Beyond Dehydrocoupling: Group 2 Mediated Boron-Nitrogen Desilacoupling
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Abstract: The alkaline earth bis(trimethylsilyl)amides, [AeN(SiMe3)2]2(THF)2 [Ae = Mg, Ca, Sr], are effective pre-catalysts for boron-nitrogen bond formation through the desilacoupling of amines, RR’NH (R = alkyl, aryl; R’ = H, alkyl, aryl), and pinBSiMe3Ph. This reactivity also yields a stoichiometric quantity of Me3PhSiH and provides the first example of a catalytic main group element-element coupling that is not dependent on the concurrent elimination of H2.

The selective catalytic formation of element-element bonds is of vital importance for the synthesis of both molecular and macromolecular entities. While a majority of attention will remain fixated on the construction of C-C and C-E (E = p-block element) bonds, there is growing interest in the development of catalytic routes to homonuclear E-E (E = e.g. B, Si, Sn, P, As) and heteronuclear E-E’ (e.g. E = B, E’ = N, E = Si, E’ = N, O) linkages.[1] Without exception, all of these latter advances have been achieved through the catalytic dehydrocoupling of E-H and E-H or E-H and E’-H substrates with concomitant elimination of dihydrogen (Scheme 1). While such dehydrogenative methods will undoubtedly continue at the forefront of p-block crosscoupling, this chemistry is critically dependent on the availability of suitable E-H and E’-H substrates. For the heavier p-block elements in particular, this latter requirement is likely to impose a severe limitation on the ultimate scope of available reactivity. It is clear, therefore, that the construction of increasingly sophisticated p-block molecules and materials will be greatly facilitated by the development of alternative and more generalized protocols for the catalytic assembly of E-E and E-E’ bonded species.

Scheme 1: Catalyzed dehydrocoupling for the formation of (a) homonuclear and (b) heteronuclear main group element-element bonds.

The use of catalytic reagents derived from the heavier alkaline earth elements (Ae = Mg, Ca, Sr and Ba) is attractive on account of their high terrestrial abundance and low toxicity.[2] In the case of these elements, access to catalytic manifolds through E-E’H oxidative addition steps commonly associated with many transition metal-based catalyses is precluded by the stability of the 2+ oxidation state. Rather, successive small molecule activation steps must be effected through σ-bond metathesis and related reaction processes, the efficacy of which is dictated by both the polarization and relative basicity of the substrates and catalytic intermediates. A case in point is provided by the group 2-catalyzed Si-H/H-N heterodehydrocoupling of hydrosilanes and amines, HNR1R2 (R1, R2 = H, alkyl, aryl) (Scheme 2).[3] The orientation of each dihydrogen- and silazane-producing metathesis transition state shown as A and B in Scheme 2 is dictated by the relative polarization of the hydridic Si-H and acidic N-H functions and the polarization of the substituent bonding to the highly electropositive alkaline earth center. Two recent reports serve to highlight a further subtlety. Kinetic and computational analyses of reactions utilizing bis(oxazolyl)borato magnesium or anilidomine barium reagents has deduced that the rate determining process of the catalysis is best described as a nucleophilic attack on silane by a magnesium or barium amide. The silazane product and an alkaline earth hydride available for onward propagation of the catalysis then result from intramolecular 1-hydride elimination within the consequent five-coordinate silicate intermediate (C in Scheme 2).[3a,c] This reactivity may, thus, be rationalized as a result of both the hydridic character of the Si-H function and the ability of silicon to attain a hypervalent 5-coordinate geometry. More generally, these observations emphasise that the activation of any E-E’ bond at a group 2 center is not only dependent on the bond polarity but also the aptitude of the less electronegative (or more Lewis acidic) center to increase its coordination number. Herein, we extrapolate these design criteria to the selective activation of a silicon-boron bond which informs the first example of a catalytic p-block cross-metathesis that is not dependent on the elimination of dihydrogen.

Scheme 2: Silazane formation by alkaline earth-mediated dehydrocoupling of silane Si-H and amine N-H bonds.

The commercially available silylborane pinBSiMe3Ph (pin = pinacolato) has an established pedigree in various copper-catalyzed silyl transfer protocols[4] as well as in the more recent silylative cyclopropanation of allyl phosphates.[5] In this latter case a silylpotassium species, generated in situ by reaction with KN(SiMe3)2, was suggested to be the active nucleophilic reagent. The combination of a Si-B bond which is negatively polarized toward silicon and a coordinatively unsaturated boron center...
suggested that pinBSiMe3Ph would react with Ae-E bonds with high specificity and formation of the alkaline earth silyl species. This rationale was borne out by a reaction performed in toluene solution between the β-diketiminate magnesium n-butyl derivative (1) and an equivolumetric quantity of pinBSiMe3Ph (Scheme 3). Analysis by 1H NMR spectroscopy indicated that complete conversion to a single new magnesium species (2) had occurred after 12 hours at room temperature while the corresponding 11B NMR spectrum was consistent with consumption of pinBSiMe3Ph and the formation of n-ButBpin (δ = 37.0 ppm). The solution integrity of compound 2 as a β-diketiminate magnesium silyl species was clearly apparent through the appearance of a single new resonance in the 29Si[1H] NMR spectrum at δ ~25.3 ppm and correlations observed between the diastereotopic silyl methyl proton resonances at δ 0.06 and 0.43 ppm and the doublet signals associated with the iso-propyl methyl groups of the β-diketiminate ligand in the 1H NOESY NMR spectrum.

Scheme 3: The synthetic route to compound 2.

The solid state constitution of compound 2 was confirmed through a single crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, the results of which are shown in Figure 1. Although compound 2 is the first example of a three-coordinate magnesium silyl to be structurally characterized, the Mg-Si [2.5897(8) Å] bond length is unremarkable, albeit at the low end of the previously observed range.6

Having established the viability of this magnesium-centered Si-B metallation reaction, we envisaged its incorporation into the boron-nitrogen ‘desilacoupling’ reaction shown in Scheme 4. This reaction is attractive as it enables the catalytic synthesis of synthetically useful aminoboranes, R₂NBPins.7 A stoichiometric reaction between compound 2 and Et₂NH monitored by 1H NMR spectroscopy, however, appeared to provide the adduct species 2.Et₂NH and no evidence of triorganosilyl protonation was observed even after heating at 60°C for 1 hour. Furthermore, an attempted catalytic reaction between equimolar (0.05 mmol) quantities of pinBSiMe3Ph and Et₂NH in C₆D₆ with 5 mol% 1 provided only very limited evidence of Me₆PhSiH formation alongside multiple silicon- and boron-containing products even after heating overnight at 60°C.

Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°): Mg-Si 2.5897(8), Mg-O 1.934(2), Si-O 1.664(2), Si-Pr 1.862(2), Pr-N 1.532(3), Pr-O 1.473(2), Pr-Pr 3.612(2), Pr-Mg 2.592(2).

COMMUNICATION

We reasoned that this apparently limited onward reactivity was a likely consequence of the sterically demanding nature of the β-diketiminate supporting ligand. We, thus, turned our attention to the less sterically encumbered bis(trimethylsilyl)amido derivatives, [Ae{N(SiMe₃)₂}₂]₂ [THF]₂ [Ae = Mg (4), Ca (5), Sr (6)], which have previously demonstrated as highly competent catalysts for the dehydrocoupling of silanes and amines.8

In this case an initial reaction performed between pinBSiMe3Ph and Et₂NH with 5 mol% 5 provided >95% conversion to the aminoborane product, Et₂NBpin, over 3 days at room temperature with no evidence of concurrent pinacolate redistribution to B₂pin₂. The other products of the reaction were HN[SiMe₃]₂, produced at the immediate onset of the reaction through protonation of the pre-catalyst, and a stoichiometric quantity of Me₆PhSiH which was clearly apparent from the appearance of septet (1H) and doublet (6H) 1H NMR resonances centered at δ 4.61 and 0.21 ppm respectively.

Encouraged by this catalytic boron-nitrogen desilacoupling, the scope of the reaction was investigated for all three bis(trimethylsilyl)amido pre-catalysts (4 - 6) with a range of mono- and diorganooamines and amines at room temperature. The results of this study, shown in Table 1, highlight a general increase in catalytic activity with increasing ionic radius of the catalytic group 2 metal center. Although reactions with the magnesium pre-catalyst (4) were observed to proceed significantly more slowly and to display much greater variability dependent on the steric demands of the amine coupling partner, only for the extremely sterically hindered hexamethyldisilazane did the reaction shut down completely (entry 10). The calcium- and strontium-based catalysts were significantly less sensitive to changes in steric encumbrance of the amine. Although no specific trend could be discerned across the range of alkyl and aryl substitution patterns studied, secondary alkyl amines were, in general, observed to react with greater efficacy than primary amines (entries 6-8). The reactions ensued with good stoichiometric control and, among primary amine substrates, only the Sr-catalyzed transformation of the more sterically encumbered aniline DippNH₂ displayed a preference for bis-
borylation. In no case was any onward reactivity of the Me₂PhSiSH by-product observed even with heating of the completed desilacoupling reactions to 100°C.

Table 1: Boron–nitrogen desilacoupling catalyzed by compounds 4–6 (0.0025 mmol) with amine (0.05 mmol) and pinBSiMe₅Ph (0.05 mmol) in Cs Debian (0.5 mL) at (a) room temperature or (b) 60°C.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
<th>Time (h)</th>
<th>Conv. (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BzNH₂</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tBuNH₂</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PinNH₂</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>10d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DippNH₂</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PinNH₂(Me)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et₄NH</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>1d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(CH₃)₂NH</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>2d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O(CH₃)₂NH</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph₂NH</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN(SiMe₃)₂</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.5d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The empirical trends discerned by inspection of Table 1 were further examined through a kinetic study performed on the reaction of Ph(Me)NH and pinBSiMe₅Ph. Reactions catalyzed by the magnesium species 4 were omitted as the catalysis was too slow to allow kinetic monitoring within practicable timeframes. In all experiments performed with 5 and 6, pre-catalyst activation was observed to ensue through complete protonation of the bis(trimethylsilyl)amide residues. The reactions performed with 2–8 mol% 6 conformed to global first order kinetics and the resultant variation in kobs indicated a second order rate dependence on [6]. In contrast reactions utilizing a similar range of catalyst loadings of 5 provided notably linear second order rate plots and a first order dependence on changing catalyst concentration. Further experiments performed under pseudo-first order conditions with a 20-fold excess of Ph(Me)NH for both systems allowed the formulation of the contrasting rate laws shown as Equations 1 and 2.

\[
\text{Rate} = k_1 [\text{Ph(Me)}\text{NH}] [\text{pinBSiMe}_5\text{Ph}] \quad (1)
\]

\[
\text{Rate} = k_2 [\text{Ph(Me)}\text{NH}] [\text{pinBSiMe}_5\text{Ph}] \quad (2)
\]

The activation parameters (Table 2), deduced through variable temperature Arrhenius and Eyring analyses, are similar for both systems and, we suggest, most likely reflect variations across the relevant Ae-N bond strengths. In both cases a significantly negative entropy of activation is consistent with the assembly of a highly ordered rate determining transition state. We and others have previously highlighted the impact of entropic effects on the rate determining kinetics of reactions catalyzed by group 2 reagents and these earlier data have typically been interpreted to reflect a looser substrate assembly about larger and less polarizing alkaline earth centers. [9,10]

Table 2: Activation parameters for the catalytic desilacoupling of N-methylaniline with pinBSiMe₅Ph mediated by 5 and 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>E_a (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔH (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔS (J mol⁻¹ K⁻¹)</th>
<th>ΔG° (kJ mol⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>58.4(114)</td>
<td>55.8(114)</td>
<td>-113.0(368)</td>
<td>22.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>50.7(96)</td>
<td>48.2(96)</td>
<td>-144.2(316)</td>
<td>52.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Although a similar rationale could be applicable to the desilacoupling mechanism shown in Scheme 4, the low reactivity of compound 2 with amines and the dearth of any experimental evidence for alkaline earth silyl formation under catalytic conditions imply that organosilyl species such as compound 2 are unlikely to be kinetically competent. The comparable activation parameters summarized in Table 2, therefore, lead us to propose that the rate laws summarized as Eq. 1 and 2 are more likely reflective of the basicity of the amine substrate and rapid but contrasting alkaline earth amide/amine pre-equilibration steps. The respective mono- and bimetallic outer sphere mechanisms illustrated in Scheme 5 are, thus, suggested to facilitate the, possibly concerted, formation of the resultant B-N and Si-H bonds. Although no precise structural information may be deduced, we suggest that the differing catalyst nuclearity inferred from the kinetic data is a direct consequence of increasing Ae radius and resultant decreasing cation charge density with increasing atomic weight.

Scheme 5: Proposed outer sphere Si-H and B-N bond forming processes catalyzed by (a) calcium (b) strontium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide.

In conclusion, we shown that the readily accessible heavier alkaline earth amides [Ae[N(SiMe₃)₂]₂][THF]₂ [Ae = Mg, Ca, Sr] are competent reagents for the boron-nitrogen desilacoupling of amines and silyboranes. To the best of our knowledge, the reactivity described herein provides the first example of a non-dehydrogenative catalytic cross coupling. Moreover, our observations suggest that such processes are likely to display even greater mechanistic diversity than initially anticipated. We suggest that this reactivity begins to lay a foundation for the development of a more generalised set of substrate design principles, which will greatly expand the scope of main group catalyzed reactivity.
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[9] Details of the X-ray diffraction analysis of compound 2 and Ph2NBpin are given in the Supporting information. CCDC 1415550 (2), 1415549 (Ph2NBpin) and 1423438 (DippN(Bpin)2 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
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