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Summary (250 words)

Objectives  To explore prescribing patterns of selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) before, during and after pregnancy in six European population-based databases.

Design  Descriptive drug utilisation study.

Setting  Six electronic healthcare databases in Denmark, the Netherlands, Italy (Emilia Romagna/Tuscany), Wales and the rest of the United Kingdom (UK).

Population  All women with a pregnancy ending in a live or stillbirth starting and ending between 2004 and 2010.

Methods  A common protocol was implemented across databases to identify SSRI prescriptions issued (UK) or dispensed (non-UK) in the year before, during or year following pregnancy.

Main outcome measures  The percentage of deliveries where the woman received an SSRI prescription in the year before, during or year following pregnancy. We also compared the choice of SSRIs and changes in prescribing over the study period.

Results  721,632 women and 862,943 deliveries were identified. In the year preceding pregnancy, the prevalence of SSRI prescribing was highest in Wales (9.6% CI95 9.4-9.8%) and lowest in Emilia Romagna (3.3% CI95 3.2-3.4%). During pregnancy, SSRI prescribing had
dropped to between 1.2% (CI\textsubscript{95} 1.1-1.3) in Emilia Romagna and 4.5% (CI\textsubscript{95} 4.3-4.6) in Wales.

The higher UK pre-pregnancy prescribing rates resulted in higher first trimester exposures. After pregnancy, SSRI prescribing increased most rapidly in the UK. Paroxetine was more commonly prescribed in the Netherlands and Italian regions than in Denmark and the UK.

**Conclusions** The higher SSRI prescribing rates in the UK, compared with other European regions, raise questions about differences in the prevalence and severity of depression and its management in pregnancy across Europe.

**Key words**

Serotonin uptake inhibitors; pregnancy; drug utilization; electronic health records
Introduction

Depression is common among women of child bearing age\(^1\) and the prevalence of depression affecting women during pregnancy has been reported to range from 6% to 13%.\(^2,\,3\) Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) are the most commonly prescribed class of antidepressant\(^4\) and studies evaluating patterns of prescribing have shown that between 2.8%\(^5\) and 10.2%\(^6\) of women receive an SSRI prescription at some point during pregnancy. The proportion of pregnant women prescribed or dispensed an SSRI varies by geographical location, study setting and calendar year, with an increase in prescribing over time observed between 1995 and 2008.\(^6-8\)

The effect of \textit{in utero} SSRI exposure and exposure via breast milk is incompletely understood; some, not all, observational studies indicate that exposure during pregnancy is associated with a number of adverse perinatal and fetal outcomes.\(^9-16\) Untreated depression and treatment discontinuation, however, are not without potential risks to both the woman and the developing fetus.\(^15,\,17\) Complex decisions therefore need to be made, by women and healthcare professionals, balancing the potential risks of fetal exposure with the risks of no treatment or treatment discontinuation. As many pregnancies are unplanned,\(^18\) it is important women of childbearing age receive appropriate information when SSRI treatment is commenced.

The extent of SSRI prescribing during pregnancy has been reported for some geographical regions, but for others it is still unknown. To our knowledge, this is the first study including pre- and post-pregnancy prescribing in multiple areas of Europe. An understanding of variations in prescribing patterns can inform the interpretation of potential safety signals.
and identify areas requiring further research. This study aims to describe the extent and nature of SSRI prescribing to women before, during and after pregnancy in six European population-based healthcare databases between 2004 and 2010. This study forms part of EUROmediCAT,[19] a Seventh Framework Programme study funded by the European Union that aims to make more systematic use of electronic healthcare databases in combination with EUROCAT[20] congenital anomalies data.

**Methods**

**Setting**

Six population-based electronic healthcare databases, that captured pregnancies and prescription data, contributed to the study; two in Italy (Tuscany[21] and Emilia Romagna[22]), two in the United Kingdom (the Secure Anonymised Information Linkage [SAIL] Databank in Wales[23, 24] and the UK-wide Clinical Practice Research Datalink [CPRD][25] with data from Wales excluded), one in Denmark[26-28] and one in the Netherlands[29] (Table 1). A more detailed description of the databases can be found elsewhere.[30] Where multiple databases were linked, such as in Denmark where the information on all pregnancies from the Danish National Patient Register was linked to the Danish National Prescription Registry, for the remainder of this paper these linked databases will be referred to as a single database. Ethical and data access approvals were obtained for each database from the relevant governance infrastructures.

**Data extraction**

All databases followed a common protocol. Within each database all pregnancies starting and ending between 1 January 2004 and 31 December 2010 (except for Denmark where the
final date was 31 December 2009) were identified and the best estimate of the start of pregnancy was calculated. Pregnancies were eligible for the study if they ended in a delivery (live birth or stillbirth) and the woman had been present in the database, capturing prescription data, during the year before pregnancy, throughout pregnancy and the year following pregnancy. All SSRI prescriptions recorded in the databases during the time period of interest were identified. In the UK databases this included all SSRI prescriptions issued whilst in the other databases it was only SSRI prescriptions actually dispensed. SSRIs were defined as products with an Anatomical Therapeutic Chemical (ATC) code starting N06AB and included fluoxetine, citalopram, paroxetine, sertraline, fluvoxamine and escitalopram.

**Analyses**

The percentage of women receiving (issued/dispensed) an SSRI prescription, in each of the databases, was calculated for the year leading up to pregnancy, during pregnancy and the year following pregnancy. Percentages were calculated by dividing the number of deliveries in which the woman received an SSRI prescription, during the period of interest, by the total number of deliveries. Prescribing patterns were described for each pregnancy trimester and for 3-month time periods during the years before and after pregnancy. The choice of specific SSRI, co-prescribing of antipsychotics, anxiolytics and hypnotics, and changes in prescribing over calendar time were described and compared.
Results
In the six databases 721,632 eligible women with 862,943 deliveries were identified. The mean maternal age at the start of pregnancy ranged from 27.7 years [SD 1.6.1] in Wales to 32.3 years [SD 4.9] in Italy (Emilia Romagna) and was significantly lower in Wales than other regions, including the rest of the UK (GPRD) (p<0.001). Of all the deliveries, 5.4% (CI 95 5.3-5.4%) of women received a prescription for an SSRI during the year before pregnancy, ranging from 3.3% (CI 95 3.2-3.4%) in Emilia Romagna to 9.6% (CI 95 9.4-9.8%) in Wales. During pregnancy the percentage of women receiving an SSRI prescription fell to 2.3% (CI 95 2.2-2.3%) ranging from 1.2% (CI 95 1.1-1.3%) in Emilia Romagna to 4.5% (CI 95 4.3-4.6%) in Wales (Table 2).

SSRI prescribing during the year before and the year after pregnancy was considerably higher in both of the UK databases compared to the Danish, Dutch and Italian databases (Table 2). The higher pre-pregnancy rates in the UK resulted in higher first trimester exposures. During the second and third trimesters however, where SSRI prescribing in all databases was at its lowest, the UK figures were in line with those of Denmark and the Netherlands (Figure 1). After pregnancy, SSRI prescribing increased more rapidly in the UK databases than others and the prevalence of use was considerably higher than pre-pregnancy. Outside the UK, by six months post-pregnancy the prevalence of SSRI prescribing had returned to pre-pregnancy levels (Figure 1).

Figure 2 shows the starting and stopping prescribing scenarios for women who received an SSRI prescription during the year before pregnancy. Approximately 27% in the Dutch and

---

1 SD = standard deviation
Danish databases continued receiving prescriptions throughout pregnancy and during the year following pregnancy, compared with 10-12% in the UK databases and 4-9% in the Italian databases (Figure 2). Approximately 40% of women in the Dutch, Danish and UK databases stopped SSRI treatment before pregnancy and did not receive an SSRI prescription during pregnancy or in the year following delivery; in Italy this percentage was higher at 56% in Tuscany and 67% in Emilia Romagna (Figure 2). In the UK databases a further 25% discontinued before pregnancy but restarted during the year after pregnancy; outside the UK this scenario ranged from 10.8% to 14.6% of women. Women who discontinued SSRI use before pregnancy were quicker to restart following delivery in the UK and the Netherlands than in Italy and Denmark, with around 45% and 30% of the restarters doing so within three months of delivery respectively (data not shown). Overall, 0.5% (CI\textsubscript{95} 0.5-0.6) of women received their first SSRI prescription during pregnancy, having not received a prescription during the year before pregnancy, ranging from 0.3% (CI\textsubscript{95} 0.2-0.4) in the Netherlands to 1.0% (CI\textsubscript{95} 0.9-1.1) in Wales (data not shown).

Between 2004 and 2009 a steady increase was observed in the percentage of deliveries where the woman received a prescription for an SSRI during any of the pregnancy trimesters in Denmark, whilst smaller increases were observed in the UK databases (Figure 3).

Fluoxetine and citalopram were the SSRIs of choice during pregnancy in Denmark and the UK databases, whereas in Italy and the Netherlands paroxetine was more popular (Figure 4). There was a steady increase in the use of citalopram and sertraline in the UK and Denmark during the study period and a slight decline in paroxetine (data not shown). All other prescribing remained relatively constant over time.
Levels of co-prescribing of products that act on the central nervous system were found to be higher in the Dutch database than those in the UK and Denmark, particularly for anxiolytics (Online supplement Figure S1). In the Danish and UK databases levels of co-prescribing of anxiolytics and hypnotics/sedatives were similar pre- and post-pregnancy (between 4% and 6% of those receiving a prescription for an SSRI) with a small decline during the three pregnancy trimesters. Data on co-prescribing of antipsychotics in Italy is likely to have underestimated actual use, as some of the products available are not reimbursed by the Italian health service and therefore escape recording. No data was available on co-prescribing of anxiolytics and hypnotics/sedatives in Italy as those drugs are not reimbursed by the Italian health service.
Discussion

Main findings

Across Europe, marked differences appear to exist in the extent of SSRI prescribing to women before, during and after pregnancy, although these differences may be magnified by the UK databases recording prescriptions issued and the non-UK databases recording prescriptions dispensed. The prescribing of SSRIs was considerably higher in both UK databases than in Danish, Dutch and Italian databases. In all databases, SSRI prescribing was at its lowest during the second and third trimesters of pregnancy. At least 40% of those with a prescription during the year preceding pregnancy discontinued before pregnancy and did not restart during the year following delivery. Of those who received a prescription in the year before pregnancy, the Danish and Dutch databases had the highest percentage of women continuing SSRI treatment throughout pregnancy and following delivery, and the Italian databases had the lowest. Differences were observed in terms of the most commonly prescribed SSRIs during pregnancy.

Strengths and limitations

This study captured over 850,000 pregnancies in six different areas of Europe. SSRI prescribing was recorded independently by the prescriber or pharmacist, so ascertainment was not reliant on maternal involvement which can result in potential recall and selection biases.\textsuperscript{[31, 32]} The shared data extraction protocol ensured the study results were as comparable as possible across databases. However, differences did exist between healthcare systems and the type of data available for research. Data in the UK databases were based on prescriptions issued whereas in Denmark, the Netherlands and Italy, data were based on prescriptions dispensed by a pharmacist. Some women who receive
prescriptions for antidepressants choose not to redeem them from the pharmacist[33] and this may explain some, but not all, of the difference between the UK databases and other regions. The rate of prescription redemption is difficult to quantify and likely to vary by region, study population, type of drug, and even a life event such as pregnancy. A study carried out in the UK in the late 1980s, looking at prescription redemption for all medicines,[34] found young women to be particularly poor redeemers with 27.6% of women aged 16-29 years not redeeming their prescriptions; it is unclear whether this is still the case and whether it applies specifically to SSRIs. Studies of antidepressant prescription redemption have reported rates ranging from 78.6% in the United States[35] to 95.8% in the Netherlands where 23.7% filled only a single prescription.[33] However, even if we conservatively assume that 25% of prescriptions in the UK are not redeemed, the differences observed in our study are much larger than this.

In the UK, the GP is the main source of SSRI prescribing whilst in other European countries a hospital specialist may be more likely to prescribe directly to the patient. In Denmark and the Netherlands all SSRI prescriptions were captured, with the exception of those issued during a hospital stay. Although prescriptions issued during a hospital stay, prescriptions initiated by a specialist in a hospital outpatient department and private prescriptions were rarely recorded in the UK databases, the numbers were likely to be small. In Italy, prescriptions issued privately or by a specialist that were dispensed at a hospital pharmacy were not captured; based on data from Emilia Romagna for 2010-2011, when this data became included in the database, hospital prescriptions are thought to represent approximately 10% of all SSRI prescribing (A Puccini - personal correspondence).
In three databases it was necessary to estimate, for some pregnancies, the duration of pregnancy and the date of the last menstrual period (see Table 1 for details), and this may have resulted in some exposure status misclassification. Our figures are based on women who received a prescription for an SSRI during eleven 3-month time periods, and we do not know whether they actually took the product or took it as instructed. In addition, this study did not account for prescriptions issued during one 3-month time period which could have continued to be consumed during the following 3-month time period and this will have resulted in an underestimation of exposure during some time periods.

This study did not examine the prevalence of depression or the use of other antidepressants. The findings therefore do not reflect the full extent to which women are exposed to antidepressants before, during and after pregnancy. It is possible that the higher levels of SSRI prescribing in the UK/Wales could in part be explained by SSRIs making up a larger percentage of all antidepressant prescribing in the UK than in the other regions, but it was not possible to look at this as part of this study. Current UK prescribing guidelines however advise that tricyclic antidepressants are safer in pregnancy than other antidepressants. This study did not examine the indications for prescribing; SSRIs are indicated for a range of conditions in addition to depression and these may vary between regions. No data was available on the appropriateness of use or the efficacy of SSRIs used.

Comparison with other studies

The percentages of women receiving prescriptions for SSRIs during pregnancy in the areas captured by this study correspond well with other studies for the UK as a whole, and the Netherlands. In Denmark, the steady increase in SSRI prescribing during the study
period was in line with the increase in SSRI prescribing reported for the general population during a similar study period in Denmark.[39] It is not clear, however, whether this trend has continued after 2009. To our knowledge this is the first study to look at the extent of SSRI prescribing during pregnancy in an Italian population. Prescribing of SSRIs during pregnancy in all European databases was lower than in the United States[6, 7, 40, 41] where studies have reported percentages of between 5.6%[40] and 10.2%,[6] depending on the years of study and the study setting. The proportions of women receiving prescriptions for SSRIs during the first trimester of pregnancy in the UK were similar to those reported for Australia[9] and Canada.[42]

**Interpretation**

Other than methodological factors, difference in prescribing may reflect differences in the prevalence of depression, in the severity of depression, in help seeking behaviour, or prescribing behaviour at national and subnational level. Although the two UK databases both collected data on prescriptions issued, higher levels of SSRI prescribing to women were observed in Wales than in the rest of the UK. This may reflect the higher number of antidepressants prescribed *per capita* in Wales,[43] and the lower socioeconomic status and *per capita* income in Wales[44] may also in part explain the discrepancies in prescribing. Regional differences were also observed in Italy with a lower percentage of females receiving a prescription for an SSRI in Emilia Romagna than in Tuscany, this however appears to be in line with regional SSRI prescribing differences observed for the general population.[45]
The popularity of paroxetine in Italy and the Netherlands and the absence of a reduction in its prescribing over the study period are surprising given the number of studies that have demonstrated an increase in the risk of congenital heart defects associated with paroxetine exposure during the early stages of pregnancy.[9,12,46] In the Netherlands, new guidelines on the prescribing of SSRIs during pregnancy were issued in 2012, after the end of our study period; these do not specify a preferred SSRI but do recommend that if paroxetine is taken during the periconceptional period the dose should not exceed 20mg per day.[47] In Italy an official safety note was issued by the Italian Medicine Agency in February 2006[48] and in November 2010, at the end of the study period, a recommendation was issued by the Agency’s Paediatric Working Group suggesting paroxetine should only be used when strictly indicated.[49] UK guidelines recommend that if a woman taking paroxetine is planning a pregnancy or has an unplanned pregnancy, she should be advised to stop taking the drug and advise that fluoxetine is the SSRI with the lowest known risk during pregnancy,[36] concording with fluoxetine being one of the most popular SSRIs in the UK in our study. The steady increase in use of citalopram and sertraline during the study period in Denmark and the slight decline in paroxetine corresponds with changes to the prescribing guidelines.[50]

When a woman becomes pregnant the risk-benefit profile of SSRI medication changes and this is evident from the reduction in SSRI use observed in all European regions during the first trimester of pregnancy, and the further reduction during the second and third trimesters. The efficacy of antidepressant treatment in less than severe depression has been questioned,[51] suggesting a negative benefit-risk balance except for severe depression. Prescribing guidelines in the UK currently recommend that pregnant women with mild depression should have their medication withdrawn and replaced by self-help or
psychological treatments. Further research should investigate whether women with mild depression are being inappropriately prescribed antidepressants during pregnancy, deriving no established benefit but exposing the fetus to possible risk. Equally important however for both mother and baby is to ensure that depression does not go untreated, that psychological therapies are available where needed, and that any discontinuation of SSRI treatment is done in a controlled manner. We were not able to establish whether the high rates of discontinuation before and during pregnancy in Europe reflect controlled discontinuation for women who are offered alternative treatments or no longer require treatment, or represent unmet need and greater levels of depression-related risk among pregnant women.

In Denmark and the Netherlands, a much larger proportion of those using SSRIs before pregnancy continued to receive prescriptions during and after pregnancy compared with the other European regions. This might reflect a more relaxed attitude to SSRI use during pregnancy in Denmark and the Netherlands but, given the lower percentage of women receiving an SSRI at any time during the study period, it is likely that, in these countries, a larger proportion of women receiving SSRIs have a medical condition severe enough to warrant continuation. The differences observed in postnatal prescribing and the point at which women who had discontinued treatment restarted following delivery may in part be related to differences in the rates of breastfeeding. The percentage of mothers breastfeeding to any extent at six weeks postpartum has been reported to be approximately 55% in the UK as a whole and 40% in Wales, whereas in Italy 49% of mothers are still breastfeeding three months after delivery and in Denmark 60% are breastfeeding at four months post-delivery. The impact of UK guidelines recommending case identification for
postnatal depression at 4-6 weeks and 3-4 months post pregnancy\cite{36} is uncertain but may have contributed to the higher SSRI prescribing rates observed. Postnatal screening for depression was not routinely carried out in the Netherlands, Italy or Denmark during the study period and following a policy review in 2011 screening for postnatal depression is no longer recommended in the UK.\cite{55}

**Conclusion**

The considerably higher levels of SSRI prescribing observed in the UK databases compared with other European databases raises questions about differences in the prevalence and severity of depression and its management in pregnancy across Europe. The variations observed in the type and extent of SSRI prescribing indicates an absence of European consensus on prescribing to pregnant women and women of childbearing age. Further work is required to understand the reasons for the higher levels of SSRI prescribing observed in the UK databases, and the extent to which these reflect medical need and endemic prescribing patterns. Further work is required to better understand the potential adverse fetal and child outcomes following SSRI exposure and this is the focus of the current EUROmediCAT project.\cite{19}
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**Table 1** Overview of databases contributing to the study

**Table 2** Percentage of deliveries in the period 2004-2010 where the woman received a prescription for an SSRI in the year before pregnancy, during pregnancy or the year following pregnancy

**Figure 1** Percentage of all deliveries between 2004 and 2010 where the woman received a prescription for an SSRI during ≥1 of the time periods of interest

**Figure 2** Starting and stopping scenarios for deliveries where the mother had an SSRI prescription during the year before pregnancy

**Figure 3** Percentage of deliveries where the woman had a prescription for an SSRI during any of the pregnancy trimesters by calendar year

**Figure 4** Percentage of all deliveries between 2004 and 2010 where the woman received a prescription for the individual SSRI during any of the pregnancy trimesters
On-line supplement

**Figure S1** Percentage of deliveries where the woman received a prescription for an SSRI during the time period of interest, and also received a prescription for a) an antipsychotic, b) an anxiolytic and c) a hypnotic/sedative during the time period of interest
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Region</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
<th>Denmark</th>
<th>Italy - Emilia Romagna</th>
<th>Italy - Tuscany</th>
<th>United Kingdom(^1)</th>
<th>Wales(^2)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Involves database record linkage</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coverage</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>National</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Regional</td>
<td>Approximately 8.5% of UK population</td>
<td>Approximately 40% of GP practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population base</td>
<td>500,000</td>
<td>~5,000,000</td>
<td>4,200,000</td>
<td>3,700,000</td>
<td>~5,000,000(^3)</td>
<td>1,200,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database for live &amp; stillbirth pregnancy identification</td>
<td>IADB.nl</td>
<td>Danish National Patient Register</td>
<td>Certificate of Delivery Assistance (CeDAP)</td>
<td>Certificate of Delivery Assistance (CeDAP) Hospital Discharges Registry</td>
<td>General Practice Research Database (GPRD)(^4)</td>
<td>National Community Child Health Database (NCCHD)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Database for medicine use data</td>
<td>IADB.nl</td>
<td>Danish National Prescription Registry</td>
<td>Emilia-Romagna Prescription Database (ERPD)</td>
<td>Tuscany Prescription Database</td>
<td>General Practice Research Database</td>
<td>The General Practice (GP) Dataset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Source for medicine use data</td>
<td>Pharmacy dispensing</td>
<td>Pharmacy dispensing</td>
<td>Pharmacy dispensing(^5)</td>
<td>Pharmacy dispensing and Healthcare Facilities Dispensing(^5)</td>
<td>GP practice prescribing</td>
<td>GP practice prescribing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture GP prescribing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture outpatient prescribing</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes(^5)</td>
<td>Yes(^5)</td>
<td>Yes(^6)</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capture inpatient prescribing</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes(^5)</td>
<td>Yes(^5)</td>
<td>Some</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of last menstrual period recorded</td>
<td>Estimated for all</td>
<td>Calculated from gestational age</td>
<td>Calculated from gestational age</td>
<td>Calculated from gestational age</td>
<td>Yes for 40%</td>
<td>Yes for 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking status</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes(^7)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol consumption</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-pregnancy BMI</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes(^6)</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Some</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A measure of socioeconomic status</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^1\) Excluding practices in Wales to avoid duplication of pregnancies in the database contributing data for Wales

\(^2\) Secure Anonymised Information Linkage (SAIL) Databank

\(^3\) The size of the population captured by the GPRD has grown steadily over time and was approximately 5.0 million in May 2012

\(^4\) Now the Clinical Practice Research Datalink (CPRD)

\(^5\) Only products reimbursed by the Italian National Health Service and excluding those dispensed to outpatients in a hospital pharmacy

\(^6\) excluding prescriptions initiated by a specialist in a hospital outpatient department but any repeat prescriptions subsequently issued by the GP were captured

\(^7\) Available for pregnancies that result in a delivery but not those that end in a pregnancy loss
Table 2 Percentage of deliveries in the period 2004-2010 where the woman received a prescription for an SSRI in the year before pregnancy, during pregnancy or the year following pregnancy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/region</th>
<th>Number of eligible deliveries in entire cohort</th>
<th>Mean maternal age at pregnancy start for entire cohort</th>
<th>the year before pregnancy (%) (95% CI)</th>
<th>SSRI prescription during any of the pregnancy trimesters (%) (95% CI)</th>
<th>the year following pregnancy (%) (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Netherlands</td>
<td>13,935</td>
<td>29.4 [4.8]</td>
<td>3.9 (3.6 - 4.2)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.0 - 2.5)</td>
<td>4.3 (4.0 - 4.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Denmark</td>
<td>320,846</td>
<td>30.0 [4.9]</td>
<td>4.1 (4.0 - 4.1)</td>
<td>2.3 (2.3 - 2.4)</td>
<td>4.1 (4.0 - 4.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy – Emilia Romagna</td>
<td>129,220</td>
<td>32.3 [4.9]</td>
<td>3.3 (3.2 - 3.4)</td>
<td>1.2 (1.1 - 1.3)</td>
<td>2.5 (2.4 - 2.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy – Tuscany</td>
<td>157,916</td>
<td>31.8 [4.9]</td>
<td>4.4 (4.3 - 4.5)</td>
<td>1.6 (1.5 - 1.7)</td>
<td>3.4 (3.3 - 3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom†</td>
<td>182,920</td>
<td>30.2 [6.1]</td>
<td>8.8 (8.6 - 8.9)</td>
<td>3.7 (3.6 - 3.8)</td>
<td>12.9 (12.7 - 13.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wales</td>
<td>58,106</td>
<td>27.7 [6.1]</td>
<td>9.6 (9.4 - 9.8)</td>
<td>4.5 (4.3 - 4.6)</td>
<td>15.0 (14.7 - 15.3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Standard deviation
† 2004-2009
* Excluding Wales to avoid duplication of pregnancies in the SAIL databank
Figures

**Figure 1** Percentage of all deliveries between 2004 and 2010 where the woman received a prescription for an SSRI during ≥1 of the time periods of interest

*Excluding Wales*
Figure 2: Starting and stopping scenarios for deliveries where the mother had an SSRI prescription during the year before pregnancy

* Excluding Wales
Figure 3 Percentage of deliveries where the woman had a prescription for an SSRI during any of the pregnancy trimesters by calendar year

* Excluding Wales
Figure 4 Percentage of all deliveries between 2004 and 2010 where the woman received a prescription for the individual SSRI during any of the pregnancy trimesters

* excluding Wales
**Figure S1** Percentage of deliveries where the woman received a prescription for an SSRI during the time period of interest, that also received a prescription for a) an antipsychotic, b) an anxiolytic and c) a hypnotic/sedative during the time period of interest

a) antipsychotic co-prescribing

b) anxiolytic co-prescribing

c) hypnotic/sedative co-prescribing

* excluding Wales