A study on the AMACR catalysed elimination reaction and its application to inhibitor testing†
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α-Methylacyl-CoA racemase (AMACR; P504S) catalyses a key step in the degradation of branched-chain fatty acids and is important for the pharmacological activation of ibuprofen and related drugs. Levels of AMACR are increased in prostate and other cancers, and it is a drug target. Development of AMACR as a drug target is hampered by lack of a convenient assay. AMACR irreversibly catalyses the elimination of HF from 3-fluoro-2-methylacyl-CoA substrates, and this reaction was investigated for use as an assay. Several known inhibitors and alternative substrates reduced conversion of 3-fluoro-2-methyldecanoyl-CoA by AMACR, as determined by $^1$H NMR. The greatest reduction of activity was observed with known potent inhibitors. A series of novel acyl-CoA esters with aromatic side chains were synthesised for testing as chromophoric substrates. These acyl-CoA esters were converted to unsaturated products by AMACR, but their use was limited by non-enzymatic elimination. Fluoride sensors were also investigated as a method of quantifying released fluoride and thus AMACR activity. These sensors generally suffered from high background signal and lacked reproducibility under the assay conditions. In summary, the elimination reaction can be used to characterise inhibitors, but it was not possible to develop a convenient colorimetric or fluorescent assay using 3-fluoro-2-methylacyl-CoA substrates.

AMACR protein levels and enzyme activity are increased in prostate cancers,11,12 myxofibrosarcomas,13 a subset of colon cancers14 and various other cancers9 and it is widely recognised as a promising drug target.3,8,15–18 Genetic knockdown of AMACR reduces proliferation of cultured cancer cells,13,15,16,19 and restores androgen-dependent growth in some prostate cancer cell lines.15 Relatively few chemical inhibitors of AMACR have been reported,17,18 has also been reported, but these assays are also difficult to quantitate activity. Characterisation of AMACR inhibitors using HPLC assays17,18 has also been reported, but these assays are also low throughput. Despite these difficulties, one of the identified inhibitor pro-drugs, trifluoroibuprofen, shows promising anti-prostate cancer effects in in vivo models.21

Other approaches have also been used to develop a convenient assay for AMACR activity. The use of acyl-CoA oxidase as a coupling enzyme enables a colorimetric assay to be performed.22 This enzyme is not commercially available and
rationally designed acyl-CoA inhibitors of AMACR are also likely to inhibit the coupling enzyme, complicating the analysis. Coupled enzyme assays for other racemases/epimerases have also been reported,23–27 but these are not readily adaptable to measuring AMACR activity.

Direct measurement of racemisation by MCR (the bacterial homologue of AMACR from *M. tuberculosis*) using circular dichroism has been reported,28 but this was not used for inhibitor testing. Assays for several other racemases/epimerases using circular dichroism27,29–33 or polarimetry32–34 have been developed, but these are generally low-throughput. Moreover, acyl-CoA inhibitors with aromatic side-chains are likely to undergo racemisation and this will complicate the determination of inhibitor properties. Therefore, these assays have serious limitations when determining inhibitor potency.

It has recently been reported that AMACR performs an elimination reaction in which HF is eliminated from 3-fluoro-2-methylacyl-CoAs (such as 1) to give unsaturated acyl-CoAs (such as 2) (Scheme 1).35 This reaction is irreversible which is an advantage compared to assays using isotopic labels1,2,4,5,10,19 or ‘racemisation’17,18 as their reversibility makes them more difficult to interpret. In addition, the 2-methyl peaks of 1 and 2 are non-overlapping in the 1H NMR spectrum, simplifying the measurement of substrate conversion.

The elimination reaction also offers the possibility of translation into a convenient, colorimetric or fluorometric assay by manipulation of the substrate side-chain or by the use of fluoride sensors. Assays using fluoride-specific electrodes to measure enzyme activity have also been reported,36–38 but these are generally low-throughput, require relatively large volumes and are not easy to adapt to a microtitre plate format.39 A number of highly sensitive molecular fluoride sensors have been reported in the literature, which give an increase in absorbance or fluorescence upon reaction with fluoride. However, there are relatively few that can be used in aqueous buffers.40–43 The development of a convenient high-throughput assay is essential for the development of AMACR as a drug target.

In this paper, the use of the AMACR-catalysed fluoride elimination reaction for the characterisation of inhibitors is investigated. Reduction in enzyme activity in the presence of other known AMACR substrates and inhibitors was observed by 1H NMR. The use of 3-fluoro-2-methylacyl-CoA substrates with aromatic side-chains and fluoride sensors in order to translate this reaction into a colorimetric or fluorescent assay format is also investigated.
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**Scheme 1** The elimination reaction catalysed by AMACR.

---

### Results and discussion

Use of the elimination assay for inhibitor characterisation was initially performed by incubation of recombinant human AMACR 1A4 with a series of known ‘inhibitors’ (Table 1) and substrate 1. The chosen ‘inhibitors’ included the known AMACR substrates5 Fenoprofenoyl-CoA 3, Flurbiprofenoyl-CoA 4, Ibuprofenoyl-CoA 5, Ketoprofenoyl-CoA 6, and Naproxenoyl-CoA 7. These are expected to behave as competitive inhibitors. Also chosen was *N*-dodecyl-*N*-methyl-carbamoyl-CoA 8, a transition state analogue and the most potent AMACR inhibitor described to date.18 Ebselen 9, Ebselen oxide 10 and Rose Bengal 11 were also chosen for study as these are reported to be good inhibitors of human AMACR 1A.19 Enzyme was

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitory compound</th>
<th>Relative conversion in presence of inhibitor</th>
<th>Relative reduction compared to no inhibitor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>None</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>74.9 ± 0.4%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>91.6 ± 2.0%</td>
<td>8.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>74.1 ± 7.2%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>92.0 ± 8.6%</td>
<td>8.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>88.8 ± 4.0%</td>
<td>11.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>16.8 ± 1.8%</td>
<td>83.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>88.2 ± 5.4%</td>
<td>11.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>&lt;5%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Table 1 Inhibition of (2R,3R)-3-fluoro-2-methyldecanoyl-CoA 1 conversion by AMACR in the presence of known substrates and inhibitors. Compound numbers for inhibitors refer to structures shown below. Conversions are means of two replicate readings ± standard deviations of the sample and are normalised to positive controls [(substrate conversion in presence of inhibitor/substrate 1 conversion in absence of inhibitor) × 100]. Positive controls lacking inhibitor converted ca. 50% of substance 1 after 1 h incubation. See ESI Table 1 for absolute substrate conversion levels in the presence of inhibitors and positive controls.
pre-incubated with inhibitor for 10 min. to allow binding before addition of substrate at 100 µM final concentration. After 1 h the assay was terminated and the level of substrate conversion was determined by 1H NMR. Control experiments showed that the enzyme was fully active in the presence of 1 mM fluoride solution, indicating that any reduction in activity was due to the presence of the ‘inhibitor’.

In the absence of inhibitor, ca. 50% of substrate 1 was converted into unsaturated product 2 by active AMACR. Negative controls containing heat-inactivated enzyme showed <5% conversion of 1 to 2, levels of which did not change over the incubation period. The presence of each ‘inhibitor’ (at 100 µM final concentration) resulted in a reduction in the level of conversion of 1 (Table 1). Compounds 3–7 showed moderate levels of inhibition in most cases, with the most significant reduction in activity occurring with Fenoprofenoyl-CoA 3 and Ibuprofenoyl-CoA 5. Modest levels of inhibition are expected with compounds 3–7, as the concentration of substrate 1 (100 µM) is significantly above its reported K_m value (21 µM). These high substrate concentrations will reduce the apparent e

In order to do this, synthesis of acyl-CoA substrates with aromatic side-chains was investigated as it was anticipated that the unsaturated product would absorb in the visible spectrum. Synthesis of the anti-substrates was desired, as syn-substrates are prone to undergo non-enzymatic elimination. In the first synthesis, benzaldehyde 12 and the R-Evan’s auxiliary protected propanoic acid 13 were condensed to give alcohol 14 (Scheme 2). However, treatment of 14 with DAST resulted in loss of stereochemistry upon introduction of the fluoride to give a mixture of diastereomers of 15. For aliphatic side-chains, the replacement of the 3-hydroxy group with fluoride proceeds via an S_{n}2 mechanism with inversion of stereochemistry. This loss of stereochemistry is probably due to an S_{n}1 reaction occurring, with consequent addition of fluoride to both faces of the stabilised benzylic carbocation. Conversion of 14 to the methyl ester 16 followed by treatment with DAST also resulted in significant loss of stereochemistry on conversion to 17, suggesting that steric hindrance by the chiral auxiliary was not the deciding factor.

Synthesis of the 4-nitrophenyl derivative was investigated (Scheme 3) in order to destabilise the carbocation intermediate and hence improve diastereoselectivity. Condensation of 4-nitrobenzaldehyde 18 with S-Evan’s auxiliary protected propanoic acid 19 gave 20, which was converted to methyl ester 21. However, treatment with DAST still resulted in a mixture of syn- and anti-22 (68% de). The diastereomeric selectivity was somewhat improved compared to conversion of 16 to 17, suggesting that a carbocation intermediate had been destabilised and the S_{n}2 reaction was now more favoured.
In contrast, condensation of tert-butyl-protected propanoic acid 23 with 4-nitrobenzaldehyde 18 gave 24 as a pair of enantiomers. Treatment with DAST gave the desired ester 25 (Scheme 4).

Similarly, reaction of benzyl-protected propanoic acid 26 with 4-nitrobenzaldehyde 18 gave 27. Treatment with DAST gave the desired anti-product 28 (Scheme 5). Removal of the benzyl protecting group with TMSI gave acid 29. However, conversion of the acid to the acyl-CoA ester 30 using CDI resulted in formation of a significant amount of the eliminated acyl-CoA ester 31, the expected enzymatic product. This substrate and product mixture could not be easily separated. The elimination of 30 to give 31 is probably driven by the thermodynamic stability of the conjugated product.

Incubation of a mixture of 30/31 with active AMACR confirmed that 30 was converted to 31, as judged by reduction of the doublet at 0.96 ppm and appearance of the Me-group singlet at 1.97 ppm (Fig. 2). A change in the ratio of the triplets at 2.32 and 2.37 ppm (CH2 groups in the CoA side-chain) was also observed. These changes were not observed when using heat-inactivated enzyme, showing that the elimination was enzyme-catalysed. Product 31 absorbs at a maximum wavelength of >340 nm and this is not ideally suited for use in a microtitre plate assay. It was anticipated that addition of further electron-withdrawing groups or extension of the aromatic system would increase the wavelength of the product chromophore to >340 nm, but this would result in higher levels of HF elimination from the acid when conversion of the acyl-CoA ester was attempted. Therefore, further development of this approach was not undertaken.

A second approach to developing a colorimetric or fluorescent assay for AMACR is to utilise a molecular fluoride sensor in order to measure the fluoride released during the enzymatic reaction. An advantage of this approach is that it allows assaying of a wide variety of potential AMACR substrates, including those with alkyl side-chains. Although there are many fluoride sensors reported, few of them can be used in aqueous systems. Fluorescent sensors 32,33,34,35 and the colorimetric sensor 3631 (Fig. 3) were selected for investigation.
due to their apparent sensitivity and compatibility with aqueous systems.

Synthesis of these selected sensors and incubation with fluoride in buffered aqueous solution was undertaken to validate the method. Sensor 32 initially gave a low fluorescent signal which rapidly increased with time, regardless of whether fluoride was present or not. This was also true with solutions prepared using highly purified water. It was concluded that spontaneous loss of the silyl-protecting group occurred due to the formation of the highly stabilised aromatic fluorescein. Sensor 33, which has previously been used to assay γ-butyrobetaine hydroxylase activity, was therefore investigated. This sensor was more stable, but large variations in signal intensity at low aqueous fluoride concentrations were observed, limiting its use in enzymatic assays (ESI, Fig. S25†). Fluorescent detection of fluoride was also attempted using the ‘turn off’ sensor 34. Reaction of strong nucleophiles such as fluoride results in fluorescent quenching of 34 due to a weakening of the interaction between the nitrogen and boron. However, incubation of 34 with standard fluoride solutions resulted in highly variable readings in aqueous solutions even in the presence of high organic solvent concentrations (ESI, Fig. S26 and S27†).

Sensor 35 was also investigated, as it is reported to be highly sensitive and to work by a mechanism that does not involve silyl-protecting group removal. Synthesis was accomplished by modification of the literature procedure (Scheme 6). 2-Hydroxybenzaldehyde was protected with MeI to give 37 and 2-aminoacetophenone was protected with acetyl chloride to give 38. Compounds 37 and 38 were condensed together under alkali conditions to give 39. Hydrolysis of the acetyl group from 39, followed by cyclisation gave 40. Removal of the O-methyl group with NaSEt gave 35, whose structure was confirmed by X-ray crystallography (see ESI† for details). However, no fluorescence was observed for 35 in the presence of fluoride. It was noted that the spectroscopic data for 35 did not match that reported in the literature.

Finally, a colorimetric method for determining fluoride concentrations was investigated. The protected cyanine dye system 36 reported by Zhu et al., was chosen since this is reported to be a highly sensitive system. The required dye was synthesised by reaction of lepidine 41 with ethyl iodide followed by coupling of the product 42 with 4-hydroxybenzaldehyde to give 43 (Scheme 7). However, protection of 43 with TBDMSiCl to give 36 could not be achieved using a number of different conditions, including those originally reported.

Conclusions

The results herein demonstrate that the elimination reaction catalysed by AMACR can be used to evaluate the potency of inhibitors. Conversion of substrate 1 to product 2 and HF was reduced in the presence of known AMACR substrates (acting as competitive inhibitors) and known inhibitors. N-Dodecyl-N-methyl-carbamoyl-CoA 8 gave the largest reduction in the conversion of 1 to 2 of all the acyl-CoA esters, consistent with previous reports that it is a potent inhibitor. Some of the inhibitors reported by Wilson et al. also potently inhibited the enzyme. The throughput of this assay is not sufficient for high-throughput screening purposes, but it may allow prelimi-
nary characterisation of inhibitors identified through other approaches and would be a useful secondary screen for inhibitors identified using other methods.

Attempts to adapt the elimination assay to produce a colorimetric or fluorescent read-out were not very successful. Acyl-CoA substrates with aromatic side-chains were synthesised, but the presence of the aromatic side-chain resulted in loss of stereochemistry upon introduction of the fluoride leaving group. Loss of stereochemical integrity limits the application of these substrates as non-enzymatic elimination occurs in substrates in which the methyl group and fluorine atom are in a syn-arrangement. This approach is also limited by fluoride elimination upon conversion of the acid to the acyl-CoA. The results show that AMACR catalyses the elimination of fluoride from acyl-CoAs with aromatic side-chains and hence extends the range of known substrates.

The alternative approach of assaying AMACR activity by quantifying fluoride using sensors was also not very successful. Although there are several fluoride sensors reported for use in aqueous solutions, the high levels of hydration of the fluoride anion makes such methods slow and it can be difficult to achieve sufficient reproducibility.

Experimental

Sources of materials

All chemicals were obtained from the Sigma-Aldrich Chemical Co. or Fisher Scientific Ltd and were used without further purification, unless otherwise noted. Reagents were of analytical grade or equivalent (synthesis) or biochemical grade. Oasis HLB cartridges were obtained from Waters Corporation. Construction of the expression plasmid for human AMACR has been previously described.4 The Rosetta2 (DE3) expression strain and auto-induction media system 1 were obtained from Novagen. (2R,3R)-3-Fluoro-2-methyldecanoyl-CoA was synthesised by the method of Carnell et al.18

The alternative approach of assaying AMACR activity by quantifying fluoride using sensors was also not very successful. Although there are several fluoride sensors reported for use in aqueous solutions, the high levels of hydration of the fluoride anion makes such methods slow and it can be difficult to achieve sufficient reproducibility.

Synthesis of N-dodecyl-N-methyl-carbamoyl-CoA (8) Compounds 8 was synthesised by the method of Carnell et al.18 using 1-[dodecyl(methyl)carbamoyl]-3-methyl-1H-imidazol-3-ium iodide (40.0 mg, 0.092 mmol) and CoA-Li3 (17.0 mg, 0.020 mmol) in a mixture of dilute aqueous sodium hydrogen carbonate and THF. The crude aqueous product was freeze-dried and purified with solid phase extraction to obtain a white solid (7.1 mg). This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License. This article is licensed under a

Column chromatography was performed using Fisher silica gel (particle size 35–70 micron). Purifications of acyl-CoA esters were performed by solid phase extraction using Oasis HLB 6cc (200 mg) extraction cartridges. Phosphate buffer was prepared from monobasic and dibasic potassium phosphates at the required proportion for 0.1 M pH 7.0 buffer. Optical rotations were recorded on an Optical Activity AA-10 Automatic polarimeter instrument and are reported in 10–4 deg cm2 g–1. IR spectra were recorded on Perkin-Elmer RXI FTIR spectrometer instrument. NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance III 400.04 MHz or 500.13 MHz spectrometers in D2O or CDCl3 and solvent was used as an internal standard. Shifts are given in ppm and J values reported to 0.1 Hz. Multiplicities are described as follows: s, singlet; d, doublet; t, triplet; q, quartet; m, multiplet. Stock concentrations of acyl-CoA esters for assays were determined using 1H NMR.35 Mass spectra were recorded by ESI TOF at the University of Bath Mass Spectrometry Service. High resolution mass spectra were recorded in ES mode. Aqueous solutions for biological experiments were prepared in 18.2 MΩ cm–1 Nanopure water and pH-adjusted with aq. HCl or NaOH. Syntheses were carried out at ambient temperature, unless otherwise specified. Solutions in organic solvents were dried over anhydrous magnesium sulfate and evaporated under reduced pressure.

Attempted synthesis of (R)-4-benzyl-3-[(2S,3S)-3-fluoro-2-methyl-3-phenylpropanoyl]oxazolidin-2-one (15) A solution of DAST (0.09 mL, 0.68 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (1 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of 14 (230 mg, 0.68 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (3 mL) at –78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at –78 °C for 2 h, then allowed to reach ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by the slow addition of water (5 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO3 aq. and brine. The solution was dried over MgSO4, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (Pe:EtOAc 5:1) to give 15 as a mixture of diastereoisomers (200 mg, 87%, 50% de) as a colourless oil. 1H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl3): δ Major diastereomer (selected isolated peaks) 7.43–7.16 (10H, m), 5.63
(1H, dd, J = 46.4, 9.8 Hz), 4.80–4.72 (1H, m), 3.30 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 3.4 Hz), 2.83 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 9.5 Hz), 1.02 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz); minor diastereomer (selected isolated peaks) 7.43–7.16 (10H, m), 5.69 (1H, dd, J = 47.5, 6.6 Hz), 3.24 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 3.3 Hz), 2.74 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 9.7 Hz), 1.38 (3H, dd, J = 6.8, 0.8 Hz).

**Attempted synthesis of (2S,3S)-methyl-3-fluoro-2-methyl-3-phenylpropanoate (17)**

A solution of DAST (92 µL, 0.70 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (1 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of 16 (135 mg, 0.70 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (4 mL) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 1 h, then allowed to reach ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by the slow addition of water (5 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ aq. and brine. The solution was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (Pe: EtOAc 20:1) to give 17 (100 mg, 72%, 50% de) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl₃); δ Major diastereomer: 7.42–7.29 (5H, m), 5.54 (1H, dd, J = 46.1, 9.5 Hz), 3.77 (3H, s), 3.07–2.97 (1H, m), 0.96 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz); minor diastereomer: 7.42–7.29 (5H, m), 5.76 (1H, dd, J = 46.7, 6.1 Hz), 3.63 (3H, s), 2.97–2.87 (1H, m), 1.26 (3H, dd, J = 7.0, 0.8 Hz).

**Synthesis of (5)-4-benzyl-3-([2S,3S]-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanoyl)-oxazolidin-2-one (20)**

A solution of dibutylboron triflate in DCM (1.0 M, 4.72 mL, 4.72 mmol) and disopropylethylamine (0.90 mL, 5.14 mmol) were added to a stirred solution of oxazolidinone 19 (1.00 g, 4.29 mmol) in 10 mL of DCM at −78 °C and the resulting solution was stirred for 30 min at this temperature. p-Nitrobenzaldehyde 18 (0.907 g, 6.00 mmol) in 3 mL of DCM was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 30 min and then allowed to reach ambient temperature. The reaction was quenched by slow addition of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH = 7.0, 10 mL), the organic layer was then washed with saturated NaHCO₃ aq. and brine. The solution was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (Pe: EtOAc 20:1) to give 20 (1.160 g, 89%) as a yellow solid. m.p. 136–138 °C; [α]D = +59.0 (CHCl₃, c = 0.43); IR (KBr disc, cm⁻¹): 3525.3, 1775.2, 1683.4 ¹H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl₃); δ 8.22 (2H, d, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.59 (2H, d, J = 8.7 Hz), 7.38–7.27 (3H, m), 7.23–7.17 (2H, m), 5.28–5.24 (1H, m), 4.78–4.70 (1H, m), 4.30–4.20 (2H, m), 4.05 (1H, dq, J = 7.0, 2.8 Hz), 3.54–3.48 (1H, m), 3.26 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 3.4 Hz), 2.82 (1H, dd, J = 13.4, 9.4 Hz), 1.13 (3H, d, J = 7.0 Hz), 1.13C NMR (100.60 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 176.67, 152.86, 148.34, 147.27, 134.66, 129.35, 129.01, 127.54, 126.93, 123.45, 72.19, 66.34, 55.01, 43.95, 37.71, 9.99. HRMS (ES) [M + H]⁺ Calcd. for C₂₀H₂₀N₂O₆: 385.1400; Found: 385.1421; [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₂₀H₂₁N₂O₆Na⁺: 407.1219, Found: 407.1216.

**Synthesis of (2S,3S)-methyl-3-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanoate (21)**

Compound 21 was synthesised by a new procedure: sodium metal (49 mg, 2.13 mmol) was reacted with anhydrous MeOH (20 mL), cooled to 0 °C, then treated with a solution of compound 20 (511 mg, 1.33 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (5 mL). The resulting reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min. The reaction was quenched by the slow addition of phosphate buffer (0.1 M, pH = 7.0, 20 mL). The reaction mixture was extracted with DCM (4 × 20 mL) and the combined organic extracts were washed with brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (Pe: EtOAc 3:1) to give 21 (170 mg, 53%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl₃); δ 8.23–8.17 (2H, m), 7.55–7.50 (2H, m), 5.24 (1H, m), 3.72 (3H, s), 3.12 (1H, d, J = 3.0 Hz), 2.79 (1H, dq, J = 7.3, 3.4 Hz), 1.07 (3H, d, J = 7.3 Hz).

**Synthesis of (2R,3R,S)-methyl-3-fluoro-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanoate (22)**

A solution of DAST (90 µL, 0.69 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (1 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of compound 21 (165 mg, 0.69 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (4 mL) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at −78 °C for 1 h, then allowed to reach ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by the slow addition of water (5 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ aq. and brine. The solution was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (Pe: EtOAc 20:1) to give 22 (93 mg, 56%, 77% de) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl₃); δ Major diastereomer: 8.27–8.19 (2H, m), 7.53–7.47 (2H, m), 5.71 (1H, dd, J = 45.8, 8.3 Hz), 3.75 (3H, s), 3.08–3.00 (1H, m), 1.02 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz); minor diastereomer: 8.27–8.19 (2H, m), 7.53–7.47 (2H, m), 5.87 (1H, dd, J = 46.5, 5.8 Hz), 3.66 (3H, s), 3.00–2.85 (1H, m), 1.25 (3H, dd, J = 7.1, 0.9 Hz).

**Synthesis of syn-tert-butyl 3-hydroxy-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanoate (24)**

tert-Butyl propionate 23 (1.00 mL, 865 mg, 6.64 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous THF (20 mL), cooled to −78 °C, then lithium diisopropylamide in THF (2.0 M, 3.2 mL, 6.64 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 30 min. 4-Nitrobenzaldehyde 18 (1004 mg, 1.33 mmol) in anhydrous THF (7 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, stirred for 2 h and then the reaction mixture was allowed to reach ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by slow addition of saturated NH₄Cl aq. (20 mL), extracted with DCM. The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (Pe: EtOAc 10:1) to give compound 24 (970 mg, 52%) as a yellow oil. ¹H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl₃); δ 8.22–8.17 (2H, m), 7.55–7.50 (2H,
Synthesis of anti-tert-butyl 3-fluoro-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanoate (25)

A solution of DAST (94 µL, 0.71 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (1 mL) was added dropwise to a solution of compound 24 (200 mg, 0.71 mmol) in anhydrous DCM (5 mL) at −78 °C. The reaction mixture was quenched by the slow addition of water (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ aq. and brine. The solution was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (Pe : EtoAc 30 : 1) to give 25 (102 mg, 51%) as white solid. ¹H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.26–8.21 (2H, m), 7.55–7.48 (2H, m), 5.78 (1H, dd, J = 46.8, 6.4 Hz), 2.89–2.74 (1H, m), 1.36 (9H, s), 1.23 (3H, dd, J = 7.1, 0.9 Hz). ¹³C NMR (125.76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 175.20, 148.80, 147.16, 135.34, 128.58, 128.45, 128.31, 127.14 (d, J = 7.1 Hz), 123.65, 93.78 (d, J = 177.6 Hz), 66.88, 46.03 (d, J = 24.5 Hz), 12.66 (d, J = 6.3 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (470.52 MHz, CDCl₃) δ −176.09. HRMS (ES) [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₁₇H₁₉NNaO₅: 338.1004, Found: 338.1004.

Synthesis of anti-3-fluoro-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanoic acid (29)

Compound 28 (216 mg, 0.68 mmol) was dissolved in anhydrous CHCl₃ (10 mL), then TMSI (0.31 mL, 2.18 mmol) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 16 h. The reaction was quenched by slow addition of water (10 mL). The organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (DCM : MeOH 10 : 1) to give 29 (139 mg, 90%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.32–8.25 (2H, m), 7.58–7.51 (2H, m), 5.74 (1H, dd, J = 45.7, 8.6 Hz), 3.11–3.01 (1H, m), 1.08 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR (125.76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 178.28, 148.32, 143.55 (d, J = 20.4 Hz), 127.40 (d, J = 6.9 Hz), 123.84, 93.68 (d, J = 177.1 Hz), 45.89 (d, J = 24.8 Hz), 12.81 (d, J = 6.5 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (470.52 MHz, CDCl₃) δ −173.92. HRMS (ES) [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₉FNNaO₅: 250.0942, Found: 250.0477.

Attempted synthesis of anti-3-fluoro-2-methyl-3-(4-nitrophenyl)propanoyl-CoA (30)

Compound 30 was prepared from the acid 29 using CDI and CoA-Li₃ according to the usual procedure and purified with solid phase extraction to give a white powder. ¹H NMR analysis showed that the product was a mixture of 30 and the eliminated acyl-CoA ester 31 in an approximate 4:1 ratio. Full characterisation was not possible, however selected peaks from the ¹H spectrum of 30 can be reported. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, D₂O): δ 8.60 (1H, m), 8.34 (1H, m), 8.17 (2H, m), 7.53 (2H, m), 6.13 (1H, dd, J = 6.0, 2.0 Hz), 5.77–5.65 (2H, two overlapping dd, J = 45.5, 7.5 Hz)), 4.53 (1H, m), 4.20–4.14 (2H, m), 3.98 (1H, s), 3.82–3.75 (1H, m), 3.55–3.48 (1H, m), 3.42–3.32 (5H, m), 2.97 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 2.37 (2H, t, J = 6.8 Hz), 1.01 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz), 0.87 (3H, s), 0.74 (3H, s).

Synthesis of 2-(2-hydroxyphenyl)-2,3-dihydroquinolin-4(1H)-one (33)

NaSEt (2.602 g, 30.94 mmol) was added to a stirred solution of compound 40 (1.306 g, 5.16 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (46 mL) allowed to reach ambient temperature. The reaction mixture was quenched by the slow addition of water (20 mL). The organic layer was washed with saturated NaHCO₃ aq. and brine. The solution was dried over MgSO₄, filtered and then the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The product was purified by column chromatography (Pe : EtoAc 10 : 1) to give 28 (410 mg, 42%) as a colourless oil. ¹H NMR (500.13 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.23–8.16 (2H, m), 7.48–7.41 (2H, m), 7.40–7.31 (5H, m), 5.74 (1H, dd, J = 45.7, 7.9 Hz), 5.23–5.14 (2H, m), 3.14–3.03 (1H, m), 1.06 (3H, d, J = 7.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR (125.76 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.25 (d, J = 4.2 Hz), 148.09, 143.91 (d, J = 20.4 Hz), 135.34, 128.58, 128.45, 128.31, 127.14 (d, J = 7.1 Hz), 123.65, 93.78 (d, J = 177.6 Hz), 66.88, 46.03 (d, J = 24.5 Hz), 12.66 (d, J = 6.3 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR (470.52 MHz, CDCl₃) δ −176.09. HRMS (ES) [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₁₄H₁₉FNNaO₅: 250.0942, Found: 250.0477.
and the reaction mixture was stirred at 140 °C for 17 h. DMF was removed under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in EtoAc, washed with saturated NH₄Cl aq. and the organic layer was washed with water and brine, dried over MgSO₄, filtered and the solvents were removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (Pet:EtOAc 5:1) to give compound 35 (390 mg, 80%) as a yellow solid. m.p. 179–181 °C, lit. 165–167 °C. IR (KBr disk, cm⁻¹): 3096.5, 1639.1, 1607.6. ¹H NMR (400.04 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 7.94 (1H, dd, J = 7.9, 1.5 Hz), 7.66 (1H, s), 7.41 (1H, ddd, J = 8.2, 7.2, 1.6 Hz), 7.24 (1H, ddd, J = 8.2, 7.5, 1.7 Hz), 7.16 (1H, dd, J = 7.5, 1.6 Hz), 6.98–6.87 (3H, m), 6.83 (1H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 4.89 (1H, ddd, J = 14.1, 3.6, 0.8 Hz), 4.70 (1H, br s), 3.10 (1H, dd, J = 16.8, 14.1 Hz), 2.83 (1H, ddd, J = 16.8, 3.6, 1.8 Hz).¹³C NMR (125.77 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 193.70, 155.21, 150.67, 135.51, 129.84, 127.90, 127.80, 124.86, 120.6, 120.46, 117.34, 117.25, 57.42, 43.62. HRMS (ES) [M + H]⁺ Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₄NO₂: 240.1025, Found: 240.1005; [M + Na]⁺ Calcd. for C₁₅H₁₃NNaO₂: 262.0844, Found: 262.0828. Details of the crystal structure determination and parameters are reported in the ESI†

Expression and purification of AMACR 1A

The plasmid for wild-type AMACR 1A† was transformed into competent Rosetta2 (DE3) cells and plated onto Lennox LB media supplemented with 1% (w/v) agar, 30 µg mL⁻¹ competent Rosetta2 (DE3) cells and plated onto Lennox LB media supplemented with 1% (w/v) agar, 30 µg mL⁻¹ chloramphenicol and 32 µg mL⁻¹ kanamycin sulfate and grown overnight at 28 °C and 220 rpm. Growth of starter culture at 37 °C resulted in ‘leaky’ expression of wild-type AMACR. Starter culture was used to inoculate 500 mL of LB media supplemented with the same antibiotics and 1× auto-induction media and grown under the same conditions overnight. Cells were harvested (Beckman JA-10 rotor, 9000 rpm, 16 000×g, 30 min) and stored at −80 °C.

Cells (~2 g) were re-suspended in 30 mL start buffer and AMACR was purified as previously described.¹⁵ Fractions containing AMACR were identified by SDS-PAGE analyses using 10% gels, pooled and dialysed into 10 mM NaH₂PO₄–NaOH, pH 7.4. Protein concentrations were determined by absorbance at 280 nm, and extinction coefficients and molecular weights for the His-tag protein calculated using Protparam (http://web.expasy.org/protparam/).

¹H NMR assay of AMACR activity

Enzyme assays with inhibitor were performed using a similar method to previously reported.¹⁵ Enzyme (0.12 mg mL⁻¹; 2.54 µM) was incubated with inhibitor (200 µM) in the presence of NaH₂PO₄–NaOH, pH 7.4 and ca. 88% (v/v) D₂O (275 µL) for 10 min. An equal volume of (2R,3R)-3-fluoro-2-methyl decanoyl-CoA substrate 1 (200 µM) in buffer and D₂O (275 µL) was added to the enzyme/inhibitor mixture, and the assay was incubated at 30 °C for 60 min. Enzyme was inactivated by heating at 60 °C for 10 min before ¹H NMR analysis. Conversion of substrates was quantified by conversion of the 2-Me doublet at ca. 1.0 ppm into a singlet at ca. 1.75 ppm, and levels were corrected for non-enzymatic conversion in heat-inactivated negative controls (<5% conversion).⁴,⁵ Reported conversions are relative to positive controls lacking an inhibitor (100% activity). Approximately 50% of substrate 1 was converted to 2 after 1 h. Substrate conversion levels in the presence and absence of each inhibitor are given in the ESI (Table S1†) Concentrations of acyl-CoA substrate and inhibitor stock solutions were determined by ¹H NMR.⁵

Fluorescent detection of fluoride

Sensor 33 in DMSO (256 µM, 80 µL) was incubated with NaF (0–640 µM, 20 µL) in 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5 in a black microtitre plate at ambient temperature. After 1 h, 50 µL of 50 mM HEPES–NaOH, pH 7.0 was added. Fluorescence was determined using a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech) with excitation wavelength 480 nm and emission wavelength 520 nm. The graph is shown in the ESI as Fig. S25.†

Sensor 34 (final concentration 2 µM) was incubated with fluoride (final concentrations 0–300 µM) in a total volume of 200 µL for 3 min. Reactions were carried out in 100% acetonitrile (using tetra-n-butylammonium fluoride) or in 50 mM NaH₂PO₄–NaOH, pH 7.4 (using NaF) and acetonitrile [1 : 19 (v/v)]. Fluorescence was determined using a FLUOstar Omega plate reader (BMG Labtech) with excitation wavelength 350 nm and emission wavelength 520 nm. The graphs are shown in the ESI as Fig. S26 and S27,† respectively.

Abbreviations

AMACR α-Methylacyl-CoA racemase (P504S)
CoA Coenzyme A
CDI Carboxylidimiazole
DAST Diethylaminosulfur trifluoride
DMC Dichloromethane
de Diastereomeric excess
DMF Dimethylformamide
DMSO Dimethylsulfoxide
D₂O Deuterium oxide
EtOH Acetaldehyde
ETOH Ethanol
ESI TOF Electrospray ionisation time-of-flight
HEPES 4-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethane sulfonic acid
HPLC High performance liquid chromatography
HRMS High resolution mass spectrometry
IR Infra-red
LB Luria-Bertani
MeI Methyl iodide
MeOH Methanol
m.p. Melting point
NaSEt Sodium ethanethiolate
NMR Nuclear magnetic resonance
Pe Petroleum ether
rpm Revolutions per minute
ppm Parts per million
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