Citation for published version:

Papadopoulos, TA, Muccioli, L, Athanasopoulos, S, Walker, AB, Zannoni, C & Beljonne, D 2011, 'Does supramolecular ordering influence exciton transport in conjugated systems? Insight from atomistic simulations', *Chemical Science*, vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 1025-1032. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c0sc00467g>

DOI:

[10.1039/c0sc00467g](https://doi.org/10.1039/c0sc00467g)

Publication date:

2011

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Does supramolecular ordering influence exciton transport in conjugated systems? Insight from atomistic simulations[†]

Theodoros A. Papadopoulos,^{*‡a} Luca Muccioli,^{*b} Stavros Athanasopoulos,^{*c} Alison B. Walker,^a Claudio Zannoni,^b and David Beljonne^c

Received Xth XXXXXXXXXX 201X, Accepted Xth XXXXXXXXXX 201X

First published on the web Xth XXXXXXXXXX 201X

DOI: 10.1039/b000000x

We have developed a theoretical platform for modelling temperature-dependent exciton transport in organic materials, using indenofluorene trimers as a case study. Our atomistic molecular dynamics simulations confirm the experimentally observed occurrence of a liquid crystalline smectic phase at room temperature and predict a phase transition to the isotropic phase between 375 and 400 K. Strikingly, the increased orientational disorder at elevated temperatures barely affects the ability of excitons to be transported over large distances, though disorder influences the directionality of the energy diffusion process. Detailed quantum-chemical calculations show that this result arises from a trade-off between reduced excitonic couplings and increased spectral overlap at high temperatures. Our results suggest that liquid crystalline oligomeric materials could be promising candidates for engineering optoelectronic devices that require stable and controlled electronic properties over a wide range of temperatures and supramolecular arrangements.

1 Introduction

Organic electronics is recognised as a disruptive technology, offering new applications rather than competing head to head with inorganic counterparts. Optoelectronic devices, such as organic light emitting diodes (OLEDs)¹, field effect transistors², chemical sensors³ and solar cells^{4,5} offer novel performance at low cost. However, significant enhancements to performance and lifetime are required if these devices are to fulfil this potential. Although there has been a considerable improvement in the efficiencies of organic devices over the last years, most advances are due to unsystematic development of novel materials implemented in new architectures⁶. Such efforts risk being fragmented or even ill-directed in the absence of effective routes for predicting, rather than discovering, the optoelectronic properties of the materials. New materials result in new morphologies and physical properties⁷, and since progress and understanding run in tandem, tailoring charge and energy transport properties and improving the effi-

ciency requires the description of both the morphological and electronic properties using physical models that retain information at an atomistic level.

Energy transfer occurs via diffusion of excitons, electronically excited states of molecules. Excitons are created by the absorption of light or by binding of free charges that come within close proximity and play a key role in the operation and degradation of organic devices. In organic blend and hybrid photovoltaic devices, excitons must diffuse to an interface between the component materials where they can be dissociated into free charges. In OLEDs and chemical sensors, excitons need to move around until they decay by fluorescence at a desired site. The efficiency of these processes is to a large extent determined by the exciton diffusion length L_d , the average distance over which an exciton moves before it decays. L_d is normally obtained from the exciton diffusion coefficient D_E and the radiative lifetime of an excitation τ_L as $L_d = \sqrt{D_E \tau_L}$, but here we obtain L_d by computing exciton trajectories. To realize exciton motion over long distances, fundamental insights into the factors that govern L_d are essential. Singlet excitons have been shown to play a major role in device degradation⁸ and thus it is necessary to predict how their concentration profile changes during device operation.

So far, improvements in organic device performance have been hindered due to a lack of detailed theoretical understanding of the combined effects of morphological and electronic properties on energy transport. This is partly due to the complexity of the materials involved and the large number of parameters in play. One way to overcome some of these

[†] Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: See DOI: 10.1039/b000000x/

^a Department of Physics, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, Bath, U.K.; E-mail: tp239@bath.ac.uk

^b Dipartimento di Chimica Fisica e Inorganica and INSTM, Università di Bologna, IT-40136 Bologna, Italy; E-mail: luca@fci.unibo.it

^c Laboratory for Chemistry of Novel Materials, University of Mons, B-7000 Mons, Belgium; E-mail: stavrosa@averell.umh.ac.be

[‡] Present address: School of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Center for Organic Photonics and Electronics, 901 Atlantic Dr. NW, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, GA 30332-0400, U.S.A.; E-mail: thodoris@gatech.edu

difficulties by reducing the number of uncontrolled parameters is the oligomer approach⁹. Systems that are built up of single spectroscopic units and have well defined conjugation lengths, are largely free from chemical and structural defects and exhibit a high degree of orientational and/or positional order. Energy transfer in such controlled morphologies benefits from reduced energetic disorder, the latter being one of the main limiting factors for exciton diffusion¹⁰. Hence conjugated oligomers, due to their reduced complexity, could work as prototypes for validating theory through comparison with experiment.

The purpose of this paper is to provide a protocol for calculating exciton transport properties in conjugated materials and to test how sensitive the transport properties of oligomeric materials are to changes in the morphology and temperature. We employ methods from statistical physics and quantum chemistry that allow us the exciting possibility of linking electronic structure to the (opto)electronic properties. The morphological properties are evaluated with atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations, while exciton transfer rates are directly evaluated via correlated quantum-chemical calculations and transport properties are sampled with a kinetic Monte Carlo (MC) model. We can therefore avoid any *a priori* assumptions on molecular conformations and packing.

The system chosen to validate the theoretical approach is an indenofluorene trimer (IF3) that has recently been studied experimentally and was shown to form smectic mesophases¹¹. We show first that our MD simulation results for the morphology are consistent with wide angle X-ray scattering data and predict a phase transition from smectic to the isotropic phase in fair agreement with experiment¹¹. We then demonstrate that in a system with limited energetic disorder, the decrease in orientational order with increasing temperature does not affect the magnitude of the diffusion length L_d . We also find, however, that the directionality of the exciton dynamics is affected resulting in a loss of the transport anisotropy at high temperatures. These results help to build an understanding of how morphology and chemical structure influence exciton transport, which is an essential prerequisite in order to exploit the potential applications of organic materials in optoelectronic devices.

2 Computational Details

2.1 Molecular Dynamics simulations

Molecular Dynamics simulations of bulk IF3 samples in the NPT ensemble were conducted with the NAMD code¹², integrating the equations of motion with a time step of 2 fs for short range and bonded interactions, and 4 fs for long range non bonded interactions. Both pressure and temperature were controlled with weak coupling schemes¹³. Potential

energy was in the CHARMM form¹⁴, composed of harmonic stretching and bending terms, dihedrals described by a series of cosines, and Coulomb and Lennard-Jones terms for non-bonded interactions. Electrostatic interactions were calculated with the particle mesh Ewald method with a mesh spacing of about 1.5 Å¹⁵, whilst a cutoff of 10 Å was employed in the evaluation of Lennard-Jones terms. IF3 molecules were described at united atom (UA) level, i.e., without explicit hydrogens. This approach offers the advantage of reducing the number of centers while maintaining an accurate description of the static physical properties^{16–18}. The dynamics take place faster than in experiment^{17,19}, improving the phase sampling and thus reducing the equilibration times.

Atomic charges at the equilibrium geometry and the torsional potential for a given angle ϕ between two indenofluorene monomers were calculated from density functional theory (DFT) implemented in the Turbomole 5.9 code²⁰ with the 6-31G basis set and the B3LYP functional (Fig. 1). In all these calculations octyl chains were omitted and replaced by hydrogens. To comply with the UA model, each UA center was given the sum of the charges of the corresponding carbon and its geminal hydrogens, charges on chemically equivalent centers were equalized and alkyl chain charges were set equal to zero following Ref.¹⁸. To reproduce the *ab initio* torsional potential with the classical force field we used the approach described in Ref.²¹ to take into account the non-bonded interaction contributions. Aromatic carbons without implicit hydrogens and aliphatic carbons were described with the AMBER UA force field^{22,23}, while aromatic carbons with one implicit hydrogen were parameterized following Ref.²⁴.

Fig. 1 Ground and excited state torsional potential calculated at the B3LYP level using the 6-31G basis set;

A low density (≈ 0.1 g/cm³) sample of 128 IF3 molecules arranged parallel to each other was built and quickly compressed applying a pressure of 1000 atm at 400 K until the system reached approximate values for the density of 1 g/cm³,

box size of $90 \times 80 \times 60$ Å, smectic order parameter $\tau = 0.4$ and nematic order parameter $P_2 = 0.76$ with the director oriented along the z axis. This configuration was used as starting geometry for simulation runs at 350, 375, 400, 425, 450, 475, 500 K and pressure of 1 atm. At the three higher temperatures the order parameter dropped to isotropic values after 20–40 ns and the runs were not continued. For the other temperatures we performed equilibration runs of 100 ns, during which P_2 reached a stationary value after an initial decrease, with the exception of 425 K which exhibited a continuous slow decay. After this preliminary step, the box sizes were doubled along the z axis and the new 256-molecule samples were simulated at the same temperatures and pressure. Two additional temperatures, 300 and 325 K, were started from the 350 K configuration. These large samples were further equilibrated until reaching constant density and P_2 ; this required from 80 to 300 ns of simulation. Finally, production runs were performed, with duration decreasing with temperature, ranging from 170 ns at 300 K to 60 ns at 500 K; during the runs, configurations were stored with a frequency of 100 ps and afterwards processed for calculating the observables reported in this work.

2.2 Quantum-Chemical calculations and Monte Carlo energy transport simulations

In the weak coupling regime appropriate here (*vide infra*), excitons are localised on single molecular sites and exciton transfer takes place via resonant energy transfer from a donor molecule D in the excited state to an acceptor molecule A in its ground state²⁵. The rate of hopping is^{26,27}

$$k_{DA} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} |V_{DA}|^2 J_{DA}, \quad (1)$$

with V_{DA} the excitonic coupling and J_{DA} the spectral overlap between the donor emission $F_D(\omega)$ and the acceptor absorption spectra $A_A(\omega)$, given by:

$$J_{DA} = \int_0^\infty F_D(\omega) A_A(\omega) d\omega.$$

J_{DA} was calculated within the distributed monopole approximation^{28–31} that expresses V_{DA} as a Coulomb interaction term:

$$V_{DA} = \frac{1}{4\pi\epsilon_0} \sum_{i \in D} \sum_{j \in A} \frac{\rho_D(\vec{r}_{D_i}) \rho_A(\vec{r}_{A_j})}{|\vec{r}_{D_i} - \vec{r}_{A_j}|},$$

where the sum runs over the donor $\vec{r}_{D_i}$ and acceptor $\vec{r}_{A_j}$ atomic positions produced by the MD simulation, ρ are the atomic transition charge densities and ϵ_0 the vacuum permittivity. The transition charges have been computed through correlated coupled cluster calculations³², using the intermediate neglect of differential overlap (INDO) Hamiltonian³³, for all 256 chromophores in the sample in a few representative configurations of the morphology at each temperature.

Absorption and emission spectra of indenofluorene trimers at different temperatures have been obtained by means of *ab initio* simulations. DFT and TD-DFT approximation levels were used for the equilibrium ground and first excited state geometries and frequencies, respectively. The geometric distortions between the ground and excited state geometries were mapped onto the ground and excited state normal modes for the absorption and emission spectra respectively. All vibrational modes except librations were used in an undistorted displaced harmonic oscillator model³⁰. We used the procedures of Ref.³⁴ to treat librational modes, as these modes are soft, causing large distortions when going from the ground state to the excited state. Anharmonic effects are taken into account by numerical diagonalization of the nuclear Hamiltonian obtained from the (TD-)DFT calculations. This model leads to a natural description of the mirror asymmetry between the absorption and emission spectra as well as of the Stokes shift. The lineshape is not treated here as an adjustable parameter but is largely a consequence of the thermal population of the libration modes³⁴. Each molecule explores locally the torsion potential energy surface over time scales that are short compared to the exciton hopping time, contributing thereby to the dynamic homogeneous linewidth. Large amplitude conformational changes involving crossing the barrier at 90° , 0° and 180° occur at much longer times yielding a distribution of conformers that can be assumed to be static over the exciton lifetime. Another contribution to energetic disorder arises from the dielectric environment. It has been introduced through a random rigid shift of absorption and emission spectra, as extracted from a Gaussian distribution of standard deviation σ .

Kinetic Monte Carlo simulations suffice to model the exciton diffusion as a random walk. The model requires as an input the molecular positions, provided by the MD simulations, and exciton transfer rates between molecular sites, calculated at the quantum-chemical level. An exciton is randomly placed in the system and a waiting time to hop from oligomer i to a neighboring oligomer j is sampled from an exponential distribution^{35,36}:

$$\tau_{ij} = -\frac{1}{k_{ij}} \ln X,$$

where k_{ij} is the transfer rate between i and j and X is a random number between 0 and 1. Additionally, in competition with energy transfer, a recombination time is calculated as $\tau_i^R = \tau_L \ln X$, with the radiative lifetime of an excitation localised on an indenofluorene trimer, $\tau_L = 655$ ps³⁷. At each MC step the event demanding the smallest waiting time is selected and executed until the exciton eventually recombines. The diffusion length $L_d = \sqrt{\Delta x^2 + \Delta y^2 + \Delta z^2}$, where Δx , Δy and Δz are the x, y, z components of the displacement between the initial and final point on the exciton trajectory, is calculated imposing proper periodic boundary conditions. Quantities of interest such as L_d were averaged over $\sim 10^6$ trajectories, and

these averages are denoted by angular brackets. This procedure gives a variance of 0.2 nm for L_d in each MD configuration.

3 Results and Discussion

3.1 Phase behaviour of indenofluorene trimers

In this section we describe the temperature dependence of the bulk phase physical properties of IF3 predicted by our MD simulations. The purpose of the investigation is twofold: on the one hand to assess the microscopic structure of the material and validate it through the comparison with experimental data, on the other hand to obtain atomic coordinates for a realistic simulation of the energy transport process in the system. As for the corresponding polymer 2,8-poly-6,6',12,12'-tetraoctyl-6,12-dihydroindeno[1,2*b*] fluorene³⁸, IF trimers form a smectic phase above the glass transition temperature at 261 K and melt at 411 K¹¹. Reproduction of this phase transition and the temperature at which it occurs with atomistic simulations is challenging^{39,40} so makes an important test of the simulation methodology.

Starting from an ordered sample, the onset of the disordering transition was monitored through the average values of the orientational order parameter $\langle P_2 \rangle = \langle 3(\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{n})^2 - 1 \rangle / 2$ associated with the long molecular axis, $\mathbf{u}$, the unit vector joining the aromatic carbons at the two ends of IF3, with respect to the phase director $\mathbf{n}$ ¹⁷.

Fig. 2 Temperature dependence of order parameters: average nematic second and fourth order parameters $\langle P_2 \rangle$, $\langle P_4 \rangle$ and average smectic order parameter $\langle \tau_1 \rangle$.

Fig. 2 shows that $\langle P_2 \rangle$ drops smoothly as T increases, until 400 K where the system becomes isotropic. Smectic order parameters $\langle \tau_n \rangle$ characterize the nature of the mesophases through measuring the extent of positional order along the alignment direction $\mathbf{z} \parallel \mathbf{n}$. We obtained $\langle \tau_n \rangle$ from a least square

fitting of the scaled density function along $\mathbf{z}$ with the first four terms of the McMillan's expansion⁴¹:

$$\rho(z)/\rho_0 = 1 + \langle \tau_1 \rangle \cos(2\pi z/\langle d \rangle) + \dots + \langle \tau_n \rangle \cos(n2\pi z/\langle d \rangle). \quad (2)$$

This method provides the layer spacing $\langle d \rangle$ of the smectic phase, which yields a constant value of 31.5 Å, corresponding approximately to the end-to-end distance of the trimer, noting that no significant interdigitation occurs. A smectic phase at temperatures below 400 K can be seen from $\langle \tau_1(T) \rangle$ in Fig. 2; the higher terms $\langle \tau_2 \rangle$ and $\langle \tau_3 \rangle$ are much smaller but follow the same behaviour. The periodicity of the density fluctuations along $\mathbf{z}$, typical of the smectic phase, and the applicability of eq. 2 can be seen more clearly in Fig. 3. The snapshot in the top left hand panel of Fig. 3 shows the molecular arrangement is typical of a smectic A phase, in which the molecular centers of masses are distributed on diffuse layers perpendicular to the ordering direction (indicated with vertical orange bars), with liquid-like positional disorder within the layers.

Fig. 3 Top left panel: A snapshot of 256 IF3 molecules in the smectic phase at 300 K (top, alkyl chains omitted for clarity, hydrogen atoms artificially added, blueish spheres indicate IF3 centers of mass). Bottom left panel: The scaled density distribution function along the alignment direction z (red line). Orange vertical bars underline the correspondence of the peaks of the density distribution with the layers in the snapshot. Right panel: A schematization of the most representative intermonomer distances in the smectic phase (units in Å).

To investigate the effect of the phase transition on molecular mobility and for more detailed information, we calculated the autocorrelation functions $C_f(t) = \langle f(0) \cdot f(t) \rangle$, where f can be either $\mathbf{u}$ (short or long molecular axes), or $\sin(\phi)$ (Fig. 4), as $C_f(t)$ is a measure of the overall and internal rotational dynamics. The effective decay times for $f(t)$ ⁴²:

$$\tau_{rot}^f = \int_0^\infty \frac{C_f(t) - C_f(\infty)}{C_f(0) - C_f(\infty)} dt. \quad (3)$$

Fig. 4 Top panel: Chemical sketch of the 6,6',12,12'-tetraoctylindeno[1,2-b]fluorene trimer studied in this work ($R = n$ -octyl chains), with monomer-monomer dihedral angles indicated. Bottom panel: Arrhenius plot of some rotational diffusion indicators: decay times of the autocorrelation function of the molecular axes $\mathbf{z}$ (black squares) and $\mathbf{x}$ (white squares), and of $\sin(\phi)$ (grey rhombs) where ϕ is the torsional dihedral angle. All indicators suggest a phase transition between 375 and 400 K.

The decay time of $C_f(t)$ can be much longer than the simulation time as the rotation is progressively hindered by lowering the temperature, so we extrapolated the long-time behaviour by fitting $C_f(t)$ with a sum of three exponentials for the first half of the simulation period. This functional form makes no assumptions about the symmetry of the rotational diffusion tensor⁴³. We then estimated the rotational decay time from eq. 3, replacing $C(t)$ with its fitting function. The procedure allows to clearly identify the temperature trends of the rotational times, shown in Fig. 4, where two separate regions with different activation energy are clearly visible, at low T (smectic phase), and at high T (isotropic phase). The relaxation times in the smectic phase appear to be much longer than the simulation period, leading to some uncertainty, but they do reveal that the rotational motion in this phase is severely hindered and suggest a high viscosity. All these indicators confirm a phase transition between 375 and 400 K agreeing with the temperature behaviour of the translational diffusion coefficient shown in Fig. ESI 3.

Our simulations have been validated through reproducing the experimental phases and thermal behaviour with reasonable accuracy so we can use them to provide details of the molecular arrangement of IF3 in the condensed phase. The intermonomer dihedral angle ϕ is of particular interest as it can influence photoluminescence through promoting or pre-

venting intermolecular aggregation⁴⁴.

Fig. 5 The intramolecular torsional dihedral angle ϕ as a function of time t for different temperatures.

Similar to oligofluorenes^{45–47}, gas phase oligophenyls^{48,49} and biphenyls dissolved in liquid crystals⁵⁰, the ground state is not planar and $\langle \phi \rangle = \pm 38^\circ + k\pi$, in the smectic and in the isotropic phase of IF3. The peaks of the distribution of ϕ can be reproduced by gaussian functions: while their width increases linearly with temperature from 9° to 13° , it does not show significant change at the smectic-isotropic transition. As mentioned above, the torsion angles vary quickly (compared to the exciton hopping time) around the equilibrium ground-state values, Fig. 5, so that coupling to intramolecular vibrational mode is homogeneous; in contrast conformational jumps are much slower and result in decay times that span a large time domain, from ~ 0.5 ns at 500 K to ~ 300 ns at 300 K, Fig. 4.

The packing of the molecules in the smectic phase is characterised by the radial distribution function (rdf) of the different atom types composing the molecule. Along the meridional direction (parallel to $\mathbf{n}$) all aromatic carbon rdfs are dominated by the monomer-monomer repeating distance of 12.5 Å, in perfect agreement with the analysis of the polymer X-ray spectrum (12.5 Å)⁵¹; the small disagreement with the position of the intense meridional reflection registered for the trimer ($d_{me}=11.7$ Å)¹¹ can be explained by the fact that the molecules are slightly bent, so even if two linked monomers are 12.5 Å apart, the third closest monomer is separated by only 23.5 Å from the first, and the closest fourth monomer (belonging to another molecule) is 31.5 Å apart, the layer spacing of the smectic phase (Fig. 3). We therefore suggest that the peak at 11.7 Å contains the contribution of all these reflections.

In the equatorial direction (perpendicular to $\mathbf{n}$) the most important distance is the separation between the first neighbors for molecules belonging to the same smectic layer ($d_{eq}=8.5$

$\text{\AA}$ vs $8.8 \text{ \AA}$ reported in Ref. ¹¹) together with the distance of the second neighbour ($16.1 \text{ \AA}$); in addition a shoulder centered at around $14.7 \text{ \AA}$ ($=\sqrt{3}d_{eq}$) reveals the presence of hexagonal order inside the layer. Finally, there is little evidence for π stacking and herringbone packing both in simulation morphologies and in the wide angle X-ray scattering (WAXS) patterns. The octyl substituents apparently prevent these arrangements, typical of planar aromatic compounds, favoring instead the isolation of the aromatic backbones, which are immersed in a bath of entangled alkyl chains. This organization should yield excitons that are confined on single IF3 units rather than being delocalized over molecular aggregates.

Fig. 6 Simulated absorption (black dashed line) and emission (red dashed line) spectra as well as room temperature experimental absorption (black solid line) and emission (red solid line) spectra in toluene and K_2CO_3 solution ¹¹. Emission spectrum for an IF3 film, drop-casted from solution ¹¹, is also shown with a blue solid line.

3.2 Energy transport in the condensed phase

In a Förster picture, a quantitative assessment of the energy transfer rates relies on the correct prediction of the molecular absorption and emission spectra. The calculated spectra at 300 K are displayed in Fig. 6 along with the experimental spectra in solution and film ¹¹. We observe good agreement for the shape, linewidth and Stokes shift ($\approx 0.26 \text{ eV}$), noting that the absorption spectrum consists of a featureless broad peak while emission exhibits a clear vibronic structure. This loss of mirror symmetry and the relative intensity of the emission peaks are reproduced by our *ab initio* calculations discussed above. This agreement is established *without any fitting procedure*, the only adjustment being a blue-shift of the simulated spectra by 7 nm to match the exact positions of the absorption and first emission peaks to the experimental peaks. The similarities between vibronic progression of the IF3 experimental photoluminescence spectra in film and solution, and

lack of change for absorption features when going from solution to the liquid crystal phase, demonstrated for similar indenofluorene trimers ⁴⁵ and for the polymer ⁵², indicates that the weak intermolecular coupling regime is a reasonable assumption for our model. The reduced intensity of the 0-0 line in the photoluminescence spectrum of the film is mostly due to self-absorption effects ¹¹.

To confirm the choice of the appropriate transport regime we have calculated the maximum excitonic coupling between first nearest neighbors averaged on all different configurations, $\langle |V_{DA}^{max}| \rangle = 0.038 \text{ eV}$ at 300 K, found to be much smaller than the value of the excited state reorganization energy, $\lambda = 0.34 \text{ eV}$ at 300 K ³⁴, ensuring that transport occurs via incoherent hopping of excitons localized on single IF3 chromophores.

The spatial extent over which an excitation could diffuse during its lifetime depends on the rate of energy transfer which in turn is proportional to the squared excitonic coupling $|V_{DA}|^2$ and the spectral overlap via eq. 1. As a prelude to the full transport simulations, we explore the sensitivity of V_{DA} to changes on the molecular conformations. The square of the excitonic coupling for two molecules of IF3 depends on the rigid body rotation angle θ about the axis connecting the centre of masses of the two molecules. To amplify, $|V_{DA}|^2$ is an oscillating function of θ with a period of π , reflecting the fact that the excitonic coupling is maximized when the transition dipoles are parallel to each other, $\theta = 0^\circ$, and vanishes for $\theta = 90^\circ$ (see Fig. ESI 4). Hence the coupling will decrease with increasing orientational and positional disorder, due to the increase in temperature, since there will be an increasing probability of finding neighboring chromophores misaligned. The electronic structure calculations confirm this scenario as can be seen on Fig. 7 (a) and from the probability distribution plot of $|V_{DA}|^2$ for the smectic phase at 300 K and the isotropic phase at 500 K (see Fig. ESI 5). However, the spectral overlap J_{DA} increases linearly with temperature due to the broadening of the absorption and emission spectra. Overall, the combined effect of reduced excitonic coupling and increased spectral overlap thus results in a constant total hopping rate (the ensemble average of the sum of all possible hopping rates for each molecule), as can be seen in Fig. 7 (c).

Having examined the sensitivity of the microscopic parameters that control energy transport we now turn our attention to the Monte Carlo simulations of exciton diffusion. We find that L_d is almost independent of temperature with a mean value of 67.5 nm , as depicted in Fig. 8. It is at first surprising that for all studied morphologies the diffusion length is constant as it would be tempting to assume that L_d should be smaller for the more disordered morphologies. Nevertheless, as demonstrated above, although on average $|V_{DA}|^2$ lowers with increasing temperature, this effect is compensated by the increase in J_{DA} , resulting in a constant transfer rate and hence diffusion length L_d . It has been generally believed that the singlet ex-

Fig. 7 The total squared excitonic coupling $|V_{DA}|^2$ (circles) and spectral overlap J_{DA} (squares) as a function of absolute temperature T (a). The Förster radius R_0 (b) and the total hopping rate k_{DA}^{tot} (c) as a function of T .

citon diffusion length in disordered organic films should be very small^{7,53,54}; our simulations however suggest that large values of L_d could be expected even in amorphous molecular materials.

The above picture could change in case coherent effects either due to electronic interactions between chromophores or coupling to the bath are present. There are two ways coherence could alter the picture, delocalization of excitation between chains to form excitonic domains⁵⁵, or it can play a role in modifying the dynamics intrinsically⁵⁶. In both instances, ordering of molecules or polymer chains could modify the energy transfer dynamics, particularly in cases of substantial electronic coupling. Nevertheless the assumption of incoherent hopping underlying our conclusion is the most likely scenario as discussed previously.

We have also extracted an effective, orientation averaged, Förster radius R_0 (the distance at which $\langle k_{DA} \rangle = 1/\tau^R$). Our calculated value of 3.23 nm at 300 K is close to the experimentally determined value of ≈ 3.3 nm obtained from photoluminescence experiments in samples of perylene end-capped polyindeno[1,2,3-cd]fluorene chains⁵⁷. R_0 follows the same trend as L_d , being nearly independent of temperature (Fig. 7b). Nevertheless, the decrease in the order parameters with temperature shown in Fig. 2 plays an important role on the diffusion and the pathways that excitons follow. As demonstrated in Fig. 8,

Fig. 8 The x, y, z components of the diffusion length (L_d^x, L_d^y and L_d^z respectively) as a function of T .

excitons benefit from orientational order and better packing, travelling larger distances along the direction perpendicular to the smectic layers (z -direction, Fig. 3 top-left panel) at lower temperatures. The anisotropy of energy transfer in liquid crystals has been predicted in the past by molecular level simulations^{58,59}. This property, which is very sensitive to the aspect ratio and intermolecular distances between chromophoric units^{58,60}, could be exploited in designing favorable pathways for excitons in properly engineered devices. As temperature increases transport eventually becomes isotropic via the transition to the isotropic phase: the impact of temperature on the diffusion dynamics can hence be related to the different pathways excitons use to diffuse while overall the diffusion length remains unaltered.

Another physical property that can be easily accessed by fluorescence spectroscopy, and in this case by simulations, is the anisotropy ratio as a function of time $r(t) = (I_{\parallel} - I_{\perp}) / (I_{\parallel} + 2I_{\perp})$. Here we excite our sample at $t = 0$ with vertically (parallel to $\mathbf{n}$) polarised light and find the intensity of light exiting the sample through a parallel ($I_{\parallel}$) or perpendicular polarization direction ($I_{\perp}$) at a given time. As the transition dipoles μ for IF3 are parallel to the long molecular axis $\mathbf{u}$, we computed the two relative intensities as⁶¹:

$$I_{\parallel} = \langle u_z(0)^2 u_z(t)^2 \rangle \exp(-t/\tau_L)$$

$$I_{\perp} = \frac{1}{2} (\langle u_z(0)^2 u_x(t)^2 \rangle + \langle u_z(0)^2 u_y(t)^2 \rangle) \exp(-t/\tau_L).$$

The fluorescence anisotropy, plotted in Fig. 9, is temperature dependent as it sees the average orientational order through its asymptotic value $r(\infty) = \langle P_2 \rangle$, allowing to distinguish the smectic phase ($T=300, 350$ and 400 K in Fig. 9) from the isotropic phase ($T=450$ and 500 K), where the long-time emission is completely depolarised ($r(\infty) = 0$). At short times the anisotropy is always higher as the incident light is polarised,

but it quickly reaches asymptotic behavior in about 5 ps at all temperatures, with decay time, obtained from eq. 3, ranging from 0.2 to 0.3 ps. These times are of the same order of magnitude as the average exciton waiting times (Fig. ESI 6), revealing that in practice only a few exciton hops are sufficient to reach the steady-state anisotropy.

Fig. 9 The fluorescence anisotropy ratio $r(t) = (I_{\parallel} - I_{\perp}) / (I_{\parallel} + 2I_{\perp})$ as a function of time for different temperatures.

Finally, we explore the role of static energetic disorder on exciton diffusion. As discussed above, conformational disorder arises from local fluctuations of the torsion angles between the indenofluorene units and so is dynamic; it is accounted for explicitly in the homogeneous linewidth. An additional contribution to the spectral lineshapes frequently arises when embedding molecules in a dielectric environment and is included in transport models through a random distribution of site energies obeying a Gaussian distribution of width σ . Fig. 10 shows the effects of inhomogeneous disorder. L_d decreases when σ increases, as documented in previous work¹⁰. This decrease is steeper for the lowest temperatures since there is less thermal energy available to overcome energy barriers. Therefore, high values of static disorder could make L_d sensitive to temperature. However, as an inhomogeneous broadening of only 0.014 eV has been reported for a similar step ladder type paraphenylene oligomer⁶², energetic disorder is expected to be low in well-defined molecular systems such as the system investigated here. To quantify the magnitude of electrostatic disorder associated with molecules feeling different dielectric environments, we have calculated the distribution of the difference between the ground and excited state electrostatic energy of the IF3 molecules. The distributions (Fig. ESI 7) fit reasonably well to Gaussian functions and feature standard deviations lower than 0.007 eV; it is clear from Fig. 10 that such low values of inhomogeneous disorder play a marginal role on the temperature dependence of L_d .

Fig. 10 The diffusion length L_d as a function of the energetic disorder width parameter σ for various temperatures.

4 Conclusions

We have presented a detailed atomistic study of exciton transport in conjugated oligomers by combining MD prediction of the morphologies and quantum chemistry and MC simulations of energy transfer. The validity of the MD simulation results is supported by recent experimental data on indenofluorene mesophases. We demonstrate that, unexpectedly, the diffusion length is not affected by the reduction of the order parameters with increasing temperature. This result is attributed to the cancelling effect of the global decrease of the average excitonic coupling and the simultaneous increase of the spectral overlap with increasing temperature. Whilst the phase transition from smectic to isotropic phase does not impact the magnitude of L_d , it has a remarkable effect on the directionality of the exciton transport, which is anisotropic at room temperature in the smectic phase and reaches purely isotropic behaviour only at high temperatures. Our approach adds realism to modelling the transport properties of conjugated systems and sheds light on the impact of both the morphological and temperature change in the material to its optoelectronic properties. In particular, we found that molecules like IF3 organizing into liquid crystalline phases at room temperature can sample many conformational states over short periods of time, thereby resulting in efficient excitation diffusion otherwise strongly limited by static disorder in the solid state. We note that similar dynamic effects have been shown to significantly boost the charge carrier transport mobilities in other conjugated mesophases¹⁸. Altogether, our results suggest that liquid crystalline oligomeric materials could be promising candidates for engineering optoelectronic devices that require stable and controlled electronic properties over a wide range of temperatures and supramolecular arrangements.

The authors would like to thank Dr. Johannes Gierschner

(IMDEA Madrid) for helpful discussions. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community through FP6 project MODECOM (NMP-CT-2006-016434) and FP7 project ONE-P (NMP3-LA-2008-212311). S. A. and D. B. are respectively Postdoctoral Research Fellow and Research Director at FNRS.

References

- 1 J. H. Burroughes, D. D. C. Bradley, A. R. Brown, R. N. Marks, K. Mackay, R. H. Friend, P. L. Burns and A. B. Holmes, *Nature*, 1990, **347**, 539.
- 2 H. Sirringhaus, P. J. Brown, R. H. Friend, M. M. Nielsen, K. Bechgaard, B. M. W. Langeveld-Voss, A. J. H. Spiering, R. A. J. Janssen, E. W. Meijer, P. Herwig and D. M. de Leeuw, *Nature*, 1999, **401**, 685.
- 3 S. T. III, G. Joly and T. Swager, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 1339.
- 4 G. Li, V. Shrotriya, J. S. Huang, Y. Yao, T. Moriarty, K. Emery and Y. Yang, *Nat. Mater.*, 2005, **4**, 864.
- 5 P. Peumans, A. Yakimov and S. R. Forrest, *J. Appl. Phys.*, 2003, **93**, 3693.
- 6 M. Campoy-Quiles, T. Ferenczi, T. Agostinelli, P. G. Etchegoin, Y. Kim, T. D. Anthopoulos, P. N. Stavrinou, D. D. C. Bradley and J. Nelson, *Nat. Mater.*, 2008, **7**, 158.
- 7 P. W. M. Blom, V. D. Mihailetschi, L. J. A. Koster and D. E. Markov, *Adv. Mater.*, 2007, **19**, 1551.
- 8 R. Seifert, S. Scholz, B. Lüssem and K. Leo, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2010, **97**, 13308.
- 9 K. Müllen and G. Wegner, *Electronic materials: The Oligomer approach*, Wiley-VCH Weinheim, 1998.
- 10 S. Athanasopoulos, E. V. Emelianova, A. B. Walker and D. Beljonne, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2009, **80**, 195209.
- 11 M. M. Elmahdy, G. Floudas, L. Oldridge, A. C. Grimsdale and K. Müllen, *ChemPhysChem*, 2006, **7**, 1431.
- 12 J. C. Phillips, R. Braun, W. Wang, J. Gumbart, E. Tajkhorshid, E. Villa, C. Chipot, R. D. Skeel, L. Kale and K. Schulten, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 2005, **26**, 1781.
- 13 H. J. C. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, A. D. Nola and J. R. Haak, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1984, **81**, 3684.
- 14 A. D. MacKerell, D. Bashford, Bellott, R. L. Dunbrack, J. D. Evanseck, M. J. Field, S. Fischer, J. Gao, H. Guo, S. Ha, D. Joseph-McCarthy, L. Kuchnir, K. Kuczera, F. T. K. Lau, C. Mattos, S. Michnick, T. Ngo, D. T. Nguyen, B. Prodhom, W. E. Reiher, B. Roux, M. Schlenkrich, J. C. Smith, R. Stote, J. Straub, M. Watanabe, J. Wiorkiewicz-Kuczera, D. Yin and M. Karplus, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1998, **102**, 3586.
- 15 U. Essmann, L. Perera, M. L. Berkowitz, T. A. Darden, H. Lee and L. G. Pedersen, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1995, **101**, 8577.
- 16 V. Marcon, T. Vehoff, J. Kirkpatrick, C. Jeong, D. Y. Yoon, K. Kremer and D. Andrienko, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **129**, 94505.
- 17 G. Tiberio, L. Muccioli, R. Berardi and C. Zannoni, *ChemPhysChem*, 2009, **10**, 125.
- 18 Y. Olivier, L. Muccioli, V. Lemaire, Y. H. Geerts, C. Zannoni and J. Cornil, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 14102.
- 19 J. Budzien, C. Raphael, M. D. Ediger and J. J. de Pablo, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **116**, 8209–.
- 20 F. Furche and R. Ahlrichs, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2002, **117**, 7433.
- 21 R. Berardi, G. Cainelli, P. Galletti, D. Giacomini, A. Gualandi, L. Muccioli and C. Zannoni, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 10699.
- 22 W. D. Cornell, P. Cieplak, C. I. Bayly, I. R. Gould, K. M. Merz Jr., D. M. Ferguson, D. C. Spellmeyer, T. Fox, J. W. Caldwell and P. A. Kollman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1995, **117**, 5179.
- 23 L. J. Yang, C. H. Tan, M. J. Hsieh, J. M. Wang, Y. Duan, P. Cieplak, J. Caldwell, P. A. Kollman and R. Luo, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 13166.
- 24 O. A. von Lilienfeld and D. Andrienko, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2006, **124**, 54307.
- 25 V. May and O. Kühn, *Charge and Energy Transfer Dynamics in Molecular Systems*, Wiley-VCH, Berlin, 2000.
- 26 E. Hennebicq, D. Beljonne, C. Curutchet, G. D. Scholes and R. J. Silbey, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **130**, 214505.
- 27 D. Beljonne, C. Curutchet, G. D. Scholes and R. J. Silbey, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2009, **113**, 6583.
- 28 S. Marguet, D. Markovitsi, P. Millié, H. Sigal and S. Kumar, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 1998, **102**, 4697.
- 29 D. Beljonne, J. Cornil, R. Silbey, P. Millié and J.-L. Brédas, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **112**, 4749.
- 30 E. Hennebicq, G. Pourtois, G. Scholes, L. Herz, D. Russell, C. Silva, S. Setayesh, A. Grimsdale, K. Müllen, J.-L. Brédas and D. Beljonne, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 4744.
- 31 C. Bacchiocchi, E. Hennebicq, S. Orlandi, L. Muccioli, D. Beljonne and C. Zannoni, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 1752.
- 32 Z. Shuai and J. L. Brédas, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2000, **62**, 15452.
- 33 J. Ridley and M. C. Zerner, *Theor. Chim. Acta*, 1973, **32**, 111.
- 34 G. Heimel, M. Daghofer, J. Gierschner, E. J. W. List, A. C. Grimsdale, D. Beljonne and J. Bredas, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **122**, 54501.
- 35 A. P. J. Jansen, *Comp. Phys. Comm.*, 1995, **86**, 1.
- 36 J. J. Lukkien, J. P. L. Segers, P. A. J. Hilbers, R. J. Gelten and A. P. J. Jansen, *Phys. Rev. E*, 1998, **58**, 2598.
- 37 S. Athanasopoulos, E. Hennebicq, D. Beljonne and A. B. Walker, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 11532.
- 38 S. Setayesh, D. Marsitzky and K. Müllen, *Macromolecules*, 2000, **33**, 2016.
- 39 I. Cacelli, L. De Gaetani, G. Prampolini and A. Tani, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2007, **111**, 2130.
- 40 M. Böckmann, C. Peter, L. Delle Site, N. L. Doltsinis, K. Kremer and D. Marx, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.*, 2007, **3**, 1789.
- 41 W. L. McMillan, *Phys. Rev. A*, 1972, **6**, 936.
- 42 C. Zannoni, in *The Molecular Dynamics of Liquid Crystals*, ed. G. Luckhurst and C. Veracini, Kluwer, 1994, ch. 6, p. 139.
- 43 V. Wong and D. A. Case, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 6013–6024.
- 44 S.-F. Lim, R. H. Friend, I. D. Rees, J. Li, Y. Ma, K. Robinson, A. B. Holmes, E. Hennebicq, D. Beljonne and F. Cacialli, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2005, **15**, 981.
- 45 C. Chi, G. Lieser, V. Enkelmann and G. Wegner, *Macromol. Chem. Phys.*, 2005, **206**, 1597.
- 46 V. Marcon, N. van der Vegt, G. Wegner and G. Raos, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 5253.
- 47 S. Kilina, E. R. Batista, P. Yang, S. Tretiak, A. Saxena, R. L. Martin, and D. L. Smith, *ACS Nano*, 2008, **2**, 1381.
- 48 I. Cacelli and G. Prampolini, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2003, **107**, 8665.
- 49 V. Lukeš, R. Šolc, M. Barbatti, M. Elstner, H. Lischka and H.-F. Kauffmann, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **129**, 164905.
- 50 D. Catalano, L. Di Bari, C. A. Veracini, G. N. Shilstone and C. Zannoni, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1991, **94**, 3928.
- 51 P. E. Keivanidis, J. Jacob, L. Oldridge, P. Sonar, B. Carbonnier, S. Balushev, A. C. Grimsdale, K. Müllen and G. Wegner, *ChemPhysChem*, 2005, **6**, 1650.
- 52 J. Ye, A. C. Grimsdale and Y. Zhao, *J. Phys. Chem. A*, 2010, **114**, 504.
- 53 V. Mikhnenko, F. Cordella, A. B. Sieval, J. C. Hummelen, P. W. M. Blom and M. A. Loi, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 11601.
- 54 P. E. Shaw, A. Ruseckas and I. D. W. Samuel, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 3516.
- 55 F. C. Spano, J. Clark, C. Silva and R. H. Friend, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **130**, 74904.
- 56 E. Collini and G. D. Scholes, *Science*, 2009, **323**, 369.
- 57 L. M. Herz, C. Silva, A. C. Grimsdale, K. Müllen and R. T. Phillips, *Phys.*

-
- Rev. B*, 2004, **70**, 165207.
- 58 C. Bacchiocchi and C. Zannoni, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, 1997, **268**, 541.
- 59 C. Bacchiocchi and C. Zannoni, *Phys. Rev. E*, 1998, **58**, 3237.
- 60 J. Gierschner, Y.-S. Huang, B. Van Aeverbeke, J. Cornil, R. H. Friend and D. Beljonne, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2009, **130**, 44105.
- 61 C. Zannoni, *Mol. Phys.*, 1979, **38**, 1813.
- 62 H. Wiesenhofer, E. Zojer, E. J. W. List, U. Scherf, J. L. Brédas and D. Beljonne, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2006, **18**, 310.