxidative stress associated with vitamin C & E supplementation (Bloomer et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2004; Goldfarb et al. 2005; Machefer et al. 2007; Mastaloudis et al. 2004; Schroder et al. 2000), others report no difference relative to placebo supplementation (Bloomer et al. 2007; Bloomer et al. 2006; Dawson et al. 2002; Kanter et al. 1993; Rokitzki et al. 1994; Teixeira et al. 2009). There appears to be more consistent results in relation to inflammatory

77 responses; the majority of studies report no effect of vitamin C & E supplementation on systemic
78 cytokine responses following exercise (Bloomer et al. 2007; Mastaloudis et al. 2004; Petersen et
79 al. 2001; Teixeira et al. 2009), although others have shown reduced concentrations of
80 interleukin-6 and interleukin-1ra (Fischer et al. 2004). Interestingly, that study also elegantly
81 illustrated that this effect on interleukin-6 was attributable primarily to a net reduction in release
82 from previously exercised skeletal muscle (Fischer et al. 2004).

83
84 Notwithstanding the equivocal evidence regarding the effects of vitamin C & E
85 supplementation on oxidative stress and inflammation, direct histological examination of muscle
86 tissue via electron microscopy has not revealed any less ultrastructural disruption after exercise
87 when supplemented with these vitamins (Dawson et al. 2002). This finding is entirely consistent
88 with every study to have reported blood-borne biomarkers (e.g. creatine kinase, myoglobin and
89 lactate dehydrogenase) as proxy measures of muscle damage (Bloomer et al. 2007; Dawson et
90 al. 2002; Machefer et al. 2007; Mastaloudis et al. 2006; Petersen et al. 2001; Rokitzki et al. 1994;
91 Teixeira et al. 2009), none of which has reported significant protective effects of combined
92 vitamin C & E supplementation. From this perspective, it is noteworthy that such biomarkers do
93 not correlate well either with the magnitude of tissue injury (Komulainen et al. 1995) or
94 impairment of muscle function (Margaritis et al. 1999), the latter of which is believed to
95 represent the most valid indirect predictor of the degree of muscle damage sustained (Clarkson
96 and Hubal 2002). Combined with the fact that recovery of muscle function after exercise is also
97 of clear practical value, it is interesting that only two studies have monitored the response of this
98 variable to combined vitamin C & E intervention over the days following exercise (Bloomer et
99 al. 2007; Mastaloudis et al. 2006). Of these, neither reported any benefit of specific vitamin C &
100 E supplements in relation to either muscle-specific resistance exercise (Bloomer et al. 2007) or
101 ultra-endurance exercise (Mastaloudis et al. 2006). However, these exercise models may not
102 reflect the muscle damage typically experienced across a range of muscle groups during more
103 common activities such as basketball, soccer or tennis involving high metabolic demand in

combination with repeated eccentric or unaccustomed muscle actions (i.e. high-intensity intermittent shuttle-running; Thompson et al. 1999).

In summary, the potential for combined vitamin C & E supplementation to impact upon oxidative stress, inflammation and systemic indices of muscle damage after exercise remains uncertain and very little information is available regarding the recovery of muscle function. The aim of the present study was therefore to examine the influence of 6-weeks of mixed antioxidant (primarily vitamin C & E) supplementation on oxidative stress, inflammation and the functional recovery of skeletal muscles after prolonged intermittent shuttle-running. Based upon reports that all these outcomes can be affected when vitamin C or E are supplemented individually (Alessio et al. 1997; Cannon et al. 1991; Jakeman and Maxwell 1993; Meydani et al. 1993; Thompson et al. 2001), it is hypothesised that mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E supplementation will attenuate these responses and that, given the inhibitory effect of these processes on excitation-contraction coupling (Brown et al. 1996), this may translate into improved recovery of muscle function.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Approach to the Research Question

The primary purpose of this study was to examine whether vitamin C & E supplementation presents any benefit in terms of facilitating functional recovery following exercise-induced muscle damage. Given the inherent inter-individual variance associated with many measures of exercise-induced muscle damage, an ideal approach is to adopt a repeated-measures cross-over design when assessing the efficacy of nutritional interventions (Betts et al. 2009) and one study has employed this approach in relation to combined vitamin C & E supplements (Dawson et al. 2002). However, in view of the slow intracellular turnover of vitamin E in many tissues (i.e. adipose tissue, adrenal glands, liver and skeletal muscle fibres; Packer 1992), it was decided that an independent-measures 2-group design would be most appropriate for this study but with a larger sample size ($n = 18$ & 20) than has previously been used in this type of study (typically $n = 10$) in order to account for individual differences.

This relatively large cohort of participants were randomly assigned to receive either a placebo supplement or a mixed antioxidant (primarily vitamin C & E) supplement for a period of 6 weeks prior to completing a high-intensity intermittent shuttle-running protocol. The use of this protocol both increases ecological validity with specific reference to participation in team-sports and also has the potential to induce substantial muscle damage on a whole-body level through the combination of eccentrically-biased muscle actions (e.g. decelerations from repeated sprints and frequent changes of direction) and high metabolic demand (Thompson et al. 1999). Furthermore, as has recently been advocated (Powers et al. 2010), the antioxidant mixture provided in this study was a cocktail including not only vitamins C and E but also lesser quantities of other nutrients (detailed under ‘Supplementation’) that act synergistically with more potent or direct antioxidants to protect against oxidative damage. Obviously, should any

differences between treatments occur, a subsequent investigation would be required to isolate the mechanisms through which specific antioxidants operate.

We employed multiple outcome measures to provide a more valid overall interpretation than would be possible using any one in isolation. In particular, serial assessments of muscle function for up to a week following exercise represents a central novel element of this study, while also affording high levels of both internal and external validity (Clarkson and Hubal 2002). Furthermore, the measures of oxidative stress most commonly used in this area (e.g. thiobarbituric acid adducts/malondialdehyde) are not specific to oxidative processes (Halliwell and Chirico 1993), whereas urinary F₂-isoprostanes are more reliable biomarkers of lipid peroxidation *in vivo* (Liu et al. 2009; Powers et al. 2010) and so were used in the present study. For completeness and to facilitate comparisons with existing research on this topic, indirect markers of skeletal muscle damage and inflammation were also determined in venous blood samples collected over the hours and days following exercise-induced muscle damage.

Participants

Thirty-eight healthy young men volunteered to take part in this study and their characteristics were as follows: age 22 ± 1 yr, body mass (BM) 77.0 ± 8.9 kg (beam balance scales, Avery Ltd), height 1.78 ± 0.06 m (Stadiometer, Holtain Ltd), Body Mass Index 24 ± 2 kg·m⁻², Σ triceps, biceps, subscapular and suprailiac skin-folds 34 ± 9 mm (callipers, Salter; ACSM 1995) and $\dot{V}O_{2\max}$ 55 ± 5 mL·kg⁻¹·min⁻¹ (means $\pm$ SD). All participants were non-smokers and habitually active in a variety of sports but were unfamiliar with the specific exercise protocol involved in this investigation. Once fully briefed regarding the nature of the study both verbally and in writing, each participant provided written informed consent in keeping with the requirements of the Loughborough University Ethical Advisory Committee who approved this study.

Experimental Design

This experiment adopted an independent-measures 2-group design whereby each participant was randomly allocated in a double-blind manner either to a group receiving placebo supplements (n=18) or a group receiving mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E supplements (n=20). Over a period of 6 weeks, these supplements were ingested as part of participants' habitual diets (assessed via 5-day food records and dietary analysis software: COMP-EAT 4.0, Nutrition Systems), which did not differ between groups (Placebo: $13381 \pm 3940 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$, $54 \pm 7\%$ carbohydrate, $30 \pm 6\%$ fat, $16 \pm 4\%$ protein, vitamin C $123 \pm 79 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$, vitamin E $7.7 \pm 2.9 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ *versus* Antioxidant: $13415 \pm 2935 \text{ kJ}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$, $53 \pm 5\%$ carbohydrate, $31 \pm 6\%$ fat, $16 \pm 3\%$ protein, vitamin C $120 \pm 30 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$, vitamin E $8.7 \pm 4.6 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$; mean $\pm$ SD). This supplementation regimen was continued for two days after an exercise protocol that required participants to perform approximately 90 min of intermittent high-intensity shuttle-running (a schematic of the study timeline is available via the EJAP online as Electronic Supplementary Material).

Preliminary Measurements and Familiarisation

The exercise intensities employed during the study were calculated relative to each participant's maximal oxygen uptake, determined prior to supplementation using a progressive shuttle-running protocol to exhaustion as previously described (Nicholas et al. 2000). During the first month of supplementation participants made repeated (≥ 3) visits to the laboratory until they were fully familiar with the CYBEX NORMTM isokinetic dynamometer (model 770), thus establishing sufficiently reliable baseline measurements of peak isometric torque in knee flexors (co-efficient of variation = $6 \pm 4\%$) and extensors (co-efficient of variation = $8 \pm 5\%$) of each participant's non-dominant limb. For this test, participants completed a 5-min warm-up using a cycle ergometer (Monark 863e) at 100 W, before being individually fitted and secured into the dynamometer with knee movement restricted to the sagittal plane and the axis of

extension/flexion through the femoral condyles. The maximal range of motion about the knee was then ascertained, followed by a further isokinetic warm-up involving five flexions and extensions at $1.05 \text{ rad}\cdot\text{s}^{-1}$. Peak isometric torque was then determined as the maximal rotational force voluntary achieved across 2 sustained efforts, each lasting 5 s and separated by a rest interval of 60 s. These measurements were made while the participant was in an upright seated position (hip angle 105° relative to full extension) at knee angles of 60° and 20° relative to full extension for assessment of the knee extensors and flexors, respectively, because these joint angles are optimal for peak isometric torque production in each muscle group (Ng et al. 1994; Onishi et al. 2002). Venous blood and urine samples were also obtained at baseline prior to supplementation.

Experimental Protocol

On the fortieth day of supplementation, participants arrived in the laboratory in the morning following a 10 h overnight fast and having refrained from strenuous physical activity for at least 48 h. Participants provided pre-exercise ratings of their perceived degree of muscle soreness using a 1-10 scale with anchor terms ranging from 1 being “Not Sore” to 10 being “Very Very Sore”, as has been employed in our previous study (Thompson et al. 2001; this scale is available via the EJAP online as Electronic Supplementary Material). An 8 mL resting blood sample was then obtained via venepuncture from an antecubital vein and a pre-exercise urine sample was also collected. A pre-exercise assessment of muscle function was then carried out exactly as described in relation to ‘Preliminary Measurements and Familiarisation’. Participants then drank a volume of plain water ($5 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg BM}^{-1}$) before the exercise protocol was initiated. The exercise protocol involved ~90 min of intermittent shuttle-running at intensities relative to each participant’s $\dot{V}\text{O}_{2\text{max}}$ (Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test; Nicholas et al. 2000). The 90 min was divided equally into 6 blocks each separated by a 3 min rest period during which further volumes ($2 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg BM}^{-1}$) of plain water were ingested. Heart-rate (Sports Tester PE3000,

Polar, Finland) and ratings of perceived exertion (Borg 1973) were measured at regular intervals during exercise. Ambient temperature and humidity were closely matched between treatments (Placebo: $16 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, $54 \pm 6\%$ *versus* Antioxidant: $16 \pm 1^\circ\text{C}$, $55 \pm 6\%$).

Immediately after exercise, a venous blood sample was drawn by venepuncture followed by an assessment of muscle function. Thereafter, participants rested in the laboratory for 1 h before a further follow-up blood sample was obtained by venepuncture. Supplements ingested on test days were taken only after this final blood sample had been consumed, coincident with the participants' next meal. Approximately (± 2 h) 24 and 48 h following the cessation of exercise, participants returned to the laboratory to provide venous blood and urine samples, along with measures of muscle function. Ratings of perceived muscle soreness were again obtained from participants at these time points and at 12 h intervals in between visits. A sub-population of the total cohort ($n = 11$) also provided additional follow-up measurements of muscle function after 96 and 168 h of recovery.

Supplementation

The antioxidant and placebo supplements were randomly assigned in a double-blind manner in the form of identical capsules, ingested twice daily with water following meals. Each antioxidant capsule provided 400 mg vitamin C (ascorbic acid), 268 mg vitamin E (RRR- α -tocopherol), 2 mg vitamin B6 (pyridoxine hydrochloride), 200 μg vitamin B9 (folic acid), 5 μg zinc sulphate monohydrate and 1 μg Vitamin B12 (cyanocobalamin), while placebo capsules contained only lactose (RP Scherer Limited, UK). When considered within the context of participants' habitual diets, antioxidant supplementation therefore resulted in approximately an 8-fold increase in daily vitamin C intake and approximately a 160-fold increase in daily vitamin E intake. This therefore represents $>1000\%$ and $\sim 3600\%$ of the recommended daily allowance for vitamin C and E, respectively, but well within the upper limit that poses risk of serious

adverse effects for almost all individuals in the general population (Hathcock et al. 2005). This dose was chosen as it is reflective of that found in commercially available supplements and is also typical of that used in previous studies where effects on oxidative stress and inflammation have been detected (Bloomer et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2004; Goldfarb et al. 2005; Machefer et al. 2007; Mastaloudis et al. 2004; Schroder et al. 2000).

Sampling and Analyses

From each 8 mL blood sample, 4 mL was dispensed into a non-anticoagulant tube where it was left to clot for 45 min at room temperature and then centrifuged at 4000 g for 15 min at 4 °C. The serum fraction was then stored at -80 °C pending analyses at 37°C using commercially available enzymatic colorimetric assays for myoglobin, creatine kinase and uric acid (Randox, UK) and an automated spectrophotometric analyser (COBAS-Mira plus, Roche) and for cortisol via radioimmunoassay (Coat-A-Count, Diagnostic Products Corporation, UK) and an automated gamma counter (Cobra II, Packard Instruments Company Inc, US). Where sufficient serum was available for each participant, concentrations of interleukin-6 (R&D Systems Inc. UK), interleukin-1 receptor antagonist (R&D Systems Inc. UK), C-reactive protein (DSL, UK), heat shock protein (HSP)70 (Stressgen Biotechnologies Inc. USA) and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)- α (R&D Systems Inc. UK) were determined using commercially available Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assays (ELISA) with a spectrophotometric plate reader (Dynex Technologies Inc. USA). The remaining 4 mL of whole-blood was transferred into a tube containing the anticoagulant ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), from which triplicate 50 μ l and 20 μ l samples were taken for the respective manual determination of haematocrit (Hct Centrifuge and micro-haematocrit reader, Hawksley, UK) and haemoglobin via a standard cyanomethaemoglobin method using a spectrophotometer (Shimadzu 1240, Japan) applied to samples mixed with 5 ml Drabkin's reagent (GmbH Diagnostica, Boehringer Mannheim, Germany). From these data, changes in plasma volume were determined using the equations described by Dill & Costill (Dill and Costill 1974). The remaining EDTA-treated whole-blood

was then also centrifuged at 4000 g for 15 min at 4 °C and before storage at -80 °C pending later analysis for vitamin C (after 1:1 dilution in 10% metaphosphoric acid; Sigma, UK) and vitamin E using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC).

Plasma vitamin C concentrations were determined as in our previous studies (e.g. Thompson et al. 2004), which involved separation using a 5 µm, 250 mm x 4.6 mm c18 Luna column (Phenomenex, UK) with flow rate set at 1.2 ml·min⁻¹ (producing a retention time of ~3.4 min) and using a degassed mobile phase of perchloric acid (Fischer Scientific, UK) adjusted to pH 1.2 at room temperature; for analysis, plasma supernatants were diluted (1:1) in chilled 5% metaphosphoric acid (Sigma, UK) and 50 µl used for injection via an autosampler (Basic Marathon, Spark, Netherlands). Spectrophotometric detection was then set at a wavelength of 241 nm (Pye, Unicam Ltd., UK) using a standard curve generated from ascorbic acid in the range 0-300 µmol·l⁻¹. Similarly, plasma vitamin E (α-tocopherol) concentrations were determined according to the methods described by Hess et al. (Hess et al. 1991). This involved separation using a 5 µm, 250 mm x 4.6 mm Beckman Ultrasphere ODS column (Beckman, High Wycombe, UK) set at 28°C with flow rate set at 1.5 ml·min⁻¹ (producing a retention time of ~7.2 min). The mobile phase was acetonitrile:tetrahydrofurane:methanol:BHT-ammonium acetate (684:220:68:28). Prior to analysis, vitamin E was extracted using hexane (containing 500 mg BHT·l⁻¹) and rapidly dried. The dry sample was dissolved in 200 µl of 1,4 dioxan:ethanol:acetonitrile (20:20:40 by volume) and shaken for 5-10 min before injection. Detection was by fluorescence using excitation/emission of 298/328 nm (Waters 470 scanning fluorescence detector, Water, Watford, UK) using a standard curve generated from α-tocopherol in the range 0-100 µmol·l⁻¹.

Lastly, the concentration of 8-isoprostane F_{2α} (F₂-isoprostanes) in urine samples was determined via commercially available monoclonal antibody-based competitive Dissociation Enhanced Lanthanide Fluoro Immuno Assay (AutoDELFIA 1235 Automatic immunoassay

system Perkin Elmer Life & Analytical Sciences, UK). In brief, this involved all urine samples being vortexed and then allowed to stand to remove precipitates, before samples were added to an anti-mouse plate pre-washed with a dissociation enhanced lanthanide fluorescence immunoassay. Both an anti- F₂-isoprostane monoclonal antibody and a tracer (8-iso-PGF₂-ovalbumin-europium chelate) were then diluted in assay buffer for analysis.

Statistical Analyses

A two-way mixed-model analysis of variance (Treatment×Time) was used to explore differences in the response of each group, with repeated-measures effects adjusted using the Greenhouse-Geisser correction for epsilon <0.75 and the Huynh-Feldt correction adopted for less severe asphericity. Where significant *F* values were identified for either Time or Treatment×Time, the Holm-Bonferroni step-wise correction was applied to locate variance at each time-point relative to baseline and between treatments using paired and independent t-tests, respectively (Atkinson 2002). In addition, simple summary statistics were calculated in relation to the change from baseline to peak response, thus informing interesting questions related to the total magnitude of effect with each treatment (Hopkins et al. 2009; Matthews et al. 1990). Sample size estimations were based upon our previous investigation in which vitamin C supplementation resulted in a significantly improved recovery of peak isometric torque in the knee flexors relative to a placebo (Effect Size ~1.3; Thompson et al. 2001). We therefore estimated that a total sample size of ~40 would be required to provide ~90% power of detecting such an effect at an alpha level of $P \leq 0.05$ using the above statistical analyses. All data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation.

RESULTS

Antioxidants

Plasma vitamin C concentrations were higher following combined vitamin C & E supplementation, both relative to pre-supplemented levels (Time: $F = 131$, $P < 0.001$) and in

relation to the change observed over time in the placebo group (Interaction: $F = 17$, $P < 0.001$). Notably, there were significant differences between treatment groups at every time-point from pre-exercise to the final sample of recovery (Figure 1; $P \leq 0.003$). Unlike vitamin C, plasma vitamin E is more resistant to acute changes with exercise and so was not measured throughout trials. However, the response of vitamin E pre-post supplementation did differ between treatments (Interaction: $F = 10$, $P = 0.003$) such that pre-exercise concentrations were significantly higher in the group supplemented with vitamin C & E than the placebo group (11.3 ± 3.2 versus $8.0 \pm 4.6 \mu\text{mol}\cdot\text{l}^{-1}$; $P = 0.02$). Serum uric acid concentrations gradually increased during exercise and then decreased during recovery (Time: $F = 10$, $P < 0.001$), with no differences in this response between treatment groups at any time-point (data not shown).

Oxidative/Metabolic Stress

There were similar concentrations of F_2 -isoprostanes in urine samples of both groups during supplementation and over the first 24 h of post-exercise recovery (Figure 2). However, there were differences in the decline in concentrations thereafter (Interaction: $F = 0.9$, $P = 0.04$). The overall change score from baseline to post-exercise nadir values therefore also approached significance ($P = 0.08$), although the tendency for slightly higher concentrations in the placebo group at baseline precluded establishment of any significant differences at the final recovery time-point *per se*. Likewise, serum cortisol responses also exhibited a different time-course for each treatment (Figure 3; Interaction: $F = 3.1$, $P = 0.05$), again with no statistical differences between treatments at any time-point but a significant effect of treatment in relation to the change from pre-exercise to peak values ($P = 0.02$).

Indices of Inflammation

The serum concentration of interleukin-6 increased dramatically in response to exercise following both treatments (Time: $F = 16$, $P < 0.001$). Although there was no significant

interaction between treatment and time (Interaction: $F = 1.6$, $P = 0.3$), a trend was apparent for higher interleukin-6 concentrations after 1 h of recovery in the group supplemented with vitamin C & E than the placebo group (Table 1; $P = 0.05$). All other inflammatory markers are summarised alongside interleukin-6 in Table 1; there were no differences between treatments.

Indices of Muscle Damage

Exercise markedly increased serum myoglobin concentration (Time: $F = 35$, $P < 0.001$) and creatine kinase activity (Time: $F = 23$, $P < 0.001$), with peak values occurring after 1h and 24 h of recovery, respectively (Figure 4 a/b). These responses were very similar in both time-course and magnitude between treatments, with values remaining significantly elevated relative to baseline at every follow-up time-point ($P \leq 0.05$).

Muscle Function

Measures of peak isometric torque for both the knee flexors (Time: $F = 37$, $P < 0.001$) and extensors (Time: $F = 16$, $P < 0.001$), along with the range of motion about this joint (Time: $F = 57$, $P < 0.001$), were significantly reduced following exercise and remained below baseline values under both treatments for at least 168 h (Table 2; $P \leq 0.01$). This pattern of responses did not differ between treatment groups.

Muscle Soreness

Participants' subjective ratings of perceived muscle soreness were not different between treatment groups at any of the follow-up measurement time-points. The overall pattern across both treatment groups was for pre-exercise ratings of 2 ± 1 to reach peak soreness ratings of 5 ± 2 after 24 h of recovery (Time: $F = 29$, $P < 0.001$), which remained at this same level at both subsequent follow-up measurements (i.e. 36 and 48 h post-exercise).

382 **Additional Information**

383 Estimated changes in plasma volume were very similar between treatment groups in
384 response to supplementation, exercise and during recovery (Interaction: $F = 0.3$, $P = 0.9$). There
385 was a progressive increase in plasma volume over time relative to pre-supplemented values
386 across both groups (Time: $F = 6.7$, $P < 0.001$), with the percentage change significantly above
387 baseline after 24 h ($5.5 \pm 2.5\%$; $P = 0.01$) and 48 h ($7.9 \pm 11.5\%$; $P = 0.001$) of recovery.
388 Averaged across all measurements made during the exercise protocol, mean heart rate was $166 \pm$
389 $14 \text{ beats} \cdot \text{min}^{-1}$ and the mean rating of perceived exertion (6-20 scale) was 14 ± 2 , with both
390 variables well matched between treatment groups.

391

DISCUSSION

This study was designed to examine whether supplementation with mixed antioxidant (primarily vitamin C & E) supplements can reduce oxidative stress and facilitate recovery following exercise-induced muscle damage. Our original hypothesis was based upon the rationale that individual antioxidants such as vitamin C and E have been found to reduce oxidative stress, inflammation and/or have improved recovery of muscle function (Alessio et al. 1997; Cannon et al. 1991; Jakeman and Maxwell 1993; Meydani et al. 1993; Thompson et al. 2001). Therefore, it was reasonable to suggest that a mixed antioxidant supplement would not only combine such benefits but also facilitate interaction between different antioxidant mechanisms to attain a synergistic benefit. For example, while oxidation of the chromanol region of α -tocopherol can certainly delay oxidative membrane damage, this process itself transforms vitamin E into a free radical (tocopherolxyl) (Dekkers et al. 1996). This is of relevance as, in addition directly quenching free radicals, a related role of vitamin C may be to regenerate vitamin E back to its antioxidant form (tocopherol; Goldfarb 1993). However, notwithstanding the above rationale and contrary to our hypothesis, the present results suggest that there are no beneficial effects to be gained from supplementation with vitamin C & E in combination. Specifically, although exercise resulted in a marked increase in protein/enzyme leakage from skeletal muscle, initiation of inflammatory responses and impairment of muscle function, prior supplementation with these particular antioxidant vitamins did not attenuate any of these effects relative to placebo supplements.

Recovery of muscle function was employed as the primary outcome measure in this study given both the clear practical interpretation of resultant data and the relatively valid reflection of muscle damage provided (Clarkson and Hubal 2002). This is the first time that the efficacy of combined vitamin C & E supplementation has been assessed using this outcome measure in relation to exercise representative of that most commonly performed by athletes or habitual

exercisers. Nonetheless, the absence of any benefit associated with vitamin C & E supplementation reported in the present study is consistent with two other studies that have measured muscle function in recovery from either muscle-specific resistance exercise (Bloomer et al. 2007) or ultra-endurance exercise (Mastaloudis et al. 2006) to evaluate similar doses of combined vitamin C & E supplements. While it therefore remains a distinct possibility that these findings may be specific to the precise antioxidant mixtures provided in these studies, it is arguably less likely that alternative forms of exercise would reveal a positive effect of these particular antioxidants supplements because they have now been shown to be equally ineffective across all three exercise modes involving high load (i.e. resistance), high repetition (i.e. endurance) and high frequency/rate (i.e. intermittent sprint) muscle actions.

The increase in plasma vitamin C concentrations that occurred in response to combined vitamin C & E supplementation was elevated even further during exercise but only to a similar extent as in the placebo group. This is in agreement with previous reports (Gleeson et al. 1987; Thompson et al. 2001) and suggests that endogenous ascorbic acid release during exercise may be independent of prior supplementation. Moreover, while the first hour of recovery saw further increased plasma vitamin C concentrations only in the group supplemented with this vitamin, subsequent measurements after 24 and 48 h of recovery revealed a progressive return to below pre-exercise values despite continued supplementation over this period. Interestingly, an earlier study to document a similar effect during recovery also found a strong positive correlation between the plasma responses of vitamin C and cortisol to exercise, from which it was reasoned that endogenous release of vitamin C from the adrenal glands may be directly associated with the release of cortisol (Gleeson et al. 1987). This reasoning may therefore explain why cortisol responses were lower for the group supplemented with combined vitamin C & E in the present study and others that have supplemented with vitamin C alone (Peters et al. 2001; i.e. increased vitamin C availability in other tissues may reduce adrenal secretion of both vitamin C and cortisol).

Apart from the effects on plasma vitamin C and E and serum cortisol, the only other outcome variables showing any differences between treatment groups were concentrations of F₂-isoprostanes in urine and interleukin-6 in serum. The general pattern for greater responses of F₂-isoprostanes and interleukin-6 to exercise after combined vitamin C & E supplementation is inconsistent with all extant studies in this area, which have always reported oxidative stress and inflammatory markers to be either lower (Bloomer et al. 2006; Fischer et al. 2004; Goldfarb et al. 2005; Machefer et al. 2007; Mastaloudis et al. 2004; Schroder et al. 2000) or unaffected (Bloomer et al. 2007; Bloomer et al. 2006; Dawson et al. 2002; Kanter et al. 1993; Mastaloudis et al. 2004; Petersen et al. 2001; Rokitzki et al. 1994; Teixeira et al. 2009). It is therefore difficult to account for the present findings. One possibility is that the apparent effect in relation to F₂-isoprostanes may represent a type I statistical error, particularly given the large inter-individual variability for this measure, which questions whether a worthwhile difference between treatment groups truly existed. Nevertheless, what is clear is that there was certainly no reduction in the concentration of F₂-isoprostanes as was hypothesised. In contrast, the effect of vitamin C & E supplementation on interleukin-6 concentrations after 1 h of recovery was far more consistent between individuals in each group (Effect Size = 1.4) and is very similar in magnitude (~80% higher than placebo) to existing data, albeit in the opposite direction (Fischer et al. 2004; Thompson et al. 2001). The precise reason for these divergent findings clearly requires further examination but might be explained by the fact that the supplements used in the present study provided 60-100% more vitamin C (i.e. 800 mg·d⁻¹) than previously reported (i.e. 400-500 mg·d⁻¹; Fischer et al. 2004; Thompson et al. 2001). This is important in view of the fact that vitamin C is a redox agent and, as such, has been found to operate as a prooxidant in some circumstances (Carr and Frei 1999), with suggestions that the pharmacologic quantities of vitamin C found in supplements (as opposed to foods) may have ‘unbalanced biochemistry’ that actually favours free radical production (Herbert 1994).

One final point of note arising from this study relates to the plasma heat shock protein (HSP)70 concentrations, which were unaffected by vitamin C & E supplementation but increased from $1.2 \pm 1.0 \text{ ng}\cdot\text{ml}^{-1}$ pre-exercise to a peak of $6.6 \pm 5.7 \text{ ng}\cdot\text{ml}^{-1}$ immediately post-exercise ($P = 0.003$) when considered across both treatments. Importantly, systemic HSP70 may play an important signalling role between various tissues (Calderwood et al. 2007) and, to our knowledge, this is the first time that this variable has been reported in response to intermittent exercise. By comparison, plasma HSP70 responses to a typical ironman triathlon race or even just 45-60 min of treadmill running at various intensities/gradients can be between 2-4 times higher than the values reported here (Peake et al. 2005; Suzuki et al. 2006). To consider HSP70 within the context of the exercise protocol and intervention applied in the present study, it is interesting that one of the training adaptations to 6 weeks of intermittent running four times per week is an increased muscle protein content of HSP70 (Morton et al. 2009), which can also be achieved through dietary supplementation with $500 \text{ mg}\cdot\text{d}^{-1}$ of vitamin C for 8 weeks (Khassaf et al. 2003).

CONCLUSIONS

Within the context of the particular supplementation regimen and exercise stressors examined in the present study, there was no benefit of 6-weeks mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E supplementation in relation to recovery from exercise-induced muscle damage, although further investigations may be warranted to explore the unanticipated responses of interleukin-6 and cortisol. While it also remains to be determined whether other distinct antioxidant mixtures may confer the hypothesised effects under related conditions, the lack of beneficial effects reported here across a comprehensive and practically relevant range of outcome measures and in a relatively large cohort may question the need for future studies designed to evaluate the efficacy of similar vitamin C & E supplements for improving recovery in terms of muscle function and soreness.

499 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

500 This study received financial support from Unilever R & D. The authors thank Ruth Carr, Faye
501 Hext and Simon Jones for their assistance with data collection and Duncan Talbot for assistance
502 with sample analysis.

503

504 **ETHICAL STANDARDS**

505 This study complies with current UK laws pertaining to the conduct of scientific research.

506

507 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

508 Dr Hurst is employed by Unilever.

509

REFERENCES

- Alessio HM, Goldfarb AH, Cao G (1997) Exercise-induced oxidative stress before and after vitamin C supplementation. *Int J Sport Nutr* 7: 1-9
- Atkinson G (2002) Analysis of repeated measurements in physical therapy research: multiple comparisons amongst level means and multifactorial designs. *Phys Ther Sport*, 3: 191-203
- Betts JA, Toone RJ, Stokes KA, Thompson D (2009) Systemic indices of skeletal muscle damage and recovery of muscle function after exercise: effect of combined carbohydrate-protein ingestion. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab* 34: 773-784
- Bloomer RJ, Falvo MJ, Schilling BK, Smith WA (2007) Prior exercise and antioxidant supplementation: effect on oxidative stress and muscle injury. *J Int Soc Sports Nutr* 4: 9
- Bloomer RJ, Goldfarb AH, McKenzie MJ (2006) Oxidative stress response to aerobic exercise: comparison of antioxidant supplements. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 38: 1098-1105
- Borg G (1973) Perceived exertion: a note on "history" and methods. *Med Sci Sports* 5: 90-93
- Brigelius-Flohe R (2009) Commentary: oxidative stress reconsidered. *Genes Nutr* 4: 161-163
- Brown SJ, Child RB, Donnelly AE, Saxton JM, Day SH (1996) Changes in human muscle contractile function following stimulated eccentric exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 72: 515-521
- Calderwood SK, Mambula SS, Gray PJ, Jr., Theriault JR (2007) Extracellular heat shock proteins in cell signaling. *FEBS Lett* 581: 3689-3694
- Cannon JG, Meydani SN, Fielding RA, Fiatarone MA, Meydani M, Farhangmehr M, Orencole SF, Blumberg JB, Evans WJ (1991) Acute phase response in exercise. II. Associations between vitamin E, cytokines, and muscle proteolysis. *Am J Physiol* 260: R1235-1240
- Cannon JG, Orencole SF, Fielding RA, Meydani M, Meydani SN, Fiatarone MA, Blumberg JB, Evans WJ (1990) Acute response in exercise: interaction of age and vitamin E on neutrophils and muscle enzyme release. *Am J Physiol* 259: R1214-1219
- Carr A, Frei B (1999) Does vitamin C act as a pro-oxidant under physiological conditions? *FASEB J* 13: 1007-1024
- Clarkson PM, Hubal MJ (2002) Exercise-induced muscle damage in humans. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil* 81: S52-69
- Costill DL, Pascoe DD, Fink WJ, Robergs RA, Barr SI, Pearson DR (1990) Impaired muscle glycogen resynthesis after eccentric exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 69: 46-50
- Dawson B, Henry GJ, Goodman C, Gillam I, Beilby JR, Ching S, Fabian V, Dasig D, Morling P, Kakulus BA (2002) Effect of Vitamin C and E supplementation on biochemical and ultrastructural indices of muscle damage after a 21 km run. *Int J Sports Med* 23: 10-15
- Dekkers JC, van Doornen LJP, Kemper HCG (1996) The role of antioxidant vitamins and enzymes in the prevention of exercise-induced muscle damage. *Sports Med* 21: 213-238

- 545 Dill DB, Costill DL (1974) Calculation of percentage changes in volumes of blood, plasma and
 546 red cells in rehydration. *J Appl Physiol* 37: 247-248
- 547 Fischer CP, Hiscock NJ, Penkowa M, Basu S, Vessby B, Kallner A, Sjoberg LB, Pedersen BK
 548 (2004) Supplementation with vitamins C and E inhibits the release of interleukin-6 from
 549 contracting human skeletal muscle. *J Physiol (Lond)* 558: 633-645
- 550 Friden J, Lieber RL (1992) Structural and mechanical basis of exercise-induced muscle injury.
 551 *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 24: 521-530
- 552 Gleeson M, Robertson JD, Maughan RJ (1987) Influence of exercise on ascorbic acid status in
 553 man. *Clin Sci (Lond)* 73: 501-505
- 554 Goldfarb AH (1993) Antioxidants: role of supplementation to prevent exercise-induced oxidative
 555 stress. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 25: 232-236
- 556 Goldfarb AH, Bloomer RJ, McKenzie MJ (2005) Combined antioxidant treatment effects on
 557 blood oxidative stress after eccentric exercise. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 37: 234-239
- 558 Gomez-Cabrera MC, Domenech E, Romagnoli M, Arduini A, Borrás C, Pallardo FV, Sastre J,
 559 Vina J (2008) Oral administration of vitamin C decreases muscle mitochondrial biogenesis and
 560 hampers training-induced adaptations in endurance performance. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87: 142-149
- 561 Halliwell B, Chirico S (1993) Lipid peroxidation: its mechanism, measurement, and significance.
 562 *Am J Clin Nutr* 57: 715S-724S
- 563 Hathcock JN, Azzi A, Blumberg J, Bray T, Dickinson A, Frei B, Jialal I, Johnston CS, Kelly FJ,
 564 Kraemer K, Packer L, Parthasarathy S, Sies H, Traber MG (2005) Vitamins E and C are safe
 565 across a broad range of intakes. *Am J Clin Nutr* 81: 736-745
- 566 Herbert V (1994) The antioxidant supplement myth. *Am J Clin Nutr* 60: 157-158
- 567 Hess D, Keller HE, Oberlin B, Bonfanti R, Schuep W (1991) Simultaneous determination of
 568 retinol, tocopherols, carotenes and lycopene in plasma by means of high-performance liquid
 569 chromatography on reversed phase. *Int J Vitam Nutr Res* 61: 232-238
- 570 Hopkins WG, Marshall SW, Batterham AM, Hanin J (2009) Progressive statistics for studies in
 571 sports medicine and exercise science. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41: 3-13
- 572 Howatson G, McHugh MP, Hill JA, Brouner J, Jewell AP, van Someren KA, Shave RE,
 573 Howatson SA (2009) Influence of tart cherry juice on indices of recovery following marathon
 574 running. *Scand J Med Sci Sports*
- 575 Howatson G, van Someren KA (2008) The prevention and treatment of exercise-induced muscle
 576 damage. *Sports Med* 38: 483-503
- 577 Jakeman P, Maxwell S (1993) Effect of antioxidant vitamin supplementation on muscle function
 578 after eccentric exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 67: 426-430

- 579 Kanter MM, Nolte LA, Holloszy JO (1993) Effects of an antioxidant vitamin mixture on lipid
580 peroxidation at rest and post exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 74: 965-969
- 581 Khassaf M, McArdle A, Esanu C, Vasilaki A, McArdle F, Griffiths RD, Brodie DA, Jackson MJ
582 (2003) Effect of vitamin C supplements on antioxidant defence and stress proteins in human
583 lymphocytes and skeletal muscle. *J Physiol (Lond)* 549: 645-652
- 584 Kirwan JP, Hickner RC, Yarasheski KE, Kohrt WM, Wiethop BV, Holloszy JO (1992) Eccentric
585 exercise induces transient insulin resistance in healthy individuals. *J Appl Physiol* 72: 2197-2202
- 586 Komulainen J, Takala TE, Vihko V (1995) Does increased serum creatine kinase activity reflect
587 exercise-induced muscle damage in rats? *Int J Sports Med* 16: 150-154
- 588 Liu W, Morrow JD, Yin H (2009) Quantification of F2-isoprostanes as a reliable index of
589 oxidative stress in vivo using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS) method. *Free*
590 *Radic Biol Med* 47: 1101-1107
- 591 Machefer G, Groussard C, Vincent S, Zouhal H, Faure H, Cillard J, Radak Z, Gratas-Delamarche
592 A (2007) Multivitamin-mineral supplementation prevents lipid peroxidation during "the
593 Marathon des Sables". *J Am Coll Nutr* 26: 111-120
- 594 Margaritis I, Tessier F, Verdera F, Bermon S, Marconnet P (1999) Muscle enzyme release does
595 not predict muscle function impairment after triathlon. *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*, 39: 133-139
- 596 Mastaloudis A, Morrow JD, Hopkins DW, Devaraj S, Traber MG (2004) Antioxidant
597 supplementation prevents exercise-induced lipid peroxidation, but not inflammation, in
598 ultramarathon runners. *Free Radic Biol Med* 36: 1329-1341
- 599 Mastaloudis A, Traber MG, Carstensen K, Widrick JJ (2006) Antioxidants did not prevent
600 muscle damage in response to an ultramarathon run. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 38: 72-80
- 601 Matthews JN, Altman DG, Campbell MJ, Royston P (1990) Analysis of serial measurements in
602 medical research. *Br Med J* 300: 230-235
- 603 Meydani M, Evans WJ, Handelman G, Biddle L, Fielding RA, Meydani SN, Burrill J, Fiatarone
604 MA, Blumberg JB, Cannon JG (1993) Protective effect of vitamin E on exercise-induced
605 oxidative damage in young and older adults. *Am J Physiol* 264: R992-998
- 606 Morton JP, Croft L, Bartlett JD, Maclaren DP, Reilly T, Evans L, McArdle A, Drust B (2009)
607 Reduced carbohydrate availability does not modulate training-induced heat shock protein
608 adaptations but does upregulate oxidative enzyme activity in human skeletal muscle. *J Appl*
609 *Physiol* 106: 1513-1521
- 610 Ng AV, Agre JC, Hanson P, Harrington MS, Nagle FJ (1994) Influence of muscle length and
611 force on endurance and pressor responses to isometric exercise. *J Appl Physiol* 76: 2561-2569
- 612 Nicholas CW, Nuttall FE, Williams C (2000) The Loughborough Intermittent Shuttle Test: a
613 field test that simulates the activity pattern of soccer. *J Sports Sci* 18: 97-104

- 614 Onishi H, Yagi R, Oyama M, Akasaka K, Ihashi K, Handa Y (2002) EMG-angle relationship of
615 the hamstring muscles during maximum knee flexion. *J Electromyogr Kinesiol* 12: 399-406
- 616 Packer L (1992) Interactions among antioxidants in health and disease: vitamin E and its redox
617 cycle. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 200: 271-276
- 618 Peake JM, Suzuki K, Hordern M, Wilson G, Nosaka K, Coombes JS (2005) Plasma cytokine
619 changes in relation to exercise intensity and muscle damage. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 95: 514-521
- 620 Peters EM, Anderson R, Nieman DC, Fickl H, Jogessar V (2001) Vitamin C supplementation
621 attenuates the increases in circulating cortisol, adrenaline and anti-inflammatory polypeptides
622 following ultramarathon running. *Int J Sports Med* 22: 537-543
- 623 Petersen EW, Ostrowski K, Ibfelt T, Richelle M, Offord E, Halkjaer-Kristensen J, Pedersen BK
624 (2001) Effect of vitamin supplementation on cytokine response and on muscle damage after
625 strenuous exercise. *Am J Physiol* 280: C1570-1575
- 626 Powers SK, Smuder AJ, Kavazis AN, Hudson MB (2010) Experimental guidelines for studies
627 designed to investigate the impact of antioxidant supplementation on exercise performance. *Int J*
628 *Sport Nutr Ex Met* 20: 2-14
- 629 Pyne DB (1994) Exercise-induced muscle damage and inflammation: a review. *Aust J Sci Med*
630 *Sport*, 26: 49-58
- 631 Rokitzki L, Logemann E, Sagredos AN, Murphy M, Wetzel-Roth W, Keul J (1994) Lipid
632 peroxidation and antioxidative vitamins under extreme endurance stress. *Acta Physiol Scand*
633 151: 149-158
- 634 Schroder H, Navarro E, Tramullas A, Mora J, Galiano D (2000) Nutrition antioxidant status and
635 oxidative stress in professional basketball players: effects of a three compound antioxidative
636 supplement. *Int J Sports Med* 21: 146-150
- 637 Smith LL (1991) Acute inflammation: the underlying mechanism in delayed onset muscle
638 soreness? *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 23: 542-551
- 639 Suzuki K, Peake J, Nosaka K, Okutsu M, Abbiss CR, Surriano R, Bishop D, Quod MJ, Lee H,
640 Martin DT, Laursen PB (2006) Changes in markers of muscle damage, inflammation and HSP70
641 after an Ironman Triathlon race. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 98: 525-534
- 642 Teixeira VH, Valente HF, Casal SI, Marques AF, Moreira PA (2009) Antioxidants do not
643 prevent postexercise peroxidation and may delay muscle recovery. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 41:
644 1752-1760
- 645 Thompson D, Bailey DM, Hill J, Hurst T, Powell JR, Williams C (2004) Prolonged vitamin C
646 supplementation and recovery from eccentric exercise. *Eur J Appl Physiol* 92: 133-138
- 647 Thompson D, Nicholas CW, Williams C (1999) Muscular soreness following prolonged
648 intermittent high-intensity shuttle running. *J Sports Sci* 17: 387-395

649 Thompson D, Williams C, McGregor SJ, Nicholas CW, McArdle F, Jackson MJ, Powell JR
650 (2001) Prolonged vitamin C supplementation and recovery from demanding exercise. *Int J Sport*
651 *Nutr Ex Met* 11: 466-481

652 Warren JA, Jenkins RR, Packer L, Witt EH, Armstrong RB (1992) Elevated muscle vitamin E
653 does not attenuate eccentric exercise-induced muscle injury. *J Appl Physiol* 72: 2168-2175

654 Yfanti C, Akerstrom T, Nielsen S, Nielsen AR, Mounier R, Mortensen OH, Lykkesfeldt J, Rose
655 AJ, Fischer CP, Pedersen BK (2010) Antioxidant supplementation does not alter endurance
656 training adaptation. *Med Sci Sports Exerc* 42: 1388-1395

657

658

659

Table 1: Serum concentrations of selected inflammatory/stress markers prior to and during recovery from exercise after 6-wk mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E or placebo supplementation.

	Exercise			Recovery	
	Pre	Post	1 h	24 h	48 h
Interleukin-6 (pg·ml ⁻¹)		#	*#		
Antioxidant (n = 9)	1.1 ± 0.7	11.8 ± 7.4	11.3 ± 3.4	2.8 ± 3.9	0.9 ± 0.4
Placebo (n = 9)	1.6 ± 1.7	9.5 ± 10.2	6.2 ± 3.8	2.1 ± 1.8	1.8 ± 2.7
Interleukin-1ra (pg·ml ⁻¹)		#	#		
Antioxidant (n = 20)	205 ± 109	559 ± 561	1523 ± 1402	270 ± 202	224 ± 107
Placebo (n = 18)	204 ± 70	435 ± 359	1137 ± 1055	247 ± 86	388 ± 655
C-reactive protein (ng·ml ⁻¹)					
Antioxidant (n = 7)	788 ± 551	416 ± 220	1024 ± 1146	6431 ± 3256	2617 ± 1670
Placebo (n = 11)	2739 ± 3725	1842 ± 2925	1223 ± 720	4941 ± 5023	4740 ± 5116
TNF-α (ng·ml ⁻¹)					
Antioxidant (n = 19)	1.7 ± 1.5	1.7 ± 1.5	1.6 ± 1.3	1.4 ± 1.3	1.2 ± 0.6
Placebo (n = 16)	1.5 ± 1.1	1.6 ± 0.7	1.6 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.7	1.5 ± 0.7
HSP70 (ng·ml ⁻¹)		#	#		
Antioxidant (n = 20)	1.4 ± 1.2	6.6 ± 5.7	5.1 ± 4.2	1.1 ± 0.9	0.9 ± 0.7
Placebo (n = 18)	1.0 ± 0.7	6.5 ± 5.8	4.9 ± 4.3	1.0 ± 0.8	0.6 ± 0.5

* denotes time-point different between treatments ($P = 0.05$) without treatment×time interaction.

denotes time-points different from baseline across both treatments ($P \leq 0.02$).

660

661

Table 2: Peak isometric torque of the knee extensors and flexors prior to and during recovery from exercise after 6-wk mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E or placebo supplementation.

	Exercise		Recovery			
	Pre	Post	24 h	48 h	96 h	168 h
Flexion (N·m)		#	#	#	# ‡	# ‡
Antioxidant (n = 20)	203 ± 43	172 ± 43	170 ± 49	169 ± 41	168 ± 43	178 ± 40
Placebo (n = 18)	204 ± 47	169 ± 41	163 ± 43	163 ± 46	147 ± 21	158 ± 35
Extension (N·m)		#	#	#	# ‡	# ‡
Antioxidant (n = 20)	317 ± 69	269 ± 59	282 ± 66	281 ± 65	328 ± 71	336 ± 66
Placebo (n = 18)	308 ± 54	259 ± 46	276 ± 57	292 ± 57	298 ± 57	278 ± 73
Range of Motion (°)		#	#	#	# ‡	# ‡
Antioxidant (n = 20)	117 ± 8	106 ± 8	106 ± 10	105 ± 11	102 ± 5	108 ± 4
Placebo (n = 18)	120 ± 7	106 ± 6	105 ± 7	106 ± 10	102 ± 6	103 ± 3

denotes time-points different from baseline ($P \leq 0.01$).

‡ denotes $n = 6$ and $n = 5$ for antioxidant and placebo groups, respectively.

662

663

Fig. 1 Plasma vitamin C concentrations before and after 6-weeks mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E or placebo supplementation and then in recovery from exercise-induced muscle damage (Interaction: $F = 17$, $P < 0.001$). * denotes time-points different between treatments ($P \leq 0.003$); # denotes time-points different from baseline across both treatments ($P \leq 0.005$).

Fig. 2 Urine F₂-isoprostane concentrations before and after 6-weeks mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E or placebo supplementation and then in recovery from exercise-induced muscle damage (Interaction: $F = 0.9$, $P = 0.04$).

Fig. 3 Serum cortisol concentrations prior to and during recovery from exercise following 6-weeks mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E or placebo supplementation (Interaction: $F = 3.1$, $P = 0.05$). # denotes time-points different from baseline across both treatments ($P \leq 0.03$).

Fig. 4 Serum myoglobin concentrations (a) and creatine kinase activities (b) prior to and during recovery from exercise following 6-weeks mixed antioxidant vitamin C & E or placebo supplementation. # denotes time-points different from baseline across both treatments ($P \leq 0.05$).