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Short Communication
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Abstract

The purpose was to assess associations between BMI and body fat in a multi-national sample of 9-11 year old children. The sample included 7265 children from countries ranging in human development. Total body fat (TBF) and percentage body fat (PBF) were measured with a Tanita SC-240 scale and BMI z-scores (BMIz) and percentiles were computed using reference data from the World Health Organization and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, respectively. Mean PBF at BMIz values of -1, 0 and +1 were estimated using multi-level models. Correlations between BMI and TBF were >0.90 in all countries, and correlations between BMI and PBF ranged from 0.76 to 0.96. Boys from India had higher PBF than boys from several other countries at all levels of BMIz. Kenyan girls had lower levels of PBF than girls from several other countries at all levels of BMIz. Boys and girls from Colombia had higher values of PBF at BMIz = -1, while Colombian boys at BMIz 0 and +1 also had higher values of PBF than boys in other countries. Our results show a consistently high correlation between BMI and adiposity in children from countries representing a wide range of human development.
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Body mass index (BMI) is the most widely used marker of obesity in both children and adults. Although BMI cannot differentiate between lean and fat tissue, it is correlated with more direct markers of adiposity across the lifespan.\textsuperscript{1-4} Further, BMI has been shown to be a good indicator of excess adiposity in children,\textsuperscript{5,6} although there is evidence of moderate heterogeneity in its clinical usefulness, largely explained by differences in race, obesity definition, and type of reference data.\textsuperscript{7} Most research to date on the association between BMI and body fat has been conducted in high-income countries, and there is a paucity of data on associations among children from low and middle income countries. The purpose of this study was to assess the associations between BMI and adiposity in a sample of 9-11 year old children from countries spanning a wide range of socioeconomic status and human development, and to test for differences in the associations between countries.

**Methods**

The sample included a total of 7265 children 9-11 y of age (3883 girls) from sites in 12 countries (Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Finland, India, Kenya, Portugal, South Africa, United Kingdom, United States).\textsuperscript{8} The ISCOLE protocol was approved by the Institutional Review Board at the Pennington Biomedical Research Center (Coordinating Center) as well as the Ethical Review Boards at each participating institution. Written informed consent was obtained from parents or legal guardians, and child assent was also obtained before participation in the study as required by local Ethical Review Boards.

Body mass and percentage body fat (PBF; \%) were measured with a Tanita SC-240 scale (Arlington Heights, IL, USA) after all outer clothing, heavy pocket items and shoes were removed. Total body fat (TBF; kg) was computed by multiplying the body fat percentage by body mass. Body height (cm) was measured without shoes using a Seca 213 portable stadiometer (Hamburg, Germany), with the head in the Frankfort plane. Each measurement was repeated and the average was used for analysis (a third measurement was obtained if the first two measurements were greater than 0.5 cm apart for body height, 0.5 kg apart for body mass,
and 2.0% for PBF, respectively, and the average of the two closest measurements was used in analyses. BMI was calculated [weight (kg)/ height (m²)] and age- and sex-specific z-scores (BMIz) were computed using reference data from the World Health Organization (WHO). Further, age- and sex-specific BMI percentiles (%iles) were computed using reference data from the US Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC).

The associations between BMI, WHO BMIz, CDC BMI %ile, and PBF and TBF were estimated using Pearson correlations. Given that the primary sampling frame of ISCOLE was schools, nested with sites, multi-level mixed models were used to estimate the mean PBF at WHO BMIz scores of -1, 0 and +1 in boys and girls separately. A BMIz-by-site interaction term was included to determine if the association differed across sites. Pairwise differences across countries were tested using a Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. SAS version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC) was used for all analyses.

Results

The mean (SD) values for age and BMI were 10.4 (0.6) y and 18.5 (3.5) kg/m², respectively and the mean CDC BMI %ile was 57.3 (30.3) and WHO BMIz was 0.48 (1.26). The corresponding means for PBF and TBF were 21.0 (7.7) % and 8.4 (5.4) kg, respectively. Table 1 presents correlations among the BMI variables and TBF and PBF across all ISCOLE research sites. The correlations between BMI and TBF were consistently above 0.90 in all 12 countries, and the correlations between BMI and PBF were between 0.76 and 0.96. Thus, BMI and bioelectrical impedance-derived estimates of body fat were highly correlated across all ISCOLE sites.

Figure 1 presents the mean levels of PBF in boys and girls at WHO BMIz of -1, 0 and +1. The interaction between WHOz and site in the multi-level model was significant (p<0.001) in both boys and girls. It is clear that absolute level of PBF increases across levels of WHO BMIz in both boys and girls. Across all countries combined: BMIz = -1 corresponds to a PBF of 10.5% in boys and 15.0% in girls; BMI = 0 corresponds to a PBF of 15.9% in boys and 20.4% in girls;
and BMI = +1 corresponds to PBF of 21.2% in boys and 25.7% in girls. As indicated in the figure legend, there were several significant differences in PBF at a given level of WHO BMIz across sites. In particular, boys from India had significantly higher PBF than boys from several other countries at all levels of WHO BMIz. Further, Kenyan girls had significantly lower levels of PBF than girls from several other countries at all levels of BMI, especially at WHO BMIz values of 0 and +1. Both boys and girls from Colombia had higher values of PBF at WHO BMIz = -1 than those in some other countries, while Colombian boys at WHO BMIz 0 and +1 also had higher values of PBF than boys in some other countries. In boys, the largest mean differences between countries were 4.7% between India and Portugal at BMIz = -1, 3.9% between India and Portugal at BMIz = 0, and 3.4% at BMIz = +1 between India and Kenya. In girls, the largest mean differences between countries were 3.7% between India and Kenya at BMIz = -1, 4.1% between India and Kenya at BMIz = 0, and 4.4% at BMIz = +1 between India and Kenya. Overall, 92% of the comparisons between countries were within 3% PBF and 81% were within 2% PBF.

Discussion

Our results show a consistently high correlation between BMI and adiposity assessed using bioelectrical impedance in children from 12 countries representing a wide range of socio-economic status and human development. Statistically significant mean differences exist across countries in the level of PBF for a given level of BMI; with the maximal differences observed between countries being <5% PBF. In all cases, the highest level of PBF at each level of WHO BMIz was in Indian children, and the lowest was in children from Portugal or Kenya.

These results support previous studies that have documented strong associations between BMI and adiposity in children. For example, Pietrobelli et al.\textsuperscript{3} reported $R^2$ values of 0.85 and 0.89 for the association between BMI and TBF measured by dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) in a sample of 5-19 year old Italian boys and girls, respectively. An analysis of data from 6-11 year old children from the U.S. National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey
(NHANES) reported correlations of 0.81 and 0.88 between BMI-for-age and PBF and correlations of 0.77 and 0.82 between BMI-for-age and TBF in boys and girls, respectively. These results are similar to ours, where the overall (total sample) correlation is 0.81 between CDC BMI %ile and PBF and 0.76 between CDC BMI %ile and TBF. Similarly, the Pearson correlations of BMI with PBF and TBF were 0.83 and 0.93, respectively, in a sample of 3-12 year old children from New York City (white, African American, Chinese and Korean) and China. In that study, for a given level of BMI, Asians (from China and Korea) had higher levels of PBF than white and African Americans. In our study, we found that boys and girls from India had significantly higher PBF than boys in several other countries, but Chinese boys and girls did not stand out as being different than children from other counties. The results for Colombia may partially be a reflection of altered relationships between BMI and body composition associated with the double burden of malnutrition.

This study has several strengths and some limitations. The large sample of boys and girls from sites in 12 countries spanning the entire globe is a marked strength, as is the standardized methodology to directly measure height and weight. We have identified children by their country of residence, and we were not able to control for ethnic or racial differences within and between countries given the wide range of ethnicities represented in the sample and the diverse ways that they are classified from country to country. We previously conducted a validation study of the Tanita SC-240 scale among white and African American children and the results demonstrated that the scale had acceptable accuracy for estimating PBF in field studies. However, the degree to which the validity of the scale varied across sites and may have impacted the results is not known. Each BIA device uses proprietary equations to estimate body fat, and this may impact the level of adiposity obtained with each device; however, this is unlikely to impact the comparisons among countries given that the same scale was used at all research sites.
In summary, our results demonstrate that BMI is highly correlated (r>0.90) with bioelectrical impedance measures of adiposity in 9-11 year old children from countries spanning a wide range of socioeconomic status and human development. There were several significant differences in the mean level of PBF at a given level of WHO BMIz among countries which suggests that caution should be used when using specific BMI thresholds to identify risk of obesity-related comorbidities in multi-national studies. Further research is required to determine if ethnic-specific BMI categories will improve the discrimination of obesity-related health risks in children.
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Figure Legend

Figure 1. Levels of percentage body fat (PBF) at WHO BMIz values of -1, 0 and 1 in 7265 A) boys and B) girls from the International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE). Error bars represent standard errors. WHO BMIz computed from reference data from the World Health Organization. Significant differences in boys: at WHO BMIz = -1: Colombia > Canada, China, Portugal; India > Canada, China, Finland, Kenya, Portugal, South Africa, United Kingdom & United States; Portugal < Colombia, Kenya, India & South Africa; at WHO BMIz = 0: Colombia > China, Finland & Portugal; India > Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Finland, Kenya, Portugal, United Kingdom & United States; Portugal < South Africa, Colombia & India; at WHO BMIz = +1: Colombia > Kenya; India > Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Finland, Kenya, Portugal, United Kingdom; US > China, Finland, Portugal & Kenya; Brazil > Kenya. Significant differences in girls: at WHO BMIz = -1: Kenya < Canada, Colombia, India, South Africa, United Kingdom & United States; Colombia > Brazil, China, Finland, Kenya, & Portugal; Finland < Colombia, India, South Africa & United Kingdom, India > China & Finland; South Africa > Kenya, Finland & Portugal; at WHO BMIz = 0: Kenya < Australia, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Finland, India, Portugal, South Africa & United States; at WHO BMIz = +1: Kenya < Australia, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Finland, India, Portugal, South Africa, United Kingdom & United States.
Table 1. Pearson correlations between BMI and adiposity variables among boys and girls from the International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Australia</th>
<th>Brazil</th>
<th>Canada</th>
<th>China</th>
<th>Colombia</th>
<th>Finland</th>
<th>India</th>
<th>Kenya</th>
<th>Portugal</th>
<th>South Africa</th>
<th>United Kingdom</th>
<th>United States</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Boys</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI – TBF</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI - PBF</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO BMIz –TBF</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO BMIz - PBF</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI %ile – TBF</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI %ile - PBF</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Girls</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI – TBF</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI - PBF</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO BMIz – TBF</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WHO BMIz - PBF</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI %ile – TBF</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.74</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CDC BMI %ile - PBF</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.93</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>0.88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TBF: total body fat; PBF: percentage body fat.
Figure 1. Levels of percentage body fat (PBF) at WHO BMIZ values of -1, 0 and 1 in 7265 A) boys and B) girls from the International Study of Childhood Obesity, Lifestyle and the Environment (ISCOLE). Error bars represent standard errors. WHO BMIZ computed from reference data from the World Health Organization.9 Significant differences in boys: at WHO BMIZ = -1: Colombia > Canada, China, Portugal; India > Canada, China, Finland, Kenya, Portugal, South Africa, United Kingdom & United States; Portugal < Colombia, Kenya, India & South Africa; at WHO BMIZ = 0: Colombia > China, Finland & Portugal; India > Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Finland, Kenya, Portugal, United Kingdom & United States; Portugal < South Africa, Colombia & India; at WHO BMIZ = +1: Colombia > Kenya; India > Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, Colombia, Finland, Kenya, Portugal, United Kingdom; US > China, Finland, Portugal & Kenya; Brazil > Kenya. Significant differences in girls: at WHO BMIZ = -1: Kenya < Canada, Colombia, India, South Africa, United Kingdom & United States; Colombia > Brazil, China, Finland, Kenya, & Portugal; Finland < Colombia, India, South Africa & United Kingdom, India > China & Finland; South Africa > Kenya, Finland & Portugal; at WHO BMIZ = 0: Kenya < Australia, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Finland, India, Portugal, South Africa & United States; at WHO BMIZ = +1: Kenya < Australia, Brazil, Canada, Colombia, Finland, India, Portugal, South Africa, United Kingdom & United States.