Two-element acoustic array gives insight into ice-ocean interactions in Hornsund Fjord, Spitsbergen
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Abstract: Glacierized fjords are dynamic regions, with variable oceanographic conditions and complex ice-ocean interactions, which are still poorly understood. Recent studies have shown that passive underwater acoustics offers new promising tools in this branch of polar research. Here, we present results from two field campaigns, conducted in summer 2013 and spring 2014. Several recordings with a bespoke two-hydrophone acoustic buoy were made in different parts of Hornsund Fjord, Spitsbergen in the vicinity of tidewater glaciers to study the directionality of underwater ambient noise. Representative segments of the data are used to illustrate the analyses, and determine the directions of sound sources by using the time differences of arrivals between two horizontally aligned, broadband hydrophones. The results reveal that low frequency noise (< 3 kHz) is radiated mostly from the ice cliffs, while high-frequency (> 3 kHz) noise directionality strongly depends on the distribution of floating glacial ice throughout the fjord. Changing rates of iceberg production as seen for example in field photographs and logs are, in turn, most likely linked to signal amplitudes for relevant directions. These findings demonstrate the potential offered by passive acoustics to study the dynamics of individual tidewater glaciers.
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Introduction

Interactions between the ocean and ice bodies are among the most dynamic, often unpredictable processes seen in the Arctic. Marine-terminating glaciers are losing...
their mass primarily as a result of calving and submarine melting, thereby providing significant quantities of cold fresh water to the glacial bays and fjords (e.g. Jacob et al. 2012; Gardner et al. 2013). This mass loss raises eustatic sea level and modifies local water circulation patterns (e.g. Motyka et al. 2003; Straneo et al. 2011).

Much effort has therefore been put in the last decades into investigating these issues. Various methods are commonly used, including for example seismic surveys and satellite imaging (see e.g. Bartholomau et al. 2012; Błaszczyk et al. 2013). They are however often limited by their temporal and spatial resolutions. In the case of commonly available satellite imagery, most small-scale (< 100-m) processes (e.g. local glacier ablation) cannot be detected or quantified, and repeatability (i.e. the time between successive images) is too low to detect and understand dynamic processes sometimes occurring within seconds (e.g. calving episodes). Local marine measurements, such as temperature, salinity and currents, are also often used to get insight into ice-ocean interactions, e.g. how much freshwater is contributed by melting ice, or how currents affect drifting ice distribution (e.g. Motyka et al. 2013; Straneo et al. 2011). They are, however, usually limited in space to the profiles taken, and in time to the actual surveys. The only exceptions are more costly oceanographic moorings with numerous conductivity-temperature-depth (CTD) sensors, Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs) or other devices. Moreover, field measurements are also hampered by the limitations associated with the harsh polar conditions, which should ideally limit the need for continuous human involvement.

Passive underwater acoustics provides new possibilities to measure some of these variations and ice-ocean interaction in general, offering inexpensive options for continuous or long-term monitoring over large areas and with high spatial and temporal resolution (e.g. Petit et al. 2012; Glowacki and Moskalik 2014). Acoustic waves propagate well in water, carrying quantitative information over long distances (Medwin 2005). Cold War applications, in the early 1960s, focused on Arctic sound propagation and sea ice. The first published spectral analyses of Arctic noise (Urick 1971) focused on the noise of melting ice blocks, indicating that escaping, tiny air bubbles could produce very loud sounds. These findings were confirmed in the field and in laboratory experiments using real growlers (e.g. Tęgowski et al. 2011, 2012; Blondel et al. 2013; Lee et al. 2014; Petit et al. 2015). Other very loud sound sources are also present. Sound pressure levels for Hornsund Fjord, Svalbard often exceed 100 dB re 1 μPa, even in calm weather (Tęgowski et al. 2011). Similarly, annual averages of 120 dB re 1 μPa were measured in Icy Bay, Alaska, with a peak between 1 and 3 kHz (Pettit et al. 2015). The acoustic signatures of ice detachments from tidewater glaciers in Svalbard and Alaska (Pettit et al. 2012; Tęgowski et al. 2012; Glowacki et al. 2015) show that calving events produce ambient noise mainly below a few kilohertz, as a result of pre-calving activity, disintegrations, generation of mini-tsunamis, ice-water impacts and ice-ice interactions. Using passive acoustics it was possible to detect individual ice detachments, and for the first time to distinguish different types of calving (Glowacki et al. 2015).
This diversity of sound sources requires that they be unambiguously separated, in time and in space. Deane et al. (2014) measured underwater acoustics in the foreground of the Hans Glacier in the Hornsund Fjord, Spitsbergen with a two-element, bespoke Directional Acoustic Buoy (DAB). They showed that different, spatially diverse sources generate underwater ambient noise in distinct frequency bands.

Here we present measurements of the ambient noise directionality, carried out in various places in the same fjord, close to several marine-terminating glaciers. Building on the study by Deane et al. (2014), the main goal was to find out whether it is possible to distinguish between underwater sounds coming from the ice cliffs and those originating elsewhere in the fjord. An affirmative answer would open new avenues for the application of hydrophone arrays to quantitative measurements of dynamic processes at the ice-ocean boundaries.

Study area

Hornsund Fjord is located in the southern part of Spitsbergen, the biggest island of the Svalbard Archipelago. The fjord is currently ~35 km long, for an area greater than 300 km². There are five glacierized bays in Hornsund (Fig. 1), clearly distinguished by the strongly developed coastline: Hansbugta, Vestre and Austre Buerbukta, Samarinvågen and Brepollen. Several valley-type tidewater glaciers flow into the fjord, creating the topography of this region. Cumulatively, their calving cliffs were found to be almost 35 km long in 2010. According to recent studies (Błaszczyk et al. 2013), the retreat of tidewater glaciers in Hornsund is much faster than in other regions in Svalbard, with average rates of ~70 m a⁻¹. Along their marine margins, they have lost ~142 km² between 1899 and 2010. Among the contributing factors, relatively warm marine climate and significant exposure to inflows of warm Atlantic water are indicated as the most crucial (Błaszczyk et al. 2013).

Methods

Acoustic recordings, each lasting from 30 minutes to 1 hour, were taken in different regions of Hornsund in August 2013 and April 2014. Locations of these eight measurement sites are shown in Fig. 1, indicating that attention was focused on the vicinities of various tidewater glaciers. Measurement sites are named according to the date (YYMMDD) and order number (ln), when several recordings were taken the same day (e.g. 130822/2 is the 2nd measurement taken on 22 August 2013).

The DAB was deployed from a rubber boat, with its two broadband hydrophones (International Transducer Corporation 6050C) spaced apart by \( D = 0.43 \) m on a horizontal axis, a magnetic compass and a GPS sensor (see more details in Deane et al. 2014). During the deployment, the horizontal axis of the receivers was
submerged to a depth of approximately 1 m. A portable digital audio recorder was used to record both hydrophone channels synchronously and with 48 kHz sampling frequency. Each session started with a 1-min recording of white noise, generated with a Gold Line PN2W noise generator providing a continuous signal at a set spectral level, for repeated calibration of the measurement system. Selecting periods with almost windless weather made it possible to reduce the contribution of background noise from unwanted sources, such as breaking waves and surf, and conduct the recordings in accordance with established best practice (Robinson et al. 2014). Additionally, paper logs and high-resolution photographs of all especially loud events, like ice detachments or collision between the boat and floating ice, were supplemented with details of the ice conditions around the sites.

Earlier studies showed that lower frequencies corresponded to calving events (Tęgowski et al. 2012; Glowacki et al. 2015), pre-calving activity and probably also to freshwater outflows (Pettit 2012). They also showed that ambient noise above 2.5 kHz is normally distributed (Tęgowski et al. 2012). Calving events generate ambient noise predominantly at frequencies below 200 Hz, but which can also be pronounced in the frequency band 1–3 kHz (Glowacki et al. 2015, fig. 1d–i). Measurements were therefore divided in two frequency bands, 20 Hz –
3 kHz and 3 kHz – 20 kHz, using digital band-pass filters during post-processing of the signals. Higher-frequency sounds are attenuated rapidly with increasing distance to the source. According to the modified Thorpe equation, attenuation of noise with a frequency of 10 kHz reaches around 6 dB at 5 kilometers and is almost an order of magnitude higher than for 2 kHz (see equation 1.47 in Jensen et al. 2011). This increases the importance of nearby sources like ice growlers, which melt in the water, and of bubble events, which can be pronounced even at frequencies higher than 10 kHz (see e.g. fig. 4d in Pettit et al. 2015).

Time differences between arrivals at each hydrophone were derived from the normalized cross-correlation between short segments of noise. These segments were 1.36 and 0.34 s long, respectively, for lower and higher frequency bands (Deane et al. 2014). An example of two time-shifted segments is shown in Fig. 2. The argument corresponding to the maximum value of the cross-correlation function gives information about the time delay $\tau_d$.

Using the sound speed $c$, calculated to be 1460 ms$^{-1}$ for the prevailing hydrographic conditions (see CTD profiles in Moskalik et al. 2014; unpublished data), the angle of arrival relative to the axis of the acoustic array (Fig. 3) is given by:

$$\theta = \arccos\left(\frac{\tau_d c}{D}\right)$$

(1)

Unambiguous estimation of arrival angles yielding the same time delay (e.g. arrivals from 60° and 300°) is not possible, as they both correspond to the same maximum value of $\tau_d$. To re-
To reduce this ambiguity, the DAB was rotated by 90° every 1 minute during the recordings (see Fig. 4). This procedure assumes relative stability of the sound sources and, therefore, works better for stationary ice cliffs than e.g. for floating ice blocks. The ability to determine the arrival angles can be also improved by measuring the noise field for a longer period of time, tens of minutes in this case.

The resolving power of a 2-hydrophone array and the accompanying theory are extensively discussed in Deane 1999. Of relevance here is the higher resolution for higher frequencies and for sound waves coming closer to parallel to the axis of the acoustic array.

Results of the acoustic measurements

**Site 130804 (summer).** — Recordings were taken in Hansbugta, about a kilometer south from the face of Hans Glacier, with a relatively small amount of floating ice blocks close to the boat. Directionality of the ambient noise field in this particular location was similar for both analyzed frequency ranges, as shown on the
rose plots (Fig. 5). Most arrivals point toward the ice cliff. However, some portion also came from the opposite direction.

Sites 130822/1–3 (summer). — Ambient noise was recorded (Fig. 6) at three different locations in Burgerbugta: ~5 km south from the Mühlbacher Glacier (Fig. 1; 130822/1), in the central part of the glacial bay (Fig. 1; 130822/2), and ~5 km southeast from the Paierl Glacier (Fig. 1; 130822/3). In the latter case, the boat drifted very close to the shoreline, which could significantly affect acoustic measurements through the effects of sediment absorption and horizontal refraction. For that reason, results from this location are not discussed further, but are presented anyway to serve as a guide for future deployments. Rose plots for the first site clearly show that low frequency noise came mostly from the calving front, while high frequency sounds seem to be generated by different, spatially scattered sources. Blocks of ice of different sizes, from a few centimeters to several meters in diameter, covered a substantial part of the sea surface during these measurements. There were also two other smaller glaciers to the east and northeast. The noise directionality at site 130822/2 was relatively similar for both sets of frequencies and illustrates that most arrivals are from the northeastern and northwestern parts of Burgerbugta. Additional low frequency arrivals from the southeast point to glaciers further away.

Site 140422 (spring). — This recording (Fig. 7), made in April, is a good example of directivity patterns for lower water temperature and relatively less active tidewater glaciers in the fjord. While low frequency ambient noise still comes mostly from the glacier calving front, high frequency arrivals point primarily to the west and south. Sound levels are also significantly smaller than during summer.
Sites 130813 and 130814/1–2 (summer). — There were three measurement sites in Brepollen, located at the end of the Hornsund Fjord: ~1 and 3 km south from the Stor Glacier (Fig. 1; 130814/1 and 130813, respectively) as well as in the center part of the glacial bay (Fig. 1; 130814/2). In general (Fig. 8), noise directionality patterns are similar as at Burgerbugta. For example, the low-fre-
frequency rose plot for site 130814/2 shows arrivals pointing to all glaciers in a direct line of sight. Louder levels are received from the northeast and southeast than from the northwest. However, there are some differences. High frequency sounds recorded at the site 130813 were coming practically from all directions. Moreover, at this station, low-frequency signals were arriving not only from the ice cliff at the north, but also from the shoreline. According to the field log, there were a lot of floating blocks of ice around the boat at this location, but Stor Glacier later calved much less frequently than neighboring Horn Glacier. This situation persisted until the end of the measurements. It should also be emphasized that low- and high-frequency sounds at site 130814/1, close to the glacier front, seem to originate from two specific sections of the calving cliff. Both the most intensive and most frequent arrivals point toward the center part of the wall.

Discussion and conclusions

As shown in the previous section, underwater sounds in the frequency band 20 Hz – 3 kHz come mainly from the ice cliffs. This new finding is even more clearly visible in the central parts of the glacial bays (see Fig. 9). The sound levels of low-frequency arrivals from these directions seem to correspond well to the calving intensity of individual tidewater glaciers, recorded in the field notes (site 130814/2 in Figs 8, 9B) and possibly even match the activity of specific portions (site 130814/1 in Fig. 8). Taken together, these results suggest that underwater acoustic arrays, deployed in Arctic fjords for long periods, can offer a unique opportunity to track glacier dynamics in an autonomous and continuous way.

Conversely, the directionality of high-frequency underwater sounds is strongly connected with the amount and spatial distribution of blocks of glacial ice floating around the fjords. This is confirmed by the differences observed between periods of significant ice mass compared with relatively ice-free conditions, especially when
the boat drifted close to the ice walls, when high- and low-frequency signals were coming practically from the same directions (see rose plots for sites 130804 and 130814/1 in Figs 5, 8). This also quantifies the importance of melting at the ice cliff, when comparing with other sources of noise in fjords containing glaciers. These measurements underline the importance of monitoring drifting ice concentrations while acoustic monitoring techniques are in their development phase.

Fig. 8. Rose plots of the ambient noise directionality for sites 130813 and 130814/1–2, located in Brepollen. Blue bars point to the glacier fronts.
The use of two hydrophones has enabled the detection of spatially distinct sources, despite an inherent ambiguity in the measurement of arrival angle (corrected here with regular re-orientation of the DAB frame). This did not prove a problem for the relatively short examples shown here, and the latest field tests (summer 2014) investigated the advantages of using a third hydrophone compared to its additional constraints (more difficult deployment and exact positioning, higher data rates and slightly more complex processing).

At all frequencies, propagation affects ambient noise directionality (Jensen et al. 2011). Cold freshwater supplied by tidewater glaciers changes sound speed, which depends on temperature and salinity (Głowacki et al. 2013). CTD and ADCP profiles taken in Hansbogta indicate that fresh water outflows tend to create narrow zones of low sound speed with varying horizontal and vertical structure (Moskalik et al. 2014). Acoustic energy can therefore be channeled along these water layers (acting as waveguides), often localized just below the sea surface (Glowacki et al. 2013). Moreover, seabed topography (e.g. the presence of a sill) and other obstacles (e.g. promontories, islands) also affect sound propagation. Acoustic measurements show excellent promise as a tool for long-term monitoring of ice-ocean interactions at scales varying from very local (tens of meters) to fjord-scale (kilometers and more).
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