To the Editor of the British Medical Journal

Dear Editor,

The nefarious effect that the activities of many prominent pharmaceutical companies have had on clinical research is now widely recognised, and has caused Marcia Angell recently to comment that "[i]t is simply no longer possible to believe much of the clinical research that is published, or to rely on the judgment of trusted physicians or authoritative medical guidelines. I take no pleasure in this conclusion, which I reached slowly and reluctantly over my two decades as an editor of The New England Journal of Medicine" [1].

Heneghan and his colleagues have done valuable work in showing the dubious scientific basis of the marketing claims of sports drinks manufacturers that their products enhance sporting performance [2]. Their findings are perhaps unsurprising, as at last one of the pharmaceutical companies criticised by Angell – Glaxo-Smith-Kline – is deeply involved in the sports drinks promotion. Part of the problem which Angell describes involves the abuse of confidentiality agreements in university research contracts by parts of the pharmaceutical industry [3,4,5]. This has become such a scandal that the late Pope was moved to criticise their practices, warning that "The very ethics of research can be undermined .... when financial groups claim the right to permit the publication of research data depending on whether or not such data are in the interests of the groups themselves" [6]. The secrecy over industry/university research contracts described in the present sports drinks study, and the readiness of industry-funded academics to attack its conclusions, suggests that similar abuse may occur in this field.

The editors of medical journals (including that of the BMJ) have taken a strong lead to address the abuse of confidentiality agreements to suppress results and to bias conclusions. Through the requirements of the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors [7], authors of research papers have to give details of potential conflicts of interest aimed at restoring public trust in the credibility of published articles. Most medical journals now adhere to this protocol, but few of the journals cited as supporting the claims of sports drinks manufacturers appear to do so [8].

The response of British universities to this abuse seems to have been less impressive, with a reluctance to adopt effective safeguards [9, 10]. The Economics and Social Research Council (ESRC) in its 2005 Research Ethics Framework insisted that the independence and impartiality of researchers whom it funds must be clear, and any conflicts of interest or partiality must be made explicit [11]. If strictly interpreted, these would go some way to preventing abuses associated with confidentiality agreements. However it is far from clear that universities in general have adopted such safeguards with respect to other sources of funding. For example, the University of Bath only requires that conflicts of interest be discussed with the head of department, and does not insist that they are made explicit in publications. Similarly, "funding sources and significant collaboration and all commercial, financial or other 'interest' relating to the work" may be kept secret if "anonymity has been agreed" [12].

The privileged position of universities in society is because they are supposed to be devoted to the disinterested pursuit of knowledge. Olivieri [4] relates a number of instances where the integrity of North American universities has been seriously compromised by entering into financially rewarding, but intellectually fraught, research contracts with industry. Let no one suppose that this could not happen in the U.K. [13, 14, 15].
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