Zero-energy modes and valley asymmetry in the Hofstadter spectrum of bilayer graphene van der Waals heterostructures with hBN
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We investigate the magnetic minibands of a heterostructure consisting of bilayer graphene (BLG) and hexagonal boron nitride (hBN) by numerically diagonalizing a two-band Hamiltonian that describes electrons in BLG in the presence of a moiré potential. Due to inversion-symmetry breaking characteristic for the moiré potential, the valley symmetry of the spectrum is broken, but despite this, the zero-energy Landau level in BLG survives, albeit with reduced degeneracy. In addition, we derive effective models for the low-energy features in the magnetic minibands and demonstrate the appearance of secondary Dirac points in the valence band, which we confirm by numerical simulations. Then, we analyze how single-particle gaps in the fractal energy spectrum produce a sequence of incompressible states observable under a variation of carrier density and magnetic field.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevB.94.045442

I. INTRODUCTION

Long-period moiré patterns are characteristic of well-aligned graphene heterostructures with hBN. They offer an experimentally-viable route [1–3] to observe fractal magnetic miniband spectra generic for electrons in two-dimensional superlattices in strong magnetic fields [4–6]. These fractal miniband spectra, also known as Hofstadter’s butterflies [7], reflect the fact that the translational symmetry of a lattice, suppressed by the presence of a magnetic vector potential in the electron’s Schrödinger equation, is restricted to magnetic field values $B_{p/q} = (p/q)\phi_0/S$ corresponding to a rational fraction $p/q$ of magnetic flux quantum $\phi_0 = h/e$ per unit cell area $S$ of the lattice [4–6]. The generic features of fractal magnetic miniband spectra have been observed [1,3,8–11] and modeled [8,12–18] in monolayer graphene heterostructures with hBN, where the properties of Dirac electrons at the conduction/valence band edge allow for a straightforward interpretation of experimental observations and have also been studied in slightly misaligned pairs of graphene flakes [19,20].

Modeling of the fractal magnetic miniband spectra of a bilayer graphene/hBN heterostructure is much more limited [15], even though one of the first observations of this phenomena was in this system [2].

In this paper, we study fractal magnetic minibands in bilayer graphene (BLG) subject to a moiré superlattice perturbation due to an hBN underlay. The low-energy Hofstadter butterfly spectra of a BLG-hBN heterostructure is dominated by bands related to the degenerate “zero-energy” Landau level (LL) states $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ of unperturbed BLG [21]. We use a symmetry-based approach to model the influence of hBN on electrons in BLG, and we show that the spectra in BLG-hBN is a superposition of two very different miniband spectra associated with electrons in opposite valleys (Brillouin zone corners) of BLG’s band structure: The miniband spectrum in one valley is only weakly broadened by the superlattice potential and incorporates one unperturbed $n = 0$ LL, while the miniband spectrum in the other valley is widely broadened. This valley asymmetry arises from spatial inversion symmetry breaking produced by the fact that the moiré perturbation only directly affects one of the two BLG layers. Since the zero energy LL states reside on different layers in opposite valleys, in one valley they are strongly influenced by the moiré perturbation, but in the other valley they are not.

These qualitative features agree with the results of the tight-binding model of Moon and Koshino [15]. Rather than rely on a specific microscopic model for the superlattice perturbations, of which there are several [17], we explore the full space of symmetry-allowed superlattice perturbation. Hence, for zero-magnetic field, we find that the Dirac point at the conduction-valence band edge can be either gapless or gapped, and that a secondary Dirac point can appear in the valence band of BLG-hBN. In strong magnetic fields, we find a large variety of forms for the magnetic minibands.

In addition, we characterize different types of moiré superlattice perturbations including the possibilities that the perturbation creates potential asymmetry between the carbon atoms in BLG or introduces a spatial modulation of the nearest-neighbor carbon-carbon hopping amplitude. Hence, we find that the Dirac point at the conduction-valence band edge can be either gapless or gapped, and that a secondary Dirac point can appear in the valence band of BLG-hBN.

Our model Hamiltonian is presented in Sec. II and its numerical diagonalization in the presence of a magnetic field, including methodology, results, and discussion, is described in Sec. III, where we also derive simple effective Hamiltonians to describe low-energy features in the magnetic minibands and, also, an effective Hamiltonian to describe the secondary Dirac point. In Sec. IV, we show how gaps in the fractal energy spectrum are manifest as observable incompressible states under a variation of carrier density and magnetic field.

II. MOIRÉ SUPERLATTICE HAMILTONIAN

A. Four band model

To describe the sublattice $(A/B)$ and bottom/top $(1/2)$ layer composition of electron states in Bernal-stacked BLG on a...
hBN underlay, we write down their Hamiltonian [21] as a $4 \times 4$ matrix,
\[
\hat{H}_g = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
\langle \gamma_1 (\xi \sigma_1 - i \sigma_2) / 2 & v \hat{p} \cdot \sigma \n
\end{array} \right). 
\]
\[
\hat{M}_g = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
\gamma_1 (\xi \sigma_1 - i \sigma_2) / 2 & v \hat{p} \cdot \sigma \n
\end{array} \right). 
\]
Here, we use the basis of Bloch functions $(\phi_A, \phi_B, -\phi_A, -\phi_B)$ for valley $K$ ($\xi = 1$), $(\phi_B, -\phi_A, \phi_A, -\phi_B)$ for valley $K'$ ($\xi = -1$), and the $2 \times 2$ Pauli matrices $\sigma_1, \sigma_2$ act in the space of sublattice components. The term $v \hat{p} \cdot \sigma$ on the diagonal takes into account the electrons’ Dirac spectrum in each layer where $v \approx 10^8 \text{cm/s}$ [22] is the Dirac velocity, $\hat{p} = i \nabla + eA$. $[\nabla \times A]_H = -eB$. $\hat{p}$ is a momentum operator, and $\hat{p} = 1$, while $\gamma_1 \approx 0.4 \text{eV}$ [23] is the interlayer hopping between $A_1$ and $B_1$ sublattices.

The term $\hat{M}_g$ in Eq. (1) accounts for the moiré superlattice perturbation produced by the hBN substrate [12,13] and is applied only to the layer of the bilayer which is closest to the hBN (layer ‘1’) [24]. Within the term $\hat{M}_g$, the functions $f_g = \sum_m (\pm 1)^{m+1} e^{i b_m r}$, with $m = 0, 1, \ldots, 5$, are written using the shortest nonzero Bragg vectors of the moiré superlattice $b_m = R_m/\pi$, $m = 35 \text{eV}$ [25], with $\theta \approx 1.8\%$ takes into account the relative lattice mismatch between graphene and hBN [25], $\theta$ is the misalignment angle between the two hexagonal lattices, and $l = 2.46 \text{Å}$ is the lattice constant of graphene. For $\theta < 1$, $b = |b_m| \approx \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{l}{\sqrt{2} \theta}$, so that the energy scale $v_b$ obtains its minimum value of $v_b \approx 0.35 \text{eV}$ at $\theta = 0$. The strength of the various terms included in $\hat{M}_g$ are controlled by separate dimensionless parameters, $u_{\gamma_0, u_{\gamma_1}, u_{\gamma_3}}$, which describe in turn an electrostatic potential which does not distinguish between the two carbon sublattices ($u_{\gamma_0}$), a sublattice-asymmetric part of the potential ($u_{\gamma_1}$), and a spatial modulation of the nearest-neighbor carbon-carbon hopping amplitude ($u_{\gamma_3}$).

### B. Two band model

One of the most interesting features of electrons in BLG at strong magnetic fields is the degeneracy of two orbital LLs, with $n = 0$ and $n = 1$, which appear at $\epsilon = 0$, the edge between the valence and conduction band. The mixing of these degenerate LLs by the moiré superlattice potential determines the main features of the lower-energy part of the magnetic miniband spectrum, shown in Fig. 1 for several different choices of moiré perturbation parameters $u_i$. These low-energy electron states in BLG can be described [21] using a simplified two-band Hamiltonian, which can be obtained from Eq. (1) by a Schrieffer-Wolf projection onto the basis of Bloch states residing on $A_1$ and $B_1$ sublattices. For a BLG-hBN heterostructure, such a projection results in [24]
\[
\hat{H}_g = -\xi \frac{v_b^2}{\gamma_1} \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & (\hat{p})^2 & 0 & 0 
\end{array} \right) + \hat{M}_g, 
\]
\[
\hat{M}_g = \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
0 & v_b g_{+}(r) & \frac{v_b^2}{\gamma_1} h_{+}(r) & \frac{v_b^2}{\gamma_1} \hat{p} g_{-}(r) 
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 
\end{array} \right). 
\]

Hamiltonian $\hat{B}_g$ is written in the basis of the Bloch states $(\phi_A, \phi_B)$ for the $K$ valley and $(\phi_B, -\phi_A)$ for the $K'$ valley using $\hat{u}_g = \hat{p}_g + i \hat{p}_g$, and $\hat{b}_m = (b_{m_1}^*, b_{m_2}^*)$. This Hamiltonian is valid for energies $|\epsilon| \lesssim \gamma_1$, corresponding to the range of densities $|\rho| \lesssim 10^{13} \text{cm}^{-2}$. For the $\theta = 0$ heterostructure, this can be written $|\epsilon| \lesssim 1.1 v_b$ and $|\rho S| \lesssim 19$ where $S$ is the area of the moiré superlattice unit cell.

Examples of the zero magnetic field $K$-valley band structure of moiré perturbed BLG with $\theta = 0$ are displayed in the left panels of Fig. 1. These spectra were calculated by numerical diagonalization of the Heisenberg matrix constructed from Hamiltonian (2) in a basis of unperturbed plane wave states. The corresponding dispersion in the $K'$ valley is obtained using the relation, $\epsilon_K(k) = \epsilon_k(-k)$, which follows from the time-reversal symmetry of Hamiltonians (1) and (2) for $B = 0$.

Each panel in Fig. 1 corresponds to a different set of moiré perturbation parameters $\{u_{\gamma_0}, u_{\gamma_1}, u_{\gamma_3}\}$. The choice of parameters used in the top panel corresponds to the predictions of a pair of microscopic models, one based on hopping between graphene carbon atoms and hBN atoms, the other on scattering of graphene electrons by the quadrupole electric moments of nitrogen atoms. Interestingly, these two models predict the same relative values for the moiré potential parameters [12],
\[
u_{\gamma_0, u_{\gamma_1}, u_{\gamma_3}} = V \{1/2, -\delta/\sqrt{\delta^2 + \theta^2}, -\sqrt{3}/2\},
\]
where $V$ depends upon the specific microscopic parameters used to describe the hBN underlay. Here we take $V = 0.063$, chosen to make the moiré perturbation strong enough that an almost gapless secondary Dirac point (sDP) is produced between the red and blue minibands in the valence band of the upper left panel of Fig. 1. Signatures of this feature were observed experimentally in Ref. [2].

The other panels in Fig. 1 illustrate the influence of each $u_i$ parameter taken individually, and they exemplify three additional scenarios: for $u_{\gamma_0, u_{\gamma_1}, u_{\gamma_3}} = (0.15, 0.0, 0)$, the original Dirac point is gapped and there is no sDP (in the two valence bands closest to zero energy); for $u_{\gamma_0, u_{\gamma_1}, u_{\gamma_3}} = (0, 0.15, 0)$, the original Dirac point and the sDP are both gapless (and there is a sDP in the conduction band); for $u_{\gamma_0, u_{\gamma_1}, u_{\gamma_3}} = (0, 0, 0.15)$, the original Dirac point is gapless and there is no sDP.

In principle Hamiltonians (1) and (2) should include the small skew interlayer hopping, $\gamma_0 \sim \gamma_0/10$. For zero magnetic field, this leads to a trigonal warping of the band structure whose small effect on the miniband edges was studied in Ref. [24]. While for finite magnetic fields, the affect of $\gamma_0$ is expected to be small for magnetic fields $B \gtrsim 1T$ [21]. Also, using a simple sided back gate to dope the heterostructure will produce a perpendicular electric field
which will reduce/enhance the gap in the zero-energy Dirac point created by the moiré perturbation but have negligible effect on the band edges \cite{24}.

III. THE BLG-HBN HETEROSTRUCTURE IN A MAGNETIC FIELD STUDIED USING THE TWO BAND MODEL

A. Methodology

Here we describe the numerical diagonalization of Hamiltonian (2) in the presence of a magnetic field. To simplify this calculation, we take account of the hexagonal symmetry of the moiré pattern and use a nonorthogonal coordinate system \cite{13} $r = (x_1a_1 + x_2a_2)/a$, where $a_1 = 4\pi b_1 \times \hat{l}/(\sqrt{3}b^2)$ and $a_2 = 4\pi l \times b_5/(\sqrt{3}b^2)$ are direct moiré lattice vectors and $a = |a_1|$. In this basis the Landau gauge has the form $A = Bx_1(a_1 - 2a_2)/(\sqrt{3}a)$ which leads to

$$\hat{\mathbf{r}} = \frac{-2}{\sqrt{3}}[\partial_{x_1}\tau + (\partial_{x_2} - i\sqrt{3}eBx_1/2)\tau^*],$$

where $\tau = e^{2\pi i/3}$, and $e$ is the electron charge. For the wave-vector space, this determines $k = k_1k_1 + k_2k_2$ with $k_1 = 2b_5/(\sqrt{3}b)$ and $k_2 = 2b_1/(\sqrt{3}b)$. Hence, we employ the...
basis set of magnetic oscillator functions,
\[ \psi_{\alpha}(k_2) = \frac{\sqrt{3}e^{ik_2s_2} - z^2 - 2}{\sqrt{n \lambda_B \pi}} \mathbb{H}_n(z), \]
\[ z = \frac{\sqrt{3}x_1}{2\lambda_B} - k_2\lambda_B, \quad \lambda_B = 1/\sqrt{|eB|}, \]  
(4)
\[ \hat{J} \psi_{\alpha}(k_2) = -\tau \lambda_B^{-1}\sqrt{n} \psi_{\alpha-1}(k_2), \]
where \( \mathbb{H}_n(z) \) are the Hermite polynomials. For free electrons in BLG, the LL spectrum contains two degenerate states at \( \epsilon_{n,2} = \alpha \sqrt{n(n-1)}/m \lambda_B^2, \alpha = \pm 1, \)
\[ \psi_{n,0,1}(k_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L}}(\psi_{\alpha}(k_2), 0)^T, \]  
(5)
\[ \psi_{|n|>2}(k_2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2L}} \left( \psi_{\alpha}(k_2), -\alpha \tau \psi_{\alpha-1}(k_2) \right). \]  
(6)

In general, the magnetic vector potential \( A(x_1) \) breaks the symmetry of the Hamiltonian with respect to translations of the moiré superlattice. However, for magnetic flux \( \phi = SB = q\phi_0 \) where \( p \) and \( q \) are co-prime natural numbers, translational symmetry is restored. Because of this, we consider a unit cell of the magnetic superlattice that is \( q \) times larger than the unit cell of the moiré superlattice in both directions (hence its area is \( q^2 \) times larger) \([4,13]\). The magnetic translational group \( G = \left\{ \Theta_X \equiv e^{iBm_1q_1+Bm_2q_2}, T_X = m_1q_1 + m_2q_2 \right\} \), where \( T_X \) describes geometrical translations and \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are integers, commutes with Hamiltonian \( (2) \) and is isomorphic to the group of geometrical translation, so that its eigenstates form a plane wave basis \( \Theta_X [n_{\alpha,0}^p(k)] = e^{iB\cdot X} [n_{\alpha,0}^p(k)] \). Bloch functions \( |n_{\alpha,0}^p(k)\rangle \) exist in the magnetic Brillouin zone which is \( q^2 \) times smaller than that of the moiré superlattice \([4]\) and in which magnetic minibands are \( q \)-fold degenerate. For states with momentum \( k \) within this magnetic Brillouin zone,
\[ |n_{\alpha,0}^p(k)\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{s=-N/2}^{N/2} e^{iks_1q_1} \psi_{\alpha}^s(k_2 + \frac{2\pi}{N}b[ps + j + t q_2]), \]
(7)
where \( N \to \infty \), and \( j = 0, \ldots, p - 1 \) indexes the magnetic subbands, and \( t = 0, \ldots, q - 1 \) indexes the above mentioned \( q \)-fold degeneracy. Without loss of generality, we set \( t = 0 \) and omit it, using the notation \( |n_{\alpha,0}^p(k)\rangle \), from now on. In order to obtain the energy dispersion, we calculate the matrix elements of the perturbation, \( \langle n_{\alpha,0}^p(k)|H_{\phi}|n_{\alpha,0}^q(k)\rangle \), in this basis and diagonalize the resulting matrix \([13]\).

**B. Results and discussion**

The main panels of Fig. 1 show the magnetic spectrum of the BLG-hBN heterostructure for the four choices of moiré perturbation described above. For small flux, the magnetic miniband spectra can be traced to the sequence of LLs for moiré perturbed BLG. Near zero energy, the gap at the original Dirac point is seen in the magnetic spectra of the top two panels. The presence or absence of a secondary Dirac point (depending on the particular parameter set) is also clearly reflected in the magnetic spectra at small flux at the corresponding energy. At a higher flux, the LLs broaden and split, forming a fractal pattern, with the most striking features around zero energy.

For all parameter sets, the valley symmetry of the spectrum, preserved in the absence of a magnetic field, is lifted. This is because the moiré perturbation only affects the layer of BLG that is adjacent to the hBN and, thus, it breaks inversion symmetry. In conjunction with time-reversal symmetry breaking by a magnetic field, this allows the energy spectra for electrons in valleys \( K \) and \( K' \) to be different \([15]\). In particular, note that, in the absence of the perturbation, the distribution of the wave function among the layers is, for a given LL, exactly inverted in the two valleys, Eqs. (5) and (6). The moiré potential affects only the wave function component in the layer adjacent to hBN, hence breaking the layer symmetry and leading to valley-asymmetric spectra with a gap.

Importantly, the spectrum in the valley for which the wave function sits on the layer further from the substrate contains a zero-energy LL completely decoupled from the rest of the spectrum (shown as a red line in the \( K' \) valley in Fig. 1). The spinor structure Eq. (5) of the two zero-energy states, \( n = 0 \), for unperturbed electrons shows that the states in valley \( K \) (\( K' \)) are localized on the bottom (top) graphene layer only \([21]\). Since the moiré perturbation does not directly influence the top layer, it does not couple the \( n = 0 \) state in the \( K' \) valley to any other state and, thus, the \( n = 0 \) state in this valley always persists. This coexistence of a zero-energy LL and a fractal spectrum of magnetic subbands creates a unique opportunity to observe the interplay between electron-electron interaction and Hofstadter’s quantization \([10,11,26]\).

Each of the panels in Fig. 1 display further features of interest. For the parameter set \( u_{0,1,3} = [0.032, -0.063, -0.055] \) (upper panel), the \( B = 0 \) miniband spectrum displays a slightly gapped sDP found in the first valence miniband, which produces a sequence of LL including tilted zero LLs, at the corresponding energy and weak magnetic fields (\( \epsilon \approx -0.22v\phi/\phi_0 \leq 0.2 \)). We shall discuss the sDP further in Sec. IID (a similar feature is present in the lower middle panel). For potential modulation taken in isolation, \( u_{0,1,3} = [0.15, 0, 0] \), the band structure obeys sixfold rotation symmetry in each valley (left upper middle panel), in contrast to all other band structure images which only display symmetry under threefold rotation. For the spatial modulation of the carbon-carbon hopping amplitude, \( u_{0,1,3} = [0.0, 0.15, 0] \) (lower middle panels), the spectrum at both zero magnetic field and finite magnetic field obeys electron-hole symmetry, and the zero LL and first LL of the original Dirac point are completely degenerate in both valleys (discussed in Sec. III C). For the sublattice-asymmetric potential, \( u_{0,1,3} = [0.0, 0.0, 0.15] \) (lower panel), the bands at zero magnetic field are symmetric under the operation which combines electron-hole reflection and a rotation by \( \pi/3 \), which is reflected in the electron-hole symmetry of the magnetic miniband widths in a magnetic field. Additionally for this perturbation, we find that the magnetic miniband structure around \( \epsilon = 0 \) can form gapless linear or quadratic Dirac points, which produce sequences of LLs in the magnetic miniband spectrum, best visible around \( \phi/\phi_0 = 2 \) in the \( K' \) valley and \( \phi/\phi_0 = 1 \) in the \( K \) valley (also see Sec. III C).
C. Effective model of low energy features in the magnetic minibands

The widest magnetic minibands in Fig. 1 occur for the simple fractions $\phi/\phi_0 = 1/q$ and $\phi/\phi_0 = 1/(N + 1/2)$, with integer $N$. Moreover, the surrounding magnetic spectrum at small deviations of the flux, $\delta \phi$, is grouped around the LLs of these wide magnetic bands [27]. For example for $u_{0,1,3} = \{0.032, -0.063, -0.055\}$ and $\phi/\phi_0 = 2$, a pair of magnetic minibands in the $K$ valley form a weakly gapped quadratic Dirac point [lower two turquoise bands in Fig. 2(a)]. These produce the LL-like features, including “zero-energy” LL, for surrounding flux values (clearly seen around $\phi/\phi_0 \approx 2$, $\epsilon \approx -0.05\upsilon_b$ in the upper panel of Fig. 2 for the $K$ valley).

Here we produce simple analytical descriptions of the magnetic minibands around zero energy by truncating the basis of Bloch functions Eq. (7) to the zeroth and first LL only. At a simple fraction $\phi/\phi_0 = 1/q$ this yields the effective Hamiltonians,

$\mathbb{H}_K' = 0 \oplus vbD\omega(u_0f_0 - u_3f_1),$  
$\mathbb{H}_K = vbD\omega_0\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2f_0 & \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}f_2 \\ \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}f_2 & (2 - b\upsilon_b)f_0 \end{array}\right) + vbD\omega_3\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2f_1 & \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}f_3 \\ \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}f_3 & (2 - b\upsilon_b)f_1 \end{array}\right),$

\begin{align*}
&f_0 = c_1 + c_2 + (-1)^q c_{12}, \quad f_1 = -s_1 + s_2 + (-1)^q s_{12}, \\
&f_2 = \tau^*s_1 - s_2 - (-1)^q s_{12}, \quad f_3 = \tau^*c_1 + c_2 + (-1)^q c_{12},
\end{align*}

(8)

Here $\mathbb{H}_{K/K'}$ is used for the $K/K'$ valley and written using the basis $|\{0^0_{0,0},1^0_{0,0}\}\rangle$. Also $l_0 = (4\pi/\sqrt{3}\upsilon_b)(\phi/\phi_0), \quad \omega = (\sqrt{3}\upsilon_b^2v^2/2\pi\gamma\upsilon_t^2)(\phi/\phi_0), c_1 = \cos(\upsilon_tk_1), c_2 = \cos(\upsilon_tk_2), c_{12} = \cos(\upsilon_t(k_1 - k_2)), s_1 = \sin(\upsilon_tk_1), s_2 = \sin(\upsilon_tk_2), \quad$ and $s_{12} = \sin(\upsilon_t(k_1 - k_2)).$ The factor $D = \exp\left[-(\pi/\sqrt{3}\upsilon_b)(\phi/\phi_0)\right]$ leads to rapid broadening for $\phi < \phi_0$ which slows down for $\phi > \phi_0$. In $\mathbb{H}_{K}$ the symbol $\oplus$ denotes a direct sum, where the state $|0^0_{0,0}\rangle$ is decoupled from the rest of the spectrum.

Similarly, for simple fractions $\phi/\phi_0 = 1/(N + 1/2)$, with integer $N$, the spectrum around zero energy may be described by effective Hamiltonians

\begin{align*}
&\mathbb{H}_{K'} = 0_{2,2} \oplus vbD\omega(u_0M_1 - u_3M_2), \\
&\mathbb{H}_K = vbD\omega_0\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2M_1 & \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}M_3 \\ \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}M_3 & (2 - b\upsilon_b)M_1 \end{array}\right) + vbD\omega_3\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2M_2 & \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}M_4 \\ \sqrt{2b\upsilon_b}M_4 & (2 - b\upsilon_b)M_2 \end{array}\right),
\end{align*}

(9)

where the $2 \times 2$ block matrices are

\begin{align*}
&M_1 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} c_2 & e^{i\delta_{k\upsilon}}[c_1 - ic_{12}] \\
& -c_2 \end{array}\right), \\
&M_2 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} s_2 & -e^{i\delta_{k\upsilon}}[s_1 + is_{12}] \\
& -s_2 \end{array}\right), \\
&M_3 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{i\delta_{k\upsilon}}[\tau^*s_1 - i\tau s_{12}] \\
& s_2 \end{array}\right), \\
&M_4 = \left(\begin{array}{cc} e^{i\delta_{k\upsilon}}[\tau^*c_1 + i\tau c_{12}] \\
- c_2 \end{array}\right).
\end{align*}

Here we use the basis $|0^0_{0,0},1^0_{0,0},1^0_{0,0},1^0_{0,0}\rangle$ and $0_{2,2}$ is the $2 \times 2$ zero matrix.

Figure 2 shows the excellent agreement between the result of diagonalizing effective Hamiltonians (8)-(9) (yellow), with the fully numerical diagonalization of Hamiltonian (2) (turquoise), for the various choices of magnetic field, valley, and superlattice parameters $u_i$ indicated on the figure. The computed dispersion surfaces display a wide array of possible forms, including the possibility of gapless linear or quadratic Dirac points for the superlattice perturbation $u_{i=0,1,3} = \{0,0,0.15\} vb$. These features are found either in the $K'$ valley whenever $p$ in $\phi/\phi_0 = p/q$ is even [Fig. 2(c)], or in the $K$ valley whenever $p$ in $\phi/\phi_0 = p/q$ is odd [Fig. 2(d)].

For the perturbation with $u_{i=0,1,3} = \{0,0,15\} vb$ two zero-energy LLs of Hamiltonian (2) remain unperturbed (lower middle panel in Fig. 1), which is reflected in the fact that all matrix elements in Hamiltonians (8)-(9) vanish. In this case, Hamiltonian (2) obeys the “electron-hole” symmetry $\sigma_1\mathbb{H}_\sigma\sigma_2 = -\mathbb{H}_\sigma$, which implies that its matrix elements must obey $\langle n^\alpha_j(k)|\mathbb{H}_\sigma|n^\alpha_j(k')\rangle = -\langle n^\alpha_j(k')|\mathbb{H}_\sigma|n^\alpha_j(k)\rangle$. Consequently, the resulting Heisenberg matrix has at least $2p + 1$ linearly dependent rows, resulting in zero-energy eigenvalues which are 2$p$-fold degenerate. As the $u_i$ only perturbation can be considered to be a periodic pseudo-magnetic field [12], it could alternatively be created using an Abrikosov lattice, i.e., a vortex structure generated from a type-II superconductor in a magnetic field. Such a system is also expected to possess degenerate zero energy eigenvalues [28].
D. Effective models for the secondary Dirac points

Here we give an analytical description of the almost gapless sDP found at the corner superlattice Brillouin zone in the valence band in the top row of Fig. 1. To do this, we note that zone folding using Bragg vectors \( \mathbf{b}_n \) brings together three degenerate plane wave states \(|\zeta \mathbf{k}\rangle, |\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_2)\rangle, \) and \(|\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_1)\rangle\) to each of the two inequivalent corners of the moiré Brillouin zone where \( \zeta = \pm 1, \mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{b}_4 + \mathbf{b}_5)/3 \), and

\[
|\zeta \mathbf{p}\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1}{-\alpha e^{i \varphi}} \right) e^{i \zeta \mathbf{p} \cdot \mathbf{r}},
\]

(10)

with \( \varphi \) the polar angle of momentum \( \zeta \mathbf{p} \), and \( \alpha = \pm 1 \) the band index. Using \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) theory, the vicinity of each moiré Brillouin zone corner can then be described using an effective Hamiltonian acting on a three component vector of smoothly varying envelope functions, written in a basis of the standing wave states,

\[
\Phi_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (|\zeta \mathbf{k}\rangle + |\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_2)\rangle + |\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_1)\rangle),
\]

\[
\Phi_2 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (e^{i \pi/3} |\zeta \mathbf{k}\rangle + e^{i \pi/3} |\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_2)\rangle + e^{i \pi/3} |\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_1)\rangle),
\]

\[
\Phi_3 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} (e^{i \pi/3} |\zeta \mathbf{k}\rangle + e^{i \pi/3} |\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_2)\rangle + e^{i \pi/3} |\zeta(\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{b}_1)\rangle).
\]

Using basis (11) the \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) Hamiltonian for the \( K' \) valley is diagonal exactly at the Brillouin zone corner,

\[
\hat{H}_K(\zeta, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{B}) = \left( \begin{array}{ccc} \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_1 & \hat{\nu} \hat{\pi} & \hat{\nu} \hat{\pi} \\ \hat{\nu} \hat{\pi} & \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_2 & \hat{\nu} \hat{\pi} \\ \hat{\nu} \hat{\pi} & \hat{\nu} \hat{\pi} & \epsilon_0 + \epsilon_3 \end{array} \right) + \hat{v} \left( \begin{array}{ccc} -\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 & \epsilon_0 & -\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 \\ \eta_2 - \eta_3 & -\eta_2 + \eta_3 & \epsilon_0 \\ -\eta_1 + \eta_3 & \eta_2 - \eta_3 & -\eta_1 + \eta_2 + \eta_3 \end{array} \right); \]

\[
\epsilon_1 = 2\Re W, \quad \epsilon_2 = -2\Re W + \sqrt{33} W, \quad \epsilon_3 = -2\Re W - \sqrt{33} W,
\]

\[
W \approx \frac{vb}{2} (\mu_0 + i\zeta \mu_3) + \frac{v^3 b^2}{6\gamma_1} e^{\mp i (\mu_0 - i\zeta \mu_3)} + \frac{\alpha v^2 b^2}{\sqrt{3}\gamma_1} e^{\mp i \mu_1}, \quad \epsilon_0 = \frac{\alpha v^2 b^2}{3\gamma_1} + \frac{\alpha v^2}{\gamma_1} \hat{p}^2, \quad \hat{v} = \zeta \frac{vb^2}{\sqrt{3}\gamma_1},
\]

\[
\eta_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{3} \zeta \mu_1, \quad \eta_2 = \frac{vb (\mu_0 - \sqrt{3} \zeta \mu_3)}{2\gamma_1},
\]

(12)

where \( \Re \) and \( \Im \) denote the real and imaginary parts. The corresponding Hamiltonian for the \( K' \) valley is obtained using time reversal symmetry, \( \hat{H}_K(\zeta, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{B}) = -\hat{H}^*_K(-\zeta, -\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{B}) \).

Figure 3 shows the LL spectra of Hamiltonian (12) using blue(red) dots for the \(-\kappa(\kappa)\) corner, where the signature of an almost gapless sDP is evident at \( \epsilon \approx -0.22 vb \) for the \(-\kappa \) corner. To calculate this spectra, we diagonalized the Heisenberg matrix of Hamiltonian (12) in a basis consisting of the products of magnetic oscillator functions (4) with the standing wave states (11), \( \phi_n(k_B) \Phi_i \), where we take \( i = 1,2,3 \), and \( n = 0 \cdots n_{\text{max}} \), where \( n_{\text{max}} \) is sufficiently large that the resulting spectrum converges. Any eigenstates with a large weight on high-index magnetic oscillator functions, \( \phi_n(k_B) \) with \( n \gtrsim (b_A \varepsilon)^2 \), are discarded because they violate the \( \mathbf{k} \cdot \mathbf{p} \) approximation used to construct Hamiltonian (12). Also, to improve the comparison of its LL spectra with that of Hamiltonian (2), we calculate the moiré perturbation correction to the band-edge energies, \( \epsilon_{i,2,3} \), using a higher order of perturbation theory than is explicit in Eq. (12).

The result of numerical diagonalization of the two band Hamiltonian (2) is displayed using black dots in Fig. 3. The two spectra agree well, confirming that Eq. (12) is a good description of the sDP. Moreover, we can diagonalize Hamiltonian (12) for arbitrarily small magnetic fields, where the size of the Heisenberg matrix of magnetic Bloch functions (7) needed to diagonalize Hamiltonian (2) becomes prohibitively large.

The energy of the “zeroth” LL originating from the sDP in Fig. 3 depends on the magnetic field, due to a nonzero magnetic momentum generated from the influence of a third band [residing mainly on \( \Phi_3 \) for Hamiltonian (12)] that mixes with the LLs of the first two bands. For the parameter set \( u_{0,1,3} = [0.032, -0.063, -0.055] \), this third band is separated by a large gap from the sDP, which permits the approximate
two band description for the sDP,

\[ \hat{H}_{\text{sDP}}^{\text{eff}} = E_0 + \frac{\Delta_{\chi}}{2} \sigma_3 + \tilde{v} \hat{p} \cdot \sigma - (\tilde{M} + \tilde{M}\sigma_3)B, \tag{13} \]

where the parameters for the energy shift \( E_0 \), gap \( \Delta_{\chi} \), effective velocity \( \tilde{v} \), and magnetic momentums \( \tilde{M} \) and \( \tilde{M} \) can be fitted to the result of numerically diagonalizing Eq. (2). Such a fitting is illustrated in Fig. 3 using the gray lines and produces the best accuracy for low indexed LLs.

**IV. MAGNETIC MINIBAND SPECTRUM AND COMPRESSIBILITY OF 2D ELECTRON LIQUID IN BLG-HBN HETEROSTRUCTURES**

Figure 4 shows the maps of incompressible states for each parameter set in Fig. 1, created by filling the corresponding magnetic miniband spectrum with electrons. A larger gap is depicted with a darker color, resulting in lines, the gradient of which corresponds to the filling factor, \( \nu = \phi / (\rho S \phi_0) \). For the regions shown in white, the gap is negligibly small as a result of incomplete filling of a magnetic miniband, each of which can accommodate a density \( \delta \rho = 1/(\pi \lambda_B^2) \) of electrons (including spin degeneracy). In contrast, the unperturbed zero-energy LL present in the \( K' \) valley accommodates electron density of \( \delta \rho_0 = 1/(\pi \lambda_B^2) \), creating a large gapless region portrayed in gray. Because of its large electron capacity at exactly zero energy, the presence of this zero-energy mode should be clearly visible in capacitance measurements. Electron-hole symmetry is displayed in the plots for \( u_{0,1,3} = \{0,0.15,0\} \) (lower left), and \( u_{0,1,3} = \{0,0,0.15\} \) (lower right), whereas it is absent in the upper two plots.

Some of the largest gaps in the magnetic spectrum plots (Fig. 1) occur between the LLs of the original zero-energy Dirac point, which are depicted in Fig. 4 as dark lines fanning out from \( \rho = 0, B = 0 \). For an unperturbed graphene bilayer these lines would have gradients \( \nu = \pm4, \pm8, \pm12 \). For superlattice perturbed BLG the possible gap at zero energy (upper two panels of Fig. 1) produces the additional filling factor lines with \( \nu = 0 \) (traced to \( \rho = 0, B = 0 \) in the upper two panels of Fig. 4), while the broadening of LLs into magnetic minibands and the lifting of valley degeneracy can result in the closing of gaps away from \( \rho = 0, B = 0 \).

Further sequences of gaps are traced to LLs formed around the \( B = 0 \) miniband edges and in particular the secondary Dirac points present for some realizations of the moiré superlattice. For example, the \( \nu = \pm2 \) filling factor lines traced to \( \rho S = -4, B = 0 \) in the upper panel of Fig. 4 are produced by gaps around the LLs of the valence band secondary Dirac point in the upper panel of Fig. 1. However, the differing magnetic moments for the two valleys mean that gaps in one valley become obscured by bands in the other so that the filling factor lines disappear after \( \phi / \phi_0 \sim 0.25 \).

Other gaps are formed around the weakly broadened LLs traced to wide magnetic minibands in small deviations of the magnetic flux \( (\phi = p\phi/q + \delta \phi) \). Such LLs produce sequences of gaps with the gradient changing by \( \Delta \nu = 2q \).
each time a LL is crossed (the factor 2 counts spin degeneracy). For example, the gaps fanning out from $\rho S = -6$, $\phi/\phi_0 = 2$ in the upper panel of Fig. 4 are formed around the LLs of the lower two magnetic bands displayed in Fig. 2(a) (also see upper panel of Fig. 1).

Many of the largest gaps in the magnetic spectrum plots (Fig. 1) occur between the zeroth and first LLs of Dirac points (whether original or secondary). In the map of incompressible states, these large gaps are manifest as a solid blue line, which intersect the $B = 0$ axis at a density $\rho S = 4m$, where $m = 0$ for the main Dirac point or $m = \pm 1$ for an sDP at the edge of the first conduction/valence miniband. For example, there is a (gapped) sDP in the valence band of the magnetic spectrum for the moiré perturbation $u_{0,1,3} = (0.032, -0.063, -0.055)$ (upper panel in Fig. 1). In the upper panel of Fig. 4, the gap between the two zeroth LLs of this sDP is represented as a blue vertical line, which starts from $(\rho S = -4, B = 0)$, whereas the two gaps between the zeroth and first LLs are shown as two tilted blue lines, with gradients of ±2.

V. CONCLUSIONS

In summary, we have shown that the presence of the hBN substrate lifts the valley degeneracy of bilayer graphene, producing different magnetic Hofstadter’s butterflies in each valley. The zero-energy Landau level located on the layer furthest from the hBN substrate remains unaffected by the moiré perturbation, which makes the BLG/hBN spectrum unique in comparison to other known magnetic spectra, for which all Landau levels split into subbands. We investigated the influence of different possible characteristics of moiré perturbation including an electrostatic potential which does not distinguish between the two carbon sublattices, a sublattice-asymmetric part of the potential, and a spatial modulation of the nearest-neighbor carbon-carbon hopping amplitude, and we identified how they give rise to different features in the electronic spectra including gapped or overlapping bands, or bands connected by a secondary Dirac point. In addition to determining the fractal electronic spectra by numerical diagonalization of a model Hamiltonian, we also derived simple effective Hamiltonians to describe low-energy features in the magnetic minibands and to describe the secondary Dirac point. Finally, we showed how gaps in the fractal energy spectrum lead to the formation of incompressible states that may be observed under a variation of carrier density and magnetic field.
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