



Citation for published version:

Yaniv, R, Yair, Y, Price, C, Nicoll, K, Harrison, G, Artamonov, A & Usoskin, I 2016, 'Balloon measurements of the vertical ionization profile over southern Israel and comparison to mid-latitude observations', *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, vol. 149, pp. 87-92. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2016.10.003>

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jastp.2016.10.003](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jastp.2016.10.003)

Publication date:

2016

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights

CC BY-NC-ND

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Balloon measurements of the vertical ionization profile over**
2 **southern Israel and comparison to mid-latitude observations**

3

4 Roy Yaniv¹, Yoav Yair², Colin Price¹, Keri Nicol³, Giles Harrison³, Anton Artamonov⁴
5 and Ilya Usoskin⁴

6

7 ¹ Department of Geosciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv, Israel.

8 ² School of Sustainability, Interdisciplinary Center (IDC) Herzliya, Israel

9 ³ Department of Meteorology, University of Reading, United Kingdom.

10 ⁴ Space Climate group, Faculty of Science, University of Oulu, Finland.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30 **Abstract**

31 Airborne measurements using meteorological balloons were conducted for the first
32 time from southern Israel (geographic 30°35'N, 34°45'E geomagnetic 27°6'N 112°23'E)
33 for measuring the vertical ionization profile during solar cycle 24. The results show the
34 differences (increase of ~30%) in count rates as we proceed from solar maximum toward
35 solar minimum. The observed altitude of maximum ionization (the Regener-Pfotzer
36 maximum) was between 17-20 km, and it agrees well with results from other
37 simultaneous measurements conducted at different latitudes (Reading, UK and Zaragoza-
38 Barcelona, Spain). When compared with predictions of an analytical model, we find a
39 highly significant correlation ($R^2=0.97$) between our observations and the computed
40 ionization profiles. The difference in count rates can be attributed to the height of the
41 tropopause due to the model using a US standard atmosphere that differs from the
42 measured atmospheric parameters above Israel.

43

44 **1. Introduction**

45 Over land and within the boundary layer (few hundred meters) the atmosphere is
46 mostly ionized by radiation emitted from the decay of radioactive isotopes in the Earth's
47 crust. Hess [1912] studied the ionization profile in the atmosphere and postulated that
48 ionization should therefore decrease with altitude since the radioactive elements have a
49 source near the surface. However, using balloon measurements Hess found that
50 ionization increased at altitudes above 10 km, and interpreted the results as caused by an
51 external source, namely galactic cosmic rays (GCR). He claimed that the penetration
52 depth of these particles was dependent on the energy spectrum of the incoming radiation
53 [Hess 1912]. Regener extended Hess' measurements using balloons, reaching heights up
54 to 20km (Regener 1933). They found that the ionization from cosmic rays reaches its
55 maximum value at altitudes between 17-24 km and is known as the Regener-Pfotzer
56 maximum (RP max) and is geomagnetic-latitude dependent (Pfotzer 1936, Carlson and
57 Watson 2014). Figure 1 shows past and present measurements of the ionization profile
58 (counts/sec/cm²/steradian) from a V-2 rocket up to 80 km at 40° geomagnetic latitude,

59 and a sounding balloon launch up to 30km from Reading, UK with a ionization model fit
60 overlaid. In both locations the RP max can be clearly observed [Israël 1970; Harrison et
61 al., 2014].

62 Up to 40 km above the surface the main ionization source in the atmosphere is
63 GCR and, sporadically in the polar region, solar protons [Mironova et al., 2015]. Balloon
64 measurements of charged particle fluxes ($> 1\text{MeV}$) and ion production rates have been
65 performed continuously from 1957 by the Lebedev Physics Institute, Russia
66 [Bazilevskaya et al 2000, Bazilevskaya et al 2008]. They found a correlation between the
67 ratio of ion production rate (q) and the cosmic charged particle flux (J) during days with
68 no solar activity at polar latitudes given by: $\frac{q}{J} = Ae^{-BH}$ (where $A= 119.86 \text{ cm}^{-1}$; $B =$
69 0.148 , and H is the altitude [km] – Bazilevskaya et al 2000 their Figure 4). The flux of
70 cosmic rays reaching the atmosphere at any given location is a function of the energy
71 spectrum, which is also impacted by solar activity, on short and long temporal scales and
72 by the geomagnetic rigidity cutoff, effectively determined by the geomagnetic latitude.
73 The rigidity is a key parameter for particle motion in magnetic fields and is defined as the
74 particle's momentum over charge: particles cannot penetrate to locations where the
75 geomagnetic cutoff is greater than the particle's rigidity (Bazilevskaya 2005, Smart et al
76 2006, Mironova et al., 2015).

77 Simultaneous ground and airborne measurements using a balloon equipped with
78 an ionization counter (based on a Geiger tube) have previously been performed during
79 quiet atmospheric conditions and during a solar flare event from Reading, UK [Nicoll and
80 Harrison, 2014; Harrison et al., 2014]. During the solar flare, the X-ray burst was
81 followed by a solar proton event that caused changes in the atmospheric electrical
82 properties of the potential gradient and the conduction current at ground level, with an
83 observed increase of more than 20% in the ionization at 20km, deduced from the RP max
84 values that were measured relative to quiet conditions.

85

86 **2. Methodology**

87 **2.1 Instrumentation**

88 Measurements of the atmospheric ionization up to the height of 35 km were
89 conducted using standard radiosonde balloons equipped with additional disposable
90 ionization sensors developed by the University of Reading. The ionization sensor is
91 composed of two LND714 miniature Geiger tubes which uses a microcontroller to count
92 the number of ionization events (the impact of a gamma photon counts as one event) that
93 occur within each tube per minute interval [Harrison et al., 2013]. Count rates reported
94 here are the mean count rate from both tubes. Each Geiger tube was calibrated by the
95 manufacturer using a Co-60 Ionization source with a gamma sensitivity of 1.5
96 (counts s⁻¹)/(mR hour⁻¹) (Harrison et al., 2012; Harrison et al., 2013). The
97 ionization sensor is interfaced to a standard Vaisala RS92 radiosonde via the PANDORA
98 data acquisition system (Harrison et al, 2012).

99 The balloons were launched from the Wise Observatory in Mitzpe Ramon
100 (30°35'N, 34°45'E, altitude 850 m a.s.l.). This location is in an arid region of the southern
101 part of Israel (the Negev highland desert) remote from Israel's major cities and other
102 sources of pollution. The area's climate typically exhibits hot and dry summers with
103 average daily temperature of 30 °C and cold winters with average temperature of 6 °C.
104 These conditions readily facilitate other atmospheric electrical measurements (vertical E-
105 field, vertical conduction current, ELF and VLF), as described in Price and Melnikov
106 (2004), Elhalel et al. (2014) and Yaniv et al. (2016). We note that these are the first such
107 measurements ever conducted in Israel, and for that matter, in this low geomagnetic
108 latitude range. Thus, the measurements offer a much needed addition to the global map of
109 cosmic ray ionization, which is traditionally based on balloon measurements conducted at
110 mid and high-latitudes.

111

112 **2.2 Model Description**

113 We used the CRAC:CRII model of atmospheric ionization [Usoskin and
114 Kovaltsov, 2006; Usoskin et al., 2010], based on Monte Carlo calculations which
115 simulate the ionization by cosmic rays (interactions of particles (protons, alpha-particles

116 and heavier species) and locally produced secondary particles (protons, electrons,
117 neutrons and muons)), enabling a comparison between observations and theoretical
118 predictions. The model output provides the vertical profile of the ion production rate and
119 is applicable to a US standard atmosphere. The predictions of the model have been
120 validated over a wide range of geographical latitudes and altitudes [Usoskin and
121 Kovaltsov 2006; Harrison et al 2014]. The model can assess the ionization rate by cosmic
122 rays, by considering the geomagnetic rigidity cutoff at the site and the actual cosmic ray
123 intensity as monitored by ground-based neutron monitors.

124 Atmospheric ionization is mostly defined by the flux of GCR outside the
125 atmosphere, which is modulated by solar activity: the GCR flux is greater for low solar
126 activity periods and visa versa. Solar modulation of GCR is often quantified via the
127 modulation potential [Usoskin et al., 2005]. Values of the modulation potential for the
128 days of the reported balloon flights are given in Table 1. One can see that the modulation
129 potential decreased in time between the launches, reflecting the declining phase of solar
130 activity in the present solar cycle.

131

132 **3. Results**

133 Six balloon launches were conducted during the period from October 2014 to June
134 2016 reaching altitudes of ~18, 29, 28, 34, 35 and 28km. Starting with launch #3 we also
135 used a parachute to measure parameters during descent. Table 1 summarizes the
136 operational aspects of our airborne campaign including flight duration, peak pressure at
137 the highest altitude, lowest temperature measured during the flight and the highest count
138 rate representing the RP max altitude. Figure 2 shows the flight trajectories on a regional
139 map, indicating that some balloons drifted with the stratospheric winds to Jordan and
140 Egypt, and were thus not retrievable.

141 Figures 3a, 3b and 3c present the vertical profiles of the temperature, pressure and
142 relative humidity respectively showing the meteorological conditions for each launch.
143 Figures 3a and 3b also show temperature and pressure from the U.S. Standard

144 Atmosphere 1976, (NASA-TM-X-74335), which agree well with the sounding profiles,
145 although some differences can be clearly noted, as we will discuss later on.

146 Figure 4a shows the count rates of the Geiger counters as a function of altitude for
147 each launch and the mean calculated ionization curve (black line) which peaks in the
148 height range of 17-20 km. According to the 1976 US standard atmosphere values
149 (<http://www.digitaldutch.com/atmoscalc/>), the height range of 17-20 km measured in the
150 mean ionization curve corresponds to the pressure of 100 mbar as shown in Fig. 4b.
151 Figure 4b is a fit of the count rate versus the measured atmospheric pressure and is in
152 agreement with Fig. 1b. Figure 4b shows that from 2014 to 2016 the ionization value had
153 steadily increased by ~30%.

154 Bazilevskaya (2014) noted an impact of the solar cycle on the flux of GCR
155 arriving to Earth's atmosphere. Maximum solar activity diminishes the flux of GCR
156 while minimum activity increases the flux of GCR. Figure 5 shows the negative linear
157 correlation between the RP max counts per minute and the modulation potential. Flight
158 #4 (27 Aug 2015) was conducted during an M class solar flare event with $K_p=7$ while
159 flight #5 was conducted in fair weather on a quiet solar day.

160 The second launch was conducted simultaneously with other launches at various
161 locations in order to compare the vertical ionization profiles at different geomagnetic
162 latitudes during 22nd -24th October 2014 (Makhmutov et al., 2015). Figure 6 shows the
163 fit of the ionization profile as was measured by ionization sensors from Mitzpe Ramon
164 (Israel), Zaragoza-Barcelona (Spain) and Reading (UK). We can clearly see the
165 differences in the RP maximum altitude and the count rate as a function of the
166 geomagnetic latitudes. The Reading flight shows a higher count rate, followed by
167 Zaragoza-Barcelona measurement while the Israeli flight shows the lowest count rate.
168 Figure 7 presents the CRAC:CRII model results of the ion production rate as a function
169 of height for the Israel-Spain-UK balloon flights. We used the model to simulate the
170 ionization rate in the atmosphere as a function of the geomagnetic latitudes for the
171 simultaneous launches conducted from Israel, Spain and the UK during 22-24 Oct 2014.
172 Harrison et al., (2014) used a factor of 2.95 for a standard atmosphere to convert the

173 ionization count rates (in counts min^{-1}) to ion production rates. Using this conversion
174 coefficient we found a good correlations ($R^2 > 0.9$) between the actual measurement from
175 Israel and the model from the 22 Oct 2014 (Figure 8 top) and the 14 May 2015 launch
176 (Figure8 bottom).

177

178 **4. Discussion**

179 We present results of airborne measurement conducted for the first time above
180 Israel and from a low latitude location, adding new information on the latitudinal
181 dependence of cosmic ray induced ionization, and complementing the majority of
182 airborne measurements that were performed at mid and high latitudes over Europe,
183 Russia and the US.

184 The difference (10-35% lower) in the meteorological parameters shown in figure
185 3a and 3b compared with the U.S standard atmosphere model is especially pronounced in
186 the temperature profile near the tropopause. As discussed below, this US Standard
187 Atmosphere, when used in the CRAC:CRII model, is the main reason for differences
188 between our observations and the model results. Figure 3c also shows large variability in
189 the vertical profile of the relative humidity, indicating periods when the balloon ascended
190 through layers of visible clouds. We visually observed and identified the relevant cloud
191 types, as indicted in the graphs.

192 4.1 Solar activity impact on ionization:

193 The ionization increase shown in Figure 4a and Figure 4b results from the overall
194 increase of the GCR flux impacting the Earth due to a decrease in the activity of the sun –
195 reflecting the declining phase of solar cycle 24. Table 1 shows values of the modulation
196 potential (cosmic ray modulation parameter deduced from the sunspot index (Nymmik et
197 al., 1996). It is clearly evident from Figure 5 that ionization count rates increase from ~30
198 cpm to ~50 cpm as the modulation potential decreases, as more GCR penetrate into the
199 Earth's atmosphere indicating that the sun is approaching solar minimum. During a solar
200 event that occurred during the launch of 27 Aug 2015 (Kp 7), we observed no impact on
201 the ionization profile, likely because of the high cutoff rigidity at the latitude of Israel.

202 We can conclude that short term variations are too small to be recorded using our
203 instrument, but long term variations in solar activity can be monitored. Similar results
204 were found by Harrison (2014) during the rising phase of solar cycle 24 toward solar
205 maximum with ionization values of the RP max decreasing from around 80 cpm in 2013
206 to 60 cpm in 2014.

207 4.2 Geomagnetic latitude effect on ionization:

208 The differences found between the ionization values from Israel, Spain and the
209 UK shown in Figure 6 are due to geomagnetic shielding (stronger deflection of charged
210 GCR particles by the magnetosphere at the lower latitude of Israel). While high and mid-
211 latitude measurements of the vertical ionization profile are quite abundant [Nicol 2012],
212 results in low-latitudes and sub-tropical regions are quite rare, and none have been
213 reported in the geomagnetic latitude of Israel (~27N) where the cutoff rigidity is 10.3GV
214 (compared to Spain 4.6GV and the UK 3.6GV). It is observable that the altitude of the
215 RP max at all locations is in good agreement while the intensity of the GCR penetrating
216 decrease as we proceed from polar to equatorial latitudes – values ranged around 25, 40
217 and 50 cpm for Israel, Spain and UK respectively. Measurements in polar latitudes
218 (Mirny, Antarctica (geomagnetic latitude 67.23 S) with cutoff rigidity of 0.03 GV and
219 Apatity, Russia (geomagnetic latitude 68.14 N) with cutoff rigidity of 0.56 GV) obtained
220 by Makhmutov et al (2014) on the same day but with a different instrument found higher
221 ionization values than the UK.

222 The model results shown in Figure 7 agree well with the simultaneous
223 measurements showing that ion production rates (ion pairs/cm³/s) are larger at higher
224 latitudes where the cutoff rigidity is smaller and lower at lower latitudes where the cutoff
225 rigidity is greater, thus, confirming the results presented in Figure 6. Model results for
226 other balloon flights were in good agreement as well while the small differences are
227 likely due to the use of the Standard US atmosphere in the model rather than the actual
228 atmospheric density profiles from the balloon measurements.

229

230 **5. Summary**

231 Balloon measurements of the vertical ionization profile have been conducted for
232 the first time in Israel. We found that the Regener-Pfotzer maximum to be in the expected
233 altitude range of 17-20 km at an atmospheric pressure of ~100 mbar. The effect of the
234 present phase of solar cycle 24 is clearly evident in the measured ionization count rates
235 showing an increase in ionization due to increases in GCR fluxes as expected from the
236 declining phase toward the next solar minimum. Simultaneous measurements from
237 different latitudes using the same Geiger counters found a latitudinal dependence of the
238 count rates as expected – higher count rates (~50 cpm) for the mid-latitudes of Spain and
239 UK where the geomagnetic rigidity is lower compared to the low latitude of Israel (~25
240 cpm). Model calculations of ion pair-production rate profile were found to correlate
241 positively ($R^2 > 0.9$) with the measurements.

242

243 **Acknowledgments**

244 This research is supported by the Israel Science Foundation (grant No. 423/13).
245 The work of A.A. and I.U. was done in the framework of ReSoLVE Centre of Excellence
246 (Academy of Finland, project 272157).
247 The ionization sensor developed under STFC grant ST/K001965/1. KAN acknowledges
248 an early Career fellowship of the Leverhulme Trust (ECF-2011-225) and NERC
249 Independent Research Fellowship (NE/L011514/1).

250

251 **References:**

252 Bartlett, D.T., Tommasino, L., Beck, P., Wissmann, F., O’Sullivan D., Bottollier-Depois,
253 J.-F. and Lindborg L. Investigation of Radiation Doses at Aircraft Altitudes during a
254 Complete Solar Cycle: DOSMAX- A collaborative Research Program. Presented at the
255 12th Biennial Topical Meeting at XXX 2002.
256 Bazilevskaya G.A., Krainev M.B., Makhmutov V.S., Effects of cosmic rays on the
257 earth’s environment, J. Atmos. Sol.-Terr. Phys. 62, 1577–1586, 2000.

258 Bazilevskaya G.A., Solar cosmic rays in the near Earth space and the atmosphere. *Adv.*
259 *Space Res.* 35, 458–464, 2005.

260 Bazilevskaya G.A., Usoskin I.G., Flückiger E.O., Harrison R.G., Desorgher L., Bütikofer
261 R., Krainev M.B., Makhmutov V.S., Stozhkov Y.I., Svirzhevskaya A.K., Svirzhevsky
262 N.S., Kovaltsov G.A., Cosmic ray induced ion production in the atmosphere. *Space*
263 *Sci. Rev.* 137, 149–173, 2008.

264 Bazilevskaya, G. A., Cliver, E. W., Kovaltsov, G. A., Ling, A. G., Shea, M. A., Smart, D.
265 F., & Usoskin, I. G., Solar cycle in the heliosphere and cosmic rays. *Space Science*
266 *Reviews*, 186(1-4), 409-435, 2014.

267 Carlson, P., & Watson, A. A. (2014). Erich Regener and the ionization maximum of the
268 atmosphere. *History of Geo-and Space Sciences*, 5(2), 175.

269 Elhalel G., Yair Y., Nicoll K., Price C., Reuveni Y., and Harrison R. G., Influence of
270 short-term solar disturbances on the fair weather conduction current, *J. Space Weather*
271 *Space Clim.* 4, A26 DOI: 10.1051, 2014.

272 Friedberg, W., Copeland, K., Duke, F. E., O'Brien III, K., & Darden Jr, E. B. Guidelines
273 and technical information provided by the US Federal Aviation Administration to
274 promote radiation safety for air carrier crew members. *Radiation Protection*
275 *Dosimetry*, 86(4), 323-327, 1999.

276 Harrison, R. G., Nicoll, K. A., Lomas A. G., Programmable data acquisition system for
277 research measurements from meteorological radiosondes, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 83,
278 036106, 2012.

279 Harrison, R. G., Nicoll, K. A., Lomas A. G., Geiger tube coincidence counter for lower
280 atmosphere radiosonde measurements, *Rev. Sci. Instrum.*, 84, 076103, 2013.

281 Harrison, R. G., Nicoll, K. A., Aplin, K. L., Vertical profile measurements of lower
282 troposphere ionization. *Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial Physics*, 119,
283 203-210., 2014.

284 Hess V. F., Über beobachtungen der durchdringenden Strahlung bei sieben
285 Freiballonfahrten, *Zeitschrift fur Physik*, vol. 13, pp. 1084–1091, 1912.

286 Israël, H. Atmospheric electricity: Atmosphärische Elektrizität. Vol. 29. Israel Program
287 for Scientific Translations [available from the US Dept. of Commerce, National
288 Technical Information Service, Springfield, Va.(Jerusalem), 1970.

289 Makhmutov, V., Bazilevskaya, G., Stozhkov, Y., Philippov, M., Yair, Y., Yaniv, R.,
290 Harrison G., Nicoll K. and Aplin, K. Cosmic ray measurements in the atmosphere at
291 several latitudes in October, 2014, Proceeding of Science, ICRC 2015.

292 Mishev, Alexander, and Ilya Usoskin, Numerical model for computation of effective and
293 ambient dose equivalent at flight altitudes-Application for dose assessment during
294 GLEs, Journal of Space Weather and Space Climate 5, 2015.

295 Mironova, I. A., Aplin, K. L., Arnold, F., Bazilevskaya, G. A., Harrison, R. G.,
296 Krivolutsky, A. A., Nicoll K. A., Rozanov E. V., Turunen E. & Usoskin, I. G.,
297 Energetic Particle Influence on the Earth's Atmosphere. Space Science
298 Reviews, 194(1-4), 1-96, 2015.

299 Nicoll, K. A. "Measurements of atmospheric electricity aloft." Surveys in
300 geophysics 33.5, 991-1057, 2012.

301 Nicoll, K. A., Harrison, R. G., Detection of lower tropospheric responses to solar
302 energetic particles at midlatitudes. Physical review letters, 112(22), 225001, 2014.

303 Nymmik R.A., Panasyuk M.I. et Suslov A.A., Adv. Space Research, 17, (2)19-(2)30,
304 1996.

305 Pfozter, G., Dreifachkoinzidenzen der Ultrastrahlung aus vertikaler Richtung in der
306 Stratosphäre, II, Analyse der gemessenen Kurve, Z. Phys., 102, 41–58, 1936b.

307 Price, C., and A. Melnikov, Diurnal, Seasonal and Inter-annual Variations in the
308 Schumann Resonance Parameters, Journal of Atmospheric and Solar-Terrestrial
309 Physics, 66, 1179-1185, 2004.

310 Regener, E.: New Results in Cosmic Ray Measurements, Nature, 132, 696–698, 1933.

311 Smart, D.F., M.A. Shea, A.J. Tylka, P.R. Boberg, A geomagnetic cutoff rigidity
312 interpolation tool: Accuracy verification and application to space weather. Adv. Space
313 Res. 37, 1206–1217, 2006.

314 Usoskin, I.G., K. Alanko-Huotari, G.A. Kovaltsov, K. Mursula, Heliospheric modulation
315 of cosmic rays: Monthly reconstruction for 1951-2004, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110(A12),
316 CiteID A12108, 2005.

317 Usoskin I., Kovaltsov G., Cosmic ray induced ionization in the atmosphere: Full
318 modeling and practical applications, *Journal of geophysical research* 11, D21206,
319 2006.

320 Usoskin, I. G., G. A. Kovaltsov, and I. A. Mironova, Cosmic ray induced ionization
321 model CRAC:CRII: An extension to the upper atmosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115,
322 D10302, 2010.

323 Yaniv, R., Yair, Y., Price, C., & Katz, S., Local and global impacts on the fair-weather
324 electric field in Israel. *Atmospheric Research*, Vol 172-173, p. 119-125, 2016.

325

326

327 **Figure Captions:**

328 **Figure 1.** Ionization-altitude curves of ionization from cosmic radiation. (left) past rocket launch [adapted
329 from Israël 1970 his figure 26] and (right) modern balloon launch. [adapted from Harrison et al 2014]

330

331 **Figure 2.** Regional map of Israel and surrounding countries with flight trajectories for each launch.

332

333 **Figure 3.** Vertical temperature profile (a), pressure profile (b) and relative humidity profile (c) for each
334 launch. We note the locations of several cloud types, based on the RH values and visual observations.

335

336 **Figure 4.** Ionization variation versus the altitude [km] (a) and Vertical Ionization profile [counts mins⁻¹,
337 cpm] versus the Pressure [mbar] (b). The black line shows the average value of 6 launches

338

339 **Figure 5.** Modulation potential as a function of the Ionization count rate shows the effect of Solar cycle
340 24 phase on the Ionization in low latitudes.

341

342 **Figure 6.** Ionization curves from Mitzpe Ramon (Israel), Zaragoza-Barcelona (Spain) and Reading (UK).

343

344 **Figure 7.** Model results of Ion production rate versus altitude from Mitzpe Ramon (Israel), -Barcelona
 345 (Spain) and Reading (UK) of the 14 May 2015 Balloon launch.

346

347 **Figure 8.** Observations and modelled ion production rates (top) 14 May 2015 flight and (bottom) 22 Oct
 348 2014 flight.

349

350 **Table Captions**

351

352 **Table 1. Summary of balloon launches. (*) Ascent only.**

Launch	Date	Modulation potential [MV]	Flight Duration [s]	Peak Altitude [m]	Pressure [mbar] at peak	Lowest Temperature [°C] recorded	(RP max) cpm @ [km]
1*	6 Oct 2014	677	3149	17542	85.5	-72.3 @ 16.3 km	28.1 cpm at 16.2 km
2*	22 Oct 2014	621	5342	29467	12.6	-74.7 @ 17.2 km	36.1 cpm at 18.5 km
3	14 May 2015	656	6325	28320	15.2	-63.9 @ 20.2 km	31.3 cpm at 16.07 km
4	27 Aug 2015	573	9351	34796	6.1	-74 @ 16.7 km	40.80 cpm at 21.8 km
5*	20 Jun 2016	449	6431	35496	5.4	-74.5 @ 17.5 km	50.87 cpm at 17.1 km
6*	30 Aug 2016	337	7639	28200	16.67	-80.7 @ 17.6 km	47.1 cpm at 19 km

353

354

355


356

357

358

359 **Figures:**


360 **Figure 1:**



361

362 **Figure 2:**

363





364


365

366

367







369

370

371

372


373


374

375

376


377 **Figure 4:**





378

379 **Figure 5:**



380

381

382

383

384


385

386

387


388

389 **Figure 6:**



390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399

400 **Figure 7:**



401

402

403

404

405

406



407

408

409

410

411 **Figure 8:**



412

413