Catalytic Hydroacetylenation of Carbodiimides With Homoleptic Alkaline Earth Hexamethyldisilazides
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Abstract
The homoleptic alkaline earth hexamethyldisilazides, [M{N(SiMe3)2}2((THF)2] (M = Mg 1a; Ca 1b; Sr 1c), have been shown to act as efficient precatalysts for the hydroacetylenation of organic carbodiimides with alkyl- and arylacetylenes. Catalytic activity was observed to increase with the size of the group 2 metal centre employed and to be strongly influenced by the steric properties of the carbodiimide substrate. The intermediate dimeric calcium and strontium bis(amidinate) complexes, [{PhC≡CC(NiPr)2}2M]2 (M = Ca 2b, Sr 2c), have been isolated and crystallographically characterised. Kinetic studies using the strontium precursor, 1c, provided a reaction rate law independent of [acetylene] but proportional to [carbodiimide]2 and inversely proportional to the concentration of the amidine product in solution.

Introduction
The atom-efficient catalytic formation of carbon-carbon bonds is one of the most actively researched areas of modern small molecule synthesis. While transition metal-based processes continue to dominate the field, recent advances have also seen the emergence of a number of non-redox active d0 metal complexes whose catalytic activity is based on sequences of σ-bond metathesis and polarised insertion of C=X (X = e.g. CR2, O, NR) bonds into a reactive M–C bond.1 Among d0 organometallic species, acetylide complexes occupy a privileged position owing to their ease of preparation by protonolysis of a metal amide or alkyl precursors with a terminal alkyne. The stoichiometric insertion of polarised multiple bonds
(e.g. CO₂, CS₂, R₂C=O, RN=C=O, RN=C=S, RC≡N, RN=C, RN=C=NR) into the M–C bond of rare earth and actinide acetylides is particularly well-documented and ethynylamidinate complexes, synthesised by insertion of carbodiimides into rare earth acetylides, have found numerous applications in catalysis. Several groups have also reported the catalytic hydroacetylenation of carbodiimides using amidocyclopentadienyl-, ethylenebis(indenyl)-, tethered diamido- and tris(pyrazolyl)-stabilized trivalent rare earth amide or alkyl precatalysts as well as homoleptic divalent lanthanide precursors. The resulting ethynylamidines, which may not be obtained through hydrolysis of metal amidinate precursors due to their sensitivity to hydrolysis, have found use as valuable building blocks in the synthesis of more complex organic heterocycles, such as isoxazoles, pyrazoles, pyrroloquinolines and aminooquinolines.

In 2006 Richeson and co-workers reported that the commercially available lithium precursor, [Li{N(SiMe₃)₂}], was also an efficient catalyst for this transformation. In all cases catalysis was shown to proceed via the insertion of a carbodiimide molecule into the metal-acetylide bond of the catalyst to form an intermediate ethynylamidinate complex, followed by protonolysis with acetylene to release the ethynylamidine and regenerate the active metal acetylidy species as shown in Scheme 1.

In recent years, we and others have developed a rich catalytic chemistry of the relatively inexpensive and earth-abundant heavier alkaline earth metals (Mg, Ca, Sr, Ba) encompassing an ever-increasing variety of heterofunctionalization, dehydrocoupling and polymerisation reactions. In 2008 we reported the facile stoichiometric insertion of carbodiimides into the calcium-carbon bonds of heteroleptic β-diketiminato calcium acetylide complexes (Scheme 2A). The addition of phenylacetylene to N,N'-di-isopropylcarbodiimide was shown to be catalysed by calcium complex I at 80°C in toluene to provide the corresponding N,N'-diisopropyl-2-phenylethynylamidine in quantitative yield (Scheme 2B). In this case, however, NMR spectroscopic analysis showed that the supporting β-diketiminate
ligand was protonated during the reaction as a result of the acidity of the acetylene substrate. In related work Coles has recently demonstrated that the heteroleptic magnesium amidinate complex, \([\text{MesC(NCy)}_2]\text{Mg[N(SiMe}_3)_2](\text{THF})] \) (Mes = 2,4,6-trimethylphenyl, Cy = cyclohexyl), as well as a number of commercially available magnesium reagents, including MgBu\(_2\), \([\text{Mg[N(SiMe}_3)_2]}_2\], MeMgBr and PhMgBr, may be used to effect the hydroacetylenation of di-isopropyl- and dicyclohexylcarbodiimides with a variety of aryl-, alkyl- and trialkylsilacycleylenes.\(^9\) Herein we report that the series of readily available homoleptic heavier group 2 amide complexes, \([\text{M[N(SiMe}_3)_2]}_2(\text{THF})] \) (M = Mg \(1a\); Ca \(1b\); Sr \(1c\)) are efficient precatalysts for the hydroacetylenation of carbodiimides and provide a study of the reaction mechanism using kinetic analysis.

![Scheme 2](image)

**Stoichiometric studies**

![Scheme 3](image)

Reactions between \([\text{M[N(SiMe}_3)_2]}_2(\text{THF})] \) (M = Ca, Sr), two equivalents of \(N,N'\)-di-isopropylcarbodiimide and two equivalents of phenylacetylene in toluene yielded the corresponding alkaline earth bis(\(N,N'\)-di-isopropyl-2-phenylethynylamidinate) complexes, \(2b\) and \(2c\), in essentially quantitative yields (Scheme 3). Colourless single crystals of both compounds were obtained from saturated toluene solutions stored at \(-30^\circ\text{C}\) for 24 hours. Details of the X-ray crystallographic analyses are listed in Table 1 while a selection of bond lengths and angles are provided in Table 2 for comparative purposes. In contrast to Coles’ monomeric (THF)-solvated magnesium bis(amidinate) complex,
both the calcium and strontium analogues, 2b and 2c, depicted in Figure 1, crystallise as homoleptic dimers, in which each group 2 centre is coordinated by one terminal bidentate ethynylamidinate ligand and two bridging amidinates. In the case of the larger strontium dication the dimer is centrosymmetric, with the bridging amidinates coordinating to both strontium centres in a ($\eta^2$, $\mu^2$) fashion. In contrast, for the smaller calcium dication one of the amidinate ligands bridges in a symmetric ($\eta^2$, $\mu^2$) fashion to both metal centres, while the other bridges asymmetrically, coordinating to the six-coordinate Ca(1) centre through both N(5) and N(6), and to the five-coordinate Ca(2) centre via N(6) only. Several trends can be discerned over the series of homoleptic complexes 2a–2c. The metal-nitrogen bond lengths to the terminal ethynylamidinate ligands increase gradually with the size of the metal centre from magnesium in 2a (ca. 2.17 Å) to strontium in 2c (ca. 2.53 Å). The N–C–N angles of both the terminal and bridging ligands increase by ca. 2° from 2a to 2c in order to accommodate the larger metal centres while the N–M–N bite angles decrease by ca. 5.4° from 2a to 2b and ca. 3.5° from 2b to 2c, in line with the more significant cationic radius increase between magnesium and calcium. The Ca–N bond lengths to the terminal amidinate ligands in complex 2b [2.367(3)–2.382(2) Å] are significantly shorter than those observed in our previously reported heteroleptic N,N'-di-isopropyl-2-p-tolylethynylamidinate complex [2.3918(14), 2.4294(14) Å], which may be ascribed to the high steric demands of the $\beta$-diketiminate co-ligand in the latter species.8 Due to the coordination to both calcium centres the Ca–N bonds of the symmetrically bridging ligand in 2b are significantly elongated [2.445(3)–2.650(3) Å] while the corresponding N–Ca–N bite angles [ca. 52.5°] are considerably smaller than those of the terminal ligands [ca. 57.3°]. For the asymmetrically bridging ethynylamidinate ligand, the Ca(1)–N(5) [2.361(3) Å] and Ca(2)–N(6) bond lengths [2.404(3) Å] are within the range of those observed for terminal calcium amidinate ligands, whereas the Ca(1)–N(6) bond is lengthened to 2.733(3) Å. A similar symmetric/asymmetric bridging motif has been observed for the related strontium bis(N,N'-di-isopropyl-N''-N'''-dimethylguanidinate) dimer reported by Cameron and co-workers.10 Conversely the strontium dimer, 2c, exhibits two ($\eta^2$, $\mu^2$) bridging ligands, in a similar fashion to the analogous strontium bis(N,N',N''-tetra-isopropylguanidinate) dimer reported by the same authors; in this latter case, however, the Sr–N distances are somewhat longer and the N–Sr–N angles slightly more acute than in 2c due to the higher steric demands of the di-isopropylamido ligand backbone substituents.
NMR studies of complexes 2b and 2c showed that the compounds retain their solid-state nuclearity in solution at room temperature. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra for both compounds displayed two distinct sets of resonances for the terminal and bridging ethynylamidinate ligands at room temperature. Whereas the isopropyl $^1$H NMR resonances of 2b were well-defined, those of 2c remained broad until cooled to 238 K, indicating some fluxional behaviour presumably caused by the greater degree of conformational freedom around the larger strontium centres. The $^{13}$C resonances of the metallacyclic carbon [2b $\delta$ 160.4, 158.5 ppm; 2c $\delta$ 158.8, 158.6 ppm] are very similar to that reported for the analogous monomeric magnesium complex.9

Attempts to isolate the analogous homoleptic barium $N,N'$-di-isopropyl-2-phenylethynylamidinate by the same route invariably yielded the polymeric mixed barium-potassium complex, [{PhC≡CC(N$i$Pr)$_2$)$_3$BaK]}$_n$, compound 3, which was identified by a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis. The results of this experiment are shown in Figure 2, while details of the analysis and selected bond length and angle data are provided in Tables 1 and 2, respectively. Compound 3 crystallises as a 1-dimensional polymer propagated by $\eta^2$-$\mu^2$-interactions between alternate barium and potassium centres and the amidinate ligands. While the bimetallic assembly of 3 is unprecedented for an amidinate-based system, the Ba-N bond distances [2.759(2) – 2.897(2) Å] observed within the structure for the Ba-N-K bridging amidinate linkages are significantly elongated in comparison to the terminal Ba-N bonds.
observed in the only previously reported barium amidinate, a 6-coordinate monometallic species containing chelating $N,N'$-bis(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)formamidinate ligands [Ba-N 2.685(3) – 2.769(3) Å]. The structure of compound 3 suggests that the barium bis(hexamethyldisilazide) starting material was heavily contaminated with the [BaK{N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}]$^2$ ‘ate’ complex and closer analysis of the supposed barium bis(hexamethyldisilazide) starting material revealed a ca. 1:10 ratio of the desired [Ba{N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}$_2$(THF)$_2$] and [BaK{N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}]$^3$, the mixture being virtually indistinguishable by NMR spectroscopy from the solvent-free complex, [Ba{N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}$_2$]. Repeated attempts with different batches of the barium precursor, obtained by salt metathesis from barium iodide and potassium hexamethyldisilazide in THF, yielded the same result, demonstrating the unreliability of this route for the synthesis of pure [Ba{N(SiMe$_3$)$_2$}$_2$(THF)$_2$].

**Figure 2.** ORTEP representation of polymeric complex 3. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability. Hydrogen atoms and isopropyl methyl groups omitted for clarity. Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1 -x+1/2,y-1/2,-z+1/2  #2 -x+1/2,y+1/2,-z+1/2.
Table 1. Details of X-ray crystallographic analyses for compounds 2b, 2c, 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2b</th>
<th>2c</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formula</td>
<td>C$<em>{60}$H$</em>{76}$Ca$_2$N$_8$</td>
<td>C$<em>{60}$H$</em>{76}$N$_8$Sr$_2$</td>
<td>C$<em>{45}$H$</em>{57}$BaKN$_6$</td>
<td>C$<em>{33}$H$</em>{40}$N$_2$•C$_6$H$_6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M$_w$</td>
<td>989.45</td>
<td>1084.53</td>
<td>858.41</td>
<td>542.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T (K)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>173(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crystal system</td>
<td>Triclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
<td>Monoclinic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P1</td>
<td>P2$_1$/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
<td>C2/c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a (Å)</td>
<td>10.8208(3)</td>
<td>12.9424(2)</td>
<td>26.4080(3)</td>
<td>22.5370(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>b (Å)</td>
<td>16.1524(4)</td>
<td>19.6115(4)</td>
<td>16.4240(2)</td>
<td>12.92390(10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c (Å)</td>
<td>17.4648(3)</td>
<td>11.7596(3)</td>
<td>21.1240(3)</td>
<td>25.3579(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α (°)</td>
<td>96.295(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β (°)</td>
<td>94.289(1)</td>
<td>98.832(1)</td>
<td>97.322(1)</td>
<td>115.4780(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>γ (°)</td>
<td>93.976(1)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90.00</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Å$^3$)</td>
<td>3016.36(12)</td>
<td>2949.43(11)</td>
<td>9087.3(2)</td>
<td>6667.62(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ρ (mg.m$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.255</td>
<td>1.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>μ (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>1.851</td>
<td>1.001</td>
<td>0.062</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>θ range (°)</td>
<td>3.53 to 26.05</td>
<td>3.50 to 26.05</td>
<td>3.62 to 27.48</td>
<td>3.560 to 25.096</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reflections measured</td>
<td>42730</td>
<td>39387</td>
<td>92722</td>
<td>48040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independent reflections</td>
<td>11828</td>
<td>5802</td>
<td>10399</td>
<td>48040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{int}$</td>
<td>0.0417</td>
<td>0.0612</td>
<td>0.0984</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $R_f$ values ($I &gt; 2σ(I)$)</td>
<td>0.0716</td>
<td>0.0348</td>
<td>0.0384</td>
<td>0.0645</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $wR(F^2)$ values ($I &gt; 2σ(I)$)</td>
<td>0.1857</td>
<td>0.0749</td>
<td>0.0675</td>
<td>0.1364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $R_f$ values (all data)</td>
<td>0.1012</td>
<td>0.0496</td>
<td>0.0799</td>
<td>0.0826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final $wR(F^2)$ values (all data)</td>
<td>0.2077</td>
<td>0.0807</td>
<td>0.0788</td>
<td>0.1473</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for compounds 2b, 2c, 3 and 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2b&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>2c&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>3&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>4&lt;sup&gt;4&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[M–N]&lt;sub&gt;t&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.382(2), 2.370(3), 2.371(3), 2.367(3)</td>
<td>2.527(2), 2.551(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[M–N]&lt;sub&gt;a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.361(3), 2.733(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>2.760(2), 2.808(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[M–N]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.650(3), 2.464(2)</td>
<td>2.751(2), 2.668(2)</td>
<td>2.789(2), 2.897(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[M&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;–N]&lt;sub&gt;a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.448(3), 2.404(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>3.178(2), 2.914(2), 2.891(2), 2.955(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[M&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;–N]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>2.445(3), 2.602(2)</td>
<td>2.723(2), 2.732(2)</td>
<td>2.936(2), 3.036(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–Cl]&lt;sub&gt;r&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.333(4), 1.327(4), 1.335(3), 1.321(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.325(3), 1.326(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–C]&lt;sub&gt;a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.428(5), 1.242(4)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>1.332(4), 1.331(4)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–C]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.324(4), 1.331(4)</td>
<td>1.338(3), 1.334(3)</td>
<td>1.336(3), 1.332(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–M–N]&lt;sub&gt;r&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>57.31(8), 57.27(10)</td>
<td>53.56(6)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–M–N]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>51.84(12)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>48.53(7), 48.46(7)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–M–N]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>52.04(8)</td>
<td>49.75(6)</td>
<td>47.38(6)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–M&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;–N]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>40.54(9)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>43.86(6), 46.11(7)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–M&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;–N]&lt;sub&gt;r&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>52.83(8)</td>
<td>49.42(6)</td>
<td>44.99(6)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–C–N]&lt;sub&gt;r&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>117.9(3)</td>
<td>118.9(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>119.3(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–C–N]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>114.9(3)</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>118.6(2), 118.4(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[N–C–N]&lt;sub&gt;1a,b&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>115.9(3)</td>
<td>117.2(2)</td>
<td>117.9(2)</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup> M/M' = Ca; <sup>b</sup> M/M' = Sr; <sup>c</sup> M = Ba, M' = K; <sup>d</sup> N(1) to N(4); <sup>e</sup> N(5)/N(6); <sup>t</sup> terminal; <sup>a,b</sup> = asymmetric bridging; <sup>s,b</sup> = symmetric bridging.
Catalytic studies

Table 3. Catalyst and solvent screening for the catalytic hydroacetylenation of carbodiimides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R(^1)</th>
<th>R(^2)</th>
<th>Catalyst (mol%)</th>
<th>Solvent</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>NMR yield (%)(^a)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>(1\text{a} (5.0))</td>
<td>C(_6)D(_6)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>(1\text{b} (5.0))</td>
<td>C(_6)D(_6)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>(1\text{c} (5.0))</td>
<td>C(_6)D(_6)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>([\text{BaK}{\text{N(SiMe}_3\text{)}_2}\text{]} (5.0))</td>
<td>C(_6)D(_6)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>(1\text{c} (2.5))</td>
<td>C(_6)D(_6)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>(1\text{c} (2.5))</td>
<td>C(_6)D(_6)/d(_8)-THF 9:1</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>(1\text{c} (2.5))</td>
<td>d(_8)-THF</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>(\text{&quot;i&quot;Pr})</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>(1\text{c} (2.5))</td>
<td>C(_6)D(_6)/d(_8)-THF 9:1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Measured by integration against bis(trimethylsilyl)amine released from catalyst activation.

Complexes \(1\text{a}–1\text{c}\) (5 mol%) were screened for their efficacy in the catalytic hydroacetylenation of \(N,N'\)-di-iso-propylcarbodiimide with phenylacetylene at room temperature (Scheme 4). The magnesium complex, \(1\text{a}\) (Table 3, entry 1), displayed higher activity than the heteroleptic magnesium amidinate complex, \([\text{MesC(NCY)}_2]\text{Mg}\{\text{N(SiMe}_3\text{)}_2\}\text{(THF)}\], which only provided 44% conversion after 24h at room temperature, with a catalyst loading of 10 mol%.\(^9\) NMR yields after 24 hours showed increased conversion with increasing size of the alkaline earth metal dication used (entries 1–3). The barium ‘ate’ complex, \([\text{BaK}\{\text{N(SiMe}_3\text{)}_2\}\text{]}\), displayed even higher activity than the strontium precursor, \(1\text{c}\) (entry 4). In subsequent catalytic and mechanistic studies, however, the latter precatalyst was used to provide a more well-defined system. A similar trend of increasing activity with increasing cation size was observed by Zhou and co-workers when comparing the homoleptic rare earth(II) hexamethyldisilazide precatalysts, \([\text{M}\{\text{N(SiMe}_3\text{)}_2\}\text{]}\text{(THF)}\text{]} (\text{M = Sm, Eu, Yb})\), for the same reaction.\(^4c\)

In all cases a marked product inhibition effect was observed, as well as an increase in reactivity when performing the reaction in a 9:1 mixture of C\(_6\)D\(_6\) and d\(_8\)-THF using the calcium and strontium precatalysts, \(1\text{b}\) and \(1\text{c}\). Performing the reaction in d\(_8\)-THF alone did not provide any significant improvement in reactivity. Zhou and co-workers have reported similarly improved catalytic activity upon addition of donor THF over a range of rare earth
precatalysts. In contrast, and as already observed by Coles, the magnesium-catalysed reaction was significantly impeded by the addition of THF. It is therefore likely that the increased reactivity of 1b and 1c in the presence of small amount of THF is the result of the breakup of the bis(ethynylamidinate) dimers, 2b and 2c, to form catalytically-active monomeric THF-solvated calcium and strontium bis(amidinates) similar to 2a.

![Scheme 5](image)

Table 4. Scope of carbodiimide hydroacetylenation in C₆D₆/d₈-THF 9:1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>R¹</th>
<th>R²</th>
<th>cat, mol%</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Temp (°C)</th>
<th>NMR yield (%)ᵃᵇ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>'Pr</td>
<td>Ph</td>
<td>1c 2.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>93 (98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>1c 2.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>94 (99)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>'Bu</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>1c 5.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>84 (94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2,6-Pr₂C₆H₃</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>1c 10.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>71 (91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>'Pr</td>
<td>'Bu</td>
<td>1c 5.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>72 (82)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>Cy</td>
<td>1c 5.0</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>73 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>'Bu</td>
<td>1c 5.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>79 (89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>4-MeC₆H₄</td>
<td>1c 2.5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>78 (83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>CH₂NMe₂</td>
<td>1a 5.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>77 (87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>''</td>
<td>CH₂OMe</td>
<td>1a 5.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>74 (84)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ᵃ Measured by integration against bis(trimethylsilyl)amine released from catalyst activation;ᵇ Yield of free amidine (in parentheses: NMR yield after hydrolysis with a few drops of D₂O).

The substrate scope of the reaction was examined using precatalyst 1c in a 9:1 mixture of C₆D₆ and d₈-THF (Scheme 5). As expected, the increase in steric demands of the carbodiimide N-substituents caused a drastic drop in reactivity in the order of 'Pr ≈ Cy > 'Bu >> 2,6-Pr₂C₆H₃ (Table 4, entries 1–4) as previously observed by Schwamm and Coles. It is notable that this is the first report of catalytic hydroacetylenation for highly hindered carbodiimides such as the tert-butyl and the 2,6-di-isopropylphenyl derivatives. Colourless single crystals of N,N'-bis(2,6-di-isopropylphenyl)-2-phenylethynylamidine, compound 4, were obtained from the NMR scale reaction in C₆D₆ at 4°C. In contrast to other isolated 2-ethynylamidine compounds, which have been shown to be prone to hydrolysis, the latter compound was air- and moisture-stable, presumably a consequence of the steric shielding provided by the large N-aryl groups. Compound 4, as deduced by a single crystal X-ray
diffraction analysis (Figure 3; details of X-ray analysis and selected bond length and angle data are presented in Tables 1 and 2), crystallises as the *cis* isomer with respect to the C=N bond and forms hydrogen-bonded dimers [N(1')–H(1) 1.95(6), N(2)–H(2') 1.99(7) Å]. Both the C(1)–N(1) and C(1)–N(2) bond lengths [1.325(3) and 1.326(3) Å] are intermediate between formal C–N single and C=N double bonds due to the amino proton being equally disordered over both nitrogen atoms.

Figure 3. ORTEP representation of the hydroacetylenation product of \(N,N'\)-bis(2,6-diisopropylphenyl)carbodiimide with phenylacetylene, compound 4. Thermal ellipsoids drawn at 30% probability. Hydrogen atoms removed for clarity except for the amino proton, H(1) and H(2)'. Hydrogen bonds drawn as dashed bonds.

The catalytic reactions were also found to be sensitive to the nature of the acetylene substituent, with aryl functionalities requiring lower reaction temperatures (entries 1 and 8) than alkyl functionalities (entries 5–7 and 9–10) to reach completion. A significant steric effect of the acetylene functional group was only observed for the largest substrate, *tert*-butylacetylene, which required forcing conditions and long reaction times to achieve good conversions (entry 7). In all catalytic reactions the intermediate strontium bis(ethynylamidinate) complex was observed by \(^1\)H NMR spectroscopy throughout the course of the reaction and remained visible in solution after consumption of all substrates. At this point addition of a few drops of D₂O to the reaction mixture enabled the liberation of two further equivalents of the amidine product per strontium centre (see yields in parentheses in Table 4). The NMR resonances of the intermediate strontium bis(amidinate) complex also
suggested a monomeric species analogous to the magnesium complex, 2a, rather than the dimeric structure of 2c. This is likely the result of the large excess of THF solvent, which stabilizes the monomeric species during catalysis. With donor-functionalised propargyl substrates, such as methylpropargyl ether and 3-(N,N-dimethylamino)-1-propyne, which are known to undergo dimerization in the presence of group 2 bis(amido) precatalysts,\(^\text{12}\) competitive acetylene dimerisation and carbodiimide hydroacetylenation were observed when using the calcium and strontium precatalysts, 1b and 1c. In contrast the magnesium precursor 1a yielded the corresponding propargylamidines cleanly at reaction temperatures up to 80°C, above which negligible amounts of the butatriene product were observed (entries 9 and 10). The presence of the potentially coordinating methoxy and dimethylamino moieties also suppressed the reaction rate compared to the analogous reaction with 1-hexyne.

**Mechanistic studies**

**Figure 4.** *Left:* 2\(^{\text{nd}}\)-order kinetic analysis of the NMR scale reaction of phenylacetylene or 1-deuterophenylacetylene (0.04 M) and di-iso-propylcarbodiimide (0.04 M) in 0.5 mL of C\(_6\)D\(_6\)/d\(_8\)-THF 9:1 with 2.5 mol% of 1c at 60°C. *Right:* 2\(^{\text{nd}}\)-order kinetic analysis of the reaction of 10 molar equivalents of phenylacetylene (0.4 M) and di-iso-propylcarbodiimide (0.04 M) in 0.5 mL of C\(_6\)D\(_6\)/d\(_8\)-THF 9:1 with 2.5 mol% of 1c at 60°C.

Kinetische Analyse einer NMR-Skalereaktion ([PhC≡CH] = [\(^3\)PrN=C=N\(^3\)Pr] = 0.04 M, [1b] = 8 mM) durchgeführt bei 60°C zeigte pseudo-second-order Kinetik über einen Zeitraum von drei Halbwürfen, mit einer scheinbaren Reaktionskonstante von \(k_H = 0.15\) min.M\(^{-1}\). Ein identisches Experiment, aber mit 1-deuterophenylacetylen, PhC≡CD, zeigte eine scheinbare Reaktionskonstante von \(k_D = 0.19\) min.M\(^{-1}\),
providing a negligible kinetic isotope effect of 1.23 (Figure 4, left). Protonolysis of the amidinate complex by the acetylene is therefore unlikely to be rate-determining in the catalytic cycle. A further kinetic experiment performed with 10 molar equivalents of phenylacetylene per carbodiimide yielded a *pseudo* second-order reaction in [tPrN=C=NtPr] over three half-lives, confirming that phenylacetylene is not involved in the rate-determining step (Figure 4, right). The latter process was, therefore, deduced to be the insertion of the carbodiimide into the strontium acetylide bond. Irrespective of this apparent independence of reaction rate upon [PhC≡CH], the observed rate constant was observed to experience a 10-fold increase under these conditions, from 0.15 to 1.90 min.M⁻¹, suggesting a dependence of reaction rate on the initial concentration of acetylene, [PhC≡CH]₀. Conversely performing the reaction in the presence of the amidine product led to a drastic rate decrease. We suggest that this observation is most likely due to competitive protonolysis of the strontium amidinate intermediate by the amidine product itself rather than by the acetylene substrate. A plot of the rate constants versus five different inverse initial amidine concentrations provided a linear correlation (Figure 5, left, see Figure S2 in Supporting Information for corresponding kinetic analyses). Furthermore a series of experiments conducted at increasing catalyst loading while maintaining the substrate concentration at 0.04 M yielded a first-order plot in [1c] (Figure 5, right, see Figure S2 in Supporting Information for corresponding kinetic analyses), supporting the participation of a monomeric THF-supported strontium bis(amidinate) complex, which is present throughout the course of the catalytic reaction (*vide supra*).

The rate of reaction can thus be expressed as:

\[
\frac{\text{d}[\text{CDI}]}{\text{dt}} = -k[\text{RCCH}]_0[1c] \frac{[\text{CDI}]}{[\text{amidine}]}^3 \quad (\text{CDI} = \text{carbodiimide})
\]
Figure 5. *Left:* Linear correlation between the apparent rate constant $k$ (min.M$^{-1}$) and $1/\text{[amidine]}_{t=0}$ (mol$^{-1}$) for kinetic runs performed in 0.5 mL of C$_6$D$_6$/d$_8$-THF 9:1 with 2.5 mol% of 1c at 60$^\circ$C. *Right:* Linear correlation between the apparent rate constant $k$ (min.M$^{-1}$) and catalyst loading (%) using a 0.04 M mixture of phenylacetylene and di-isopropylcarbodiimide in 0.5 mL of C$_6$D$_6$/d$_8$-THF 9:1 at 60$^\circ$C.

Conclusion

Simple THF-solvated magnesium, calcium and strontium bis(bis(trimethylsilylamide)) complexes have been assessed as precatalysts for the hydroacetylenation of carbodiimides. The strontium precursor proved to be the most efficient precatalyst, providing good yields of the desired amidines even for the most hindered carbodiimide substrates. Kinetic analyses revealed that carbodiimide insertion provides the rate-limiting step and that the reactions are self-inhibiting. We are currently assessing the use of these amidines as synthons for further group 2 catalysed functionalisation reactions and will report our findings in due course.
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