β₁-adrenoceptor stimulation suppresses endothelial $\text{IK}_{\text{Ca}}$-channel hyperpolarization and associated dilatation in resistance arteries
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Background and Purpose: In small arteries, SK$_{Ca}$ and IK$_{Ca}$ channels restricted to the vascular endothelium generate hyperpolarization that underpins the NO- and PGI$_{2}$-independent, EDHF response that is the predominate endothelial mechanism for vasodilatation. As neuronal IK$_{Ca}$ channels can be negatively regulated by PKA, we investigated whether β-adrenoceptor stimulation, which signals through cAMP/PKA, might influence endothelial cell hyperpolarization and as a result modify the associated vasodilatation.

Experimental Approach: Rat isolated small mesenteric arteries were pressurized to measure vasodilatation and endothelial cell [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$, mounted in a wire myograph to measure smooth muscle membrane potential or dispersed into endothelial cell sheets for membrane potential recording.

Key results: Intraluminal perfusion of β-adrenoceptor agonists inhibited endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh (1 nM – 10 µM) without modifying the associated changes in endothelial cell [Ca$^{2+}$]$_i$. The inhibitory effect of β-adrenoceptor agonists was mimicked by direct activation of adenylyl cyclase with forskolin, blocked by the β-adrenoceptor antagonists propranolol (non-selective), atenolol (β$_1$) or the PKA inhibitor KT-5720; but remained unaffected by ICI 118,551 (β$_2$) or glibenclamide (K$_{ATP}$ channel blocker). Endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization to ACh was also inhibited by β-adrenoceptor stimulation in both intact arteries and in endothelial cells sheets. Blocking IK$_{Ca}$ (with 1 µM TRAM-34) but not SK$_{Ca}$ (50 nM apamin) channels prevented β-adrenoceptor agonists from suppressing either hyperpolarization or vasodilatation to ACh.

Conclusions and Implications: In resistance arteries, endothelial cell β$_1$-adrenoceptors link to inhibit endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization and the
resulting vasodilatation to ACh. This effect appears to reflect inhibition of endothelial IK\textsubscript{Ca}-channels and may be one consequence of raised circulating catecholamines.
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**Abbreviations:** ACh, acetylcholine; Ca\textsuperscript{2+}, free calcium ions; [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i}, intracellular concentration of Ca\textsuperscript{2+}; EDH, endothelium-derived hyperpolarization; (e)NOS, (endothelial) nitric oxide synthase; MOPS, 3-[N-morpholino]propane-sulfonic acid; L-NAME, N\textsuperscript{ω}-nitro-L-arginine methyl ester hydrochloride; NA, noradrenaline; PE, phenylephrine; SK\textsubscript{Ca}, small conductance Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated K\textsuperscript{+} channels; IK\textsubscript{Ca}, intermediate conductance Ca\textsuperscript{2+}-activated K\textsuperscript{+} channels; K\textsubscript{ATP}, ATP-sensitive K\textsuperscript{+} channels; VGCC, voltage-gated Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels.
Introduction

Vascular endothelial cells contain two forms of $\mathrm{K}_{\text{Ca}}$ channel, the small and intermediate conductance $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-activated potassium channels ($\mathrm{SK}_{\text{Ca}}$ and $\mathrm{IK}_{\text{Ca}}$, respectively; (Edwards et al., 1998). The $\mathrm{SK}_{\text{Ca}}$ and $\mathrm{IK}_{\text{Ca}}$ channels can be regulated independently to generate endothelial cell hyperpolarization (Crane et al., 2003), reflecting a differential distribution of the two subtypes within the cell membrane (Dora et al., 2008). Activated by increases in endothelial cell $[\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}]$, they generate hyperpolarization that spreads to relax the adjacent smooth muscle. Historically this effect was defined as the EDHF response, because it was thought solely to reflect the action of a diffusible hyperpolarizing factor. It is now clear that hyperpolarization spreads to the muscle partly through myo-endothelial gap junctions (MEGJs) and partly via a diffusible factor that in the mesenteric artery is $\mathrm{K}^+$ effluxing through the $\mathrm{K}_{\text{Ca}}$ channels. As such the term, EDH is now used to describe this complex NO/PGI$_2$-independent signalling pathway, that is a predominate endothelial influence on function in small arteries where the smooth muscle cells express voltage-dependent $\mathrm{Ca}^{2+}$-channels in high density (reviewed by Garland et al., 2011a).

We have previously shown that the activation of adenylyl cyclase (with forskolin) can selectively suppress the $\mathrm{IK}_{\text{Ca}}$ (not $\mathrm{SK}_{\text{Ca}}$) channel component of EDH during endothelial cell stimulation with ACh in mesenteric resistance arteries (Dora et al., 2008). This observation is consistent with data from neurons (Neylon et al., 2006; Vogalis et al., 2003) and Xenopus oocytes (Neylon et al., 2004), where $\mathrm{IK}_{\text{Ca}}$ channels are suppressed by activation of protein kinase A (PKA). Physiologically, vascular $\beta$-adrenoceptors couple through $G_s$ to generate cAMP, raising the possibility that
endogenous catecholamines might act in part to modulate endothelial cell IK$_{Ca}$ hyperpolarization and thus influence vasodilatation.

β-adrenoceptors have been visualized directly on endothelial cells in the rat mesenteric artery, but it is not clear what functional role, if any, these receptors serve (Briones et al., 2005). They do not appear to exert any significant functional influence through NO, as dilatation to β-adrenoceptor agonists was not altered by blocking NO synthase activity (Briones et al., 2005; Garland et al., 2011b). We therefore investigated the possibility that the β-adrenoceptors might modulate endothelium-dependent responses to ACh in resistance arteries by targeting IK$_{Ca}$ channels and influencing hyperpolarization. Our data indicate that adrenergic agonists can impair local endothelium-dependent dilatation by suppressing the EDH generated by IK$_{Ca}$ channels through a cAMP-dependent mechanism. Furthermore, they show this action is mediated, at least in part, by β$_1$-adrenoceptors located on the endothelium.
Methods

Preparation of arteries for pressure or wire myography

Animal use complied with the University of Oxford local ethical guidelines and the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986. Male Wistar rats (225-250g) were killed by cervical dislocation and exsanguination, as specified by Schedule 1 of the Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986, UK. The mesenteric arcade was removed and placed in ice-cold MOPS buffer containing (mM): 145 NaCl, 4.7 KCl, 2.0 CaCl₂, 1.17 MgSO₄·7H₂O, 2.0 MOPS, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄·H₂O, 5.0 glucose, 2.0 pyruvate, 0.02 EDTA, 2.75 NaOH with pH adjusted to 7.40 ± 0.02 (at 37°C). A third-order segment of mesenteric artery (external diameter between 250-350 µm at 70 mmHg) with no visible side branches was dissected free of adherent tissue. After the artery was mounted in either a pressure or wire myograph, reactivity was assessed by preconstriction with phenylephrine (PE, 0.5-3 µM) followed by endothelium-dependent relaxation to acetylcholine (ACh, 0.1 and 1 µM). Only vessels that relaxed by more than 95% to 1 µM ACh were used further.

Measurement of vascular responses

Arteries were cannulated with two glass pipettes in a temperature-regulated chamber (10 mL, 120CP, Danish Myo Technology, Denmark) placed on the stage of an inverted microscope (IX71, Olympus, Japan) as previously described (Yuill et al., 2011). The preparations were then warmed to 37°C, and pressure, driven by custom-built gravity-fed inflow and outflow system, was gradually increased to 70 mmHg. Arteries were visualized using a 10x/0.25 Olympus objective and video camera (KP-M1E/K-S10, Hitachi Kokusai Electric Inc., Japan) and vessel diameter changes
tracked using Vedi View software (v.1.2, Photonics Engineering). All experiments were performed in the presence of continuous luminal flow (5 µL/min; Bee Hive syringe pump system, Bioanalytical Systems, USA) that had no effect on tone. Arteries were pre-constricted with PE (or other agonist, as indicated) to 70-80% of the minimum arterial diameter, and cumulative concentration response curves to ACh were obtained following addition to the bath solution. To rapidly introduce agonists to the lumen of arteries without disconnecting perfusion lines, an infusion manifold connected to multiple syringe pumps was positioned to the inflow line of one cannulating pipette.

**Measurement of smooth muscle membrane potential**

Segments of mesenteric artery (2 mm) were mounted in a Mulvany-Halpern wire myograph (model 400A, Danish Myo Technology, Denmark) in Krebs solution containing (mM): 118 NaCl, 25 NaHCO₃, 3.6 KCl, 1.2 MgSO₄·7H₂O, 1.2 KH₂PO₄, 11 glucose, and gassed with 21 % O₂, 5 % CO₂, balance N₂ at 37ºC. During experiments, the concentration of CaCl₂ was either 1 mM or 2.5 mM, as stated. With 1 mM [Ca²⁺]o, there was no significant difference in EC₅₀ and Eₘ₃ for hyperpolarization to ACh in arteries bathed in either MOPS buffered solutions or Krebs-buffered physiological solution (See Supplementary Figure 1A). The temperature was increased to 37ºC, and arteries normalized to a resting tension equivalent to that generated at 90% of the diameter of the vessel at 70 mmHg. The viability of the artery was assessed with PE and ACh, as described above.

The smooth muscle membrane potential was measured using sharp glass microelectrodes backfilled with 2 M KCl (tip resistances *circa* 100 MΩ), as
previously described (Garland & McPherson, 1992; Garland et al., 2011b). Membrane potential was recorded through a pre-amplifier (Neurolog system, Digitimer Ltd., U.K.) linked to a MacLab data acquisition system (AD Instruments Model 4e, usually at 100 Hz). All drugs were added directly to the bath.

**Measurement of endothelial cell sheet membrane potential**

For patch clamp studies, endothelial cells were isolated from mesenteric arteries that had been cut open and placed in nominally Ca\(^{2+}\)-free physiological saline solution (HEPES-PSS) containing (mM): 130 NaCl, 5 KCl, 1.2 MgCl\(_2\), 10 glucose, 10 HEPES (pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH) with the additional presence of 1 mg/ml papain, 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin (BSA, fraction V) and 1 mg/ml dithiothreitol for 10 min at room temperature and then for 30 min at 36\(^\circ\)C. The arteries were then washed in Ca\(^{2+}\)-free BSA-containing HEPES-PSS and gently triturated to release endothelial cells. Cell suspensions were stored on ice (the Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration was gradually increased to 0.5 mM) and used on the same day. All patch-clamp recordings were performed in HEPES-PSS containing 1 mM CaCl\(_2\), 100 µM L-NAME and 10 µM indomethacin. The recording pipette solution contained (mM): 140 KCl, 2 MgATP, 0.1 Na\(_2\)GTP, 0.5 MgCl\(_2\), 10 HEPES, 0.1 EGTA, pH adjusted to 7.2 with KOH.

Membrane potential was recorded from endothelial cell sheets (containing between 3 and >20 cells) using the current clamp mode of the whole-cell patch clamp technique at sampling rate 10 Hz (Axoclamp 200B amplifier; Axon Instruments, Union City, CA, USA). Pipette resistance, when filled with pipette solution, was 5-10 MΩ.

**Measurement of endothelial [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_i\), changes**
In separate experiments, small mesenteric arteries were dissected, cannulated and reactivity assessed as described previously. Endothelial cells were then loaded with a combination of Ca\(^{2+}\) reporter dyes. Briefly, the intraluminal pressure was lowered to 4 mmHg and the artery perfused with buffer containing 0.02% Pluronic F-127 and the cell-permeable Ca\(^{2+}\) dye Fura Red AM (40 µM, 25 min) followed 30 min later with Oregon Green 488 BAPTA-1 AM (OGB-1, 10 µM, 30 min), selectively to load endothelial cells (Kansui et al., 2008). After the dye was washed out of the chamber with MOPS buffer, the pressure was increased and the artery left for another 30 minutes to allow de-esterification. Arteries were then exited at 488 nm and emitted light simultaneously collected at 505-525 nm (OGB-1) and 655-755 nm (Fura Red) with a 40x water immersion objective (UApo N340, Olympus, Japan) mounted on an Olympus FluoView1000 microscope (Olympus, Japan). Endothelial cells were visualised in a clip box of 476x156 pixels allowing a scan frequency of ~3 Hz. Cells (6-10 cells) were selected and fluorescence intensity was determined off-line using MetaMorph software (v.7.7.4, Molecular Devices, USA). Raw fluorescence values at each time point for each indicator dye were divided (to give a ratio $F_{\text{OGB-1}}/F_{\text{Fura Red}}$), and normalized to a 10 s period before the addition of ACh ($F/F_0$) to give values for Ratio $F/F_0$. Each summary data value is the average of at least a 20 s period. Performing a ratio enabled more accurate comparisons of fluorescence changes to various concentrations of ACh before and after exposure to isoprenaline, each being paired. All agonists were added to the bath.

Data analysis
Data were analyzed using Microsoft Excel 2011 (Microsoft Corporation) and GraphPad Prism (v5.0, GraphPad Software, USA) software. Dilatation was expressed
as a percentage reversal of tone induced by PE (100% corresponding to the maximal diameter). Results are summarised as mean ± S.E.M. of n replicates, where n is the number of individual arteries, each obtained from a separate animal. Statistical analyses were performed using Student's unpaired t-test, one-way or two-way ANOVA analysis followed by Bonferroni post-test. A value of \( P < 0.05 \) was considered to be statistically significant.

**Drugs and solutions**

All drugs were obtained from Sigma (Poole, UK) with the exception of apamin (Latoxan, France), and forskolin (Biomol International). U46619, TRAM-34 (1-[(2-chlorophenyl)diphenylmethyl]-1H-pyrazole) and forskolin were dissolved in dimethyl sulfoxide, while adrenaline and noradrenaline bitartrate salts were dissolved in 10^{-4} \text{ M} ascorbic acid. All other stock solutions were prepared using purified (MilliQ) water. All stock solutions were prepared at 10^{-2} \text{ M}, except for L-NAME (10^{-1} \text{ M}), and subsequently diluted in MOPS buffer (pressurized arteries), Krebs buffer (wire myograph) or HEPES-PSS (patch clamp). Inhibitors were pre-incubated with the arterial tissue for at least 20 mins before agonist application.
Results

Intraluminal perfusion of β-adrenoceptor agonists inhibits endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh

In pressurized small mesenteric arteries pre-contracted with PE, ACh (1 nM to 10 µM) stimulated concentration-dependent dilatation (pEC\textsubscript{50} = 7.1 ± 0.02, n = 5; Figure 1A). When either adrenaline or NA (1 µM) were luminally perfused in PE pre-contracted arteries, neither stimulated contraction, even in the presence of propranolol (1 µM, n = 4-9). However the luminal perfusion of β-adrenoceptor agonists reversibly inhibited ACh-mediated dilatation. Isoprenaline (1 µM), NA (1 µM) or adrenaline (0.5 µM) each right-shifted ACh concentration response curves (isoprenaline: from pEC\textsubscript{50} = 7.2 ± 0.01 to pEC\textsubscript{50} = 6.4 ± 0.03, n = 6, P<0.05, Figure 1B; NA: to pEC\textsubscript{50} = 6.4 ± 0.3, n = 6, P<0.05, Figure 1C; adrenaline: to pEC\textsubscript{50} = 6.6 ± 0.02, n = 6, P<0.05, Figure 1D). Inhibition of dilatation to ACh was mimicked by activation of adenylyl cyclase by luminal perfusion of forskolin (0.5-1 µM; from pEC\textsubscript{50} = 7.2 ± 0.02 to pEC\textsubscript{50} = 6.5 ± 0.03, n = 5, P<0.05; Figure 1E). In contrast to the action of β-adrenoceptor agonists, luminal perfusion of either MOPS buffer alone or the α\textsubscript{1}-adrenoceptor agonist, PE (0.5 µM, n = 5), did not modify responses to ACh.

The ability of isoprenaline to inhibit endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh was blocked by pre-treatment of arteries with the PKA inhibitor KT 5720 that alone had no effect (1 µM; Figure 2A), but not by the K\textsubscript{ATP} channel blocker glibenclamide (10 µM, Figure 2B). Glibenclamide was used to prevent the hyperpolarization that is stimulated by isoprenaline through the opening of smooth muscle K\textsubscript{ATP} channels in this artery, a change that could potentially interfere with EDH-mediated hyperpolarization to ACh by decreasing the driving force for K\textsuperscript{+} efflux.
**β-Adrenoceptor stimulation inhibits endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization to ACh**

$\text{IK}_\text{Ca}$ channels can be activated at resting membrane potentials in the mesenteric artery in Krebs buffered solution containing 1 mM, but not 2.5 mM, $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ (Dora et al., 2008). The resting membrane potential in 1 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ (-51.5 ± 0.7 mV, $n = 8$) was no different to cells in the same arteries in 2.5 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ Krebs (-52.4 ± 0.8 mV, $n = 6$). However, in the presence of 50 nM apamin (to remove $\text{SK}_\text{Ca}$ input) raising $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ from 1 mM to 2.5 mM evoked a transient hyperpolarization (peak of -7.1 ± 1.8 mV at 38 ± 10 s after addition, $n = 5$) which reversed completely over the next 20 min (to -0.6 ± 1.3 mV by 9 ± 4 min, $n = 5$). This acute hyperpolarization as $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ is raised above 1 mM has been shown previously, and ascribed to activation of $\text{IK}_\text{Ca}$ channels through calcium-sensing receptors on the endothelium (see trace in Weston et al., 2005).

In 1 mM $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_o$ Krebs, ACh evoked concentration-dependent smooth muscle hyperpolarization (from a resting potential of -54.2 ± 1.8 mV, by a maximum of 20.9 ± 1.1 mV, $\text{pEC}_{50} = 7.2 ± 0.11$, $n = 5$). In the presence of 10 µM glibenclamide, to block $\beta$-adrenoceptor-stimulated hyperpolarization, isoprenaline (1 µM) significantly suppressed the smooth muscle hyperpolarization to ACh (decreased to a maximum of 13.1 ± 1.3 mV, from a resting potential of -53.8 ± 0.9 mV, $n = 5$; Figure 3A top (i) and middle trace (ii) & 3B). The ACh-hyperpolarization that persisted in the presence of isoprenaline was mediated by $\text{SK}_\text{Ca}$, as it was blocked by 50 nM apamin (Figure 3A bottom trace (iii), summary data Figure 3B). Apamin alone depressed ACh-hyperpolarization to a similar extent to isoprenaline (Figure 3B). Atenolol (1 µM, selective $\beta_1$-adrenoceptor antagonist) prevented isoprenaline from blocking the ACh-
IKCa hyperpolarization (that persisted in the presence of apamin, Figure 3B, n = 6). Atenolol did not alter the concentration-dependent hyperpolarization to ACh in the presence of apamin (maximum of 13.5 ± 1.9 mV, n = 5).

In freshly isolated endothelial cell sheets incubated with apamin, ACh (1 µM) applied for 30 s every 5 mins evoked reproducible and reversible hyperpolarization that was blocked in a time dependent manner with isoprenaline (1 µM, Figure 3C and summarized in Figure 3D). These data demonstrate the presence of functional β-adrenoceptors in endothelial cells of mesenteric arteries, and confirm their role in suppressing the ability of ACh to activate endothelial cell IKCa channels.

*Endothelial IKCa channels underlie β-adrenoceptor inhibition of endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization*

Noradrenaline suppressed ACh-hyperpolarization to a similar extent as isoprenaline. In 1 mM [Ca\(^{2+}\)]o Krebs, ACh stimulated a maximum hyperpolarization of 20.5 ± 1.7 mV (pEC\(_{50}\) = 7.0 ± 0.03, initial membrane potential -51.5 ± 0.7 mV, n = 8). In the presence of prazosin (1 µM, to block α\(_1\)-adrenoceptors) and glibenclamide, NA (1 µM) suppressed hyperpolarization (maximum now 11.1 ± 1.8 mV from a resting potential of -52.6 ± 0.7 mV, n = 5; Figure 4A & 4B). Apamin (50 nM) significantly reduced the remaining hyperpolarization (Figure 4B). TRAM-34 (1 µM) also suppressed ACh-hyperpolarization, but in contrast to apamin it blocked the ability of 1 µM NA to cause further inhibition of hyperpolarization (Figure 4C), consistent with an effect of NA against IKCa channels. The combination of apamin and TRAM-34 abolished hyperpolarization to ACh (Figure 4C), but did not prevent hyperpolarization to the opener of K\(_{ATP}\) channels levcromakalim (5 µM, -22.3 ± 2.0 mV, n = 3).
[Ca$^{2+}]_o$ was increased to 2.5 mM, an inhibitory effect of NA against EDH-hyperpolarization was not observed (maximum hyperpolarization to ACh of -21.2 ± 1.8 mV was not reduced in the presence of NA, -19.3 ± 1.5 mV, n = 6 & 4, Figure 4D). In 2.5 mM [Ca$^{2+}]_o$, ACh-mediated hyperpolarization is entirely due to SK$_{Ca}$ channel activation (Crane et al., 2003; Dora et al., 2008).

**Endothelial IK$_{Ca}$ channels underlie β-adrenoceptor inhibition of endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh**

As shown previously, inhibition of NO synthase right-shifted the concentration-response curve to ACh without depressing the maximum response (100 µM L-NAME, pEC$_{50}$ from 7.2 ± 0.04 to 6.8 ± 0.1, n = 6, P<0.05). The residual dilatation is due to hyperpolarization (EDH-dilatation, Crane et al., 2003), and was reduced by apamin (50 nM; pEC$_{50}$ = 6.2 ± 0.1, n = 6, P<0.05), then markedly suppressed by the subsequent addition of TRAM-34 (1 µM, n = 5, P<0.05; Figure 5A).

EDH dilatation obtained in the presence of L-NAME was suppressed by luminal perfusion of NA (from pEC$_{50}$ = 6.8 ± 0.02 to pEC$_{50}$ = 6.2 ± 0.03, n = 4, P<0.05; Figure 5B). The addition of TRAM-34 now failed to depress dilatation further (pEC$_{50}$ = 6.2 ± 0.04, n = 4, P>0.05), and NA together with apamin (50 nM) was equally effective at blocking ACh dilatation as TRAM-34 and apamin. If SK$_{Ca}$ (rather than IK$_{Ca}$) channels were blocked (with apamin), each catecholamine (n = 4, P<0.05) or forskolin (n = 5, P<0.05) inhibited EDH-dilatation to ACh in a manner similar to apamin and TRAM-34 (Figures 5B & C). These data are consistent with the sharp electrode data, and further support an effect of both β-adrenoceptor agonists and activators of PKA against IK$_{Ca}$ channels.
*β₁-adrenoceptors mediate inhibition of EDH-dilatation*

The ability of β-adrenoceptor stimulation to suppress EDH-dilatation in the presence of apamin was blocked in the presence of either propranolol (1 µM, non-selective β-adrenoceptor antagonist, n=4) or atenolol (1 µM, selective β₁-adrenoceptor antagonist, n=3). In each case, the subsequent addition of TRAM-34 abolished the persistent dilatation (n=3-4, Figures 6A & 6B). In contrast, the β₂-adrenoceptor antagonist ICI 18,551 (100 nM) did not prevent the NA-mediated inhibition of ACh responses in the presence of apamin (Figure 6C, n=4, P<0.05). Together these data support an inhibitory action of catecholamines via β₁-adrenoceptors.

*β₁-adrenoceptors do not modify increases in endothelial [Ca²⁺], to ACh*

Endothelial cells were imaged in pressurized arteries (Figure 7A). Concentration-dependent increases in cytoplasmic [Ca²⁺], were stimulated by ACh and detected ratiometrically (Figure 7B-D). Prior exposure to 1 µM isoprenaline did not alter increases evoked by ACh (n=3-4, P>0.05; Figure 7C (ii) and 7D).
Discussion

We have shown that activation of vascular β-adrenoceptors can markedly reduce endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh. This effect appears due to the activation of endothelial cell β1-adrenoceptors that couple via PKA to suppress hyperpolarization generated by endothelial cell IKCa channels. The observation that this inhibitory action was evoked by luminal perfusion of isoprenaline, and can be mimicked by either adrenaline or noradrenaline, suggests it is of physiological relevance in situations when circulating levels of catecholamines are raised.

Through the generation of NO, hyperpolarization (EDH) and in some arteries prostanoids, the endothelium relaxes the adjacent smooth muscle cells resulting in vasodilatation. In smaller resistance arteries, EDH is the predominant functional influence on the smooth muscle. EDH is activated by agonists, including ACh, that elevate endothelial cell [Ca^2+], opening both SKCa and IKCa channels localized within the endothelium (Dora, 2010; Garland et al., 2011a). These distinct KCa channels are differentially distributed within the endothelial cell membrane, and IKCa channels can be controlled independently of SKCa (Crane et al., 2003; Dora, 2010; Garland et al., 2011a). The SKCa channels reside within caveolae and appear to be particularly concentrated around the large homocellular gap junctions between endothelial cells. In contrast, IKCa channels are restricted to thin projections of the endothelial cell directed toward the adjacent smooth muscle (Dora et al., 2008; Sandow et al., 2006). Interestingly, the IKCa channels appear to reside outside the caveolae, and are found in close association with Ca^{2+}-sensing receptors, receptors that have been shown to modulate the activity of these K+ channels (Absi et al., 2007; Weston et al., 2005).
Endothelial cell projections therefore represent a complex signalling microdomain that seems to have a central role in the physiological control of artery diameter.

Hyperpolarization generated by IK_{Ca} channels can be regulated by the cAMP signalling pathway, as it is suppressed by forskolin (Dora et al., 2008). Although there is very little data available regarding the regulation of IK_{Ca} channels by PKA, and only the one report in arteries (by Dora et al., 2008), an inhibitory influence on channel activity mediated through cAMP signalling has been reported in other cell types. For example, PKA activation inhibited IK_{Ca} currents in ganglia within guinea-pig duodenum (Vogalis et al., 2003) and through channels expressed in Xenopus oocytes (Neylon et al., 2004). Furthermore, in mouse jejunum, forskolin suppressed the Cl\textsuperscript{-} secretion evoked by the IK_{Ca} channel opener DCEBIO (Hamilton & Kiessling, 2006). Therefore, our data now put the responses to forskolin into a more physiological context, by suggesting PKA-mediated inhibition of IK_{Ca} as a mechanism for suppression of EDH-dilatation during vascular β-adrenoceptor stimulation. It is likely the effect may be explained by PKA phosphorylation of IK_{Ca} channels, analogous to the effect of PKA in other cell types. PKA phosphorylates sites located within the calmodulin-binding domain of the IK_{Ca} channel in Xenopus oocytes (Neylon et al., 2004), and a similar mechanism has been suggested to explain the suppression of IK_{Ca} current within enteric neurons (Neylon et al., 2006; Vogalis et al., 2003). Alternatively, a pathway independent of the phosphorylation of IK_{Ca} channels could occur as a result of reduced increases in cytoplasmic [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}] to ACh. While isoprenaline stimulation associated with increases in cAMP in cultured bovine aortic endothelial cells had no effect on [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}], itself, it did reduce endothelial cell Ca\textsuperscript{2+} responses to ATP (Luckhoff et al., 1990). To address this possibility in the present
study, we showed that ACh-evoked increases in endothelial cell Ca\textsuperscript{2+} were not affected by isoprenaline in intact arteries. However, we cannot rule out the possibility that very discrete changes in [Ca\textsuperscript{2+}]\textsubscript{i} do occur but are restricted to the immediate vicinity of the IK\textsubscript{Ca} channels. We would not be able to resolve such changes with our imaging approach. Finally, by using glibenclamide we ruled out the possibility that K\textsubscript{ATP} channel opening might interfere with EDH-mediated hyperpolarization to ACh simply by decreasing the driving force for K\textsuperscript{+} efflux. K\textsubscript{ATP} channels are known to underlie the hyperpolarization to isoprenaline (and other catecholamines) in rat mesenteric arteries (Fujii \textit{et al.}, 1999; Takano \textit{et al.}, 2004; White & Hiley, 2000b).

The observation that β\textsubscript{1}-adrenoceptors appear to account for the inhibition of IK\textsubscript{Ca} channels by catecholamines ties these receptors to endothelial cells, as IK\textsubscript{Ca} channels are not expressed in the smooth muscle cells of this artery (Walker \textit{et al.}, 2001). This point is supported directly by the observation that atenolol blocked isoprenaline’s ability to inhibit ACh-evoked (IK\textsubscript{Ca}) hyperpolarization, and by our patch clamp data from isolated endothelial cells where isoprenaline was fully able to block IK\textsubscript{Ca} channel current. Previous evidence to suggest that β-adrenoceptors are located on endothelial cells in the mesenteric artery comes from the specific binding of a fluorescent β-adrenoceptor ligand, BIODIPY TMR-CGP 12177, within the intima of these arteries (as well as in both the media and adventitia) (Briones \textit{et al.}, 2005; Daly \textit{et al.}, 2010). Previous functional studies have also been interpreted to indicate β\textsubscript{1}-adrenoceptors are present on mesenteric artery endothelial cells, as dobutamine-mediated relaxation (against high KCl pre-contraction) was attenuated either by block of NO synthase or removal of the endothelium, whereas relaxation to salbutamol was not sensitive to L-NAME (Graves & Poston, 1993).
\(\beta_1\) rather than \(\beta_2\)-adrenoceptors predominate in the mesenteric artery (Briones et al., 2005; Garland et al., 2011b). This is in itself interesting, because although both receptor subtypes associate with caveolae, the \(\beta_1\)-adrenoceptor is more widely distributed through the cell membrane and known to associate with extra-caveolar cell fractions, at least in cardiac myocytes (Rybin et al., 2000). \(\beta_1\)-adrenoceptors may therefore reside in membrane regions that also contain \(\text{IK}_\text{Ca}\) channels. If \(\beta_1\)-adrenoceptors do align in close proximity to the \(\text{IK}_\text{Ca}\) channels in endothelial cell projections, they will be ideally placed to influence the activity of these strategically positioned K-channels.

The fact that \(\beta_1\)-adrenoceptor stimulation can inhibit endothelial cell \(\text{IK}_\text{Ca}\) channels, and as a result depress EDH-mediated vasodilatation, seems strange as \(\beta_1\)-adrenoceptors also evoke potent smooth muscle relaxation that is associated with and in part reflects hyperpolarization (Garland et al., 2011c). However, the hyperpolarization caused by \(\beta_1\)-adrenoceptors is entirely due to \(\text{K}_\text{ATP}\) channel activation, and at least in the mesenteric artery these channels are only present on the smooth muscle, not on endothelial cells (Takano et al., 2004; White & Hiley, 2000a). One possibility, is that \textit{in vivo} endothelial \(\text{IK}_\text{Ca}\) channels are influenced primarily by circulating \(\beta\)-adrenoceptor agonists, so at least in mesenteric vessels the depression of endothelial function enhances vasoconstriction.

As EDH is activated by any agent that increases endothelial cell \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\), \(\beta_1\)-adrenoceptor stimulation would be predicted to depress vasodilatation to a range of physiologically active autacoids. This may then explain the known ability of raised
plasma catecholamine concentrations to impair endothelial function in humans (Higashi et al., 2002; Kuklinska et al., 2010). In the coronary microvasculature, dysfunction associated with elevated levels of catecholmaines is thought to play an important part in the development of tako-tsubo syndrome, and depressed endothelial cell activity has also been associated with raised sympathetic nerve activity and suggested to involve β-adrenoceptors (De Caterina et al., 2011; Pettersson et al., 1990). So reducing the endogenous stimulation of vascular β-adrenoceptors could explain in part the beneficial effects of β-blockers (Broeders et al., 2000; Gupta & Wright, 2008; Priviero et al., 2007; Reiter, 2004; Tzemos et al., 2001; Wenzel et al., 2009).

In summary, luminal perfusion of β-adrenoceptor agonists causes a significant suppression in endothelium-dependent vasodilation. This action is mediated through β1-adrenoceptors, most probably located on the endothelium, and reflects inhibition of endothelial cell IKCa channels that serves to depress endothelial cell hyperpolarization.
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Figure Legends

**Figure 1** Luminal perfusion of adrenoceptor agonists inhibit endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh in pressurized mesenteric arteries.

Original traces illustrate dilatation to increasing ACh concentrations (1 nM – 10 µM) in an artery pre-constricted with PE. Luminal perfusion of 1 µM NA (black line: control buffer only; green line: luminal NA present) inhibits dilatation (A). Summarized data showing luminal perfusion of 1 µM isoprenaline inhibits dilatation to ACh and is restored on washout of isoprenaline (n = 4, B). Similar inhibition follows luminal perfusion of the adrenergic agonists 1 µM NA (n = 6, C) or 0.5 µM adrenaline (n = 6, D), or activation of adenylyl cyclase with 0.5 µM luminal forskolin (n = 5, E). Results shown are the mean ± s.e.mean; *P<0.05 vs. control, paired data.

**Figure 2** KT 5720 but not glibenclamide prevents β-adrenoceptor inhibition of endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh.

The PKA inhibitor KT 5720 (1 µM, A) did not modify endothelium-dependent vasodilatation to ACh (n = 5) but prevented the isoprenaline-mediated inhibition of dilatation to ACh (n = 4, A). The ability of isoprenaline to inhibit dilatation to ACh was not altered in the presence of glibenclamide (10 µM, n = 4; B). Data are the mean ± s.e.mean; *P<0.05 vs. control, paired data.

**Figure 3** Isoprenaline inhibits IKca channel hyperpolarization evoked by ACh

Original trace of smooth muscle cell membrane potential recording showing ACh-evoked, concentration-dependent hyperpolarization (Ai) inhibited in the presence of 1 µM isoprenaline (Aii) and blocked in the additional presence of 50 nM apamin (Aiii). (B) Summary of intracellular recordings showing similar depression of ACh
hyperpolarization with 1 µM isoprenaline or 50 nM apamin present; *P<0.05 vs. control, paired data, n = 5. In combination, isoprenaline and apamin abolished hyperpolarization to ACh; #P<0.05 vs. isoprenaline, †P<0.05 vs. apamin, paired data, n = 5, and this block was prevented by the presence of 1 µM atenolol (n = 6). Note that TRAM-34 blocks the same component as isoprenaline (see Figure 4C). (C) Original traces of endothelial cell membrane potential recorded in endothelial cell sheets. Sequential exposure to 1 µM ACh (added at arrowhead, superimposed traces 1-5, each 30 s) stimulated endothelial cell hyperpolarization, which was blocked in a time-dependent manner by prior incubation with 1 µM isoprenaline (traces 4 & 5). (D) Summary of patch clamp data in endothelial cell sheets showing hyperpolarization to ACh (1 µM) in the presence of apamin (100 nM) was blocked in the presence of 1 µM isoprenaline; *P<0.05, n = 4. Data are expressed as the area under the curve (AUC) and corrected for the basal membrane potential. Summary data are the mean ± s.e.mean; 100 µM L-NAME present in all experiments, Krebs and HEPES buffers contained 1 mM Ca\(^{2+}\) to prevent inhibition of IK\(_{\text{Ca}}\) channels by [Ca\(^{2+}\)]\(_{\text{o}}\) (Dora et al., 2008; Weston et al., 2005).

**Figure 4** TRAM-34 but not apamin blocks β-adrenoceptor mediated inhibition of hyperpolarization evoked by ACh.

(A) Original trace of smooth muscle membrane potential showing hyperpolarization to cumulative addition of ACh (1 nM – 3 µM) has been suppressed during incubation with NA (1 µM) (see control trace in Figure 3Ai). (B) Summarized data showing ACh-mediated hyperpolarization is partially suppressed in the presence of 1 µM NA (n = 7, *P<0.05) and further by the additional presence of apamin (50 nM, n = 6, †P<0.05 vs. apamin, see Figure 4C, and #P<0.05 vs. NA). (C) TRAM-34 (1 µM)
depressed ACh-mediated hyperpolarization, 1 µM NA had no further effect, *P<0.05 vs. control, n = 6 in each case; whereas TRAM-34 together with apamin fully blocked hyperpolarization to ACh, §P<0.05 vs. TRAM-34, n = 3. (D) 1 µM NA did not modify endothelium-dependent hyperpolarization to ACh in mesenteric arteries bathed in Krebs buffer containing 2.5 mM Ca$^{2+}$ (n = 4-6). All data shown are the paired mean ± s.e.mean; 100 µM L-NAME, 1 µM prazosin and 10 µM glibenclamide were present in all experiments when NA was present. Krebs buffer contained 1 mM Ca$^{2+}$ to prevent inhibition of IK$_{Ca}$ channels by [Ca$^{2+}$]$_{o}$, unless otherwise stated.

Figure 5 TRAM-34 but not apamin prevents the inhibition of endothelium-dependent dilatation to ACh by β-agonists in pressurized small mesenteric arteries.

(A) Inhibition of NO synthesis with L-NAME (100 µM), and EDH with apamin (50 nM, to block SK$_{Ca}$ channels) together with TRAM-34 (1 µM, to block IK$_{Ca}$ channels) suppressed dilatation to ACh in arteries pre-contracted with PE (n = 5). (B) In the presence of L-NAME, luminal perfusion of 1 µM NA alone suppressed dilatation to ACh and prevented further inhibition with TRAM-34 (n = 4, P>0.05), whereas apamin blocked a further component, similar to that observed with apamin and TRAM-34 (A). (C) Similarly, in the presence of apamin, luminal perfusion of other β-adrenoceptor agonists (1 µM isoprenaline or 0.5 µM adrenaline) or 0.5 µM forskolin, blocked the same TRAM-34-sensitive IK$_{Ca}$ channel component of ACh responses. All data are paired and represent the mean ± s.e.mean, n = 4-7; *P<0.05 vs. control; #P<0.05 vs. β-adrenoceptor agonist alone; †P<0.001 vs. IK$_{Ca}$ channel blocker alone.

Figure 6 β₁-adrenoceptors underlie inhibition of endothelium-dependent (EDH)-dilatation to ACh in pressurized small mesenteric arteries.
(A) In the presence of propranolol (1 µM), ACh-mediated dilatation associated with IK\textsubscript{Ca} channel activity (obtained in the presence of 100 µM L-NAME and 50 nM apamin) was not inhibited by luminal NA (1 µM), confirming a role for β-adrenoceptors in the actions of NA (see Figure 5B). IK\textsubscript{Ca} channels alone underpinned the dilatation, as the subsequent addition of TRAM-34 (1 µM) abolished ACh-mediated dilatation (n = 4). (B) A similar profile of block was observed using the selective β\textsubscript{1}-adrenoceptor antagonist atenolol (1 µM, n = 6); while the β\textsubscript{2}-adrenoceptor antagonist ICI 118,551 (100 nM) did not prevent the inhibitory action of NA on ACh-mediated dilatation (n = 4; C). Data are mean ± s.e.mean and paired; †P<0.05 vs. L-N and apamin in Figure 5A; ‡P<0.05 vs. ICI 118,551 + L-N + apamin + NA.

**Figure 7** Isoprenaline did not alter ACh-evoked increases in endothelial cell \([Ca^{2+}]_i\) in pressurized mesenteric arteries.

(A) Confocal fluorescent image of endothelial cells in a pressurized artery loaded with the \(Ca^{2+}\) indicators Oregon Green 488 BAPTA-1 (OGB-1) and Fura Red. (B) Original traces showing the time course of fluorescence intensity changes for each indicator dye (\(F_{\text{Fura Red}}\) and \(F_{\text{OGB-1}}\), arbitrary units), following application of 0.3 µM ACh to the bath at the point indicated by arrows. Note that \(F_{\text{Fura Red}}\) decreases upon binding Ca\(^{2+}\).

(C) Top panel: raw values in B were divided to give a ratio (\(F_{\text{OGB-1}}/F_{\text{Fura Red}}\)), and normalized to a 10 s period before the addition of ACh (F/F\(_0\)) to give values for Ratio F/F\(_0\). Bottom panel: repeated in the presence of 1 µM isoprenaline, paired data. (D) Paired values for each experiment and concentration of ACh show no trend for isoprenaline to alter the average increase in Ratio F/F\(_0\). Summary data for each concentration of ACh (n = 3-4) show no deviation from equality (dashed line); data are the mean ± s.e.mean; P>0.05 vs. control.
Supplementary Figure 1 Different buffer compositions do not affect hyperpolarization to ACh

Summary data showing smooth muscle membrane potential increases in response to endothelial cell stimulation with ACh in mesenteric arteries bathed either in Krebs (1 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), \(n = 5\); 2.5 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), \(n = 6\)) or MOPS buffer (1 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), \(n = 3\)-4; 2 mM Ca\(^{2+}\), \(n = 3\)) in the presence of 100 µM L-NAME. Concentration-dependent hyperpolarization to ACh was the same in each case. Data are the mean ± s.e.mean.
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