

*Citation for published version:*

Hutchins, MG, McGrane, S, Miller, J, Hagen-Zanker, A, Kjeldsen, T, Dadson, S & Rowland, C 2017, 'Integrated modeling in urban hydrology: reviewing the role of monitoring technology in overcoming the issue of 'big data' requirements', *WIREs Water*, vol. 4, e1177. <https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1177>

*DOI:*

[10.1002/wat2.1177](https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1177)

*Publication date:*

2017

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

This is the peer reviewed version of the following article: Michael G. Hutchins, Scott J. McGrane, James D. Miller, Alex Hagen-Zanker, Thomas R. Kjeldsen, Simon J. Dadson, Clare S. Rowland (2016) Integrated modeling in urban hydrology: reviewing the role of monitoring technology in overcoming the issue of 'big data' requirements. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Water*, 4(1), which has been published in final form at [10.1002/wat2.1177](https://doi.org/10.1002/wat2.1177). This article may be used for non-commercial purposes in accordance with Wiley Terms and Conditions for Self-Archiving.

**University of Bath**

## **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[openaccess@bath.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@bath.ac.uk)

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



WILEY

**Integrated modelling in urban hydrology: reviewing the role of monitoring technology in overcoming the issue of 'big data' requirements**

Journal:	<i>WIREs Water</i>
Manuscript ID	WATER-223.R1
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Advanced Review
Date Submitted by the Author:	n/a
Complete List of Authors:	Hutchins, Michael McGrane, Scott Miller, James Hagen-Zanker, Alex Kjeldsen, Thomas; Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering Dadson, Simon; School of Geography and the Environment Rowland, Clare
Choose 1-3 topics to categorize your article:	

SCHOLARONE™  
Manuscripts



**Article type:** Advanced Review

**Article title:** Integrated Modelling in Urban Hydrology: Reviewing the role of monitoring technology in overcoming the issue of 'big data' requirements

**Authors:**

**Full name and affiliation; email address if corresponding author; any conflicts of interest**

<b>First author</b> Michael G. Hutchins*, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, UK, OX10 8BB, no conflicts
<b>Second author</b> Scott J. McGrane, School of Mathematics & Statistics, University of Glasgow, Glasgow, G12 8QQ, no conflicts
<b>Third author</b> James D. Miller, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Wallingford, UK, OX10 8BB, no conflicts
<b>Fourth author</b> Alex Hagen-Zanker, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of Surrey, Guildford, Surrey, GU2 7XH, no conflicts
<b>Fifth author</b> Thomas R. Kjeldsen, Department of Architecture and Civil Engineering, University of Bath, Bath, UK, BA2 7AY, no conflicts
<b>Sixth author</b> Simon J. Dadson, School of Geography and the Environment, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK, OX1 3QY, no conflicts
<b>Seventh author</b> Clare S. Rowland, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Lancaster Environment Centre, Bailrigg, Lancaster, UK, LA1 4AP, no conflicts

**Abstract**

Increasingly, the application of models in urban hydrology has undergone a shift toward integrated structures that recognise the interconnected nature of the urban landscape and both the natural and engineered water cycles. Improvements in computational processing during the past few decades have enabled the application of multiple, connected model structures that link previously disparate systems together, incorporating feedbacks and connections. Many applications of integrated models look to

1  
2  
3 assess the impacts of environmental change on physical dynamics and quality of landscapes. Whilst  
4 these integrated structures provide a more robust representation of natural dynamics, they often place  
5 considerable data requirements on the user, whereby data is required at contrasting spatial and  
6 temporal scales which can often transcend multiple disciplines. Concomitantly, our ability to observe  
7 complex, natural phenomena at contrasting scales has improved considerably with the advent of  
8 increasingly novel monitoring technologies. This has provided a pathway for reducing model uncertainty  
9 and improving our confidence in modelled outputs by implementing suitable monitoring regimes. This  
10 commentary assesses how component models of an exemplar integrated model have advanced over the  
11 past few decades, with a critical focus on the role of monitoring technologies that have enabled better  
12 identification of the key physical process. This reduces the uncertainty of processes at contrasting spatial  
13 and temporal scales, through a better characterisation of feedbacks which then enhances the utility of  
14 integrated model applications.  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19

## 20 21 1. Introduction

22  
23 Urbanisation is a global trend with more than 55% of the current world population living in urban areas.  
24 There are now 394 cities home to over 1 million inhabitants, an increase which represents a doubling of  
25 the urban population in a 50 year period<sup>1,2</sup>. As the urban population continues to grow and spread  
26 laterally into previously undeveloped areas, a significant stress is placed on natural resources and  
27 environmental quality, having a demonstrable impact on atmospheric and hydrological processes as well  
28 as the quality of the surrounding environments<sup>3,4,5,6</sup>. The presence of the urban heat island; alterations  
29 to air currents and increased particulate matter above urban space result in the emergence of  
30 microclimates, which impact on input precipitation and evapotranspiration rates. The creation of  
31 impervious surfaces alters dominant runoff-generating processes, flowpaths and infiltration, which can  
32 have a profound effect on the overall catchment water balance<sup>7</sup>. The input of freshwater supply and  
33 extraction of sewage via large piped networks provide artificial inputs and outputs to the catchment  
34 water balance, making it difficult to quantify the components of the water cycle<sup>8</sup>.  
35  
36  
37  
38

39  
40 Urban space also contributes to degradation of water quality, as contaminants and sediment are  
41 transferred into urban waterways<sup>4</sup>. Sources of urban pollution fall into two main groups: (i) those  
42 transported via the sewerage system and (ii) those such as roads, building sites and atmospheric  
43 deposition, which arrive in receiving water bodies via stormwater drains and diffuse pathways<sup>6</sup>. The  
44 second group poses greater concern to urban hydrologists and land managers as their pathways remain  
45 relatively unknown, hence monitoring and predicting these fluxes remains a considerable challenge<sup>9</sup>.  
46 With the emergence of new contaminants such as those found in personal care products,  
47 pharmaceuticals and industrial wastes, increasingly advanced monitoring regimes are required to map  
48 the spatio-temporal dynamics of their sources and pathways to formulate effective remediation  
49 strategies. Novel contaminants (e.g., microbial contaminants and pharmaceuticals) enter into sewer  
50 systems, where historically they would not have been targeted for treatment in wastewater treatment  
51 works, often resulting in their discharge back into the water environment untreated<sup>10</sup>.  
52  
53  
54

55  
56 Here, we introduce and reprise the development of integrated modelling techniques to assess impacts of  
57 urban expansion on the aquatic environment, whilst discussing the central role that advances in  
58 monitoring technology (Table 1) has played in enabling their widespread application. We introduce an  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 example of an integrated model structure to elucidate how such models are developed to tackle real-  
4 world problems and assess how the components, as well as the underpinning monitoring technologies  
5 have advanced in recent years. We conclude by addressing some of the remaining limitations and assess  
6 future priorities for the continued development of urban systems modelling.  
7  
8  
9

## 10 2. Integrated Modelling and “big data”

### 11 2.1. Rationale, and definitions of integration

12  
13  
14  
15 Historically, efforts to model the expansion and impacts of the urban environment on hydrological  
16 dynamics and aquatic integrity have relied on a fragmented network of independent model structures  
17 that simulate specific processes, often overlooking any connections or feedbacks between linked  
18 systems<sup>11,12</sup>. Increasingly, modelling of urban systems has started to adopt an integrated approach  
19 whereby complex systems are treated as interconnected rather than disparate, placing an emphasis on  
20 the interactions and feedbacks to enable sufficient understanding of the entire system<sup>8,13,14</sup>. Over the  
21 past 40 years, integrated modelling has grown concomitantly with improvements in computational  
22 power, which has traditionally been a barrier to high-level simulation<sup>15</sup>. In addition, expansive data  
23 requirements across multiple model structures have also traditionally precluded widespread  
24 application<sup>15</sup>.  
25  
26  
27

28  
29 The role of modelling in urban hydrology has expanded with improvements in computational hardware  
30 to become a frequently utilised tool. Models of the urban water environment serve two primary  
31 functions: (i) elucidating some of the ‘black box’ processes that occur within the urban system, such as  
32 dominant flow pathways at both the surface and in pipe-systems and their connections, and (ii)  
33 predicting future environmental changes (both land-use expansion and climate change) and their  
34 subsequent impact on the hydrological cycle. Bach et al. highlight the 1982 study of the Glatt Valley in  
35 Switzerland, which sought a combined understanding of multiple components of an urban water system,  
36 as the pioneering study into integrated model applications in urban water studies<sup>16</sup>. This study also  
37 highlighted a key difficulty in early integrated modelling applications, as it required over 40 people to  
38 detect and track contaminants through the wastewater treatment system from rainfall input to the  
39 receiving water during a storm. It identified the impacts of stormflow on secondary wastewater  
40 treatment dynamics, whilst also tracking the temporal patterns of pollutants within the drainage system,  
41 treatment processes and receiving water bodies.  
42  
43  
44  
45

46 Integrated models are developed at contrasting scales, often to address very specific research questions.  
47 These range from assessing the impacts of development on receiving water bodies<sup>17,18</sup>, to optimising the  
48 treatment steps and processes within a wastewater treatment plant<sup>19,20</sup>, to large-scale modelling efforts  
49 that are used in decision and policy applications and utilise social and economic variables<sup>21,22,23</sup>. The  
50 differing scale and range of research questions posed of models can be viewed as defining the type and  
51 extent of “integration”. A comprehensive review of model integration in urban environments<sup>12</sup> identifies  
52 four tiers of integration which are described graphically (Figure 1) illustrating the increasing breadth of  
53 disciplinary coverage and spatial extent from component based models within individual sub-systems  
54 (Tier 1) to holistic integrated urban water systems (Tier 4). Historically, integrating activity has  
55 predominantly focussed on integrating components of drainage systems (Tier 2); examples of which  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 enable multi-constituent modelling and the consideration of both acute and chronic impacts at a range  
4 of spatial scales.  
5

6  
7 Increasingly, integrated models have been applied as environmental decision support systems (EDSS),  
8 enabling officials and planners to assimilate sufficient information to assess the impacts of particular  
9 scenarios or policy implementations accordingly<sup>24</sup>. As with the integrated models themselves, examples  
10 of use of EDSS to assess impacts of urban areas cover a wide contextual spectrum, from the urban water  
11 cycle itself (UWOT)<sup>25</sup> to basin scale management (e.g. the Elbe-DSS)<sup>26</sup> to appraisal across wider domains  
12 as part of ecosystem services assessments (e.g. Envision)<sup>27</sup>. As a consequence of the contrasting spatial  
13 and temporal scales, integrated models often operate as a balance between parsimony and pragmatism,  
14 whereby representation of the overall system is a key outcome, often achieved by representing  
15 complexity at local scales<sup>12</sup>. Increasingly, there is an array of 'off-the-shelf' model packages available  
16 (e.g., SIMBA<sup>28</sup>; Aquacycle<sup>29</sup> and MIKE toolbox), however these are often limited by their proprietary  
17 source-code, meaning users have little flexibility to modify how such models operate, though an  
18 increasing number of open-source integrated models are becoming available (e.g., CityDRAIN<sup>30</sup> and  
19 TyndallCities/ARCADIA USM<sup>31</sup>).  
20  
21  
22  
23

## 24 *2.2. Integrated Modelling: a generic example and review of the development of component models*

25  
26 We consider an example whereby the role of urban growth on hydrological dynamics and water quality  
27 within a large basin is addressed using integrated modelling. Here, the overarching objective is to  
28 provide knowledge to inform future development policy that supports sustainable water resources (the  
29 POLLCURB project focussing on the Thames basin (southern UK): [www.pollcurb.ceh.ac.uk](http://www.pollcurb.ceh.ac.uk)). Such an  
30 endeavour requires research teams with diverse modelling and data collection skills. To achieve  
31 objectives coverage of the following domains with an array of interconnected models is essential: (i)  
32 Land-use change (ii) Urban drainage (iii) Rainfall-runoff (iv) Water quality. In this example modelling the  
33 impacts of climate change is also required and in addition, other features, notably population growth  
34 and wastewater treatment processes, must be represented. Pragmatism excluded explicit consideration  
35 of other relevant domains (e.g. urban air quality, aquatic ecology). The rationale involves identifying key  
36 relationships between land-use, flow and water quality derived at the local scale (< 100 km<sup>2</sup>) from case-  
37 study sub-catchments that have experienced rapid urbanisation in recent decades. By way of upscaling,  
38 these relationships would then be employed for predictive purposes at basin scale (> 5000 km<sup>2</sup>).  
39 Therefore, in terms of integration concepts (Figure 1) the overall model philosophy sits in Tier 4.  
40 Developments of modelling techniques covering the four key domains are charted below.  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 *2.2.1. Land-Use Change Modelling (LUCM)*

47  
48 Urban growth modelling is primarily concerned with how growing populations and economic  
49 development lead to changes in land use. Classical urban models are based on equilibrium theory and  
50 the notion that land use will develop to an optimal spatial distribution of activities in terms of access to  
51 jobs, markets and labour. The Lowry model<sup>32</sup> is an early and highly influential model of this type whereby  
52 for a given employment in the basic sector it estimates the distribution of the population supported by  
53 these jobs, as well as the further retail and service jobs meeting local demand. The spatial distributions  
54 are based on distance-frequency relationships, known as gravity models. To date, equilibrium-based  
55 modelling has developed into two main classes of urban model: land-use transport interaction modelling  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 (LUTI) and Computational General Equilibrium modelling (CGE). CGE are used for macro-level economic  
4 modelling with strong theoretical rigor and minimal assumptions<sup>33</sup>, at the cost of remaining relatively  
5 abstract in its conclusions. In contrast, LUTI models use a bottom-up approach, taking the behaviour of  
6 micro-economic agents as a starting point; these models typically represent the transport network in  
7 detail and consider the interactions between industrial sectors and socio-economic groups in spatially  
8 refined geographical regions. Being more pragmatic than CGE, LUTI models tend to include some ad-hoc  
9 assumptions at the interface between more-or-less established sectorial- or discipline-specific models<sup>34</sup>.  
10 In recent years the approaches have converged, with LUTI models adopting more theoretical rigour<sup>35</sup>  
11 and CGE models becoming more spatially explicit<sup>36</sup>. Both LUTI and CGE models are primarily economic  
12 models and whilst they are suited and used for integrated analysis, it is through the mechanisms of  
13 markets. The data required are typically collected by national statistical agencies and census bureaus  
14 (information on population and employment detailed by socio-economic groups, industrial section and,  
15 importantly, geographical regions). LUTI models in particular rely on fine resolution geographical detail,  
16 to realistically incorporate the role of the transport system.  
17  
18  
19  
20

21  
22 In the late 1970s an important new paradigm was introduced to urban modelling as it was increasingly  
23 recognized that the development of urban systems is lagging behind the drivers of change, and urban  
24 systems are therefore chronically out of equilibrium. The growth of cities is chaotic, path-dependent and  
25 complex mathematically<sup>37,38</sup>. Early models based on this paradigm were no longer finding equilibrium  
26 solutions of urban configurations but were simulation models that explored possible trajectories of  
27 change<sup>39</sup>. A second development in urban growth modelling that occurred over the same time was the  
28 development of 'cellular worlds'<sup>40,41</sup>. These abandoned the use of regions or zone-systems and the  
29 thereby implied assumptions about urban boundaries and structure in favour of dynamics based on  
30 neutral, fine scale, regular grids. These models simulated growth of urban systems as a bottom-up  
31 process, in a petri-dish kind of environment, very well aligned with mathematical<sup>42</sup>, and economic<sup>43</sup>  
32 understanding of the macro-level manifestations of micro-level processes. These developments gave rise  
33 to the cellular automata land use change model<sup>44,45</sup> the dominant form of urban model today which has  
34 a more pronounced physical and geographical basis than LUTI or CGE. In addition to transport  
35 accessibility, factors determining where urban growth occurs include slope, soil and other factors of  
36 physical suitability, zoning (spatial planning) status, and the land uses found in the direct neighbourhood.  
37 As such the cellular automata models are highly appropriate for integration with other socio-economical  
38 and physical models<sup>46,47</sup>.  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

### 44 *2.2.2. Urban Drainage Modelling*

45  
46 The expansion of urban areas results in extension to subsurface urban drainage infrastructure alongside  
47 increased influence of flood storage areas and road drainage. A growing number of urban drainage  
48 model packages now exist, and with improvements in computational efficiency these incorporate  
49 increasing levels of spatial and temporal detail (Table 2). A common drawback in the early days was that  
50 urban models often operated in isolation from the surrounding landscape<sup>14,48</sup>. Urban drainage modelling  
51 has evolved markedly in recent decades in response to advances in computing power and an increasing  
52 interest from a planning, hazard and management perspective. Whilst underpinning mathematical  
53 functions have remained largely unchanged, modelling has been buoyed by the assimilation of GIS  
54 software, enabling rapid determination of complex drainage networks, runoff pathways and sewerage  
55 catchment areas<sup>49</sup>. The release of CHI's PCSWMM program (an enhanced version of the US EPA SWMM  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 model) combines GIS, hydraulic modelling and hydrological modelling in one platform. PCSWMM  
4 contains a GIS interface that supports Open Street Maps, Bing Maps, Google Maps (including a Google  
5 Earth interface) and ESRI OS<sup>®</sup> mapping products (Table 2) enabling easier identification of subcatchment  
6 boundaries, pipe networks and catchment characteristics.  
7

8  
9 In a perspicacious review, Bach et al., track the move towards integration in urban drainage modelling  
10 over the past three decades. Historically considered separately, Wastewater Treatment Plants (WWTPs)  
11 have increasingly become recognised as integral parts of urban water systems, particularly in relation to  
12 water quality and baseline chemistry<sup>5</sup>. Furthermore, if WWTPs receive large quantities of water from  
13 outside catchment boundaries, water balance is no longer explicable in terms of natural rainfall-runoff  
14 dynamics<sup>50</sup>. As a result, there has been increasing effort to link natural hydrological dynamics and urban  
15 drainage systems with WWTP processes. Sequential treatment processes within WWTPs are increasingly  
16 being represented intrinsically to give a ‘plant-wide’ model of both water fluxes and water quality<sup>12</sup>.  
17 Rates of urbanisation typically outstrip increase in WWTP capacity, often resulting in a reduction in the  
18 efficiency of some processes<sup>51</sup>. The ‘plant-wide’ approach to modelling WWTP simulations is successfully  
19 used to quantify impacts of urban growth on wastewater loads and thereby on effluent water quality.  
20  
21  
22

### 23 24 *2.2.3. Rainfall-runoff Modelling*

25  
26 Hydrological models of varying complexity are routinely used for predicting storm runoff volume and  
27 peak flow magnitude in urban areas. Several comprehensive reviews of urban runoff modelling have  
28 recently been published<sup>52,53,54</sup>. However, most of the recent advances are related to the hydraulic  
29 components, routing storm water across urban surfaces to and through sewer systems, and used to  
30 predict inundation<sup>55,56</sup>. These advances have capitalised on increasing processing power combined with  
31 the emergence of new spatial datasets allowing a more detailed hydraulic description of the urban  
32 geometry (e.g. high resolution topographical data such as LiDAR)<sup>57</sup>. When modelling infiltration in urban  
33 catchments, land is divided into two portions, one overlain by impervious surfaces (e.g. roads, roofs,  
34 pavements) the other characterised as pervious, suggesting soils covered by vegetation. The pervious  
35 areas comprise rural land outside the urban area or green-field sites within it, such as parks or gardens.  
36 Impervious areas are commonly subdivided into those directly connected to the man-made drainage  
37 system (also known as effective urban areas), and those lacking direct hydraulic connection<sup>58</sup>. Using this  
38 simplified representation, a number of hydrological models treat runoff generation as two separate  
39 systems, which when combined form the total runoff response<sup>59,60,61,62</sup>.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44

45 A key consideration for urban impacts on runoff response is scale. For example, at very local level,  
46 particular features such as local storage ponds and the layout of the sewer system layout are likely to  
47 significantly affect runoff. However, further downstream the same urban area might only represent a  
48 small fraction of the total catchment, and the localised effects become relatively less important. In terms  
49 of land use data there is a strong case for using a scale-dependent level of detail. For example, at large  
50 scales a straightforward distinction between urban and non-urban may suffice, whereas in specific cases  
51 a detailed classification (e.g. suburban, peri-urban, effective impervious areas, or even down to roofs,  
52 roads, gardens, drive ways, pavements etc.) may be necessary. Several studies assess the impact of  
53 urban development on catchment runoff across scales, from small<sup>63</sup> to large<sup>64,54</sup>. A coherent view from  
54 the literature of the best means to represent urban areas at different scales to ensure appropriate  
55 representation of processes is lacking.  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



#### 2.2.4. Urban Water Quality Modelling

A progression has been apparent in water-quality model development, from pathogens and DO<sup>66,67,68</sup>; to nutrients and eutrophication<sup>69,70,71</sup>; to industrial pollution legacies (e.g., toxic organics and metals)<sup>72,73,74,75</sup> and on to the present day where focus is increasingly on emerging contaminants (e.g., synthetic chemicals, pharmaceuticals and microbial contaminants) and nanoparticles, where models are at less advanced stages and remain active fields of research (Table 3). Many of the advances in water quality modelling have been pioneered by increasing access to high quality data, used for both model calibration and parameterisation. Monitoring of water quality is often fuelled by sporadic and seasonally motivated sampling, often driven by events or policies that address specific contaminant problems in a responsive manner. Consequently, datasets are rarely comprehensive, only providing a snapshot in many areas<sup>76</sup>. As novel contaminants continue to emerge, our monitoring ability must keep pace prior to simulating their dynamics in aquatic environments. In addition, the boundary conditions of water quality models for specific contaminants often remain understudied or poorly understood, where a dearth of observed data is available for calibration or parameterisation. For example, a better understanding of contaminant fluxes and interactions from landscapes or facilities (e.g., WWTPs) that input into urban areas is required, to support our understanding of how such dynamics will emerge in urban streams. As technology enhances the resolution and quality of data captured in the field, development of more robust and reliable models that provide a holistic representation of the urban water system become increasingly possible.

In summary, prerequisites for a successful water quality model stem from three key factors: (i) good water quality data for calibration and parameterisation of model structures, (ii) accurately capturing the hydrological dynamics and (iii) ability to determine the sources of pollutants from contrasting landscapes. As a result, efforts to model urban water quality are increasingly turning to an ensemble approach, whereby model structures are coupled with hydraulic, WWTP, surface and atmospheric models, to represent sources and sinks of sediment and contaminants. In terms of biological parameters, representation of autotroph photosynthesis and respiration is commonplace in water quality models as they are fundamental in influencing dissolved oxygen levels. Higher trophic levels, however, are rarely considered beyond their role in acting as a grazing control on autotrophs. Simulation of biological response has largely remained detached from integrated model systems.

#### 2.3. Integrated Modelling and big data requirements: the monitoring context

To be effective, integrated models such as POLLCURB require a significant and diverse collection of (often high-resolution) data. In this case the key sources of information are long-term datasets of climate, land use and river water resources (hydrology and water quality). Our ability to access widespread sources of data has expanded considerably in recent decades. High-resolution data (both spatial and temporal) has become an invaluable tool for aiding our understanding and, accordingly, for reduction of predictive uncertainty. Whilst data availability and our scope to access it has improved greatly in recent years, a key barrier that remains to widespread implementation of integrated models is the considerable requirements that such “big-data” impose<sup>15</sup>. Furthermore, it is essential that datasets can be effective in identifying interactions and in the process of calibrating component models within the integrated framework. Consequently, capturing not only the physical processes but also their

1  
2  
3 connections and feedbacks places considerable strain on modelling practitioners<sup>12</sup>. Taking each of the  
4 four key domains in turn, the remainder of this article will critically review how advances in monitoring  
5 techniques (summarised in Table 1) have improved integrated model development and applications  
6 (Section 3). In particular, we will explore how these advances enable feedbacks and interactions to be  
7 better represented. To conclude (in Section 4) we will reflect on how advances in monitoring frame the  
8 development of the POLLCURB model application, in particular pinpointing the main aspects needing  
9 strong integration.  
10  
11

### 12 13 **3. A review of advances in monitoring to support integrated model components**

14  
15 Our example of quantifying impacts of urban growth illustrates unique demands imposed on integrated  
16 modelling by issues of interconnections, Urbanisation is driven by regional economic development,  
17 emergence of new industry, and housing accordingly; a linkage that has been long recognised dating  
18 back to Malthus<sup>30</sup>. Planning policy combined with economic growth models can yield detailed insight  
19 into how urban areas will expand into the future, both spatially and temporally. Calibration of such  
20 growth (to enable future predictions) requires considerable data from multiple sources including  
21 economic indices, population metrics, planning policy documents and remotely sensed urban imagery to  
22 track urban growth at contrasting spatial and temporal scales<sup>11</sup>. Furthermore, given the iterative nature  
23 of development in urban areas within developed countries, changes of land use typically occur across  
24 multiple years and in small spatial zones, thereby a reliance on long-term, high-resolution monitoring  
25 (often limited in its availability and prohibitively expensive<sup>15</sup>) serves to restrict the skill and utility of land-  
26 use change models. As urban growth occurs, infrastructure such as road networks, public transport  
27 infrastructure and urban drainage grows concomitantly. Assessing the impacts of growth on rainfall-  
28 runoff and urban drainage dynamics requires access to detailed network maps of existing drainage to  
29 assess how surface drainage dynamics will change accordingly. Such data can be sensitive and difficult to  
30 acquire as water management authorities can often be resistant to disseminate such large spatial  
31 datasets. However, accurate representation of urban hydrological dynamics is dependent upon  
32 knowledge of water routing, and so acquisition of drainage infrastructure maps is critical in integrated  
33 urban modelling<sup>11</sup>. Within urban areas, wastewater treatment facilities contribute considerable artificial  
34 inputs to catchment water balances and water quality. Quantifying the role of these plants is crucial to  
35 the success of integrated model applications, and as such, acquiring volumetric discharge and effluent  
36 water quality is important. Finally, representing the dominant rainfall-runoff dynamics and associated  
37 water quality from contrasting landscapes requires high spatial- and temporal-resolution monitoring  
38 regimes to connect component models, thereby enabling a sufficiently robust basis for calibration and  
39 reduction of uncertainty.  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47

#### 48 **3.1. Data Requirements and Monitoring Advances in LUCM**

49  
50 Data informing cellular automata models are often, and increasingly, based on remote sensing, i.e.  
51 satellite imagery. Current developments are prominently geared towards containing and unravelling the  
52 inherent complexity of cellular automata, through sensitivity analysis and validation<sup>77</sup>, and the  
53 development of methods for estimation/calibration<sup>78,79,80</sup>. Calibrating land-use change models requires  
54 reasonable baseline data, against which model outputs can be trained. The advance in urban remote  
55 sensing technologies and post-capture processing computing has enabled the rapid proliferation of land-  
56 use data at increasingly high resolutions. The earliest urban remote sensing for quantifying impervious  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 surfaces made use of aerial photography<sup>81,82</sup>. Archives of aerial photography stretch back over 60 years  
4 in some places, however their coverage is often temporally and spatially sparse. Despite this limitation,  
5 aerial photographs provide a valuable resource for quantifying urbanisation in selected areas<sup>83</sup>. The  
6 upgrade to high-resolution imagery enabled increased accuracy for detecting urban spread<sup>84</sup>.  
7 Commercial high-resolution sensors have traditionally been restricted owing to international security  
8 concerns. However, such limitations have since been relaxed and high-resolution satellite imagery (e.g.,  
9 IKONOS-2, ORBIMAGE Inc and Quickbird-2) are now widely available, providing scope to track real-time  
10 changes within urban areas<sup>85, 86, 87, 88</sup>.  
11  
12

13  
14 Most urban applications of remote sensing (RS) have used optical data, although radar and LiDAR are  
15 becoming increasingly common. For urban hydrology there are three key types of data set derived from  
16 RS, specifically land cover classifications, impervious surface and topography. Land cover classifications  
17 provide maps of land cover that typically include at least one urban class. Examples include urban extent  
18 from land cover maps which are widely available at a range of scales from country-wide, such as the US  
19 National Land Cover Database (NLCD)<sup>89</sup> and the 2007 UK Land Cover Map (LCM2007)<sup>90</sup>, to continental  
20 and global-level with the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS)<sup>91</sup> and GLOBCOVER  
21 products. Currently a number of single-class (i.e., urban/non-urban) RS products are in production  
22 including the European Urban Atlas and Germany's Space Agency (DLR) global classification of human  
23 settlement from TanDEM-X, generating a global urban extent dataset with a spatial resolution of around  
24 30m<sup>92</sup>. Impervious surface mapping contains a number of methods that have been developed for  
25 capturing the percentage impervious area from satellite data<sup>87,93,94</sup>. An impervious surface product  
26 derived from Landsat data is now part of the United States national land cover data based (NLCD)  
27 products<sup>65</sup>, including a procedure to update it<sup>96</sup>. Finally, topographical information can be derived from  
28 both LiDAR and InSAR data and have an important role to play in urban flood modelling studies<sup>97</sup>. Less  
29 research has been conducted on deriving 'effective' or 'directly connected impervious area' (EIA/DCIA),  
30 and how impervious such surfaces are in reality<sup>87</sup>. Early efforts to derive EIA/DCIA focused upon using  
31 empirical hydrological data or field surveys<sup>98</sup> while more recent research has utilized technology such as  
32 RS and GIS to estimate the effective impervious area<sup>99</sup>. With modern urban developments increasingly  
33 containing sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) such as permeable paving (that are difficult to  
34 distinguish via remote sensing from impervious paving), there is a requirement for more detailed  
35 mapping of urban land-use to reflect the diversity of hydrologic-footprint affected by contemporary  
36 urban land-use, for which high-resolution sensors provide scope.  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43

### 44 **3.2. Urban Drainage Data and Observation**

45  
46 Given careful processing of remotely sensed imagery or land-use data, GIS techniques permit  
47 connections to be made between changes in land-use and extension of drainage networks. In the United  
48 Kingdom, following the Pitt review into the 2007 flooding<sup>100</sup>, most local authorities and water companies  
49 developed digital records of urban drainage infrastructure, enabling an increasingly accurate system-  
50 based representation of city drainage dynamics. Therefore, as charted in Section 2.2.2 the increasing  
51 widespread availability of digitised drainage data has enabled the development of detailed and powerful  
52 urban drainage models.  
53  
54

55  
56 However, the use of such models has often been hindered by the lack of available observed data for  
57 calibration. Information pertaining to the design and operation of various key elements to urban  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 drainage infrastructure are often being subject to administrative and political barriers to access. In this  
4 respect, specific information on diversion structures, treatment units, combined sewer overflows and  
5 depression storage areas, important for successful applications of complex process-oriented models are  
6 not always readily accessible.  
7

8  
9 These limitations of data accessibility are perhaps most severely compounded by uncertainty  
10 surrounding the integrity of such networks, where defective pipe systems can result in considerable  
11 influx or loss of water. Leaking infrastructure has been shown to contribute significantly to groundwater  
12 recharge across Europe. For example, Yang et al., estimated that 70% of groundwater recharge from the  
13 UK city of Nottingham could be traced to leaking infrastructure<sup>101</sup>. A report by the European  
14 Environment Agency demonstrated that leaking infrastructure can range from 3% in Germany to over  
15 50% in Bulgaria<sup>102</sup>. Lerner identified recharge rates of 30% in Lima (Peru), and also attributed 50% of  
16 total recharge in Hong Kong to leakage contributions with values ranging from 260mm to 2950mm/yr<sup>103</sup>.  
17 Contributions from leaking infrastructure to soil water, and thus groundwater recharge, have long been  
18 recognised but quantifying their impacts has proven problematic, often resulting in adoption of  
19 environmental tracer techniques and model applications<sup>104,105,106</sup>. Whilst both approaches are valid,  
20 these estimates are often time consuming and uncertain. A direct method for measuring loss has thus  
21 far proved elusive.  
22  
23  
24  
25

26  
27 Leakage detection systems (LDS) have advanced substantially in the past few decades as utility  
28 companies and governments recognise the economic and resource benefits of identifying and treating  
29 inefficient infrastructure<sup>107</sup>. Labour-intensive, costly traditional methods of detection are based on  
30 regular schedules or specific failure events<sup>108,109</sup>. Technological advances in Wireless Sensory Networks  
31 (WiSN) have resulted in the emergence of portable, inexpensive equipment of low-power requirement  
32 for remote real-time monitoring of pipe flow<sup>110</sup>. WiSNs monitor pressure, flow velocity and vibrations  
33 (via acoustic sensors) in supply- or sewage-pipe networks, where a change in the respective variable is  
34 recorded between sensor nodes and can be integrated into a GIS network, where wireless relays can  
35 highlight emergent problems<sup>111</sup>. Experimental applications of WiSN highlight potential to utilise this  
36 technology as a method for deriving volumes of water loss from underground transfer systems<sup>112,113</sup>. For  
37 example, Li et al., applied a WiSN to the water supply system in Beijing and estimated that it helped  
38 detect pipe defects and inform maintenance that reduced leakage volumes by  $4 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$  of drinking  
39 water between 2007 and 2009, representing 80% of China's cumulative water losses ( $5 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^3$ )<sup>114</sup>. WiSN  
40 networks can provide data that quantifies water losses from infrastructure and allows utility providers to  
41 repair defective pipelines. However widespread application of such networks has not yet been realised.  
42  
43  
44  
45

### 46 **3.3. Data Supporting Rainfall-Runoff Modelling**

#### 47 **3.3.1. Rainfall Monitoring**

48  
49 Conventional rainfall gauging techniques have increasingly been supplemented by widespread radar  
50 coverage, which provides greater scope for predictive interpretation of evolving rainfall patterns,  
51 although it is accompanied by significant uncertainties. An extensive overview of rainfall-radar methods  
52 is beyond the scope of this review but is provided by numerous authors<sup>115,116,117</sup>. Radar has obvious  
53 advantages over standard gauges, providing areal averages as opposed to point measurements.  
54 Advances in radar-rainfall estimations have been two-fold: (i) in radar sensory systems and (ii) the  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 processing algorithms that correct against known sources of error<sup>118</sup>. However, it should be stressed that  
4 prior to use in hydrological modelling, difficulties of handling large datasets and complications concerned  
5 with the calibration of radar data still remain and require pre-processing<sup>119,120</sup>. The recent emergence of  
6 X-band technology scales spatial resolution by a factor of 10, resulting in the ability to monitor  
7 precipitation data at the hectometre scale, rather than kilometre<sup>121</sup>. As a result, X-band radar has the  
8 potential for important applications in the urban environment, providing local authorities with smaller  
9 and more affordable infrastructure that has the capacity to generate forecasts and early warnings at the  
10 very local scale (e.g. EU funded project, RainGain (<http://www.raingain.eu/en/raingain>)). The  
11 development of X-band polarimetric radar instruments is an encouraging step, as they are capable of  
12 detecting ground clutter and enhance the reflection to rainfall relationship through the incorporation of  
13 polarimetric parameters and correction for attenuation<sup>5</sup>. The shift towards radar has resulted in  
14 increasingly high spatial and temporal resolution rainfall data, which is useful in modelling applications,  
15 particularly for the prediction and management of flood events<sup>122,123</sup>.

16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21 New radar developed in UK measures humidity via a refractivity index, which is then calibrated via  
22 synoptic weather stations on the ground<sup>124</sup>. This identifies areas where the outbreak of convective  
23 storms is likely to occur, thus potentially improving the ability to forecast pluvial flooding in urban areas.  
24 Additionally, the measurement of the emission from attenuating objects can now be determined and  
25 used to correct signal uncertainty<sup>125</sup>. This technique is currently being implemented into the UK radar  
26 network and will result in the reduction of real-time estimation uncertainties. Increasingly novel  
27 methods are being applied to determine rainfall estimates across urban areas, which could greatly aid in  
28 calibration of more conventional monitoring techniques (Table 1). Zinevich et al., (2010) cite a relatively  
29 successful means of detecting rainfall fields using microwave tomography from commercial mobile  
30 phone networks<sup>126</sup>. This approach relies on the attenuation of microwaves during precipitation events  
31 and as terrestrial microwave links are normally within 10m of the ground surface, such a method  
32 provides a good basis for estimation of precipitation at the near-surface area<sup>127</sup>. Recent research by  
33 Bianchi et al., demonstrated the possibility of combining this information with rain gauges and radar to  
34 derive increasingly accurate precipitation estimates<sup>128</sup>.

### 39 *3.3.2. Evapotranspiration*

40  
41  
42 Historically, evapotranspiration in urban areas has been the focus of urban climatologists interested in  
43 capturing the urban energy budget and quantifying the urban heat island<sup>13,129</sup>. Large buildings and street  
44 canyons create local variations in airflow and thermal regimes, which result in the transfer of energy and  
45 highly variable eddy currents, affecting the dynamics of evapotranspiration<sup>130</sup>. Furthermore, the  
46 patchwork presence of impervious surfaces and vegetated areas create a complex pattern of  
47 evapotranspiration, where empirical measurement can often be difficult. However, the varying height of  
48 the urban roughness sublayer and inaccurate spatial and temporal scaling laws was demonstrated to  
49 create major uncertainties in this method in urban areas<sup>131</sup>. Empirical studies of urban  
50 evapotranspiration initially utilised eddy correlation methods, which measure the vertical velocity and  
51 moisture content of air parcels<sup>132</sup>. Promising advances in technology, such as eddy covariance<sup>133</sup> and the  
52 application of scintillometers<sup>134</sup>, are increasingly being employed to derive accurate and reliable  
53 measurements of urban heat fluxes and ET.

### 54 *3.3.3. Infiltration Monitoring and Estimation*

1  
2  
3  
4 It is long established that the primary impacts of increase in impervious surface are reduced infiltration  
5 rates and travel times<sup>96</sup>. Recently, evidence relating these impacts to increased surface runoff, and  
6 reduced baseflow, has been extensive<sup>5,135,136,137,138</sup>. However, incorporation of new knowledge in  
7 hydrological models has been slow. In the past some modelling approaches have made the simplified  
8 assumption that 100% of the rainfall is converted into runoff transported overland and through  
9 stormwater drainage systems in impervious areas, yet this has often been found to be unrealistic. For  
10 example, Hollis and Ovenden found that the percentage runoff from a road was 50%<sup>139</sup>. Similarly, Ramier  
11 et al., found that between 30%-40% of rainfall on two roads was accounted for by evaporation and  
12 infiltration<sup>140</sup>. In a study of runoff from roofs, Ragab et al., found that, depending on pitch and aspect  
13 relative to dominant wind-direction, the percentage runoff varied from 61% to 91%<sup>141</sup>. Clearly, the term  
14 'impervious' can be misleading, and runoff from these areas should not automatically be assumed 100%  
15 of rainfall. Similar generalisations are made for pervious areas, in which soil infiltration is typically  
16 assumed to occur 'naturally'. In reality, compaction and mixing of soil horizons during construction  
17 results in reduction in porosity, where saturation excess is reached more quickly than in natural areas,  
18 and consequently runoff contributions from pervious areas are often underestimated in model  
19 applications.  
20

21  
22  
23  
24  
25 Assumptions concerning infiltration dynamics in urban space can remain a substantial source of model  
26 error. However, increasingly advanced field and laboratory techniques to determine infiltration and  
27 percent runoff estimates have been developed and can be widely applied, and thereby be potentially  
28 very beneficial for modelling, reducing the reliance on generalised assumptions. Field and laboratory  
29 based permeameters provide a hydraulic head to drive water into soil surfaces and directly derive  
30 saturated hydraulic conductivity<sup>142,143</sup>. Mini disk portable tension infiltrometers are easily transported  
31 and deployed in the field, enabling multiple spatial estimates. However, some of these 'direct' methods  
32 remain invariably time consuming and costly; require certain conditions to facilitate their use (e.g., flat  
33 surface), and are not always representative of soil infiltration dynamics across wider areas. Electrical  
34 resistivity techniques are potentially cost-effective for determining in-situ estimates of soil infiltration  
35 capacity<sup>132</sup>. Furthermore, hyperspectral remote sensing techniques can determine soil moisture at the  
36 near surface zone with a statistically significant correlation ( $R^2 = 0.7$ ) to simultaneous observations<sup>144</sup>. In  
37 urban areas, the applicability of these techniques is limited to pervious zones that permit measurement,  
38 often negating the inputs from infrastructure (e.g., septic tanks and ineffective infrastructure) sealed  
39 beneath urban surfaces<sup>145</sup>. This can be compounded by traditional assumptions that all rainfall in  
40 impervious areas is immediately routed to runoff and that infiltration defaults to zero. Determining the  
41 infiltration rates of pervious urban areas remains an important and active area of research which will  
42 provide insights on runoff generating mechanisms. It will allow more accurate coupling in models  
43 between pervious and connected impervious areas. Furthermore, a concerted effort is required to  
44 validate values of infiltration rate used for impervious zones, which currently may be impairing model  
45 performance.  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51

### 52 *3.3.4. Urban Runoff Observation*

53  
54  
55 Conventional velocity-area measurements remain the most common technique (c. 90% of gauging sites  
56 worldwide)<sup>145</sup> for determining discharge, whereby water velocity is measured at selected intervals across  
57 a known channel cross-section. The 2010 World Meteorological Organization (WMO) Manual on Stream  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 Gauging<sup>145</sup> outlines the advances made in hydrometry since the first version was published in 1980<sup>146</sup>.  
4 The emergence of novel techniques such as Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs); Acoustic  
5 Doppler Velocity Meters (ADVMS); laser Doppler velocimetry (LDV); electromagnetic channel-width coil  
6 and radar gauges have improved the resolution of automated monitoring. Measurements are now  
7 possible in uniquely urban locations such as sewer pipes and storm drains that are difficult to access. In  
8 such environments monitoring has previously been absent hindering calibration of urban drainage  
9 models<sup>12</sup>. Furthermore, the implementation of such technology onto remotely operated platforms (e.g.,  
10 ARC-Boat<sup>®</sup>, HR Wallingford) permits monitoring in particularly dangerous or contaminated environments  
11 without posing risk to the user. Monitoring flow and bathymetry via remote control<sup>147</sup> enables  
12 characterisation of hydrological events, making for better calibration of models. Finally, flow data  
13 capture, storage and transfer has been greatly advanced through application of satellite and radio based  
14 telemetry methods<sup>148</sup>, giving rapid access to data that can be used to provide real-time river level  
15 information for flood warnings (e.g. UK Environment Agency, NOAA). For example, Fulton and Ostrowski  
16 applied hand-held radar to determine flow velocities from which real-time streamflow were derived and  
17 input to existing hydraulic routing models<sup>149</sup>. Furthermore, real-time hydrological data provides early  
18 diagnosis of equipment error, thus maintaining continuity and reducing data loss<sup>148</sup>.  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23

### 24 **3.4. Water Quality Monitoring**

25  
26  
27 Pollutants found in urban environments can be divided broadly into two main types: (i) those arising  
28 from natural processes as a consequence of overstimulation of production and decomposition, and (ii)  
29 toxic chemicals originating from manufactured products, including metals and persistent organic  
30 compounds. The group arising from natural processes is small and includes macronutrients (N and P),  
31 organic waste (usually described in terms of its impact on oxygen levels: Biochemical Oxygen Demand)  
32 and pathogens. The problems of these substances are historically well-documented and substantial  
33 although the diversity of their sources and transport pathways in the urban environment make  
34 prediction of their impacts challenging. With regards to toxic substances, little is known in terms of the  
35 detailed composition in the various pathways of diffuse urban pollution. They are often poorly retained  
36 in wastewater treatment works, especially the less soluble compounds, and their biotic accumulation  
37 and storage in sludge are becoming of increasing concern<sup>150,151</sup>. Increasingly, emerging pollutants such as  
38 nanoparticles<sup>152</sup>; pharmaceuticals and endocrine disruptors<sup>153</sup> and microbial bacteria and  
39 pathogens<sup>154,155</sup> have resulted in a shift in emphasis in water quality analyses, resulting in increasingly  
40 complex monitoring strategies and technologies. The following sections assess the advances in  
41 monitoring physical, chemical and emerging priority pollutants in urban river systems.  
42  
43  
44  
45

#### 46 **3.4.1. Geomorphic Erosion and Sediment Fluxes**

47  
48  
49 Since the 1960s, substantial advances in understanding of how urbanisation affects geomorphic  
50 processes<sup>156</sup> have been made, yet three major challenges remain: first, there is a need to quantify the  
51 aggregate impact of urbanisation on sediment transport at spatial and temporal scales greater than  
52 those of individual studies<sup>157,158</sup>; second, there is a need to improve understanding of how changes to  
53 the downstream river environment may subsequently alter in-stream processes and channel  
54 morphology<sup>159,160,161</sup>; and third there is a growing recognition that impacts of urbanisation on aquatic  
55 ecology can be understood properly only when the effects of urbanisation on flow and sediment delivery  
56 are also taken into account<sup>162</sup>. Establishing the origin of sediment mobilised from urban areas is  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 particularly important. However, the dynamic nature of sediment transport results in many well-  
4 recognised difficulties for field measurements in river systems. Sediment transport is typically divided  
5 into three categories, based on size classifications. Of primary concern regarding fluxes of contaminants  
6 from urban areas:  
7

- 8  
9 (i) Bedload sediment - represents larger material, including gravels and pebbles which will only be  
10 mobilised under elevated discharges or steep bed gradients, making for problematic  
11 monitoring conditions, and often confined to more upland areas<sup>163</sup>. Conventional  
12 measurements of bedload can be separated into four categories: box/basket (sediment  
13 traps), trays (or pans), pressure difference samplers (e.g., Helley-Smith) or pit samplers. In  
14 addition, grab samples and cores for laboratory analyses can provide insight into the  
15 physical and chemical compositions of bedload layers. Measurement entails a range of  
16 problems, including capturing of suspended sediment loads in addition to bedload<sup>164</sup>.  
17  
18  
19 (ii) Suspended sediment –Acoustic Doppler Current Profilers (ADCPs) are increasingly being explored as a  
20 surrogate method for determining suspended sediment concentrations<sup>165</sup>. Guerrero et al.,  
21 (2011) assessed a dual-frequency ADCP in the laboratory<sup>166</sup> and concluded that acoustic  
22 backscatter (ABS) techniques are a robust methodology for determining grain size  
23 distribution for suspended sediment columns. Additionally, measures of optical turbidity  
24 provide an indirect method of estimating SSC, where strong correlations with discharge are  
25 apparent. State-of-the-art turbidity meters are increasingly deployed in conjunction with  
26 electrical conductivity probes, as dissolved solids can significantly affect the conductance  
27 rates<sup>167</sup>. As suspended sediments provide a viable mechanism for transporting chemical and  
28 biological contaminants, physical sampling for post-capture characterisation in the lab is  
29 desirable.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34

### 35 3.4.2. Chemical and Biological Water Quality Metrics

36  
37  
38 Chemical and biological indicators remain the dominant focus of policy. Legacies of intensive agriculture  
39 and industry resulted in elevated levels of a vast suite of contaminants in freshwater environments  
40 including phosphorus, heavy metals and nitrates. As the human footprint continues to expand and  
41 agricultural practices continue to intensify, chemical and biological contaminants still remain a dominant  
42 threat to water quality from both point and non-point sources.  
43  
44

45  
46 Autosampling techniques were gradually introduced to enable more frequent and comprehensive  
47 sampling without the need for excessive manpower (e.g., programmable ISCO 3700 or flow-triggered  
48 Endress and Hauser Liquiport 2000EX samplers). Despite their undoubted utility (particularly for more  
49 complex chemical and biological water quality indicators that still require laboratory analysis)  
50 autosampling systems are often expensive, particularly where monitoring is spread across multiple areas  
51 (Table 1). The emergence of real-time remote monitoring (RTRM) systems have been widely utilised  
52 across many sectors providing cheap, accurate and dynamic data capture<sup>168</sup>. RTRM systems incorporate  
53 an array of sensors that capture, store and relay meteorological, hydrological and water quality data  
54 (Table 1). For water quality, multi-parameter sensors are attached to a bundled device (e.g., YSI 6600ED  
55 and RSHydro Manta 2 sondes) and deployed into water bodies, remotely recording and relaying data via  
56 radio or satellite telemetry systems. Continual monitoring networks provide an avenue to obtain  
57  
58  
59  
60



1  
2  
3 accurate and long-term data, which can be used to determine spatial and temporal changes of water  
4 quality (including event response), drive policy decisions and inform modelling studies<sup>168</sup>. Furthermore,  
5 the introduction of alert systems provides a management tool for local and environmental authorities  
6 for responsive action at sites where toxic spills occur or large contaminant concentrations arise. In terms  
7 of biological monitoring, chlorophyll (a surrogate for phytoplankton biomass) and cyanobacteria are  
8 nowadays routinely captured using fluorescence sensors in RTRM systems, and are also extensively  
9 surveyed using airborne hyperspectral remote sensing<sup>169</sup>. When used in conjunction with cell-based  
10 characterisation through flow cytometry<sup>170</sup> valuable information is attained on functional composition.  
11 In sharp contrast, the highly constraining expense involved in monitoring other biological groups such as  
12 macro-invertebrates and fish restricts data availability to, at best, surveys at a seasonal-resolution.  
13  
14  
15

### 16 17 *3.4.3. Emerging Priority Contaminants*

18  
19 Increasingly complex contaminants, such as pharmaceuticals, personal care products, endocrine  
20 disruptors and nanoparticles are emerging and require novel monitoring techniques. The ecological  
21 threats from these contaminants (collectively referred to as Organic Wastewater Contaminants or  
22 OWCs) in surface water sources have long been recognised, despite their status as 'emerging priority  
23 contaminants'<sup>171</sup>. Their presence in wastewater is almost ubiquitous, presenting a major challenge to  
24 treatment plants<sup>172</sup>.  
25  
26

27  
28 Conventional methods for detecting OWCs rely on grab sampling, restricted by both cost and availability  
29 of manpower, and also by post-capture processing in the laboratory which requires tandem gas- and  
30 liquid chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS/MS and LC-MS/MS respectively)<sup>172,173</sup>. Where  
31 endocrine disrupting contaminants and pharmaceuticals and personal care products (PPCPs) are present  
32 only at trace levels, large volumes of water are required to facilitate sufficient detection, making regular  
33 transport of samples increasingly difficult. Some of these limitations can be overcome by autosampling  
34 technology, though as discussed in the previous section, this is often expensive and impractical, and such  
35 systems are not widely used in extensive sampling regimes<sup>175</sup>. Passive sampling techniques have been  
36 successfully applied in environmental monitoring for the best part of four decades for a range of  
37 pollutants<sup>174,175</sup>. Continuous submergence of samplers beneath the surface allows time-integrated flow  
38 of analyte molecules to pass through a receiving membrane until chemical equilibrium is reached,  
39 conceptually replicating absorption rates in aquatic ecology in a given location. For example, the Polar  
40 Organic Chemical Integrative Sampler (POCIS) is a highly sensitive device specifically tailored to detect  
41 PPCPs and pesticides/herbicides in freshwater systems. The POCIS system samples in the dissolved  
42 phase, which enables contaminant bio-availability to be estimated<sup>174</sup> and can be specifically configured  
43 to detect drug residues<sup>176</sup>. Passive sampling represents the state-of-the-art means to detect OWCs in  
44 water systems although extensive laboratory calibration and analysis is still required to accurately  
45 determine trace concentration levels (Table 1). To conclude, an in-situ method for detection that  
46 bypasses the need for extensive laboratory analysis and is suitable for real-time monitoring and alert  
47 systems remains lacking.  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52

53  
54 Overall, the ability to monitor contaminants in the urban environment has improved dramatically over  
55 the past few decades, greatly aided by the continual improvement in sensor- and communication  
56 technology. RTRM technology has enabled a method for identifying and managing high concentrations  
57 of contaminants and spills via an alert system. As increasingly complex contaminants such as microbial  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 pollutants, PPCPs, endocrine disruptors and nanoparticles emerge, new challenges for monitoring fuels  
4 further research<sup>177</sup>.  
5  
6

## 7 4. Discussion and Conclusion

### 8 4.1 Summary

9  
10  
11 Despite the advances made in urban hydrology over the past few decades, a holistic understanding of  
12 the complexities of the urban environment remains lacking, and is an active area of research. The  
13 evolution of monitoring and modelling techniques has advanced our capacity to obtain observations and  
14 predictions at increasingly high-resolution spatio-temporal intervals resulting in a paradigm shift toward  
15 integrated model applications. As new technologies continue to emerge, the scope for advancing our  
16 understanding of urban hydrology increases considerably. Here, we summarise findings from the  
17 preceding sections and identify how these advances in monitoring and modelling technologies can be  
18 used to drive the future of urban water research.  
19  
20  
21

- 22 • Improvements in remote sensing platforms have greatly aided our ability to identify urban  
23 landscape at increasing spatial and temporal resolution. As sensor technology, coverage and  
24 post-capture analysis techniques continue to improve, there is real scope for remote sensing  
25 technologies to drive hydrological research in urban and rural areas alike.
- 26 • Whilst performance mismatch is still warranting much attention, the development of novel  
27 methods for detecting the spatial and temporal patterns of rainfall (e.g., radar and microwave  
28 tomography) have advanced our ability to predict and manage rainfall in urban areas. This is  
29 crucial for flood management and drainage design. The move towards now-casting and real-time  
30 application remains a current research priority.
- 31 • Quantifying evapotranspiration, which is historically the domain of urban meteorologists, has  
32 increasingly been recognised by urban hydrologists as crucial to closing the water balance. This  
33 remains an active area of research but technology such as eddy flux chambers and  
34 scintillometers demonstrate potential for widespread application in networks designed to  
35 measure ET for water balance assessment across contrasting urban space.
- 36 • Achieving realistic measures of infiltration in pervious and impervious areas alike has been  
37 identified as a significant knowledge gap. Overcoming the assumptions that impervious areas  
38 prevent any infiltration, whereas parkland areas behave 'as natural' are crucial to understanding  
39 rainfall-runoff dynamics. Additionally, the development of wireless sensory networks (WiSN) has  
40 greatly aided our ability to quantify leakage from infrastructure and determine infiltration into-  
41 and out of pipes. So far, limited applications have highlighted success at reducing water losses.  
42 Widespread implementation could reduce urban water loss considerably, whilst providing urban  
43 hydrologists with suitable data for parameterising recharge in urban hydrological models.
- 44 • The development of novel flow measuring devices has increased our ability to monitor in  
45 arduous conditions (e.g., flood events) and challenging locations (e.g., pipes), providing further  
46 insights into river bathymetry and velocity mapping. As recording devices become increasingly  
47 portable and inexpensive, widespread deployment across urban catchments allows us to  
48 understand flows and associated fluxes in increasingly disparate parts of the system, enabling us  
49 to trace sources and pathways for water and contaminants.  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- A move towards RTRM technologies has greatly improved understanding of water quality dynamics in urban areas. Rapid detection of a suite of water quality contaminants and live telemetric transfer can aid in water quality management during storm events, or detection of spillages from overflows or industrial effluent outputs.
- Efforts to model the urban water balance and water quality have advanced through the move towards an integrated approach, which considers multiple connected systems (e.g., WWTP, surface runoff, pipe flow) as boundary inputs, creating a holistic representation of the urban area. As monitoring data continues to improve and become more readily available, models are becoming increasingly complex as we transition toward spatially distributed, continuous simulation structures in a systems dynamics approach. This is perhaps the most pragmatic way of representing the urban water cycle and more widespread application will improve our ability to represent the system in a more holistic manner, providing a robust baseline for future projection in response to changes in land use, urban expansion and climate.
- The advent of GIS techniques to support for example (i) increasingly high resolution topographic data, (ii) characterisation of urban infrastructure, more detailed land-use observations which better identify contiguous impervious areas has enabled the implementation of more powerful modelling techniques to relate land-use change to water resources.

#### 4.2 Remaining Challenges

Remote sensing provides an unparalleled tool for tracking urban growth but as new developments continue to implement sustainable urban drainage features such as permeable paving and road surfaces, and green rooftops, increasingly high-resolution sensors are required to differentiate them from well-understood and conventional land classes. Despite its importance to the urban water balance, empirical derivation of evapotranspiration remains limited and our ability to satisfactorily quantify ET rates in urban areas is lacking. As urban planners continue to restore evapotranspiration as a sustainable management technique for stormwater, an improvement to current methodology is required for deriving ET estimates in urban areas. Although the emergence of radar and microwave tomography has advanced our ability to predict spatio-temporal patterns of rainfall, an important research priority is a move towards shorter-duration prediction and 'now-casting' techniques that will greatly aid in urban flood management. Whilst integrated models provide a mechanism for distilling the wealth of data that can now be attained through monitoring regimes as well as elucidating the potential impacts of environmental and land-use change there are still limitations in their scope, particularly in terms of ecological impacts. The links between water quality and ecology are not well understood. Channel geomorphology, which has been observed to undergo systematic change during urbanisation<sup>156</sup>, in particular in terms of artificial modification, is known to influence in-stream biological communities, whereby reductions in in-stream sediment budgets reduce breeding habitats for fish and macroinvertebrates<sup>4,178</sup>. In this regard, taking steps to restore habitats is recognised as being of fundamental importance<sup>179</sup>. In terms of modelling, ecological response is typically represented by empirical statistically-based approaches<sup>180</sup>, in part a consequence of the practical restrictions imposed by data collection methods. Any mechanistic representation of ecology is largely absent from integrated models of urban systems, and should be an aspiration for future research.

In spite of these shortcomings, in order to assess urbanisation at the river basin scale, at which water resources are managed for pollution control and for mitigation against extreme events, it is necessary to

1  
2  
3 distil the impacts of urbanisation into simple yet robust formulations that capture the complex dynamics  
4 represented in process-based models. As a step towards achieving this, the overarching objective of the  
5 POLLCURB project, we consider that quantification of various essential features must be made, notably  
6 the extent of urban and suburban land-use, soil infiltration and rainfall regime, and key thresholds above  
7 which sewer infrastructure capacity will be breached. For this reason, we propose that at basin level  
8 description of natural water bodies is geographically detailed (an ICBM on Figure 1). Integration at the  
9 IUDM level is achieved with meta-modelling approaches, whereby simplified representations of urban  
10 infrastructure capture the main features of more-detailed models. In contrast, at the IUWSM level detail  
11 must not be compromised in the provision of land-use and climate change drivers  
12  
13

14  
15 The improvements to monitoring technology and modelling capability present us with renewed ability to  
16 assess impacts of urbanisation. Availability of high-resolution remote sensing platforms allows  
17 identification of subtle spatial and temporal changes in urban and suburban land cover, hence detailed  
18 networks of pervious and impervious surfaces can be supplied to hydrological models. This can be  
19 combined with empirical measurements of infiltration capacity and high-resolution rainfall to better  
20 determine rainfall-runoff dynamics at increasingly fine scale. In addition, digitised networks of  
21 stormwater drainage infrastructure improve the accuracy of runoff routing and simulation of flows to  
22 urban channels. RTRM networks can be used in conjunction with rainfall-runoff from specific land uses  
23 to determine pollutant loads. This combination of high-resolution monitoring systems and integrated  
24 model structures can be used to identify overflow events and quantify their potential water quality and  
25 flood implications. As highlighted in Section 2.2, POLLCURB seeks to build on these advances by  
26 incorporating high-resolution data into an integrated model to be tested and used to make future  
27 projections. It will provide scope for assessing both continuous and event-based impacts of hydrology  
28 and water quality under changing land-use, population and climate scenarios. The implementation of  
29 robust data from advanced, high-resolution monitoring techniques creates scope to intrinsically link  
30 landscapes to their dynamics and assess change over space and time and contrasting scales.  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35

### 36 Acknowledgements

37  
38 We are grateful to the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC UK) for funding this research as part  
39 of the Changing Water Cycle programme (NE/K002317/1).  
40  
41

### 42 References

- 43 1. United Nations, (2011), World urbanization prospects: the 2011 revision, *United Nations Department*  
44 *of Economic and Social Affairs (Population Division)*, New York, USA
- 45 2. United States Census Bureau, (2012), U.S. and World Population Clock, [www.census.gov/popclock](http://www.census.gov/popclock),  
46 *Accessed 03/11/13*
- 47 3. Vörösmarty C.J., Green P., Salisbury J. and Lammers R.B., (2000), Global water resources: Vulnerability  
48 from climate change and population growth, *Nature*, **289**, 284-288
- 49 4. Walsh C.J., Roy A.H., Feminella J.W., Cottingham P.D., Groffman P.M. And Morgan II R.P., (2005), The  
50 urban stream syndrome: current knowledge and the search for a cure, *Journal of the North American*  
51 *Benthological Society*, **24**(3), 706-723  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 5. Fletcher T.D., Andrieu H. and Hamel P., (2013), Understanding, management and modelling of urban  
4 hydrology and its consequences for receiving waters: A state of the art, *Advances in Water Resources*,  
5 **51**, 261-279  
6
- 7 6. McGrane, S.J., (In Press), Impacts of urbanisation on hydrological and water quality dynamics, and  
8 urban water management: A review, *Hydrological Sciences*  
9
- 10 7. Miller, J.D., Kim, H., Kjeldsen, T.R., Packman, J., Grebby, S. and Dearden, R., (2014), Assessing the  
11 impact of urbanization on storm runoff in a peri-urban catchment using historical change in impervious  
12 cover, *Journal of Hydrology*, **515**, 59-70  
13
- 14 8. Brown, R.R., Keath, N. and Wong, T.H.F., (2009), Urban water management in cities: historical, current  
15 and future regimes, *Water Science and Technology*, **59**(5), 847-855  
16
- 17 9. Liu A., Egodawatta, P., Guan, Y. and Goonetilleke, A., (2013), Influence of rainfall and catchment  
18 characteristics on urban stormwater quality, *Science of the Total Environment*, **444**, 255-262  
19
- 20 10. Ternes, T.A., Joss, A. and Siegrist, H., (2004), Scrutinizing pharmaceuticals and personal care products  
21 in wastewater treatment, *Environmental Science and Technology*, **38**(20), 392A-399A  
22
- 23 11. Rauch W, Bertrand-Krajewski J.L., Krebs P., Mark O., Schilling W., Schutze M. and Vanrolleghem P.A.,  
24 (2002), Deterministic modelling of integrated urban drainage systems, *Water Science and Technology*,  
25 **45**(3), 81-94  
26
- 27 12. Bach P.M., Rauch, W., Mikkelsen P.S., McCarthy D.T. and Deletic, A., (2014), A critical review of  
28 integrated urban water modelling – urban drainage and beyond, *Environmental Modelling and Software*,  
29 **54**, 88-107  
30
- 31 13. Mitchell V.G., Cleugh H.A., Grimmond C.S.B. and Xu J., (2007), Linking urban water balance and  
32 energy balance models to analyse urban design options, *Hydrological Processes*, **22** (16), 2891–2900  
33
- 34 14. Candela A., Freni G., Mannina G. and Viviani G., (2012), Receiving water body quality assessment: An  
35 integrated mathematical approach applied to an Italian case study, *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, **14** (1),  
36 30-47  
37
- 38 15. Vanrolleghem P.A., Benedetti L. and Meirlaen J., (2005), Modelling and real-time control of the  
39 integrated urban wastewater system, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **20** (4), 427-442  
40
- 41 16. Gujer, W., Krejci, V., Schwarzenbach, R., Zobrist, J., (1982). Von der Kanalisation ins Grundwasser e  
42 Charakterisierung eines Regenereignisses im Glattal, *GasWasser-Abwasser* **62** (7), 298-311  
43
- 44 17. Freni G., Mannina G. and Viviani G., (2008), Catchment-scale modelling approach for a holistic urban  
45 water quality management, In *Proceedings of the 11<sup>th</sup> International Conference on Urban Drainage*,  
46 Edinburgh  
47
- 48 18. Moglia M., Perez P. and Burn S., (2010), Modelling an urban water system on the edge of chaos,  
49 *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **25** (12), 1528-1538  
50
- 51 19. Jeppsson U., Pons M.-N., Nopens I., Alex J., Copp J.B., Gernaey K.V., Rosen C., Steyer J.-P.,  
52 Vanrolleghem P.A., (2007), Benchmark simulation model No. 2: general protocol and exploratory case  
53 studies, *Water Science and Technology*, **56** (8), 67-78  
54
- 55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

20. Regneri, M., K. Klepiszewski, M. Ostrowski and P. A. Vanrolleghem, (2010), Fuzzy Decision Making for Multi-criteria Optimisation in Integrated Wastewater System Management, in: Proceedings of the IWA 6th International Conference on Sewer Processes and Networks, November 11-12, Goldcoast, Australia, 2010
21. Heinz, I., Pulido-Velazquez, M., Lund, J.R. and Andreu, J., (2007), Hydro-economic modelling in river basin management: Implications and applications for the European Water Framework Directive, *Water Resource Management*, **21**, 1103-1125
22. Makropoulos C., Natsis K., Liu S., Mittas K. and Butler D., (2008), Decision support for sustainable option selection in integrated urban water management, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **23**, 1448-1460
23. Doglioni A., Primativo F., Laucelli D., Monno V., Khu S.-T. and Giustolisi O., (2009), An integrated modelling approach for the assessment of land use change effects on wastewater infrastructures, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **24**, 1522-1528
24. Jakeman, A.J., Letcher, R.A. and Norton, J.P., (2006), Ten iterative steps in development and evaluation of environmental models, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **21**(5), 602-614
25. Makropoulos C.K., Natsis K., Liu S., Mittas K. and Butler D., (2008), Decision support for sustainable option selection in integrated urban water management, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **23** (12), 1448-1460
26. Matthies M., Berlekamp J., Lautenbach S., Graf N. and Reimer S., (2006), Systems analysis of water quality management for the Elbe river basin, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **21** (9), 1309-1318
27. Guzy M. R., Smith C. L., Bolte J. P., Hulse D. W. and Gregory S. V., (2008), Policy research using agent-based modeling to assess future impacts of urban expansion into farmlands and forests. *Ecology and Society* **13**(1): 37
28. IFAK Systems, (2013), SIMBA-6: Simba<sup>®</sup> - Simulation of biological wastewater systems, [http://www.ifak-system.com/fileadmin/downloads/manual\\_dt/umwelt/Detailed\\_information\\_brochure.pdf](http://www.ifak-system.com/fileadmin/downloads/manual_dt/umwelt/Detailed_information_brochure.pdf), accessed 18/10/13
29. Mitchell V., (2005), Aquacycle, a daily urban water balance model, *User Guide*
30. Achleitner S., Moderl M. and Rauch W., (2007), CITY DRAIN © - An open source approach for simulation of integrated urban drainage systems, *Environmental Modelling Software*, **22**(8), 1184-1195
31. Dawson R.J., Hall J.W., Barr S.L., Batty M., Bristow A.L., Carney S., Dagoumas A., Evans S., Ford A., Harwatt H., Kohler J., Tight M.R., Walsh C.L. and Zanni A.M., (2009), A blueprint for the integrated assessment of climate change in cities, <http://www.tyndall.ac.uk/sites/default/files/wp129.pdf>, [accessed 23/07/15]
32. Lowry I.S., (1964), A Model of Metropolis, *RAND Memorandum 4025-RC*

- 1  
2  
3 33. Bröcker, J., Korzhenevych, A. and Schürmann, C., (2010). Assessing spatial equity and efficiency  
4 impacts of transport infrastructure projects. *Transportation Research Part B: Methodological* 44(7) 795-  
5 811  
6  
7 34. Geurs, K.T. and van Wee, B., (2004), Land-use/transport interaction models as tools for sustainability  
8 impact assessment of transport investments: review and research perspectives, *European Journal of*  
9 *Transport and Infrastructure Research*, 4(3) 333-355  
10  
11 35. Jin, Y., Echenique, M., Hargreaves, A., 2013. A recursive spatial equilibrium model for planning large-  
12 scale urban change, *Environment and Planning B: Planning and Design*, 40(6) 1027-1050  
13  
14 36. Anas, A. and Liu, Y., (2007). A regional economy, land-use, and transportation model (RELU-TRAN©):  
15 formulation, algorithm, design, and testing, *Journal of Regional Science*, 47(3) 415-455  
16  
17 37. Allen, P.M. and Sanglier, M., (1979), A dynamic model of growth in a central place system,  
18 *Geographical Analysis*, 11(3) 256-272  
19  
20 38. White, R.W., (1977), Dynamic central place theory: results of a simulation approach, *Geographical*  
21 *Analysis*, 9(3) 226-243  
22  
23 39. Allen, P.M., (1997), Cities and regions as self-organizing systems : models of complexity. Gordon and  
24 Breach, Luxembourg  
25  
26 40. Tobler, W.R., (1970), A computer movie simulating urban growth in the Detroit region, *Economic*  
27 *geography*, 234-240  
28  
29 41. Couclelis, H., (1985), Cellular worlds: a framework for modelling micro-macro dynamics. *Environment*  
30 *and planning A*, 17(5) 585-596  
31  
32 42. Wolfram, S., (1986), Theory and applications of cellular automata: including selected papers, 1983-  
33 1986. World Scientific, Singapore  
34  
35 43. Schelling, T.C., (1971), Dynamic models of segregation. *Journal of mathematical sociology*, 1(2), 143-  
36 186  
37  
38 44. White, R. and Engelen, G., (1993), Cellular-Automata and Fractal Urban Form - a Cellular Modelling  
39 Approach to the Evolution of Urban Land-Use Patterns, *Environment and planning A*, 25(8) 1175-1199  
40  
41 45. Clarke, K.C., Hoppen, S. and Gaydos, L., (1997), A self-modifying cellular automaton model of  
42 historical urbanization in the San Francisco Bay area, *Environment and Planning B-Planning & Design*,  
43 24(2) 247-261  
44  
45 46. van Delden, H., Luja, P. and Engelen, G., (2007), Integration of multi-scale dynamic spatial models of  
46 socio-economic and physical processes for river basin management, *Environmental Modelling &*  
47 *Software*, 22(2) 223-238  
48  
49 47. Mahiny, A. and Clarke, K., (2013), Simulating hydrologic impacts of urban growth using SLEUTH, multi  
50 criteria evaluation and runoff modelling, *Journal of environmental informatics*, 22(1) 27-38  
51  
52 48. Han W.S. and Burian S.J., (2009), Determining Effective Impervious Area for Urban Hydrologic  
53 Modelling, *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 14(2), 111–120  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 49. Chocat B., Krebs P., Marsalek J., Rauch W. and Schilling W., (2001), Urban drainage redefined: from  
5 stormwater removal to integrated management, *Water Science and Technology*, **43** (5), 61-68  
6  
7  
8 50. Salvatore E., Bronders J. and Batelaan O., (2015), Hydrological modelling of urbanized catchments: a  
9 review and future directions, *Journal of Hydrology*, **529** (1), 62-81  
10  
11 51. Mackay R. and Last E., (2010), SWITCH city water balance: a scoping model for integrated urban  
12 water management, *Reviews in Environmental Science and Biotechnology*  
13  
14 52. Chen Q.W., Qu J.H., Liu R.P. and Li W.F., (2008), Rule-based model for aging-induced leakage from  
15 water supply pipe network in Beijing city, *China Water and Wastewater*, **24**(11), 52-56  
16  
17 53. Zoppou C., (2001), Review of urban storm water models, *Environmental Modelling & Software*, **16**  
18 (3), 195-231  
19  
20 54. Puust R., Kapelan Z., Savic D.A. and Koppel T., (2010), A review of methods for leakage management  
21 in pipe networks, *Urban Water Journal*, **7**(1), 25-45  
22  
23 55. Borah D.K., (2011), Hydrologic procedures of storm event watershed models: a comprehensive  
24 review and comparison, *Hydrological Processes*, **25**(22), 3472-3489  
25  
26 56. Mark O., Weesakul S., Apirumanekul C., Boonya Aroonnet S., Djordjević S., (2004), Potential and  
27 limitations of 1D modelling of urban flooding, *Journal of Hydrology*, **299**(3-4), 284-299  
28  
29 57. Hunter N.M., Bates P.D., Neelz S., Pender G., Villanueva I., Wright N.G., Liang D., Falconer R.A., Lin B.,  
30 Waller S., Crossley A.J. and Mason D.C., (2008), Benchmarking 2D hydraulic models for urban flooding,  
31 *Proceedings of the ICE - Water Management*, **161** (1), 13-30  
32  
33 58. Alley W.M. and Veenhuis J., (1983), Effective Impervious Area in Urban Runoff Modelling, *Journal of*  
34 *Hydraulic Engineering*, **109** (17669), 313-319  
35  
36 59. Braud I., Breil P., Thollet F., Lagouy M., Branger F., Jacqueminet C., Kermadi S. and Michel K., (2013)  
37 Evidence of the impact of urbanization on the hydrological regime of a medium-sized periurban  
38 catchment in France, *Journal of Hydrology*, **485**, 5-23  
39  
40 60. Aronica G. and Cannarozzo M., (2000), Studying the hydrological response of urban catchments using  
41 a semi-distributed linear non-linear model, *Journal of Hydrology*, **238** (1-2), 35-43  
42  
43 61. Crobeddu E., Bennis S., and Rhouzlane S., (2007), Improved rational hydrograph method, *Journal of*  
44 *Hydrology*, **338**(1-2), 63-72  
45  
46 62. Kjeldsen T.R., (2009), Modelling the impact of urbanisation on flood runoff volume, *Proceedings of*  
47 *the ICE - Water Management*, **162**(5), 329-336  
48  
49 63. Furusho C., Chancibault K. and Andrieu H., (2013), Adapting the coupled hydrological model ISBA-  
50 TOPMODEL to the long-term hydrological cycles of suburban rivers: Evaluation and sensitivity analysis,  
51 *Journal of Hydrology*, **485**, 139-147  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3 64. Kim H., Noh S.J., Jang C., Kim D. and Hong I., (2005), Monitoring and analysis of hydrological cycle of  
4 the Cheonggyecheon watershed in Seoul, Korea: In *Proceedings of the 2005 International Conference on*  
5 *Simulation and Modeling 2005*, 410-417, Nakompathom, Thailand, 17-19 January 2005.  
6  
7  
8 65. Hurkmans, R. T. W. L., Terink, W., Uijlenhoet, R., Moors, E. J., Troch, P. A. and Verburg, P. H. (2009)  
9 Effects of land use changes on streamflow generation in the Rhine basin, *Water Resour. Res.*, 45,  
10 W06405  
11  
12 66. Strangeways I.C., (1990), The telemetry of hydrological data by satellite, Institute of Hydrology  
13 Report No.112, [http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/6055/1/IH\\_112.pdf](http://nora.nerc.ac.uk/6055/1/IH_112.pdf)  
14  
15 67. Tyagi B., Gakkher S. and Bhargava D.S., (1999), Mathematical modelling of stream DO-BOD  
16 accounting for settleable BOD and periodically varying BOD source, *Environmental Modelling and*  
17 *Software*, 14, 461-471  
18  
19 68. Babu M.T., Das V.K. and Vethamony P., (2006), BOD-DO modelling and water quality analysis of a  
20 waste water outfall off Kochi, west coast of India, *Environmental International*, 32, 165-173  
21  
22 69. Rustum R., Adeloye A.J. and Sholz M., (2008), Applying Kohonen self-organizing map as a software  
23 sensor to predict the biochemical oxygen demand, *Water Environment Research*, 80(1), 32-40  
24  
25 70. Soranno P.A., Hubler S.L., Carpenter S.R. and Lathrop R.C., (1996), Phosphorus loads to surface  
26 waters: A simple model to account for spatial pattern of land use, *Ecological Applications*, 6(3), 865-878  
27  
28  
29 71. Worrall F., and Burt T.P., (1999), Modelling the impact of land-use change on water quality in  
30 lowland catchments: the role of dynamic nitrogen reservoirs. In *Impact of land-use change on nutrient*  
31 *loss from diffuse sources*, Heathwaite (ed.), IAHS 257, 151-157  
32  
33 72. Dahl M., Wilson D.I. and Hakanson L., (2006), A combined suspended particle and phosphorus water  
34 quality model: Application to Lake Vanern, *Ecological Modelling*, 190(1-2), 55-71  
35  
36 73. Jorgensen S.E., (1990), A general model for the heavy metal pollution of aquatic ecosystems: Model  
37 development, *Environmental Software*, 5, 136-141  
38  
39 74. Brown J.N. and Peake B.M., (2002), Sources of heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in  
40 urban stormwater runoff, *Science of the Total Environment*, 359, 145-155  
41  
42  
43 75. Blanchoud H., Moreau-Guigon E., Farrugia F., Chevreuil M. and Mouchel J.M., (2007), Contribution by  
44 urban and agricultural pesticide uses to water contamination at the scale of the Marne watershed.  
45 *Science of the Total Environment*, 375, 168-179  
46  
47 76. Periáñez R., (2009), Environmental modelling in the Gulf of Cadiz: Heavy metal distributions in water  
48 and sediments, *Science of the Total Environment*, 407, 3392-3406  
49  
50 77. Li, X., Liu, X. and Yu, L., (2014), A systematic sensitivity analysis of constrained cellular automata  
51 model for urban growth simulation based on different transition rules, *International Journal of*  
52 *Geographical Information Science*, 28(7) 1317-1335  
53  
54  
55 78. Barreira-González, P., Aguilera-Benavente, F. and Gómez-Delgado, M., (2015), Partial validation of  
56 cellular automata based model simulations of urban growth: An approach to assessing factor influence  
57 using spatial methods, *Environmental Modelling & Software*, 69, 77-89  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 79. Akin, A., Clarke, K.C. and Berberoglu, S., (2014), The impact of historical exclusion on the calibration  
5 of the SLEUTH urban growth model, *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and*  
6 *Geoinformation*, **27**, 156-168  
7
- 8 80. Basse, R.M., Omrani, H., Charif, O., Gerber, P. and Bódis, K., (2014), Land use changes modelling  
9 using advanced methods: Cellular automata and artificial neural networks. The spatial and explicit  
10 representation of land cover dynamics at the cross-border region scale. *Applied Geography*, **53** 160-171  
11
- 12 81. Versteegen, J.A., Karssenber, D., van der Hilst, F. and Faaij, A.P.C., (2015), Detecting systemic change  
13 in a land use system by Bayesian data assimilation. *Environmental Modelling & Software*  
14  
15
- 16 82. Gluck, W., and McCuen, R.H., (1975), Estimating land use characteristics for hydrologic models,  
17 *Water Resources Research*, **11**, 177-179  
18
- 19 83. Brabec E., (2002), Impervious surfaces and water quality: a review of current literature and its  
20 implications for watershed planning. *Journal of Planning Literature*, **16**(4), 499-514  
21  
22
- 23 84. Thomson A. G., Manchester S. J., Swetnam R. D., Smith G. M., Wadsworth R. A., Petit S. and Gerard  
24 F.F., (2007), The use of digital aerial photography and CORINE-derived methodology for monitoring  
25 recent and historic changes in land cover near UK Natural 2000 sites for the BIOPRESS project,  
26 *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, **28**, 5397-5426  
27
- 28 85. Rogan J. and Chen D-M., (2004), Remote sensing technology for mapping and monitoring land-cover  
29 and land-use change, *Progress in Planning*, **61**, 301-325  
30
- 31 86. Jensen J.R., (2005), Introductory digital image processing: a remote sensing perspective (3<sup>rd</sup> Edition),  
32 *Prentice Hall*, New Jersey  
33
- 34 87. Gamba P. and Herold M., (2009), Global mapping of human settlement, *CRC Press*, Berlin  
35  
36
- 37 88. Weng Q., (2012), Remote sensing of impervious surfaces in the urban areas: Requirements, methods,  
38 and trends, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **117**, 34-49  
39
- 40 89. Aichele S.S. and Andresen J.A., (2013), Spatial and temporal variations in land development and  
41 impervious surface creation in Oakland County, Michigan, 1945-2005, *Journal of Hydrology*, **485**, 96-102  
42
- 43 90. Homer C., Dewitz J., Fry J., Coan M., Hossain N., Larson C. and Wickham J., (2007), Completion of the  
44 2001 National Land Cover Database for the Conterminous United States, *Photogrammetric Engineering*  
45 *and Remote Sensing*, **73**(4), 337  
46
- 47 91. Morton D., Rowland C., Wood C., Meek L., Marston C., Smith G., Wadsworth R. and Simpson I.,  
48 (2011), Final Report for LCM2007-the new UK land cover map. Countryside Survey Technical Report No  
49 11/07  
50
- 51 92. Friedl, M. A., Sulla-Menashe, D., Tan, B., Schneider, A., Ramankutty, N., Sibley, A., & Huang, X. (2010).  
52 MODIS Collection 5 global land cover: Algorithm refinements and characterization of new datasets.  
53 *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **114**(1), 168-182  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 93. Esch, T., Taubenböck, H., Roth, A., Heldens, W., Felbier, A., Thiel, M., & Dech, S. (2012). TanDEM-X  
4 mission—new perspectives for the inventory and monitoring of global settlement patterns. *Journal of*  
5 *Applied Remote Sensing*, **6**(1), 061702-1  
6  
7 94. Ridd, M.K., (1995), Exploring a V-I-S (vegetation-impervious surface-soil) model for urban ecosystem  
8 analysis through remote sensing: comparative anatomy for cities, *International Journal of Remote*  
9 *Sensing*, **16**, 2165-2185  
10  
11 95. Sexton J.O., Song X.-P., Huang C., Channan S., Baker M.E. and Townshend J.R., (2013), Urban growth  
12 of the Washington, D.C.–Baltimore, MD metropolitan region from 1984 to 2010 by annual, Landsat-  
13 based estimates of impervious cover, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **129**, 42-53  
14  
15 96. Yang L., Huang C., Homer C.G., Wylie B.K. and Coan M.J., (2003), An approach for mapping large-area  
16 impervious surfaces: synergistic use of landsat-7 ETM+ and high spatial resolution imagery, *Canadian*  
17 *Journal of Remote Sensing*, **29**(2), 230-240  
18  
19 97. Xian, G., Homer, C., Dewitz, J., Fry, J., Hossain, N., and Wickham, J., (2011), The change of impervious  
20 surface area between 2001 and 2006 in the conterminous United States. *Photogrammetric Engineering*  
21 *and Remote Sensing*, **77** (8), 758-762  
22  
23 98. Mason, D.C., Horritt, M.S., Hunter, N.M. and Bates, P.D., (2007), Use of fused airborne scanning  
24 laser altimetry and digital map data for urban flood modelling, *Hydrological Processes*, **21**, 1436-1447  
25  
26 99. Alley W.M. and Veenhuis J., (1983), Effective Impervious Area in Urban Runoff Modelling, *Journal of*  
27 *Hydraulic Engineering*, **109** (17669), 313–319  
28  
29 100. Pitt M., (2007), Lessons learned from the 2007 floods,  
30 [http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100807034701/http://archive.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/pittreview/](http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100807034701/http://archive.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/pittreview/the-pitt-review/final_report.html)  
31 [the-pitt-review/final\\_report.html](http://webarchive.nationalarchives.gov.uk/20100807034701/http://archive.cabinetoffice.gov.uk/pittreview/the-pitt-review/final_report.html), [accessed 14/02/15]  
32  
33 101. ISQ, SMAS Sintra and QUESTOR, (2006), Short guide to improve small WWTP efficiency,  
34 [http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=home.showFile&rep=file&](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=home.showFile&rep=file&fil=WWTREAT_Guide_Efficiency.pdf)  
35 [fil=WWTREAT\\_Guide\\_Efficiency.pdf](http://ec.europa.eu/environment/life/project/Projects/index.cfm?fuseaction=home.showFile&rep=file&fil=WWTREAT_Guide_Efficiency.pdf), [accessed 05/03/15]  
36  
37 102. Yang Y., Lerner D.N., Barrett H.M. and Tellam J.H., (1999), Quantification of groundwater recharge  
38 in the city of Nottingham, UK, *Environmental Geology*, **38** (3), 183-198  
39  
40 103. Lallana C., (2003), Indicator fact sheet: (WQ06) water use efficiency (in cities): leakage, *European*  
41 *Environment Agency Factsheet*, [http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/water-use-](http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/water-use-efficiency-in-cities-leakage/water-use-efficiency-in-cities-leakage)  
42 [efficiency-in-cities-leakage/water-use-efficiency-in-cities-leakage](http://www.eea.europa.eu/data-and-maps/indicators/water-use-efficiency-in-cities-leakage/water-use-efficiency-in-cities-leakage), accessed 11/10.13  
43  
44 104. Lerner D., (1986), Leaking pipes recharge ground water, *Groundwater*, **24**(5), 654-662  
45  
46 105. Taylor R.G., Cronin A.A., Lerner D.N., Tellam J.H., Bottrell S.H., Rueedi J. and Barrett M.H., (2006),  
47 Hydrochemical evidence of the depth of penetration of anthropogenic recharge in sandstone aquifers  
48 underlying two mature cities in the UK, *Applied Geochemistry*, **21**(9), 1570-1592  
49  
50 106. Hyer K.E., (2007), A multiple-tracer approach for identifying sewage sources to an urban stream  
51 system, U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5317  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 107. Jacobson C.R. (2011), Identification and quantification of the hydrological impacts of  
4 imperviousness in urban catchments: A review, *Journal of Environmental Management*, **92**(6), 1438-  
5 1448  
6  
7  
8 108. Al-Kadi T., Al-Tuwaijri Z. and Al-Omran A., (2013), Wireless sensory networks for leakage detection  
9 in underground pipelines: A survey paper, *Procedia Computer Science*, **21**, 491-498  
10  
11 109. Shu S.H., He W.J., Zhao M., Gao J.L., Yuan Y.X. and Zhao H.B., (2008), Current application and recent  
12 development of leakage detection technologies in water supply networks, *Water and Wastewater  
13 Engineering*, **34**(6), 114-116  
14  
15 110. Liu Z. and Kleiner Y., (2013), State of the art review of inspection technologies for condition  
16 assessment of water pipes, *Measurement*, **46**(1), 1-15  
17  
18 111. Akyildiz I.F., Su W., Sankarasubramaniam Y. and Cayirci E., (2002), Wireless sensor networks: A  
19 survey, *Computer Networks*, **38**, 393-422  
20  
21 112. Li W., Ling W., Lui S., Zhao J., Lui R., Chen Q., Qiang Z. and Qu J., (2011), Development of systems for  
22 detection, early warning, and control of pipeline leakage in drinking water distribution: A case study,  
23 *Journal of Environmental Sciences*, **23**(11), 1816-1822  
24  
25 113. Christodoulou S., Agathokleous A., Kounoudes A. and Milis M., (2010), Wireless sensor networks for  
26 water loss detection, *European Water*, **30**, 41-48  
27  
28 114. Sun Z., Wang P., Vuran M.C., Al-Rodhaan M.A., Al-Dhelaan A.M. and Akyildiz I.F., (2011), MISE-PIPE:  
29 Magnetic induction-based wireless sensor networks for underground pipeline monitoring, *Ad Hoc  
30 Networks*, **9**, 218-227  
31  
32 115. Petchprayoon P., Blanken P.D., Ekkawatpanit C. and Hussein K., (2010), Hydrological impacts of land  
33 use/land cover change in a large river basin in central–northern Thailand, *International Journal of  
34 Climatology*, **30**, 1917–1930  
35  
36 116. Battan L.J., (1973), Radar observation of the atmosphere, *University of Chicago Press*, Chicago, USA  
37  
38 117. Sauvageot H., (1991), Radar meteorology, *Artech House Inc.*, Paris  
39  
40 118. Raghavan S., (2003), Radar Meteorology: 1<sup>st</sup> Edition, *Springer*, London, UK  
41  
42 119. Cunha L.K., Mandapaka P.V., Krajewski W.F., Mantilla R. and Bradley A.A., (2012), Impact of radar-  
43 rainfall error structure on estimated flood management across scales: An investigation based on  
44 parsimonious distributed hydrological model, *Water Resources Research*, **48** (10), W10515  
45 DOI:10.1029/2012WR012138  
46  
47 120. Berne A. and Krajewski W.F., (2013), Radar for hydrology: Unfulfilled promise or unrecognized  
48 potential, *Advances in Water Resources*, **51**, 357-366  
49  
50 121. Vasiloff S.V., Seo D-J., Howard K.W., Zhang J., Kitzmiller D.H., Mullusky M.G., Krajewski W.F.,  
51 Brandes E.A., Rabin R.M., Berkowitz D.S., Brooks H.E., McGinley J.A., Kuligowski R.J. and Brown B.G.,  
52 (2007), Improving QPE and Very Short Term QPF: An Initiative for a Community-Wide Integrated  
53 Approach, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **88**, 1899–1911  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 122. Shrestha N.K., Goormans T. and Willems P., (2013), Evaluating the accuracy of C- and X-band  
4 weather radars and their application for stream flow simulation, *Journal of Hydroinformatics*, **15**(4),  
5 1121-1136  
6
- 7 123. Keller, V.J.D., Tanguy, M., Prosdocimi, I., Terry, J.A., Hitt, O., Cole, S.J., Fry, M., Morris, D.G. and  
8 Dixon, H., (2015), CEH-GEAR: 1 km resolution daily and monthly areal rainfall estimates for the UK for  
9 hydrological and other applications, *Earth System Science Data*, **7** (1), 143-155  
10
- 11 124. Cole, S.J. and Moore, R.J., (2009), Distributed hydrological modelling using weather radar in gauged  
12 and ungauged basins, *Advances in Water Resources*, **32** (7). 1107-1120  
13
- 14 125. Cranston M.D., Bebbington D.H.O., Cole S.J., Collier C.G., Harrison T., Higginson N., Illingworth A.J.,  
15 Moore R.J., Neale W., Rennie T., Rico-Ramirez M.A., Sugier J. and Walden C.J., (2012), Inter-agency  
16 committee on the hydrological use of weather radar: Eighth report 2010 to 2012, *NERC/Centre for*  
17 *Ecology and Hydrology*, CO4662  
18
- 19 126. Zinevich A., Messer H. and Alpert P., (2010), Prediction of heavy rainfall intensity measurement  
20 errors using commercial microwave communication links, *Atmospheric Measurement Techniques*, **3**,  
21 1385-1402  
22
- 23 127. Thompson R., Illingworth A. and Ovens J., (2011), Emission: a simple new technique to correct  
24 rainfall estimates from attenuation due to both the radome and heavy rainfall, *Weather Radar and*  
25 *Hydrology*, Proceedings of the Weather Radar and Hydrology symposium, University of Exeter, 2011  
26
- 27 128. Bianchi, B., van Leeuwen, P.J., Hogan R.J. and Berne A., (2013), A variational approach to retrieve  
28 rain rate by combining information from rain gauges, radars and microwave links, *Journal of*  
29 *Hydrometeorology*, **14**(6), 1897-1909  
30
- 31 129. Shaw E.M., Beven K.J., Chappell N.A. and Lamb R., (2011), Hydrology in practice, *Spon Press*, London  
32
- 33 130. Grimmond C.S.B. and Oke T.R., (1999), Aerodynamic properties of urban areas derived from  
34 analysis of surface form, *Journal of Applied Meteorology*, **38**(9), 1262-1292  
35
- 36 131. Oke T.R., Cleugh H.A., Grimmond S., Schmid H.P. and Roth M., (1989), Evaluation of spatially-  
37 averaged fluxes of heat, mass and momentum in the urban boundary layer, *Weather and Climate*, **9**, 14-  
38 21  
39
- 40 132. Allen R.G., Pereira L.S., Howell T.A. and Jensen M.E., (2011), Evapotranspiration information  
41 reporting: 1. Factors governing measurement accuracy, *Agricultural Water Management*, **98**(6), 899-920  
42
- 43 133. Ward H.C., Evans J.G. and Grimmond C.S.B., (2013), Multi-season eddy covariance observations of  
44 energy, water and carbon fluxes over a suburban area in Swindon, UK. *Atmospheric Chemistry and*  
45 *Physics*, **13**(9), 4645-4666  
46
- 47 134. Geli H.M.E., Neale C.M.U., Watts D., Osterberg J., De Bruin H. a. R., Kohsiek, W., and Hipps L. E.,  
48 (2012), Scintillometer-Based Estimates of Sensible Heat Flux Using Lidar-Derived Surface Roughness,  
49 *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, **13**(4), 1317-1331  
50
- 51 135. Leopold L.B., (1968), Hydrology for urban land planning – a guidebook on the hydrological effects of  
52 urban land use, *US Geological Survey Circular*, **554**  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 136. Shuster W.D., Bonta J., Thurston H., Warnemuende E. and Smith D.R., (2005), Impacts of impervious  
4 surface on watershed hydrology: A review, *Urban Water Journal*, **2**(4), 263-275  
5  
6 137. Sheng J. and Wilson J.P., (2009), Watershed urbanization and changing flood behaviour across the  
7 Los Angeles metropolitan region, *Natural Hazards*, **48** (1), 41–57  
8  
9 138. Meierdiercks K.L., Smith J.A., Baeck M.L. and Miller A.J., (2010), Analyses of Urban Drainage  
10 Network Structure and its Impact on Hydrologic Response. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water*  
11 *Resources Association*, **46**, 932–943  
12  
13 139. Hollis G.E. and Ovenden J.C., (1988), One year irrigation experiment to assess losses and runoff  
14 volume relationships for a residential road in Hertfordshire, England, *Hydrological Processes*, **2**, 61–74  
15  
16 140. Ramier D., Berthier E. and Andrieu H., (2011), The hydrological behaviour of urban streets: long-  
17 term observations and modelling of runoff losses and rainfall–runoff transformation, *Hydrological*  
18 *Processes*, **25**, 2161–2178  
19  
20 141. Ragab R., Bromley J., Rosier P., Cooper J.D. and Gash J.H.C., (2003), Experimental study of water  
21 fluxes in a residential area: 1. Rainfall, roof runoff and evaporation: the effect of slope and aspect,  
22 *Hydrological Processes*, **17**, 2409–2422  
23  
24 142. Muñoz-Carpena R., Regalado C.M., Alvarez-Benedi J. and Bartoli F., (2002), Field evaluation of the  
25 new Philip-Dunne permeameter for measuring saturated soil conductivity, *Soil Science*, **167**(1), 9-24  
26  
27 143. Lee L.M., Kassim A. and Gofar N., (2011), Performances of two instrumented laboratory models for  
28 the study of rainfall infiltration into unsaturated soils, *Engineering Geology*, **117**(1-2), 78-89  
29  
30 144. Chaplot V., Jewitt G. and Lorentz S., (2011), Predicting plot-scale water infiltration using the  
31 correlation between soil apparent electrical resistivity and various soil properties, *Physics and Chemistry*  
32 *of the Earth*, **36**(14-15), 1033-1042  
33  
34 145. Finn M.P., Lewis M.D., Bosch D.D., Giraldo M., Yamamoto K., Sullivan D.G., Kincaid R., Luna R., Allam  
35 G.K., Kvien C. and Williams M.S., (2011), Remote sensing of soil moisture using airborne hyperspectral  
36 data, *Remote Sensing of Soil Moisture*, **48**(4), 522-540  
37  
38 146. World Meteorological Organization, (2010), Manual on stream gauging: Volume I – Fieldwork,  
39 *WMO-No. 1044*, Geneva  
40  
41 147. World Meteorological Organization, (1980), Methods of correction for systematic error in point  
42 precipitation measurement for operational use, *Operational Hydrology Report*, **21**, Geneva  
43  
44 148. Glasgow H.B., Burkholder J.M., Reed R.E., Lewitus A.J. and Kleinmann J.E., (2004), Real-time remote  
45 monitoring of water quality: A review of current applications, and advancements in sensor, telemetry  
46 and computing technologies, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **300**(1-2), 409-448  
47  
48 149. Fulton J. and Ostrowski J., (2008), Measuring real-time streamflow using emerging technologies:  
49 Radar, hydroacoustics, and the probability concept, *Journal of Hydrology*, **357**, 1-10  
50  
51 150. Davies P.S., (2005), The biological basis of wastewater treatment, [http://bartec-](http://bartecbenke.nl/media/1000154/thebiologicalbasisofwastewatertreatment.pdf)  
52 [benke.nl/media/1000154/thebiologicalbasisofwastewatertreatment.pdf](http://bartecbenke.nl/media/1000154/thebiologicalbasisofwastewatertreatment.pdf), accessed 12/12/13  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 151. Sustrasic M., (2009), Wastewater treatment: Understanding the activated sludge process, *American*  
4 *Institute of Chemical Engineers*,  
5 <http://www.d.umn.edu/~rdavis/courses/che4601/articles/Activated%20Sludge.pdf>, accessed 22/11/13  
6  
7  
8 152. Zhang Y., Chen Y., Westerhoff P., Hristovski, K. and Crittenden J.C., (2008), Stability of commercial  
9 metal oxide nanoparticles in water, *Water Research*, **42**, (8-9), 2204-2212  
10  
11 153. Snyder S.A., Westerhoff P., Yoon Y. and Sedlak D., (2003), Pharmaceuticals, personal care products  
12 and endocrine disruptors in water: Implications for the water quality industry, *Environmental*  
13 *Engineering Science*, **20**(5), 449-469  
14  
15 154. Kay D, Edwards A.C., McDonald A.T., Stapleton C.M., Wyer M and Crowther J, (2007), Catchment  
16 microbial dynamics: the emergence of a research agenda, *Progress in Physical Geography*, **31**, pp59-76  
17  
18 155. McGrane S.J., Soulsby C. and Tetzlaff D., (2014), Application of a linear regression model to assess  
19 the influence of urbanised areas and grazing pastures on the microbiological quality of rural streams,  
20 *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, **186**, 7141-7155  
21  
22 156. Paul M.J. and Meyer J.L., (2001), Streams in the urban landscape, *Annual Review of Ecology and*  
23 *Systematics*, **32**, 333-365  
24  
25 157. Hooke R.L., (1999), Spatial distribution of human geomorphic activity in the United States:  
26 comparison with rivers, *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, **24**, 687-692  
27  
28  
29 158. Chin A., (2006), Urban transformation of river landscapes in a global context, *Geomorphology*, **79**,  
30 460-487  
31  
32 159. Konrad C.P., Booth D.B. and Burges S.J., (2005), Effects of urban development in the Puget Lowland,  
33 Washington, on interannual streamflow patterns: Consequences for channel form and streambed  
34 disturbance, *Water Resources Research*, **41**, W07009, 1-15  
35  
36 160. Allmendinger N.E., Pizzuto J.E., Moglen G.E., and Lewicki M., (2007), A sediment budget for an  
37 urbanizing watershed, 1951-1996, Montgomery County, Maryland, U. S. A. *Journal of the American*  
38 *Water Resources Association*, **43**, 1483-1498  
39  
40 161. Warrick J.A. and Rubin D.M., (2007), Suspended-sediment rating curve response to urbanization  
41 and wildfire, Santa Ana River, California, *Journal of Geophysical Research*, **112**, F02018, 1-15  
42  
43 162. Nilsson C., Reidy C.A., Dynesius M. and Revenga C., (2005), Fragmentation and flow regulation of  
44 the world's large river systems, *Science*, 308  
45  
46 163. Ongley E., (1996), Chapter 13 – Sediment Measurements, In *Water Quality monitoring – A practical*  
47 *guide to the design and implementation of freshwater quality studies and monitoring programmes*,  
48 Bartram J. and Ballance R., (eds), United Nations Environment Programme and the World Health  
49 Organization publication  
50  
51 164. Emmett W., (1980), A Field calibration of the sediment-trapping characteristics of the Helley-Smith  
52 bedload sampler, *Geological survey professional paper*, 1139  
53  
54 165. Wall G.R., Nystrom E.A. and Litten S., (2006), Use of an ADCP to compute Suspended Sediment  
55 Discharge in the tidal Hudson River, New York, *Scientific Investigations Report 2006-5055*, USGS  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3  
4 166. Guerrero M., Szupiany R.N. and Amsler M., (2011), Comparison of acoustic backscattering  
5 techniques for suspended sediments investigation, *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, 22(5), 392–  
6 401  
7
- 8 167. Nord G., Gallart F., Gratiot N., Soler M., Reid I., Vachtman D., Latron J., Martin-Vide J.P. and Laronne  
9 J.B., (2014), Applicability of acoustic Doppler devices for flow velocity measurements and discharge  
10 estimation in flows with sediment transport, *Journal of Hydrology*, **509**, 504-518  
11
- 12 168. Glasgow H.B., Burkholder J.M., Reed R.E., Lewitus A.J. and Kleinmann J.E., (2004), Real-time remote  
13 monitoring of water quality: A review of current applications, and advancements in sensor, telemetry  
14 and computing technologies, *Journal of Experimental Marine Biology and Ecology*, **300**(1-2), 409-448  
15
- 16 169. Hunter, P.D., Tyler, A.N., Carvalho, L., Codd, G.A. and Maberly, S.C., (2010), Hyperspectral remote  
17 sensing of cyanobacterial pigments as indicators for cell populations and toxins in eutrophic lakes,  
18 *Remote Sensing of the Environment*, **114**, 2705-2718  
19
- 20 170. Read, D.S., Gweon, H.S., Bowes, M.J., Newbold, L.K., Field, D., Bailey, M.J. and Griffiths, R.I., (2015),  
21 Catchment-scale biogeography of riverine bacterioplankton, *The ISME Journal*, **9**, 516-526  
22
- 23 171. Stumm-Zollinger E. and Fair G.M., (1965), Biodegradation of steroid hormones, *Journal (Water  
24 Pollution Control Federation)*, **37**(11), 1506-1510  
25
- 26 172. Viglino L., Aboufadi K., Mahvelat A.D., Prevost M. and Suave S., (2008), On-line solid phase  
27 extraction and liquid chromatography/tandem mass spectrometry to quantify pharmaceuticals,  
28 pesticides and some metabolites in wastewater, *Journal of Environmental Monitoring*, **10**(4), 482-489  
29
- 30 173. Cahill J.D., Furlong E.T., Burkhardt M.R., Kolpin D. and Anderson L.G., (2004), Determination of  
31 pharmaceutical compounds in surface- and ground-water samples by solid phase extraction and high-  
32 performance liquid chromatography-electrospray ionization mass spectrometry, *Journal of  
33 Chromatography A*, **1041**(1-2), 171-180  
34
- 35 174. Vrana B., Allan I.J., Greenwood R., Mills G.A., Dominiak E., Svensson K., Knutsson J. and Morrison G.,  
36 (2005), Passive sampling techniques for monitoring pollutants in water. *TrAC Trends Analytical  
37 Chemistry*, **24**, 845-868  
38
- 39 175. Booij K., Smedes F. and van Weerlee E.M., (2002), Spiking of performance reference compounds in  
40 low density polyethylene and silicone passive water samplers, *Chemosphere*, **46**(8), 1157-1161  
41
- 42 176. Kaserzon S.L., Hawker D.W., Booij K., O'Brien D.S., Kennedy K., Vermeirssen E.L.M. and Mueller J.F.,  
43 (2014), Passive sampling of perflourinated chemicals in water: in-situ calibration, *Environmental  
44 Pollution*, **186**, 98-103  
45
- 46 177. Pinto, U. and Maheshwari, B., (2014), A framework for assessing river health in peri-urban  
47 landscapes, *Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology*, **14**, 121-131  
48
- 49 178. Alvarez D.A., Petty J.D., Huckins J.N., Jones-Lepp T.L., Getting D.T., Goddard J.P. and Manahan S.E.,  
50 (2004), Development of passive, in-situ, integrative sampler for hydrophilic organic contaminants in  
51 aquatic environments, *Environmental Toxicology and Chemistry*, **23**(7), 1640-1648  
52
- 53 179. EEA (2012): European waters – assessment of status and pressures. EEA report 8/2012.  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



- 1  
2  
3  
4 180. Birk, S.W., Bonne, A., Borja, S., Brucet, A., Courrat, S., Poikane, A., Solimini A., van de Bund, W.,  
5 Zampoukas, N. and Hering, D., (2012), Three hundred ways to assess Europe's surface waters: An almost  
6 complete overview of biological methods to implement the Water Framework Directive, *Ecological*  
7 *Indicators*, **18**, 31-41  
8
- 9  
10 181. Lu D., Moran E. and Hetrick S., (2011), Detection of impervious surface change with multitemporal  
11 Landsat images in an urban-rural frontier, *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*, **66**(3),  
12 298-306  
13
- 14 182. Irons, J.R., Dywer, J.L. and Barsi, J.A., (2012), The next Landsat satellite: The Landsat Data Continuity  
15 Mission, *NASA Publications*, **61**  
16
- 17 183. Novack T., Esch T., Kux H. and Stilla U., (2011), Machine learned comparison between Worldview-2  
18 and Quickbird-2 simulated imagery regarding object based urban land cover classification, *Remote*  
19 *Sensing*, **3**, 2263-2282  
20
- 21 184. Pu R. and Landry S., (2012), A comparative analysis of high spatial resolution IKONOS and  
22 Worldview-2 imagery for mapping urban tree species, *Remote Sensing of Environment*, **124**, 516-533  
23
- 24 185. GeoDigital©, (2014), LiDAR Maps, <http://www.geodigital.com>, accessed 08/07/2014  
25  
26
- 27 186. Roth A., (2003), TerraSar-X: A new perspective for scientific use of high-resolution spaceborne SAR  
28 data, *Proceedings of remote sensing and data fusion over urban areas, 2003, 2<sup>nd</sup> GRSS/ISPRS Joint*  
29 *Workshop*, Berlin, Germany  
30
- 31 187. Krajewski W.F., Villarini G. and Smith J.A., (2010), Radar-rainfall uncertainties: Where are We after  
32 Thirty Years of Effort?, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, **91**(1), 87-94  
33
- 34 188. HR Wallingford, (2015), ARC-BOAT, <http://www.hrwallingford.com/expertise/arc-boat>, [accessed  
35 06/10/15]  
36
- 37 189. Bailly E., Levi Y. and Karolak S., (2013), Calibration and field evaluation of polar organic chemical  
38 integrative sampler (POCIS) for monitoring pharmaceuticals in hospital wastewater, *Environmental*  
39 *Pollution*, **174**, 100-105  
40  
41
- 42 190. Hjelmfelt, A., Jr. (1991). Investigation of Curve Number Procedure. *Journal of Hydraulic Engineering*,  
43 **117**(6), 725-737  
44
- 45 191. Alley, W.M., and Smith, P.E., (1982), Distributed routing rainfall-runoff model version II: U.S.  
46 Geological Survey Open-File, Report 82-344  
47
- 48 192. Bicknell, B.R., J.C. Imhoff, J.L. Kittle Jr., T.H. Jobes, and A.S. Donigian, Jr. 2001. Hydrological  
49 Simulation Program - Fortran (HSPF). User's Manual for Release 12. U.S. EPA National Exposure Research  
50 Laboratory, Athens, GA, in cooperation with U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, Reston,  
51 VA  
52  
53
- 54 193. Metcalfe, P., Beven, K. and Freer, J., (2015), Dynamic TOPMODEL: A new implementation into R and  
55 its sensitivity to time and space steps, *Environmental Modelling and Software*, **72**, 155-172  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

- 1  
2  
3 194. Huber, W.C. and Dickinson, R.E., (1988), Stormwater Management Model (SWMM) Version 4:  
4 User's manual, [http://eng.odu.edu/cee/resources/model/mbin/swmm/swmm\\_1.pdf](http://eng.odu.edu/cee/resources/model/mbin/swmm/swmm_1.pdf), [accessed  
5 06/10/15]  
6
- 7 195. Mikkelsen, P.S., Madsen, H., Arnbjerg-Nielsen, K., Rosbjerg, D. and Harrmoes, P., (2005), Selection  
8 of regional historical rainfall time series as input to urban drainage simulation at ungauged locations,  
9 *Atmospheric Research*, **77**(1–4), 4–17  
10
- 11 196. Computational Hydraulics International, (2015), PCSWMM: Spatial decision support for EPA  
12 SWMM5 stormwater, wastewater and watershed modelling,  
13 <http://www.chiwater.com/Software/PCSWMM/>, [accessed 06/10/15]  
14  
15
- 16 197. Streeter H.W. and Phelps E.B., (1925), A study of the pollution and natural purification of the Ohio  
17 River, III: Factors concerned in the phenomena of oxidation and reaeration, U.S. Public Health Service,  
18 Bulletin 146  
19
- 20 198. Thomann R.V., (1965), Recent results from a mathematical model of water pollution control in the  
21 Delaware estuary, *Water Resources Research*, **1**(3), 349-359  
22
- 23 199. Skaggs R.W., (1977), Evaluation of drainage-water table control systems using a water management  
24 model, In *Proceedings of the 3<sup>rd</sup> National Drainage Symposium*, 61-68. ASAE Publication, St Joseph,  
25 Michigan, USA.  
26
- 27 200. Ambrose Jr, R.B. and Wool, T.A., (2009), WASP7 stream transport-model theory and user's guide:  
28 supplement to water quality analysis simulation program (WASP) user documentation,  
29 <http://nepis.epa.gov/Adobe/PDF/P100507C.PDF>, [accessed 06/10/15]  
30  
31
- 32 201. Abbott M.B., Bathurst J.C., Cunge J.A., O'Connell P.E. and Rasmussen J., (1986), An introduction to  
33 the European Hydrological System – Systeme Hydrologique Europeen, "SHE", 1: History and philosophy  
34 of a physically-based, distributed modelling system, *Journal of Hydrology*, **87**(1-2), 45-59  
35
- 36 202. Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, (2014), 'The quick guide to QUESTOR',  
37 <http://www.ceh.ac.uk/products/software/documents/questorguidenew.pdf>, accessed 25/06/2014  
38  
39
- 40 203. United States Environmental Protection Agency, (2014),  
41 <http://epa.gov/athens/wwqtsc/QUAL2K.pdf>, accessed 25/06/14  
42  
43

#### 44 Figure captions

45  
46

47 Figure 1: A typology of integration for urban water models (a concept adopted from Bach et al. (2014)<sup>12</sup>:  
48 Figure 2) comprising four tiers of increasingly broad integration: 1. Integrated component based models  
49 2. Integrated urban drainage models/Integrated water supply models 3. Integrated urban water cycle  
50 models 4. Integrated urban water system models. The scope of the POLLCURB case-study model is  
51 indicated on the diagram with grey ellipses; and the position across these tiers of some of the  
52 models/EDSS cited in the text are also indicated in italics  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

For Peer Review

## Tables

**Table 1:** Chronological evolution of monitoring techniques used within urban hydrological studies

Variable	Decade	Monitoring Advances	Examples/Specification	References
Land Cover/Land Use	1910s	Aerial photography	Sub-meter resolution maybe manually or automatically analysed – costly as obtained to request with no regular coverage	82
	1970s	Landsat 1-8 (including ETM <sup>+</sup> )	Broad land cover and change detection up to 15m panchromatic (pan) resolution	181 & 182
	2000s	QUICKBIRD-2	High resolution (up to 0.64m pan resolution) land cover/change to internal urban scale	183
	2000s	WORLDVIEW-2	Highest resolution commercially available (0.5m pan resolution) land cover/change	184 & 185
Topography	Historical	Surveys and Elevation Maps		
	1980s	Airborne LiDAR	e.g., GeoDigital TerraPoint	185
Impervious Fraction (%)	1970s	Landsat 1-8 (including ETM <sup>+</sup> )	% urban pixel for ~30m resolution	181; 182
	1980s	Optical and LIDAR		
	2000s	Interferometric SAR (InSAR)	e.g., TerraSar-X	186
Rainfall	Historical	Collection bucket raingauges	Lumped volumetric measurement	

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

<i>Point Measurements</i>	1960s	Tipping bucket gauges	e.g., ASOS	
	2000s	Optical and acoustic gauges	e.g. HYDREON RG-11	
<i>Areal averaging</i>	1950s	Radar	Advances from C to X band	187
	2010s	Microwave tomography	Signal attenuation – mobile networks	126

**Table 1 (Cont):** Evolution of monitoring techniques applied within hydroclimatic studies in the urban environment

<b>Runoff</b>	Historical	Velocity-area discharge	Most common technique globally (c.90%)	135
	2000s	Ultrasonic and Radar flow gauges	e.g., ADCP and Vegapuls	146
	2010s	Remote ADCP monitoring	ArcBOAT® - remote controlled ADCP logger-mounted	188
<b>Water Quality</b>	Historical	Manual sampling and laboratory analysis	Manual Sampling and targeted laboratory analysis	
	1960s	Autosampling chambers	Auto-samplers (e.g., ISCO 4700)	135
	2000s	Passive sampling	Passive water quality samplers (e.g., POCIS)	174 & 189
	2000s	Real-time remote monitoring (RTRM)	Multiparameter sondes (e.g., YSI 6600; DataSonde IV and HydroLab) and remote telemetry	146 & 148

**Table 2:** Example models that are commonly applied in urban runoff analyses, including both urban drainage and rainfall-runoff models

Model	Model Type	Description	References
SCS	Simple, empirical urban rainfall-runoff model	Statistical method for calculating runoff based on soil type, antecedent soil moisture conditions and land use for a given area.	190
DR <sub>3</sub> M	Distributed hydrologic and hydraulic model	Watershed model that routes water through branched sewer networks and into channels, incorporating soil moisture, overland flow and storages.	191
HSPF (aka Stanford Watershed Model)	Physically based process model	Uses continuous rainfall and associated meteorological metrics to calculate streamflow hydrographs and water quality with urban parameters.	192
Dynamic TOPMODEL	Distributed land-surface and hydrologic model	Newest version of TOPMODEL, implemented into R, encompassing land-use processes, rainfall-runoff at distributed scale.	193
USEPA SWMM5	Semi-distributed hydrologic and hydraulic model	Dynamic rainfall-runoff model that operates under continuous or event-based simulation of quantity and quality in both surface and pipe network systems.	194
MIKE-URBAN	Semi-distributed hydrologic and hydraulic model	GIS-based integrated model that incorporates SWMM and EPANET. Includes pipe-flow, rainfall-runoff and water quality transformation and transportation dynamics.	195
CITYDRAIN	Integrated hydrologic and WWTP model system	CITYDRAIN is a systems dynamic model that represents subsystems of the urban landscape, including sewers, receiving waters and WWTP processes.	28
SIMBA®6	Integrated hydrologic and WWTP model system	Complex model that simulates interactions between runoff, WWTP, receiving water quality – includes detailed representation of WWTP.	26
PCSWMM	Semi-distributed hydrologic and hydraulic model	Couples the powerful USEPA SWMM5 model with GIS software to enable streamlined GUI operation of hydrological, hydraulic and water quality simulation.	196


**Table 3:** Chronological evolution of water quality models commonly applied in the urban landscape

Model	Model Type	Description	References
Streeter-Phelps Model	BOD/DO	1D model for simulation of untreated effluent in riverine and estuarine environments. Owing to non-computer operation, the S-P model relied on linear kinetics and was applied to steady-state receiving waters.	197
Thomann's Expanded Streeter-Phelps Model	BOD/DO	Expansion of Streeter-Phelps model to incorporate multi-segment river systems with multiple contributions to oxygen development and use, including photosynthesis and sludge aeration.	198
DRAINMOD	In-stream Nutrient (N) Transport	An early nutrient model that sought to simulate transport and transformation of nitrogen in both shallow soils and in-stream environments.	199
WASP7	Dynamic in-stream flow and water quality model	Up to 3-D modelling of a suite of contaminants in the river, including metals, nutrients, VOCs, PCBs and sediment.	200
SHE	In-stream and groundwater flow and water quality	A physically-based, distributed catchment scale model which functions as a valuable decision support tool for a suite of hydrological contaminants.	201
QUESTOR	In-stream flow and water quality model	A flexible framework that can represent both flow and water quality within river reaches, incorporating multiple point sources and tributaries within a stream network.	202
QUAL2K	In-stream flow and water quality model	Building on the earlier QUAL2E model, this is a 1D model that simulates nitrogenous and carbonaceous BOD speciation, pH, sediment fluxes and pathogen dynamics.	203

### Related Articles

DOI	Article title
10.1002/wat2.1038	Toward a theoretical framework for integrated modeling of hydrological change
10.1002/wat2.1007	Urban rivers: novel ecosystems, new challenges
10.1002/wat2.1001	On the importance of very long-term water quality records

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



338x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)

Peer Review






Figure 1: A typology of integration for urban water models (a concept adopted from Bach et al. (2014): Figure 2) comprising four tiers of increasingly broad integration: 1. Integrated component based models 2. Integrated urban drainage models/Integrated water supply models 3. Integrated urban water cycle models 4. Integrated urban water system models. The scope of the POLLCURB case-study model is indicated on the diagram with grey ellipses; and the position across these tiers of some of the models/EDSS cited in the text are also indicated in italics

338x190mm (96 x 96 DPI)