Autonomous self-healing structural composites with bio-inspired design
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Strong and tough natural composites such as bone, silk or nacre are often built from stiff blocks bound together using thin interfacial soft layers that can also provide sacrificial bonds for self-repair. Here we show that it is possible exploit this design in order to create self-healing structural composites by using thin supramolecular polymer interfaces between ceramic blocks. We have built model brick-and-mortar structures with ceramic contents above 95 vol% that exhibit strengths of the order of MPa (three orders of magnitude higher than the interfacial polymer) and fracture energies that are two orders of magnitude higher than those of the glass bricks. More importantly, these properties can be fully recovered after fracture without using external stimuli or delivering healing agents. This approach demonstrates a very promising route towards the design of strong, ideal self-healing materials able to self-repair repeatedly without degradation or external stimuli.

Natural and synthetic materials often degrade during use or are subject to unexpected requirements beyond their capabilities. The result is damage and eventually failure. Several techniques have been developed for the repair of synthetic materials and many are still under investigation from new welding or joining technologies1 to biomimetic adhesives2. These techniques require that the material is removed from service and undergoes a process that could be long and complex. One of the critical issues is to ensure that the repaired structure maintains its original capabilities. A very appealing alternative would be to develop materials able to heal damage autonomously as it appears. Even the ability to repair small damage that could grow into catastrophic failure will significantly improve performance. This is exactly what natural materials do. Nature’s structural materials from bone to shells or silk, exhibit complex, intricate architectures that have long fascinated artists, scientists, and engineers. These composite structures have evolved to provide a careful balance between strength and damage tolerance combined, in some cases, with the ability to self-repair that has proven extremely difficult to recreate synthetically3,4. In recent years, significant advances have been achieved, both in the development of strong and tough, bio-inspired hybrids5–7 and in the synthesis of self-healing materials, in particular polymers8 and polymer-based composites9. However, the integration of both fields is still a pending and potentially very rewarding challenge.

A fundamental issue hindering the development of autonomous, self-healing structural materials is the fact that “sacrificial” bonds, able to break and re-form repeatedly, are weak and do not provide load-bearing capabilities. This is the case of supramolecular polymer networks able to heal due to the presence of reversible hydrogen bonds10. The alternative is designing materials with stronger bonding that, however, require external stimuli such as light or heat in order to catalyze self-repair (induced healing), or composites in which healing agents are encapsulated in the structure and liberated upon fracture (autonomous healing)11. In the latter case, the encapsulation can act as reinforcement and these materials can reach high strengths but are not able to heal repeatedly as the reinforcement breaks and the healing agent is “used”. An alternative is the use of microvascular networks that enhance the delivery of healing agents but require continuous availability of healants to allow multiple healings and therefore work better in coatings12. In general, it could be said that the mechanical performance of self-healing materials remains below that of “standard” engineering composites. The problem remains on how to create a strong and tough self-healing material able to self-repair multiple times without using external stimuli or compromising performance. At this point it is also important to note that when reviewing the literature healing can mean different things from the “refill” of cracks growing in the structure to the ability to rejoin cut surfaces and recover strength. Different healing behaviors can be required in different situations (for example the ability
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of refilling small damage “in service” before it grows into catastrophic failure could be useful in many structural applications and it is possible that in the future healing abilities will have to be tailored to the function in the same way that happens with the mechanical properties (strength, toughness, fatigue resistance).

Natural examples indicate that it is possible to combine strength and fracture resistance with the ability of self-repair. Furthermore, they suggest that healing capabilities can be incorporated at different length scales into complex structures as a way to increase fracture resistance. For example, Nature often uses stiff/soft brick-and-mortar composites where the soft, self-healing component often acts as a thin mortar layer that can control the sliding of the hard building blocks, rebuild the interface and maximize toughness. A good example is bone where, at the tissue level, mineralized fibrils are arranged in a multilayered stacked structure bonded with interfibrillar non-collagenous proteins. Upon fracture, these proteins are able to dissipate energy contributing to the fracture resistance and imparting self-healing properties through their sacrificial bonds that can reform after fracture.

Recent experiments have demonstrated the formation of reversible bonds between organic and inorganic phases at the molecular and nanometer-scale in synthetic systems. However, in order to fabricate materials in practical dimensions replicating nature’s approach, it will be necessary to create interfaces able to heal at micro to macroscopic scales. The challenge could seem deceptively simple as there are reversible adhesives available commercially; but these adhesives have severe shortcomings for this application. One is passivation after exposure to the environment; the other is the fact that they cannot heal microscopic interfacial defects created during fracture. As a result, healing is always incomplete or requires an external activation such as with heat and pressure. To use this concept in the design of a ceramic-based composite able to heal fully and autonomously it is necessary to directly crack propagation along the interfaces and to design a system in which these interfaces are able to reform seamlessly and autonomously after fracture.

In this work we propose to explore a simple design idea: to use nacre-like structures in which hard, inorganic bricks are bonded by thin, shear-thickening interfaces that will behave as a “solid” during fracture but will “flow” and reform when stress is removed. We implement this design in a simple system (glass bricks joined by thin poly(borosiloxane), PBS layers) to show how by confining the soft component in a very thin layer, it is possible to retain significant strength, increase toughness and, more importantly, achieve repeated, complete and fully autonomous healing. Our goal is to use this simple model system to define the key properties of the self-healing layer and to assess the potential of the approach.

**Background**

Brick and mortar structures are present in many natural and synthetic composites. Several theoretical analyses have been published on the mechanical performance of these materials. When designing a brick and mortar structure able to self-repair it is necessary to ensure that cracks propagate through the self-healing interfaces. Irreversible brick fracture will result in decrease of the healing abilities. The use of longer bricks will promote strength but, above a critical aspect ratio \( w/h \) roughly proportional to the ratio between the interfacial shear strength and the brick fracture strength, brick fracture will occur. We can use a simple model and assume that the interfacial thickness is much lower than the brick thickness and that the bricks overlap is half their length (Fig. 1).

For a pure tensile test, this ratio can be estimated as:

\[
\frac{w}{h} = \frac{\sigma_B - \sigma_o}{\tau_o}
\]

where \( \sigma_B \) is the tensile fracture strength of the bricks and \( \sigma_o \) and \( \tau_o \) are the peak tensile and shear cohesive stresses of the mortar. For smaller aspect ratios fracture initiates and propagates through the interface and the resulting force/displacement curves are typically very similar to those recorded for natural materials.

The tensile fracture strength for the optimum brick aspect ratio is:

\[
\sigma_T = \frac{1}{2} (\sigma_B + \sigma_o)
\]

For a fixed brick aspect ratio below the optimum, increased shear strength will translate into higher composite strength. The optimum is independent on the shear strength, (Eq. (2)). This means that it is possible to reach significant strengths by combining strong bricks with relatively “soft” mortars confined in very thin interfacial layers. The composite strength can then be increased by increasing the strength of the brick. This mimics what happens in nacre, where the soft protein layers between calcium carbonate platelets act as a thin lubricant film that controls platelet sliding while retaining the materials strength.

If failure is purely interfacial and not catastrophic then it is possible to also estimate the optimum work of fracture for pure interfacial failure:
Solution of sodium hydrogencarbonate (Sigma-Aldrich) and the residual solvent evaporated. Polyborosiloxane synthesized with two parts of distilled water. The produced polydimethilsiloxane (PDMS) was washed in a saturated brick/mortar-like structure was then prepared and left under a force of 50 N at 40 °C temperature for one day. The in bricks of different lengths, cleaned in an acetone bath, dried and dip coated with the PBS/ethanol solution. A holding time between loading and unloading was five minutes.

In the load control mode using a zirconia ball on a 10 mm × 22 mm × 19 mm coated with a polymer layer at the overlapping interfaces. The tests were effectuated at three different speeds: 0.1 mm min⁻¹, 0.5 mm min⁻¹ and 1 mm min⁻¹.

The nanoindentation tests were carried out in a Nano test (Micro Materials Ltd) machine in the load control mode using a zirconia ball on a 10 μm thick polymer film prepared on a glass substrate. The holding time between loading and unloading was five minutes.

Double cantilever beam tests. The DCB tests were carried out in a Zwick testing machine with displacement rates varying between 0.05 to 20 mm/min. Because the glass samples are transparent, crack length can be directly recorded from the top using a CCD camera (DMK 23GP031 camera, Scorpion Vision Ltd). The corresponding energy release rates and critical energy release rates were calculated using simple beam theory²⁰. Each interface was tested 3 to 5 times.

Interfacial tensile and shear tests. Both tensile and shear tests of interfaces were carried out on a Zwick / Roell® test machine. Tensile specimens were prepared by coating glass slides with a rectangular area of approximately 19 mm × 13 mm with PBS. For the shear test, glass slides were overlapped for an area of approximately 22 mm × 26 mm coated with a polymer layer at the overlapping interfaces. The tests were effectuated at three different speeds: 0.1 mm min⁻¹, 0.5 mm min⁻¹ and 1 mm min⁻¹.

Three Point bending. Three point bending tests of the brick-and-mortar composites were carried out in a Zwick testing machine using a three-point bending set up with a span of 2 cm at rates varying between 0.05 and 1 mm/min. After the test the samples are removed from the rig and left healing on the laboratory bench at room temperature.

Results and Discussion
Self-healing interfaces at the micro to macro scales. The first step has been to test the adhesion and healing capabilities at the micro to macro scales of model interfaces formed by joining glass plates by a thin self-healing layer. We have tested three soft interfaces: a reversible adhesive, a commercially available supramolecular ureido-pyrimidone (UPy) polymer with quadruple hydrogen bonding from Suprapolix Ltd²¹, and a supramolecular siloxane polymer (poly(borosiloxane), PBS) synthesized in the laboratory. The latter, was obtained by the reaction between poly(dimethilsiloxane) (PDMS) and boron oxide nanoparticles and exhibits a structure held together by dynamic boron-oxygen bonds (supplementary information) which provide self-healing capabilities²². These sacrificial bonds can be broken and easily reformed spontaneously to heal the structure and the interface. In addition, PBS also exhibits good adhesion to oxide substrates and contains flexible Si-O bonds and side groups that contribute to a shear-thickening behavior: its viscosity increases with decreasing strain rate and it flows as a highly viscous liquid at low strain rates but behaves as a solid at high strain rates.
Adhesion and healing were tested using a double cantilever beam (DCB) in which a thin polymer interface (thickness varying between 0.5 and 400 μm) was placed between two glass slides using standard techniques such as drop casting, tape casting (doctor blade) or spin coating (Fig. 2a inset)\(^2\). All interfaces remain stable after bonding and exhibit the same qualitative behavior during testing (Fig. 2a): after a critical stress is reached, the crack propagates and the load decreases gradually. The substrates are brought back to the initial configuration and are left healing for a set amount of time at room temperature without applying any pressure. Both, the reversible adhesive and the UPy polymer can form strong bonds but are not able to recover their full strength after bringing the plates together. In the best case, with the supramolecular UPy polymer, only up to 60% of the strength is recovered upon closure of the interface. Optical observation of the interface through the glass plates shows that during fracture microscopic defects (pores) are formed that remain after bringing the plates together (Fig. S6).

In contrast, the PBS interface is able to recover its full strength after bringing the plates together. Around 50% of the strength is recovered in the first 10 minutes with complete recovery requiring times of the order of 10\(^2\) minutes (Figs 2b and 3a). During crack propagation in the DCB tests, small voids form ahead of the main crack front. As the crack propagates, these voids coalesce leaving behind a high density of polymer bridges linking the glass plates for distances of few millimeters behind the main crack tip (Fig. 3b). The bridges’ thickness and density depends on the thickness of the polymer layer, with thinner interfaces resulting in a higher density of finer bridges. The critical energy release rate (\(G_c\)) also depends on the thickness of the layer and on the displacement rate (Fig. 3c) with thicker layers promoting larger energy release rates. Faster displacement rates also increase the fracture energy with the effect being more significant for thicker layers. The interface exhibits a growing resistance to crack extension with crack length (a rising resistance curve, R-curve)\(^2\) behavior (Fig. 3b). We have used a nanoindenter to pull a single polymer filament and probe the distance over which one of the bridges can still provide an effective capillary force. This distance can extend on the order of microns and is longer the faster the separation rate between the plates (Fig. 4).

After the DCB tests, complete healing is obtained by simply bringing the two beams back to contact even in large (up to centimeters in size) and very thin (below 5 μm) PBS interfaces without applying external stimuli or pressure. The process can be repeated multiple times. Around 80% of the interface is fully bonded in only two hours with complete healing occurring in most cases after approximately one day (Fig. 3d). Thinner interfaces heal faster than thicker ones. The healed interface remains stable with the polymer confined in a thin layer between the glass plates and can be, in some cases, stronger than the original one.

The macroscopic tensile and shear tests of PBS interfaces also show a dependence of the corresponding strengths (maximum in the stress-strain curve) and critical displacements (displacement at the maximum stress) with the displacement rate. As expected, due to the shear thickening nature of the polymer there is a decrease of the maximum stresses for the lowest rates. In the shear tests it can be observed that once the maximum stress is reached the polymer still provides some adhesion and allows gradual sliding of the glass plates (Fig. 5). The shear strengths range between 0.07 to 0.32 MPa for displacement rates between 0.002 and 0.017 mm/s respectively.
Self-healing brick-and-mortar structures. In order to demonstrate the use of the PBS self-healing interfaces in a bio-inspired composite we have fabricated model brick-and-mortar structures that mimic those of natural materials such as nacre or silk although on a much larger scale. In these engineered composites hard glass bricks with thickness, $h$, varying between 0.14–1 mm, and length, $w$, varying between 5–13 mm are held together by thin (5–10 μm thick) self-healing PBS layers. These materials were tested in bending with the load applied in the direction perpendicular to bridge alignment. Fracture initiates and propagates mostly through the interface with brick fracture being observed for bricks with aspect ratios higher than 12. The resulting force/displacement curves are very similar to those recorded for natural materials such as nacre or bone (Fig. 6). Faster loading rates result in higher strengths. Despite the “soft” nature of the polymer, the strengths can reach significant values (up to 10 MPa). Failure is not catastrophic and the resulting works of fracture ($W_f$) calculated from the area under the force/displacement curves are of the order of 140 to 240 J/m$^2$ with higher works of fracture for faster displacement rates.

As in the single interfacial tests, polymer bridges form between the hard blocks during fracture. These bridges are able to bring the structure together by themselves when the stress is removed even after very large deformations and crack propagation (Fig. 7a,b). As expected, due to the shear thickening nature of the interface, the materials tend to be stronger under faster loading rates (Fig. 8). Longer bricks also result in stronger materials (Eq. (1)). More importantly, due to the self-healing nature of the interfaces the composite strengths and works of fracture can be fully recovered after closure without applying external pressure or temperature providing that no brick fracture occurred during bending. In those cases, where brick fracture takes place, for the longer bricks, only partial recovery is achieved (Fig. 8d).

In nacre nature uses the roughness of the ceramic layers to increase strength and toughness.$^{25}$ In order to replicate nature’s strategy we have prepared composite samples with bricks roughed mechanically. The results show...
that a microscopically rough interface (supplementary information) leads to an increase of ~30% in strength, from $6 \pm 0.5$ MPa to $9.5 \pm 0.5$ MPa in three point bending using bricks 12.55 mm long.

**Discussion**

In order to extend the brick-and-mortar designs to the fabrication of organic-inorganic composites able to heal at the macroscopic level, it is necessary to build interfaces able to heal at the micro to macroscopic scales. In this respect, the comparison of fracture and healing of three thin self-healing polymer interfaces using DCB tests has revealed a key design aspect. During crack propagation voids form and coalesce in front of the crack front as has already been observed during the failure of plastic interfaces (Fig. 9). Upon closure, some adhesives and polymers are able to reform their bonds at the molecular level but if the microscopic defects (voids) created during fracture are not completely closed, repair is not complete and full strength is not recovered. In addition, a common
problem with self-healing polymers is that the surfaces become passivized after exposure to the environment following fracture due to contamination or bond reforming in the fracture surfaces. As a consequence performance will diminish with every healing cycle.

A solution to improve healing is to use an adhesive shear thickening interfacial layer able to provide effective mechanical strength during loading, whilst flowing to fully reform the interface and close microscopic defects without applying external pressure or increasing the temperature after bringing the substrates back together. To prove this concept we tested the PBS interfaces. PBS exhibits good adhesion to the glass and a characteristic viscoelastic behavior. The breaking and reforming of its chemically reversible bonds contributes to the interfacial fracture energy. As expected, thicker PBS layers promote larger energy release rates associated with an increase in the plastic energy contribution. Due to the shear-thickening nature of the interface, faster displacement rates also increase the fracture energy with the effect being more significant for thicker layers.

The nucleation and coalescence of voids during crack propagation results in the formation of thin capillary polymer bridges between the substrates. These bridges act in a similar way as the protein glue between mineralized collagen fibrils in bone, resisting the separation of the hard blocks behind the crack tip and providing a toughening mechanism that results in the development of a characteristic R-curve (Fig. 3b). The nanoindentation tests show that they provide effective bridging over distances that are of the order of micrometers (significantly higher than those recorded for natural sacrificial-bond molecules\(^1\)) and that, unlike their natural counterpart, its extension behavior is continuous (Fig. 4).

---

**Figure 6. Stress vs displacement curves for thick brick and mortar composites.** With brick aspect ratio \(h/w = 1/8\) tested in three point bending for rough interfaces and smooth ones. Test carried out at 1 mm/min rate. The strength of the composite is the maximum in the strength displacement curve (arrows). The curves show the characteristic behavior that parallels that of natural materials with a large degree of inelastic deformation.

**Figure 7. Three point bending test of thin bricks self-healing glass/polymer composites.** On the left, optical images of bending before (top) during (middle) and after (bottom) fracture. The bottom picture shows how the composite autonomously reaches the initial configuration and undergoes self-healing at the interfaces. The capillary bridges are able to bring the bricks together and reform the structure upon releasing the force and without applying external pressure. On the right, force vs. displacement healing curves of brick/mortar composite samples after set amount of times.
Upon bringing the substrates back together, healing occurs thanks to an effective combination of mechanisms. First, immediate bond reformation provides some degree of recovery after bringing the glass plates together resulting in ~50% recovery in the first minutes. As for the other polymers tested, this recovery is not complete due to the remaining porosity trapped in the interface upon closure resulting from the formation of voids during crack propagation. However, in the case of PBS, this porosity is eliminated in a second step as the shear thickening polymer spreads to completely reform a defect-free interface after several hours (Figs 3d and 9). Thinner interfaces heal faster than thicker ones because the formation of a high density of thinner bridges results in smaller porosity after closure and higher surface of contact for the formation of new bonds. The healing interface can be even stronger than the original one. This increase in strength after healing has also been observed in bulk self-healing polymers. It is usually attributed to the fact that in extrinsic healing systems the healing agents result to be stronger than the matrix. In our case, it is possibly due to the structural re-organization of the molecules and bonds after fracture.

These results provide some insights on some of the ideal characteristics of an autonomous self-healing interface. Glues and supramolecular polymers such as UPy can provide reversible adhesion but they require pressure...
and passivation drastically impedes their repetitive healing. Although they are able to provide reversible bonding at the atomic-scale, they cannot heal the micro- and macroscopic defects created during fracture. Autonomous self-healing interfaces require an adhesive, shear-thickening layer able to flow and reform repeatedly without external pressure or heating. We have herein demonstrated that this can be achieved with PBS interfaces. In order to integrate a self-healing interface in the design of brick-and-mortar composites, a compromise has to be reached in order to simultaneously maximize strength, fracture resistance and healing efficiency. Using reasonable values for the brick strength (~30 MPa) and the measured $\sigma_0$ and $\tau_0$ this optimum aspect ratio (eq. (1)) is of the order of $\approx 10^2$, significantly higher than what we observed experimentally ($\approx 10$). However, this calculation is based on a tensile test while our experiments are in the more complex bending configuration where brick interlocking may cause high local stresses. For lower aspect ratios fracture initiates and propagates through the interface and the resulting force/displacement curves are very similar to those recorded for natural materials$^6$. As for the interfaces, and due to the shear thickening behaviour of the polymer, high loading rates result in higher strengths. While materials with aspect ratio below the optimum value are able to fully recover their strength after one to four days (recovering more than 90% in the first 24 hours), those with higher ratio are initially stronger but can recover less due to brick fracture upon loading (Fig. 8). Laminates are the strongest design but will not recover due to layer fracture. Because in our design $\sigma_B > \sigma_0$, the optimum composite tensile strength (eq. (2)) will be around half of the brick strength. For our composites this optimum tensile strength should be of the order of 10–30 MPa. Increasing brick surface roughness can increase friction during slides and therefore it increases the shear strength of the interface. For a fix brick aspect ratio below the optimum increased shear strength will translate into higher composite strength (the optimum is independent of the interfacial shear strength, Eq. (2)). Our maximum strength values for pure interfacial fracture in bending (~10 MPa) are in general agreement with the estimation, although slightly lower which could be due to the more complex nature of the bending tests vs a pure tensile configuration as well as the fact that our brick aspect ratios are still below the optimum. This means that despite the “soft” nature of the polymer, by using it in very thin interfacial layers, the strengths can reach significant values that can be increased by increasing the strength of the brick. This mimics what happens in nacre, where the soft protein layers between calcium carbonate platelets act as a thin lubricant film that controls platelet sliding while retaining the materials strength$^{19}$.

Furthermore, as it has been observed in the shear tests, the PBS layers plays a “lubricant” role akin to the one of the organic phase in nacre and allows controlled interfacial sliding of the inorganic bricks promoting fracture resistance. Failure is not catastrophic and we can use eq. (3) to calculate the corresponding work of fracture (we take $c \approx 1$ $^{17}$). The resulting maximum works of fracture $W_f \approx 0.07–0.35$ kJ/m$^2$ (considering a brick strength of the order of 30 MPa, depending on the displacement rates they increase with increasing loading rate due to the shear thickening nature of the polymer) for the optimum brick aspect ratio are reasonably close to those measured experimentally from the area under the load/displacement curve$^{29}$, $W_f \approx 0.14–0.24$ kJ/m$^2$. Theseexperimental values are two orders of magnitude higher than the work of fracture for glass.
More importantly, due to the self-healing nature of the interfaces these strengths and works of fracture can be fully recovered after closure without applying external pressure or temperature. The capillary polymer bridges are able to bring the structure together and heal the interface when the stress is removed even after very large deformations (Fig. 8). This result suggests that an ideal self-healing interface could be formed by a soft, shear thickening material.

It is useful to compare the performance of these simple model composites with current self-healing materials (Fig. 10). Thermoplastics or fiber-reinforced composites exhibit the highest strengths (from tens to hundreds of MPa) and their healing times range between hours to a few days. However, thermoplastics require high temperature and fiber or capsule-reinforced composites can only repair once and not fully, since the reinforcement breaks during fracture. Weaker, non-structural compounds can heal faster (tens of hundreds of minutes) but often require activation through UV light, temperature or pressure. Here, we have used a biomimetic design based on self-healing interfaces built from a relatively simple polymer to create ceramic-based hybrids with strengths and recovery times comparable to those reported for structural polymer-based materials. Eventually the recovery time will be dictated by the speed at which the interface re-forms (the spreading of the interfacial polymer). Lower viscosity polymers will spread faster and provide faster healing although they may require much longer bricks to develop the optimum strengths (eqs 1 and 2). The practical implementation of this approach will eventually require the combination of modelling to select an optimized self-healing interface and brick design with existing technologies for the practical assembly of micro to macroscopic bricks from additive manufacturing to freeze casting.

Conclusions

Taking clues from natural systems we have designed self-healing composites able to repair autonomously and repeatedly, recovering all their strength at room temperature. Following nature’s approach, the “soft” and thin interfaces control the sliding of the stiff blocks and enhancing fracture resistance while promoting healing upon closure. This simple model system illustrates some key issues. For example, in a practical material, healing at microscopic level is essential and requires repair of microscopic defects created during fracture (e.g. due to the formation and coalescence of voids during crack propagation). A shear-thickening polymer able to “flow” and create capillary bridges will promote structural recovery and heal defects and reform the interface without applying external pressure even after large deformations in a way that cannot be achieved with a reversible adhesive or a stiffer supramolecular material. Nature engineers its “soft” interfaces at the chemical (bonding, rheology) and structural levels (thickness, roughness…). It also manipulates structures at multiple length scales from molecular to macro levels using very simple components. Here we have followed a similar path by using a model system with very simple components that provides useful guidelines but being still far from optimal. However, we believe that our results prove that by mimicking nature’s strategy we can open a new path towards the design of strong “ideal” self-healing composites that could use the wide palette of synthetic compounds to select optimum brick and mortar combinations.
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