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We study the dynamics of many atoms in the recently proposed Single Atom Transistor setup [A. Micheli, A. J. Daley, D. Jaksch, and P. Zoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 93, 140408 (2004)] using recently developed numerical methods. In this setup, a localised spin 1/2 impurity is used to switch the transport of atoms in a 1D optical lattice: in one state the impurity is transparent to probe atoms, but in the other acts as a single atom mirror. We calculate time-dependent currents for bosons passing the impurity atom, and find interesting many body effects. These include substantially different transport properties for bosons in the strongly interacting (Tonks) regime when compared with fermions, and an unexpected decrease in the current when weakly interacting probe atoms are initially accelerated to a non-zero mean momentum. We also provide more insight into the application of our numerical methods to this system, and discuss open questions about the currents approached by the system on long timescales.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The recently proposed Single Atom Transistor (SAT) setup [1] provides new opportunities to experimentally examine the coupling of a spin-1/2 system with bosonic and fermionic modes. Such couplings form fundamental building blocks in several areas of physics. For example, atoms passing through a cavity can allow the quantum non-demolition (QND) readout of single-photon states in quantum optics [2], and in solid state physics, such systems occur in Single Electron Transistors [2], in studies of electron counting statistics [2] and in the transport of electrons past impurities such as quantum dots [2].

In the SAT setup, which was motivated by the significant experimental advances made recently with cold atoms in 1D [3, 4, 5], a single spin-1/2 impurity atom, q, is used to switch the transport of a gas of cold atoms in a 1D optical lattice (Fig. 1). The impurity atom, which can encode a qubit on two internal spin states, is transparent to a gas of probe atoms in one spin state (the “on” state), but acts as a single atom mirror in the other (the “off” state), prohibiting transport via a quantum interference mechanism (Fig. 1). Observation of probe atoms that are initially situated to one side of the impurity, and which can constitute either a 1D degenerate Bose or Fermi gas, can then be used as a QND measurement [1] of the qubit state of the impurity atom $|\psi_q\rangle = a|\uparrow\rangle + \beta|\downarrow\rangle$ [1] (see Fig. 1).

The long coherence times associated with atoms in optical lattices allow many-body effects to contribute coherently to the transport properties over longer timescales than is observed in other systems where bosonic and fermionic modes couple to a spin 1/2 system. This produces novel physics in which the current of atoms passing the impurity, especially in a regime of weak coupling between probe atoms and impurity, is sensitive to interactions between the probe atoms [1]. These effects could be directly observed in experiments, for example, via measurements of the density of probe atoms on each site of the impurity atom as a function of time.

In this article we present a detailed numerical analysis of these currents, making use of recently developed numerical methods [2] to calculate the dynamics of the bosonic probe atoms by directly integrating the many-body Schrödinger equation in 1D on an adaptively truncated Hilbert space. When these currents are compared to analytical calculations of transmission coefficients for single particles passing the impurity atom and the related currents for a non-interacting 1D Fermi gas, significant interaction effects are observed, as first discussed in Ref. [1]. Here we provide new insight into the time dependence of these currents, and what conclusions can be drawn from our numerical results on different timescales. We then calculate the initial currents for atoms at zero temperature diffusing past the impurity (where the initial mean momentum of the 1D gas, $\langle \hat{k} \rangle_{t=0} = 0$, with $k$ is the operator corresponding to the quasi-momentum in the lowest Bloch band and $t$ the time), and explore the effects observed for different interaction strengths of bosonic probe atoms. We then also investigate the currents for fermions and bosons when the probe atoms are initially kicked ($\langle \hat{k} \rangle_{t=0} \neq 0$). This study is complementary to the analytical study of the SAT that is given in recent article by Micheli et al. [1].

In section II we discuss the basic physics of the SAT, and give a summary of the dynamics found in I for single particles and non-interacting fermions. Then we present in detail the numerical techniques that we use to compute the exact time evolution of the many-body 1D system. The time-dependence of the resulting currents is discussed in section III followed by a presentation of the values of the initial steady state currents, both in the diffusive ($\langle \hat{k} \rangle_{t=0} = 0$) and kicked ($\langle \hat{k} \rangle_{t=0} \neq 0$) regimes. The conclusions are then summarised in section IV.
at a particular lattice site is transparent to probe atoms in one state (“on”), but in the other acts as a single atom mirror (“off”). The probe atoms can either diffuse past the impurity site with mean initial momentum \( \langle k \rangle_{t=0} = 0 \) or can be accelerated to a finite initial momentum \( \langle k \rangle_{t=0} \neq 0 \) by a kick of strength \( p_k \).

II. OVERVIEW

A. The Single Atom Transistor

1. The System

As described in section I, we consider probe atoms \( b \), which are loaded into an optical lattice [12, 13, 14, 15] with strong confinement in two dimensions, so that the atoms are restricted to move along a lattice in 1D. The probe atoms are initially situated to the left of a site containing an impurity atom \( q \), which is trapped independently (by a species or spin-dependent [16] potential), fixing it to a particular site while the probe atoms are free to move. In order to produce the “on” and “off” states of the SAT, we must appropriately engineer the effective spin-dependent interaction between the probe atoms and the impurity, \( H_{\text{int}} = \sum_\sigma U_{\text{eff},\sigma} \hat{b}^\dagger_0 \hat{b}_q \hat{q}_\sigma^\dagger \). Here, \( \hat{b}_i^\dagger \) and \( \hat{q}_i^\dagger \) are second-quantised creation operators for the \( b \) and \( q \) atoms respectively, obeying the standard commutation relations for bosons (fermions) and the site index \( i \) is chosen so that the impurity is on site \( i = 0 \). These interactions can be controlled using either a magnetic [17, 18] or optical [19] Feshbach resonance. For simplicity we discuss the case of an optical Feshbach resonance, depicted in Fig. 2. Here, lasers are used to drive a transition from the atomic state \( \hat{b}^\dagger_0 \hat{q}_0^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle \) via an off-resonant excited molecular state to a bound molecular state back in the lowest electronic manifold \( \hat{m}_i^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle \) on the impurity site, \( i = 0 \) (see Fig. 2). The two-photon Rabi frequency for this process is denoted \( \Omega_\sigma \) and the Raman detuning \( \Delta_\sigma \), and throughout this article we use units with \( \hbar = 1 \).

We consider initially a single probe atom passing the impurity. If the coupling to the molecular state is far off resonance \( (\Omega_\sigma = 0) \), the effect of the Feshbach resonance is to modify the interaction between the \( b \) and \( q \) atoms in the familiar manner, with \( U_{\text{eff}} = U_{qb} + \Omega_\sigma^2 / \Delta_\sigma \). This can be used to screen the background interaction between these atoms, \( U_{qb} \), so that the “on” state of the SAT \( (U_{\text{eff}} = 0) \) can be produced by choosing \( \Delta_\sigma = -\Omega_\sigma^2 / U_{qb} \).

If the coupling is resonant \( (\Delta_\sigma = 0) \), then the physical mechanism is different, and the passage of a probe atom \( b \) past the impurity is blocked by quantum interference. The mixing of the unbound atomic state and the molecular state on the impurity site produces two dressed states

\[
\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \hat{b}_0^\dagger \hat{q}_0^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle \pm \hat{m}_0^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle \right),
\]

with energies

\[
\varepsilon_{\pm} = \frac{U_{qb}}{2} \pm \left( \frac{U_{qb}^2}{4} + \Omega_\sigma^2 \right)^{1/2}.
\]

The two resulting paths for a particle of energy \( \varepsilon \) then destructively interfere so that when \( \Omega_\sigma \gg J \), where \( J \) is the normal tunneling amplitude between neighbouring lattice sites, and \( U_{qb} = 0 \), the effective tunnelling amplitude past the impurity (see Fig. 3) is

\[
J_{\text{eff}} = \left( \frac{J^2}{\varepsilon + \Omega_\sigma} - \frac{J^2}{\varepsilon - \Omega_\sigma} \right) \to 0.
\]
This is reminiscent of the interference effect which underlies Electromagnetically Induced Transparency [20], and corresponds to the effective interaction $U_{\text{eff}} \to \infty$ required for the "off" state of the SAT.

In Refs. [1, 11], the Lippmann-Schwinger equation is solved exactly for scattering from the impurity of an atom $b$ with incident momentum $k > 0$ in the lowest Bloch-band, where the energy of the particle $\varepsilon(k) = -2J \cos(ka)$, with $a$ the lattice spacing. The resulting transmission probabilities $T(p)$ are in the form of Fano Profiles [21]. For $\Omega_\sigma \sim J$ these have a minimum corresponding to complete reflection for $\varepsilon(k) = -\Delta_\sigma$ and complete transmission for $\varepsilon(k) = -\Delta_\sigma - \Omega_\sigma^2 / U_{qb}$. For $\Omega_\sigma > 4J$, the transmission coefficients are approximately independent of $k$, and so complete transparency of the impurity atom is obtained for $\Delta_\sigma = -\Omega_\sigma^2 / U_{qb}$ and complete blocking of the incident atoms for $\Delta = 0$.

3. Many Atoms

The treatment of this system for many atoms is similar to the single atom case, but the motion of the probe atoms in the lattice, except on the impurity site, is governed by a (Bose-) Hubbard Hamiltonian [13]. As the two spin channels for the impurity atom, $q$, can be treated independently, we will consider only a single spin channel $q_{\sigma}$, and drop the subscript in the notation throughout the remainder of the article [1]. The Hamiltonian for the system is then given (with $\hbar \equiv 1$) by $\hat{H} = \hat{H}_b + \hat{H}_0$, with

$$\hat{H}_b = -J \sum_{\langle ij \rangle} \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j + \frac{1}{2} U_{bb} \sum_j \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_j \left( \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_j - 1 \right),$$

$$\hat{H}_0 = \Omega \left( \hat{m}^\dagger \hat{q} \hat{b}_0 + h.c. \right) - \Delta \hat{m} \hat{m}^\dagger$$

$$+ U_{qb} \hat{b}_q^\dagger \hat{q} \hat{b}_0 + U_{bm} \hat{b}_m^\dagger \hat{m} \hat{b}_0. \tag{4}$$

Here, $\hat{H}_b$ gives a Hubbard Hamiltonian for the $b$ atoms with tunnelling matrix elements $J$, and collisional interactions $U_{bb}$. For fermions, $U_{\text{ff}} = 0$, whereas for bosons $U_{bb} = 4\pi \hbar^2 a_{bb} \int d^3x |w_j(x)|^4 / m_b$, with $w_j(x)$ the Wannier-function on site $j$, and $a_{bb}$ and $m_b$ the scattering length and mass of $b$ atoms respectively. $\hat{H}_0$ describes the dynamics in the presence of the impurity on site 0, where atoms $b$ and $q$ are converted to a molecular state with effective Rabi frequency $\Omega$ and detuning $\Delta$, and the final two terms describe background interactions, $U_{a\beta}$ for two particles $\alpha, \beta \in \{q, b, m\}$, which are typically weak and will be neglected in our treatment. This single-band model is valid in the limit for $U_{a\beta} / J, \Omega / \Delta \ll \omega$, where $\omega$ is the energy separation between Bloch bands, an inequality which is fulfilled in current experiments. The robustness of the SAT with respect to loss processes is discussed in [1].

In the rest of this article, we will study the current of atoms past the impurity site that develops as a function of time, and how this current depends on the interaction between probe atoms and on interactions between the probe atoms and the impurity.

B. Atomic Currents through the SAT

To analyse the case of many atoms passing the impurity site, we consider the probe atoms $b$ to be prepared initially to the left of the impurity, in a ground state corresponding to a 1D box potential. The current of atoms passing the impurity is $I(t) = dN_R / dt$, where $N_R$ is the mean number of atoms to the right of the impurity, $N_R = \langle \sum_{j>0} \hat{b}_j^\dagger \hat{b}_j \rangle$. For a sufficiently large number of atoms in the initial cloud, this current is generally found to rapidly settle into an initial steady state current, $I_{ss}$, on relatively short timescales ($tJ \sim 1$) (see section [11A] for further discussion of steady state currents for bosons).

For a non-interacting Fermi gas at zero temperature, the currents can be calculated exactly when $U_{bm} = U_{qb}$, as the equations of motion are linear. Scattering from the impurity occurs independently for each particle in the initial Fermi sea, and after a short transient period of the order of the inverse tunnelling rate $1/J$, a steady state current $I_{ss}$ is established. This can be calculated either by integrating the single-particle transmission probabilities [1, 11] or by direct numerical integration of the Heisenberg equations.

For a non-interacting and very dilute Bose gas, the situation will be identical to considering a single particle. However, for higher densities, many-boson effects become important, and additionally for non-zero interactions the situation becomes even more complicated. In the limit $U_{bb} / J \to \infty$ in 1D (the Tonks gas regime) it is usually possible to replace the bosonic operators $\hat{b}_i^\dagger, \hat{b}_i$ by fermionic operators $\hat{f}_i^\dagger, \hat{f}_i$ using a Jordan-Wigner transformation [22]. However, in this case the resulting Hamiltonian...
contains a nonlinear phase factor resulting from the coupling on the impurity site, \((-1)^{N_L}\), where \(N_L = \sum_{j<0} f_j^\dagger f_j\) is the operator for the number of atoms to the left of the impurity site. For \(\Omega = 0\), the boson currents are exactly the same as the currents for noninteracting fermions as \(\langle \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j \rangle = \langle f_i^\dagger f_j \rangle\). For finite \(\Omega\) it is not clear what role the phase factor will play in determining the system dynamics, although for sufficiently large \(\Omega \gg J\) we again expect very little current to pass the impurity.

Thus, for the intermediate regime \(\Omega \sim J\), and for the case of finite interaction strength \(U/J\) there are no known analytical solutions for the currents. For this reason, we specifically study these regimes in this paper, using near-exact numerical methods.

C. Time-Dependent Numerical Algorithm for 1D Many-Body Systems

The algorithm that we use to compute the time evolution of our many body system for bosonic probe atoms was originally proposed by Vidal [10]. This method allows near-exact integration of the many body Schrödinger equation in 1D by an adaptive decimation of the Hilbert space, provided that the Hamiltonian couples nearest-neighbour sites only and that the resulting states are only “slightly entangled” (this will be explained in more detail below). Recently both this algorithm [23], and similar methods proposed by Verstrate and Cirac [24] have been generalised to the treatment of master equations for dissipative systems and systems at finite temperature, and progress has been made applying the latter method to 2D systems [25].

In 1D, these methods rely on a decomposition of the many-body wavefunction into a matrix product representation of the type used in Density Matrix Renormalisation Group (DMRG) calculations [26], which had previously been widely applied to find the ground state in 1D systems. The time dependent algorithms have now been incorporated within DMRG codes [27], and also been used to study the coherent dynamics of a variety of systems [28]. In our case, we write the coefficients of the wavefunction expanded in terms of local Hilbert spaces of dimension \(S\),

\[
|\Psi\rangle = \sum_{i_1i_2\ldots i_M=1}^S c_{i_1i_2\ldots i_M} |i_1\rangle \otimes |i_2\rangle \otimes \ldots \otimes |i_M\rangle, \quad (6)
\]

as a product of tensors

\[
c_{i_1i_2\ldots i_M} = \sum_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_M} \gamma^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \lambda^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \gamma^{(2)}_{\alpha_2} \lambda^{(2)}_{\alpha_2} \ldots \gamma^{(M)}_{\alpha_M} \lambda^{(M)}_{\alpha_M}. \quad (7)
\]

These are chosen so that the tensor \(\lambda^{(a)}_{\alpha_a}\) specifies the coefficients of the Schmidt decomposition [29] for the bipartite splitting of the system at site \(l\),

\[
|\psi\rangle = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{\chi_l} \lambda^{(l)}_\alpha |\phi^{(l)}_\alpha \rangle |\phi^{(l+1\ldots M)}_\alpha \rangle, \quad (8)
\]

where \(\chi_l\) is the Schmidt rank, and the sum over truncating tensors specify the Schmidt eigenstates, \(|\phi^{(l)}_\alpha \rangle\) and \(|\phi^{(l+1\ldots M)}_\alpha \rangle\). The key to the method is two-fold. Firstly, for many states corresponding to a low-energy in 1D systems we find that the Schmidt coefficients \(\lambda^{(a)}_{\alpha_a}\), ordered in decreasing magnitude, decay rapidly as a function of their index \(\alpha\) (this is what we mean by the state being “slightly entangled”) [10]. Thus the representation can be truncated at relatively small \(\chi\) and still provide an inner product of almost unity with the exact state of the system \(|\Psi\rangle\). Secondly, when an operator acts on the local Hilbert state of two neighbouring sites, the representation can be efficiently updated by changing the \(\Gamma\) tensors corresponding to those two sites, a number of operations that scales as \(\chi^2 S^3\) for sufficiently large \(\chi\) [10]. Thus, we represent the state on a systematically truncated Hilbert space, which changes adaptively as we perform operations on the state.

In order to simulate the time evolution of a state, we perform a Suzuki-Trotter decomposition [30] of the time evolution operator \(\exp(-i\hat{H}t)\), which is applied to each pair of sites individually in small timesteps \(\delta t\). Initial states can also be found using an imaginary time evolution, i.e., the repeated application of the operator \(\exp(-\hat{H}\delta t)\), together with renormalisation of the state.

In this paper, results are not only produced using the original algorithm as presented in [10], but also using an optimised version in which the Schmidt eigenstates are forced to correspond to fixed numbers of particles. This allows us to make use of the total number conservation in the Hamiltonian to substantially increase the speed of the code, and also improve the scaling with \(\chi\) and \(S\). With this number conserving code we are able to compute results with much higher values of \(\chi\), however we also find that for insufficiently large \(\chi\), the results from this code become rapidly unphysical, in contrast to the original code (see section III A).

In implementations of this method we vary the value of \(\chi\) to check that the point at which the representation is being truncated does not affect the final results. A useful indicator for convergence of the method is the sum of the Schmidt coefficients discarded in each time step, although in practice the convergence of calculated quantities (such as the single particle density matrix, \(\langle \hat{b}_i^\dagger \hat{b}_j \rangle\)) are normally used. This is also discussed further in section III A.
For bosons on an optical lattice we must also choose the dimension $S$ of the local Hilbert space, which corresponds to one more than the maximum number of atoms allowed on one lattice site. For simulation of the SAT, we allow a variable dimension of the local Hilbert space $S_l$, as we must consider the state of the molecule on the impurity site in addition to the probe atoms. Allowing such a variable dimension dramatically reduces the simulation time, which scales as $\chi^3 \sum_i S_i^3$ when $\chi \gg S$, and scales proportional to $S^4$ when $\chi$ is small. For a Bose gas with finite $U/J$ we usually take $S_l = 6$ away from the impurity site, and $S_0 = 12$ on the impurity site, whereas simulations of a Tonks gas can be performed with $S_l = 2$ away from the impurity site and $S_0 = 4$ on the impurity site.

### III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

In section III A we discuss the time dependence of the current for bosons and the applicability of our numerical methods in different regimes. We establish the existence of an initial steady state current, $I_{SS}$ that appears on a timescale $tJ \sim 1$, and discuss the observation of a second steady state current $I_0$, observed in some cases on a timescale $tJ \sim 10$. In sections III B and III C we then present our numerical results for $I_{SS}$ for the case where the initial cloud diffuses past the impurity site, and the case where the initial cloud is kicked respectively.

We are primarily interested in the behaviour of the current through the SAT when it is used in the “off” state, i.e., we choose $\Delta = 0$. To enhance clarity of the results, we also choose $U_{bb} = U_{bm} = 0$.

In each case, we considered an initial cloud of between $N = 1$ and $N = 30$ atoms, confined on $M = 30$ lattice sites situated immediately to the left of the impurity site. The initial state used corresponds to the ground state, $|\phi_0\rangle$ of a Bose-Hubbard model with a box trap.

Our total grid for the time evolution consisted of 61 lattice sites, with the 30 rightmost sites initially unoccupied, and the results we present are, except for very small systems, independent of the size of the initial cloud and of the grid size. Fermionic results are derived from exact integration of the Heisenberg equations of motion, whereas bosonic results are near-exact simulations as described in section III C.

#### A. Time Dependence of the current for bosonic probe atoms

The mean number of probe atoms on the right of the impurity, $N_R$ is plotted as a function of time, $t$, in Fig. 4 for a Tonks gas ($U/J \rightarrow \infty$) with $\Omega/J = 1$ and initial state of density $n = N/M = 1$. These results were calculated with the original simulation algorithm, and it is clear from the figure that the current settles into an initial steady state value $I_{SS}$ on the timescale $tJ \sim 1$. However, as is typical for bosonic probe atoms with $n > 0.5$, there exists a knee in the curve at a time $t_{knee}(\chi)$, leading to a new and final steady state current, which we will denote $I_0$. The time $t_{knee}(\chi)$ depends on the initial density, $n$, and coupling, $\Omega$, and as can be seen from this figure, we require a high value of $\chi$ to find the exact time. For $n = 1, \Omega/J = 1$, $t_{knee}(\chi)$ appears to converge to a value between $tJ = 9$ and $tJ = 12$ as $\chi$ is increased. It is clear that significant level of correlation, or entanglement between the left and right hand side of the system (in the sense of the number of significant Schmidt eigenvalues for a bipartite splitting) are involved in determining the dynamics leading the to knee. However, the actual value of the steady state current $I_0$ appears to converge for much lower values of $\chi$ and there is essentially no change in this result from $\chi = 10$ to $\chi = 70$.

The interpretation of these results is more complex when they are compared with similar results from the new, number conserving version of our code. In Fig. 5 we observe that the behaviour diverges with similar results from the new, number conserving version of our code. In Fig. 6, this behaviour occurs when the maximum sum of squares of the Schmidt coefficients being discarded in each timestep, $\varepsilon_\lambda = \sum_{\beta>\chi} \lambda_\beta^2$, reaches a steady value on the order of $10^{-7}$, indicating that the simulation results from the number conserving code are probably not valid.

---

**FIG. 4:** The number of atoms to the right of the impurity site, $N_R$ as a function of dimensionless time $tJ$ for a Bose gas in the Tonks limit ($U_{bb}/J \rightarrow \infty$) with $\Omega/J = 1$, $n = N/M = 1$, and varying number of states retained in the method, $\chi = 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70$ (lines from bottom to top). These results are from the original simulation method.
for $t > t_{\text{knee}}$. Indeed, we observe the same behaviour from the new simulation code with $\Omega = 0$, where we know from Eq. 4 that the time dependent current $I(t)$ is equal to that for fermions, and should not decrease in this manner (see currents for fermions in Ref. [1]). Interestingly, the original code, which produces the steady state currents $I_0$ at finite $\Omega$ reproduces the known result at $\Omega = 0$ exactly even for small values of $\chi$, with a steady state current $I_{\text{SS}}$ and no knee.

Our conclusions from these results are as follows:

(i) We know that up to $t_{\text{knee}}$ our simulation results are exact, as they are unchanged in the linear region with current $I_{SS}$ for $\chi = 20 \rightarrow 300$. As this regime lasts at least until $tJ \sim 10$, these results would be observable in an experimental implementation of the SAT.

(ii) As an impractically large value of $\chi$ would be required to reproduce the results exactly on long timescales, we can not be certain what the final behaviour will be for $t > t_{\text{knee}}(\chi = 300)$. This depends on clearly interesting phenomena that arise from strong correlations between the left and right sides of the impurity site, and could include settling to a final steady state current $I_0$. These effects would also be observable in an experiment.

The expected final steady state values $I_0$ are already discussed in Ref. [1], and so in the remainder of this article we investigate the initial steady state currents $I_{SS}$ in various parameter regimes.

**B. Diffusive evolution, with initial mean momentum ($\langle \hat{k} \rangle_{t=0} = 0$)**

We first consider the motion of atoms past the impurity site in the diffusive regime, where the initial state at $t = 0$ is the ground state of a Bose-Hubbard model on $M = 30$ lattice sites in a box trap.

1. **Dependence of the current on impurity-probe coupling, $\Omega$**

   In Fig. 8 we show the initial steady state current $I_{SS}$ as a function of $\Omega/J$ for fermionic probe atoms, and for bosonic probe atoms with $U_{bb}/J = 4, 10, \infty$ and $\Delta = 0$. All of these results decrease as expected with increasing $\Omega/J$, and even for a relatively small $\Omega = 2$ the current is minimal in each case. At half filling (Fig. 7b), the results for the Tonks gas are identical to the Fermi results for $\Omega = 0$, but become substantially different as $\Omega$ increases, with the currents in this regime greater for the bosons. At weaker interactions the currents are smaller than the Tonks result at all $\Omega$, but for $\Omega/J > 1$ the currents for $U/J = 4$ are larger than for a non-interacting Fermi gas. The variation in the currents for different interaction strengths of bosons appears to be due to the broader initial momentum distributions that occur at larger $U/J$.
   
   At unit filling (Fig. 8b), $I_{SS}$ is less dependent on the interaction strength, with all of the bosonic results very close to one another, currents becoming larger than that for fermions when $\Omega/J > 1$.

2. **Dependence of the current on interaction strength, $U/J$**

   The dependence of the initial steady state current $I_{SS}$ on the interaction strength for bosons is depicted more clearly in Fig. 8 both at unit filling, $n = 1$, and half filling, $n = 1/2$ for $\Omega = J$. At half filling the current increases with increasing $U/J$, which is due to the broader initial momentum distribution produced by the
higher interaction energies. In contrast, at higher densities (here $n = 1$), the probe atoms are blocked better by the SAT for higher interaction strengths, and $I_{SS}$ decreases. The key principle here is that bosons appear to be better blocked when they approach the impurity individually. For high densities this is achieved when large interaction strengths eliminate the higher occupancies of all lattice site including the impurity site. For weaker interactions the bosons can swamp the transistor, with one atom being bound to the impurity, whilst other probe atoms tunnel onto and past the impurity site.

This effect is seen in Fig. 9 where the molecular occupation and average probe atom occupation on the impurity site are shown for (a) $U/J = 4$ and (b) $U/J = 10$. We see that as $n$ increases, the molecular occupation becomes rapidly higher for $U/J = 10$ than for $U/J = 4$, despite the larger occupation of probe atoms on the impurity site for $U/J = 4$. This indicates that for $U/J = 10$ atoms arrive individually at the impurity site, where they are coupled with the impurity atom into a molecular state, and their transport is efficiently blocked. For $U/J = 4$, more than one atom enters the impurity site at once, leading to a larger average probe atom occupation on the impurity site, but a comparatively small molecular occupation.

It is important to note, however, that even when $U/J = 4$, the resulting currents are only slightly larger than they are for non-interacting fermions. At higher interaction strengths we then see an even stronger suppression of the steady state current for dense, strongly interacting bosons. As $\Omega$ increases, both the molecular occupation and probe atom occupation on the impurity site decrease (Fig. 9) as the probability of even a single atom tunnelling onto the impurity site becomes small. For $\Omega > 2$ the blocking mechanism of the SAT functions extremely well even in the regime where the probe atoms are dense and weakly interacting.

### 3. Dependence of the current on initial density, $n$

In Fig. 10 we show the dependence of the initial steady state current, $I_{SS}$ on the initial filling factor $n$ with (a) $\Omega/J = 0.5$ and (b) $\Omega/J = 1$. In both cases, the currents for bosons of different interaction strengths are very similar, with the variations following the patterns discussed in the preceding section. These results also agree well with the results for fermions at small $n$ and for $n \sim 1$, but the plateau observed in fermionic currents near $n \sim 0.5$ does not occur in the currents for bosons. For fermions, this plateau arises from the transmission profile of the SAT as a function of incoming momentum $\hbar k$, and occurs when the Fermi momentum is raised past the minimum in this transmission profile. For interacting bosons, this corre-
spondence between the momentum distribution of the gas and the transmission profile is destroyed by many-body effects, and we see instead a smooth increase in the current. This results in the bosonic currents being substantially larger than those for fermions near half filling when $\Omega \sim 1$ (as was previously observed in Fig. 4).

C. Kicked evolution, with initial mean momentum $\langle \hat{k} \rangle_{t=0} \neq 0$

In this section we consider an initial state with a non-zero initial momentum, which is obtained, e.g., by briefly tilting the lattice on a timescale much shorter than that corresponding to dynamics of atoms in the lattice. If the tilt is linear, the resulting state will be given by

$$|\phi(t = 0)\rangle = \sum_j \exp(i p_k j \hat{b}_j \hat{b}_j) |\phi_0\rangle,$$

where $|\phi_0\rangle$ is the initial many-body ground state, and the quantity $p_k$ is determined by the magnitude and duration of the tilt. The effect of this tilt is to translate the ground state in the periodic quasimomentum space by a momentum $p_k$. The final mean momentum $\langle \hat{k} \rangle$ then depends both on the value $p_k$ and the properties of the initial momentum distribution.

1. Dependence of the current on kick strength $p_k$

In the case of fermions, the dependence of the current on $q$ for different filling factors $n = N/M$ and $\Omega$ can be clearly understood in terms of the SAT transmission profile (see Fig. 11). In Fig. 11a we see the current $I_{ss}$ as a function of $p_k$ with $\Omega = 0$. The currents are each peaked at $p_k = \pi/2$, where the resulting mean velocity of the probe atoms is the largest. For $N/M = 1$, the whole Bloch band is filled, and the momentum distribution is not changed by the application of the kick, i.e., $\langle \hat{k} \rangle_{t=0} = 0$. In Fig. 11b the same results are shown, but with $\Omega/J = 1$. Here we see that for small filling factors, a minimum appears at $p_k = \pi/2$, corresponding to the minimum in the transmission profile of the SAT for this incident momentum $\Omega = 1$. At higher filling factors, this feature of the transmission profile for $\Omega/J = 1$ is not sufficiently broad to overcome the increase current due to higher mean velocities in the initial cloud, and the peak at $p_k = \pi/2$ reappears. The currents here are, of course, reduced in comparison with those for $\Omega = 0$.

While for all $p_k$ the currents with no coupling to the impurity atom, i.e., $\Omega = 0$, are the same for the Tonks gas as for fermions (Fig. 11a), the currents for finite interaction strengths are found to be remarkably different. In Fig. 12 these rates are plotted for $U/J = 4, 7, 10$ for $N = 5, 15, 30$ particles initially situated on $M = 30$ sites. For the very dilute system with $N = 5$ (Fig. 12a) we see a peak similar to that observed for fermions which is independent of the interaction strength. Here the currents are essentially those for non-interacting particles, and the currents determined by the initial momentum distribution. For $N = 15$ (Fig. 12b) we observe the surprising result that the current is peaked at a lower value than is observed for fermions or for the Tonks gas. We have observed this peak consistently for such cases of finite interaction, and note that as $U/J$ increases, the peak moves back towards $p_k = \pi/2$ as the currents converge to the Tonks gas results. As $N$ is further increased, the peak continues to move left, and for $N = 30$ (Fig. 12c) we see a monotonically decreasing current as $p_k$ increases. As $U/J$ increases these values tend towards the $p_k$ independent result observed for the Tonks gas. These results are surprising, but the trends in the behaviour are clear, and they should be directly verifiable in experiments, even without the presence of the impurity atom.

For non-zero coupling to the impurity atom, the currents as a function of $p_k$ are shown in Fig. 13. Again we
FIG. 12: Steady state currents with coupling to the SAT, Ω = 0, I_{SS} as a function of the kick parameter \( p_k \) for varying interaction strengths, \( U/J = 4 \) (dotted), \( U/J = 7 \) (solid), and \( U/J = 10 \) (dashed), for (a) \( N = 5 \), (b) \( N = 15 \) and (c) \( N = 30 \) particles initially situated on \( M = 30 \) lattice sites. In all cases, \( \Delta = U_{qb} = U_{bm} = 0 \).

FIG. 13: Steady state currents through the SAT \( I_{SS} \) as a function of the kick parameter \( p_k \), for \( \Omega/J = 1 \). These plots show the comparison of a Bose gas with different interaction strengths \( U/J = 4 \) (dotted line), \( U/J = 10 \) (dashed) and \( U/J \to \infty \) (solid), and a Fermi gas (dash-dot), with (a) \( n = 1/2 \) and (b) \( n = 1 \). In both cases, \( \Delta = U_{qb} = U_{bm} = 0 \).

FIG. 14: A comparison of steady state currents through the SAT, \( I_{SS} \), as a function of \( \Omega/J \) for \( p_k = 0 \) (solid line), \( \pi/4 \) (dashed), and \( \pi/2 \) (dotted). Here we consider a Tonks gas \( (U/J \to \infty) \) of bosonic probe atoms which is initially at half filling, \( N = 15, M = 30 \). \( \Delta = U_{qb} = U_{bm} = 0 \).

2. Dependence of the current on impurity-probe coupling, \( \Omega \)

The steady state current \( I_{ss} \) is shown in Fig. 14 as a function of \( \Omega/J \). We observe the same strong decrease in the current due to the operation of the SAT for all of these curves, with the highest currents corresponding to the \( p_k = \pi/2 \) curve as expected. Note that the value of \( I_{SS} \) is affected equally for all of the kick strengths, and the ratio in the currents for different values of \( p_k \) is very similar for \( \Omega = 0 \) and \( \Omega/J = 2 \).

IV. SUMMARY

In summary, the SAT setup provides new experimental opportunities to study coherent transport of many atoms past a spin-1/2 impurity due to the relatively long coherence times that exist for systems of atoms in optical lattices. The resulting coherent many-body effects can be clearly seen in the difference between the atomic currents observed for fermions and bosons, and the non-trivial dependence of the current on interaction strength for bosons with finite interactions. Even stronger dependence on these interactions is observed when the probe atoms are initially accelerated to a non-zero momentum. The initial steady state currents would be directly accessible quantities in the experimental implementation of the SAT, and using recently developed methods for time-dependent calculation of many-body 1D systems, we have made quantitative predictions for the corresponding currents for a wide range of system parameters. We cannot be certain about the values the currents approach at long times, although it is possible that the system will settle eventually into a regime with a different steady state current. The high values of \( \chi \) needed to reproduce this behaviour in our numerical calculations suggest that the currents in this regime could also be strongly sensitive to the coherence properties of the system, which would be
very interesting to investigate in an experiment.
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