Topological Ordering and Viscosity in the Glass-Forming Ge-Se System: The Search for a Structural or Dynamical Signature of the Intermediate Phase

Anita Zeidler¹, Philip S. Salmon¹, Dean A. J. Whittaker¹, Keiron J. Pizzey¹, Alex C. Hannon²

¹ Department of Physics, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK
² ISIS Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0QX, UK

Abstract. The topological ordering of the network structure in vitreous GeₓSe₁₋ₓ was investigated across most of the glass-forming region (0 ≤ x ≤ 0.4) by using high-resolution neutron diffraction to measure the Bhatia-Thornton number-number partial structure factor. This approach gives access to the composition dependence of the mean coordination number ň and correlation lengths associated with the network ordering. The thermal properties of the samples were also measured by using temperature-modulated differential scanning calorimetry. The results do not point to a structural origin of the so-called intermediate phase, which in our work is indicated for the composition range 0.175(8) ≤ x ≤ 0.235(8) by a vanishingly-small non-reversing enthalpy near the glass transition. The midpoint of this range coincides with the mean-field expectation of a floppy-to-rigid transition at x = 0.20. The composition dependence of the liquid viscosity, as taken from the literature, was also investigated to look for a dynamical origin of the intermediate phase, using the Mauro-Yue-Ellison-Gupta-Allan (MYEGA) model to estimate the viscosity at the liquidus temperature. The evidence points to a maximum in the viscosity at the liquidus temperature, and a minimum in the fragility index, for the range 0.20 ≤ x ≤ 0.22. The utility of the intermediate phase as a predictor of the material properties in network glass-forming systems is discussed.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The structural disorder associated with covalently-bonded network-forming glassy materials gives rise to a diversity of material properties, which leads to the importance of glass in multiple technologies (Cusack 1987; Elliott 1990; Feltz 1993). It is possible to predict many of the structure-related properties of these materials by using constraint-counting theory, where the constraints originate from the bond-stretching and bond-bending interatomic forces associated with the covalent bonds of network-forming motifs (Phillips 1979; Thorpe 1983). As the type and proportion of network-forming motifs is altered, the network topology will respond accordingly. Hence, the connectivity and properties of covalently-bonded network-forming glasses can be manipulated systematically by altering their composition.

On the basis of mean-field constraint-counting theory, a network is predicted to undergo the transition from an elastically floppy to a stressed-rigid state when the mean number of Lagrangian bonding constraints per atom \( N_c \) is equal to three, i.e., the number of degrees of freedom per atom in three dimensions. Floppy phases are under-constrained \( (N_c < 3) \), and stressed-rigid phases are over-constrained \( (N_c > 3) \). For a system in which all of the bond-stretching and bond-bending constraints are intact and there are no dangling bonds, the transition at \( N_c = 3 \) corresponds to a mean coordination number \( \bar{n} = 2.40 \) where the network is isostatically rigid and stress free (Phillips 1979; Thorpe 1983). If the network can self-organise and thereby lower the free energy at the temperature of its formation by the incorporation of structural configurations that minimise the occurrence of over-constrained regions, then it is postulated that two transitions can appear (Thorpe et al., 2000). In this case, the floppy and stressed-rigid phases are separated by a composition range known as the intermediate phase where the network is isostatically rigid and stress free. The compositional width of this phase is thought to be related to structural variability, i.e., the ability of a network to incorporate a range of structural motifs (Massobrio et al., 2009; Sartbaeva et al., 2007). In temperature-modulated differential scanning calorimetry (TMDSC) experiments, the existence of a stress-free intermediate-phase is inferred from the non-reversing part of the measured enthalpy \( \Delta H_{\text{nr}} \), which takes a value close to zero near the glass transition temperature \( T_g \) (Boolchand et al., 2001b; Wang et al., 2000). The structural motifs of the intermediate phase are expected to yield \( N_c = 3 \) such that the network is optimally constrained to avoid stress. \( \text{Ge}_x\text{Se}_{1-x} \) \( (0 \leq x \leq 1) \) is a prototypical covalently-bonded network-forming system for which the intermediate phase spans a wide composition window, usually reported as \( 0.20 \leq x \leq 0.26 \) (Bhosle et al., 2012b, Boolchand et al., 2001a; Boolchand et al., 2007).

The first objective of this paper is to search for a structural origin of the intermediate phase by performing a set of neutron diffraction experiments on vitreous \( \text{Ge}_x\text{Se}_{1-x} \) across the glass-forming region \( 0 \leq x \leq 0.43 \) (Azoulay et al., 1975). The experiments used samples containing Ge and Se of natural isotopic abundance, for which the coherent neutron scattering lengths take similar values, i.e., \( b_{\text{Ge}} = 8.185(20) \) fm.
and \( b_{Se} = 7.970(9) \) fm (Sears 1992). In consequence, the Bhatia-Thornton (1970) number-number partial structure factor \( S_{NN}(q) \) is measured to an excellent level of approximation, where \( q \) denotes the magnitude of the scattering vector (Salmon 2007a). This function and its Fourier transform, the number-number partial pair-distribution function \( g_{NN}(r) \), do not distinguish between the chemical species that occupy the atomic sites in a glass-forming network structure, and therefore yield important information on the topological ordering (Petri et al., 1999; Salmon 1992; Salmon and Liu 1994). For example, the mean coordination number \( \bar{n} \) is obtained directly from \( g_{NN}(r) \). In addition, the peak positions and widths in \( S_{NN}(q) \) describe the atomic ordering in a glass network on different length scales (Salmon 1994; Salmon et al., 2005; Zeidler and Salmon 2016). One of these length scales is associated with an intermediate range, and manifests itself by the appearance of a first sharp diffraction peak (FSDP) in \( S_{NN}(q) \) at \( q_{FSDP} \), where \( q_{FSDP} r_{nn} \approx 2.2 - 2.8 \) for glassy Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\) and \( r_{nn} \) is the nearest-neighbour bond distance. Another length scale is associated with ordering on an extended range, and manifests itself by the appearance of a principal peak in \( S_{NN}(q) \) at \( q_{PP} \), where \( q_{PP} r_{nn} \approx 4.5 - 4.8 \) for glassy Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\). A competition between the ordering on these two length scales for different classes of binary glass-forming melts influences their relative fragility (Salmon et al., 2006; Salmon 2007b; Salmon and Zeidler 2013). The present neutron diffraction work complements previous investigations on the structure of intermediate phase glasses using neutron diffraction (Ramesh Rao et al., 1998), x-ray diffraction (Sharma et al., 2005; Wang et al., 2004), anomalous x-ray diffraction (Hosokawa et al., 2003, 2011); or a combination of high-energy x-ray diffraction and extended x-ray absorption fine structure (EXAFS) spectroscopy (Shatnawi et al., 2008).

The second objective of this paper is to investigate the viscosity at the liquidus temperature in the Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\) system by using the Mauro-Yue-Ellison-Gupta-Allan (MYEGA) model (Mauro et al., 2009) to search for a dynamical signature of the intermediate phase. For a given composition, the equilibrium liquid will have more thermal energy than the supercooled liquid, which should give a greater opportunity for reorganization of the network structure. The self-organization that occurs on quenching to form a stress-free intermediate-phase glass should therefore manifest itself in the dynamics of the liquid state at the liquidus temperature \( T_L \), and the temperature-dependent viscosity \( \eta(T) \) is an important measure of the dynamics for a glass-forming material.

The paper is organised as follows. The essential neutron diffraction theory is outlined in Section 2. The experimental method is described in Section 3 and the neutron diffraction results are given in Section 4. The composition dependence of the viscosity and fragility index is described in Section 5. The results are discussed in Section 6, where the composition dependence of the glass structure is considered, along with the utility of the intermediate phase as a predictor of material properties. Conclusions are drawn in Section 7.
2. THEORY

The total structure factor measured in a neutron diffraction experiment on glassy Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ is given by (Fischer et al., 2006)

$$S(q) = \frac{1}{\langle b \rangle^2} \left[ x^2 b^2_{\text{Ge}} S_{\text{GeGe}}(q) + 2x(1-x) b_{\text{Ge}} b_{\text{Se}} S_{\text{GeSe}}(q) + (1-x)^2 b^2_{\text{Se}} S_{\text{SeSe}}(q) \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

where $S_{\alpha\beta}(q)$ is the partial structure factor for chemical species $\alpha$ and $\beta$, and $\langle b \rangle = x b_{\text{Ge}} + (1-x) b_{\text{Se}}$ is the mean coherent neutron scattering length. The close similarity between the $b_{\text{Ge}}$ and $b_{\text{Se}}$ values for Ge and Se of natural isotopic abundance means that $S(q) \approx S_{\text{NN}}(q)$ to an excellent level of approximation (Salmon 2007a), where $S_{\text{NN}}(q)$ is given by Eq. (1) if $b_{\text{Ge}} = b_{\text{Se}}$. The total pair-distribution function $g(r)$ follows from the Fourier transform relation

$$g(r) - 1 = \frac{1}{2\pi^2 \rho r} \int_0^\infty dq \, q [S(q) - 1] M(q) \sin(qr)$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where $\rho$ is the atomic number density. The measurement window of a diffractometer is limited to a maximum scattering vector $q_{\text{max}}$ such that $M(q < q_{\text{max}}) = 1$, $M(q > q_{\text{max}}) = 0$.

If $q_{\text{max}}$ is sufficiently large that the effect of $M(q)$ can be neglected, the overall mean coordination number for the spatial range $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$ follows from the expression

$$\bar{n} = 4\pi \rho \int_{r_1}^{r_2} dr \, r^2 g(r)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$$= \frac{1}{\langle b \rangle^2} \left[ x b_{\text{Ge}} (b_{\text{Ge}} \bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^e + b_{\text{Se}} \bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^s) + (1-x) b_{\text{Se}} (b_{\text{Ge}} \bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e + b_{\text{Se}} \bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e) \right],$$

where $\bar{n}_{\alpha}^\beta$ is the mean coordination number of chemical species $\beta$ about chemical species $\alpha$ for the range $r_1 \leq r \leq r_2$. In the case when $b_{\text{Ge}} = b_{\text{Se}}$, Eq. (3) reduces to the expression

$$\bar{n} = 4\pi \rho \int_{r_1}^{r_2} dr \, r^2 g_{\text{NN}}(r) = x (\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^e + \bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^s) + (1-x) (\bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e + \bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^s).$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Then, on the basis of the ‘8-N’ rule in which the Ge and Se atoms are four-fold and two-fold coordinated, respectively, such that $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}} = \bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^e + \bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^s = 4$ and $\bar{n}_{\text{Se}} = \bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e + \bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^s = 2$, it follows that

$$\bar{n} = 2(1 + x).$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

The coordination numbers $\bar{n}_{\alpha}^\beta$ can be calculated on the basis of a chemically ordered network (CON) or random covalent network (RCN) model, both of which satisfy the ‘8-N’ rule (Salmon 2007a). In the CON, Ge-Se bonds are favored such that only Ge-Se and Se-Se bonds are allowed for $x < 1/3$ whereas only Ge-Se and Ge-Ge bonds are allowed for $x > 1/3$. The associated coordination numbers are $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^e = 4$, $\bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e = 2(1-3x)/(1-x)$ and $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^s = 0$ for $x < 1/3$; $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^e = 2(1-x)/x$, $\bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e = 0$ and $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^s = 2(3x-1)/x$ for $x > 1/3$; or $\bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e = 4$ with $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^e = \bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e = 0$ at the stoichiometric composition $x = 1/3$. In the RCN, there is a purely statistical distribution of bond types giving $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^e = 4(1-x)/(1+x)$, $\bar{n}_{\text{Se}}^e = 2(1-x)/(1+x)$ and $\bar{n}_{\text{Ge}}^s = 8x/(1+x)$. Hence, provided the ‘8-N’ rule holds
**Figure 1.** The dependence of the liquidus temperature $T_L$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The data point for Se is a mean of the values reported by Berkes and Myers (1971); Johnson et al. (1986); Morgant and Legendre (1986); Ota and Kunugi (1973); and Stølen et al. (1999). The other data points were taken from Dembovskii et al. (1965); Ipser et al. (1982); Mikolaichuk and Moroz (1986); Quenez and Khodadad (1969); Ross and Bourgon (1969); and Stølen et al. (1999). The solid (black) curve gives a least-squares fit of the measured data sets to an inverse polynomial function. The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizontal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{nr} \approx 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system, $\bar{n}$ will follow from Eq. (5) if an experiment is performed on a sample for which $b_{\text{Ge}} = b_{\text{Se}}$, or $\bar{n}$ can be calculated from either the CON or RCN model by using Eq. (3) if an experiment is performed on a sample for which $b_{\text{Ge}} \neq b_{\text{Se}}$.

### 3. EXPERIMENTAL METHOD

#### 3.1. Glass Synthesis and Characterization

Elemental Ge (99.999 %, Alpha Aesar) and Se powders (99.999+ %, Sigma-Aldrich), with the desired mass ratio, were loaded into a silica ampoule of 5 mm inner diameter and 1 mm wall thickness that had been etched using a 48 wt% solution of hydrofluoric acid, rinsed using water then acetone, and baked dry under vacuum at 800 °C for 3 h. The ampoule was loaded in a high-purity argon-filled glove box, isolated using a Young’s tap, and then transferred to a vacuum line where it was sealed under a pressure
of 10^{-5} Torr. The sealed ampoule was placed in a rocking furnace, which was heated at a rate of 2 °C min^{-1} from ambient to a temperature of 975 °C, dwelling for 1 h each at temperatures of 221 °C, 685 °C and 938 °C, i.e., near to the melting and boiling points of Se, and the melting point of Ge, respectively. The highest temperature was maintained for 47 h before the rocking motion was stopped, and the furnace was placed vertically for 1 h to let the melt collect at the bottom of the ampoule. The furnace was then cooled at a rate of 2 °C min^{-1} to a temperature 100 °C above the liquidus temperature T_L (Figure 1), where the sample was left to equilibrate for 4 h, and the ampoule was dropped into an ice/water mixture. The sample (of mass ~3.6 g) was broken out of the ampoule inside an argon-filled glove box and transferred into a vanadium container of outer diameter 7 mm and wall thickness 0.1 mm ready for the diffraction experiment.

Glassy samples prepared in this way showed no indication of Ge-O or Se-O impurity bands in the measured infrared transmission spectra, e.g., in the region around 735–781 cm^{-1} (Savage and Nielsen 1965). A sample of glassy GeSe_4, as prepared by using an almost identical procedure but with only 10 h of rocking, was investigated by both energy dispersive x-ray spectroscopy (EDS) and Raman spectroscopy, and was found to be homogeneous on a sub-micron to centimetre length scale (Pierre Lucas, private communication).

The density of each sample was measured using a Quantachrome MICRO-ULTRAPYCYC 1200e pycnometer operated with helium gas. The results are compared to those obtained from other measurements in Figure 2. The comparison shows that systematically smaller densities were obtained in the work by Bhosle et al. (2012b). In the latter, agreement is claimed with the molar volume V_m values given by Mahadevan et al. (1995), but the latter were incorrectly copied from the work of Feltz et al. (1983). As shown in Figure 3, the molar volumes measured by Feltz et al. (1983) are not in quantitative agreement with the work of Bhosle et al. (2012b). Nevertheless, the data sets of Bhosle et al. (2012b), Feltz et al. (1983), Ota et al. (1978) and Yang et al. (2013) point to a minimum value of V_m in the interval 0.20 \leq x \leq 0.25 (Bhageria et al., 2014).

The present results show a shallow minimum around x = 0.19(4) corresponding to V_m = 17.95(5) cm^3 mol^{-1}.

The glass transition temperature T_g was measured by using a TA Instruments Q200 Differential Scanning Calorimeter operated in a TMDSC mode. Each scan comprised a temperature increasing and a temperature decreasing part, both performed at a rate of 3 °C min^{-1} and temperature modulation of 1 °C per 100 s. The maximum temperature was set to give complete coverage of the glass-transition region whilst avoiding crystallisation. The T_g values taken from the onset of the glass transition as manifested in the total heat flow measured during the temperature increasing part of a scan are plotted in Figure 4. The results are in the range of values previously reported for glasses in the Ge_xSe_1-x system. In addition, in order to make a like-for-like comparison with the glass transition temperatures reported by Boolchand and co-workers from TMDSC experiments (Bhosle et al., 2012a, 2012b; Boolchand 2000; Boolchand and Bresser 2000; Feng et al., 1997; Wang et al., 2005), a value T_g1 was
The dependence of the mass density at room temperature $\rho_{\text{mass}}$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The results from the present work at $\pm 22$ °C are compared to those taken from Andonov (1982); Avetikyan and Baidakov (1972); Azoulay et al. (1975); Bhosle et al. (2012b); Borisova (1981); Feltz et al. (1983); Feltz and Lippmann (1973); Guin et al. (2002b); Hafiz et al. (1993); Ito et al. (1988); Loehman et al. (1972); Ota et al. (1978); Senapati and Varshneya (1995); Sreeram et al. (1991b); and Yang et al. (2013). The solid (black) curves are drawn as guides for the eye. The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizontal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{\text{nr}}$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

The non-reversing enthalpy $\Delta H_{\text{nr}}$ was obtained from the same TMDSC scans used to obtain $T_{g, \text{rev}}$ by following the procedure described by Chen et al. (2010b), which includes a frequency correction. Independent measurements were made on several samples from each composition that had been aged at room temperature for a minimum of 37 days, and the mean and standard deviation were taken to find $\Delta H_{\text{nr}}$ and its error. The results give $\Delta H_{\text{nr}} \approx 0$, which is the defining characteristic of the intermediate phase, for the composition range $0.175(8) < x < 0.235(8)$ (Figure 5). This composition range compares to previously reported ‘reversibility windows’ of $0.225 \leq x \leq 0.230$ (Feng et al., 1997), $0.20(1) \leq x \leq 0.26(1)$ (Boolchand et al., 2001a) or $0.195(5) \leq x \leq 0.260(5)$
Figure 3. The dependence of the molar volume at room temperature $V_m$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The results from the present work are compared to those taken from Avetikyan and Baidakov (1972); Bhosle et al. (2012b); Feltz et al. (1983); Ota et al. (1978); and Yang et al. (2013). Data points are also given for the ‘dry’ samples prepared by Bhosle et al. (2012a). The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizonal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{nr} \approx 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

(Bhosle et al., 2012b) for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system. The composition range found in the present work is therefore shifted to lower $x$, and its mid-range value of $x = 0.205(8)$ is in agreement, within the experimental error, with the expectation from mean-field constraint-counting theory of a rigid to floppy transition in the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system at $x = 0.20$ where $\bar{n} = 2.40$ (Thorpe 1983). The activation energy for enthalpy relaxation $E_A$, as measured by differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) experiments that employed different cooling rates (Lucas et al., 2009), also shows a minimum around $x = 0.20$ (Figure 5).

3.2. Neutron diffraction experiments

The neutron diffraction experiments were performed at room temperature ($\approx 25 \, ^\circ$C) using the GEM (Hannon 2005) and SANDALS (Soper 1991) diffractometers at the ISIS pulsed neutron source. Diffraction patterns were measured for each sample in a vanadium container, the empty container, the empty instrument, and a vanadium rod of diameter 8.37(1) mm for normalisation purposes. Each diffraction pattern was built
Figure 4. The dependence of the glass transition temperature $T_g$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system, as measured using a variety of methods, on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The results obtained from the total heat flow in the present work are compared to the results obtained by Avetikyan and Baidakov (1972); Awasthi and Sampath (2002); Azoulay et al. (1975); Bhosle et al. (2012a, 2012b); Boolchand (2000); Boolchand and Bresser (2000); Bureau et al. (2003); Dembovskii et al., (1965); Feltz and Lippmann (1973); Feltz et al. (1983); Feng et al. (1997); Gueguen et al. (2011); Guin et al. (2002a); Gulbiten et al. (2013); Lucas et al. (2003); Nemilov (1964); Ota et al. (1978); Sarrach et al. (1976); Senapati and Varshneya (1996); Sharma et al. (2005); Seeram et al. (1991a); Svoboda and Málek (2015); Wagner et al. (1997); Wang et al. (2005); Yang et al. (2013); and Zhao et al. (2013). The inset shows solely the results for $T_{g,rev}$ as obtained in the present work and in the work of Boolchand and co-workers (Bhosle et al., 2012a, 2012b; Boolchand 2000, Boolchand and Bresser 2000; Feng et al., 1997; and Wang et al. 2005) – see Section 3.1 for details. The solid (red) curve in the main panel gives a least-squares fit of the measured data sets to a fourth-order polynomial at $x \leq 0.32$ and to a Lorentzian function at larger $x$ values. The solid (blue) curve in the inset gives a similar least-squares fit to the measured $T_{g,rev}$ values.
The measured total structure factors $S(q) \approx S_{NN}(q)$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ glasses are shown in Figure 6. For the $x = 0$ and $x = 0.230$ compositions, that were investigated using both GEM and SANDALS, the measured functions are in agreement within the experimental error. For glassy Se, $S(q)$ has a small shoulder on the low-$q$ side of the principal peak at $q_{PP} = 1.91(2)$ Å$^{-1}$, which develops into an FSDP with increasing Ge content. The height of the FSDP is largest at the stoichiometric composition $x = 1/3$ where its position $q_{FSDP} = 0.985(10)$ Å$^{-1}$. According to Fourier transform theory, a sharp peak of width $\Delta q_i$ at a position $q_i$ in $S(q) \approx S_{NN}(q)$ is associated with real-space ordering of periodicity $2\pi/q_i$ and correlation length $2\pi/\Delta q_i$ (Salmon 1994). Indeed, the real-space periodicity associated with these features is directly observable for several network-forming glasses,
The composition dependence of the measured total structure factors \( S(q) \approx S_{\text{NN}}(q) \) for the Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\) system. The GEM and SANDALS data sets are shown by the solid dark (black) and solid light (red) curves with vertical error bars, respectively, where the line thickness is greater than the size of the error bars at most \( q \) values. The curves for \( x > 0 \) have been displaced vertically for clarity of presentation.

Figure 6. The composition dependence of the measured total structure factors \( S(q) \approx S_{\text{NN}}(q) \) for the Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\) system. The GEM and SANDALS data sets are shown by the solid dark (black) and solid light (red) curves with vertical error bars, respectively, where the line thickness is greater than the size of the error bars at most \( q \) values. The curves for \( x > 0 \) have been displaced vertically for clarity of presentation.

including Ge\(_{0.333}\)Se\(_{0.667}\) (Salmon 1994, 2006; Salmon et al., 2005, 2006). The composition dependence of the periodicity and correlation length associated with each of the first three peaks in the measured \( S(q) \) functions is shown in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. The full-width at half-maximum of a peak \( \Delta q_i \) was measured relative to a linear baseline drawn between points (usually minima) deemed to mark the start and end of a peak (Salmon 1994). The parameters obtained from the GEM and SANDALS diffractometers are in agreement within the experimental error. The results do not show any notable feature that can be associated specifically with an intermediate phase, although there
Figure 7. The dependence of the periodicity $2\pi/q_i$ associated with the FSDP, principal peak (PP) and third peak in $S(q)$ on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The results from the present neutron diffraction (ND) work were obtained using either the GEM or SANDALS diffractometer. In the case of the FSDP, these results are compared to those obtained from the ND and high energy x-ray diffraction (XRD) work of Bychkov et al. (2005); the high energy XRD work of Shatnawi et al. (2008); the ND work of Ramesh Rao et al. (1998); the XRD work of Sharma et al. (2005) and Wang et al. (2004); and the anomalous x-ray scattering work of Hosokawa (2001) and Hosokawa et al. (2003). The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizonal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{nr} \approx 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

is a change in the correlation length associated with the FSDP at $x \approx 0.26$. The composition dependence of the periodicity $2\pi/q_{\text{FSDP}}$ as obtained from other diffraction experiments is also shown in Figure 7. A shoulder at $x \approx 0.23$, as reported in the x-ray diffraction work of Sharma et al. (2005), is not found in any of the other data sets.
Figure 8. The dependence of the correlation length $2\pi/\Delta q_i$ associated with the FSDP, principal peak (PP) and third peak in $S(q)$ on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The results were obtained using either the GEM or SANDALS diffractometer. The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizontal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{nr} = 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

4.2. Real-space properties

The measured total pair-distribution functions $g(r) \simeq g_{NN}(r)$ are shown in Figure 9. The large $q_{\text{max}}$ values accessed by the neutron diffractometers ensure that $M(q)$ has a minimal effect on $S(q)$ (Eq. (2)), so the $g(r)$ functions do not show associated Fourier transform artifacts. The mean coordination number $\bar{n}$ for each glass composition was therefore obtained by direct integration of the first peak in $g(r)$ (Eq. (3)), i.e., there was no need to apply a fitting procedure in order to account for the effect of a finite $q_{\text{max}}$ value (Petri et al., 2000; Salmon and Petri 2003). The composition dependence of the measured $\bar{n}$ values is shown in Figure 10, where the results are compared to those obtained from the EXAFS experiments of Zhou et al. (1991) and the first-principles molecular dynamics simulations of Inam et al. (2007). The predictions of the ‘8-N’
Figure 9. The composition dependence of the measured total pair-distribution function $g(r) \approx g_{NN}(r)$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system, as obtained by Fourier transforming the spline fitted $S(q)$ functions shown in Figure 6 with $q_{\text{max}}$ set at a node in $S(q)$ at $\approx 32$ Å$^{-1}$. The GEM and SANDALS data sets are shown by the dark solid (black) and light solid (red) curves, respectively. The Fourier transform artifacts at $r$ values smaller than the distance of closest approach between two atoms are shown by broken curves oscillating about the $g(r \rightarrow 0) = 0$ limit. The curves for $x > 0$ have been displaced vertically for clarity of presentation.
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Figure 10. The composition dependence of the mean coordination number $\bar{n}$ for the Ge$_{x}$Se$_{1-x}$ system. The neutron diffraction results from GEM and SANDALS are compared to the EXAFS results of Zhou et al., (1991) and to the first-principles molecular dynamics results of Inam et al., (2007). The expectations of the ‘8-N’ rule are also given, where the curves were calculated (i) for glassy samples for which $b_{\text{Ge}} = b_{\text{Se}}$ (see Eq. (5)), or (ii) for the expectations of the CON and RCN models, taking into account a small mismatch between the values of $b_{\text{Ge}}$ and $b_{\text{Se}}$ for the measured samples (see Eq. (3)). The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizontal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{nr} \simeq 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

5. VISCOSITY AND FRAGILITY INDEX

As motivated in Section 1, the composition dependence of $\eta(T_L)$ may reveal a dynamical signature of the intermediate phase. To investigate this possibility, the MYEGA model (Mauro et al., 2009) for the viscosity at absolute temperature $T$ was adopted where, for a given composition $x$,

$$\log_{10} \eta(T) = \log_{10} \eta_{\infty} + (2 - \log_{10} \eta_{\infty}) \frac{T_g}{T} \exp \left[ \left( \frac{m_{\text{visc}}}{12 - \log_{10} \eta_{\infty}} - 1 \right) \left( \frac{T_g}{T} - 1 \right) \right]. \quad (6)$$

Here, $\log_{10} \eta_{\infty}$ is the logarithm of the high-temperature viscosity, $T_g$ is the glass transition temperature (in absolute units) corresponding to $\eta(T_g) = 10^{12}$ Pa s, and $m_{\text{visc}} \equiv d \log_{10} \eta/d(T_g/T)|_{T=T_g}$ is the fragility index. The model was used to fit the measured viscosity data for Se (Cukierman and Uhlmann 1973; Gueguen et al., 2011; Koštál and Málek 2010), Ge$_{0.10}$Se$_{0.90}$ (Gueguen et al., 2011; Nemilov 1964, Senapati and Varshneya 1996), Ge$_{0.20}$Se$_{0.80}$ (Gueguen et al., 2011; Nemilov 1964), and Ge$_{0.25}$Se$_{0.75}$
Figure 11. The dependence of \( \log_{10}[\eta/(\text{Pa s})] \) on the ratio of absolute temperatures \( T_g/T \). The solid curves show fits of the MYEGA model to the measured viscosity data shown by the symbols for Se (Cukierman and Uhlmann 1973; Gueguen et al., 2011; Košťal and Málek 2010), \( \text{Ge}_{0.10}\text{Se}_{0.90} \) (Gueguen et al., 2011; Nemilov 1964, Senapati and Varshneya 1996), \( \text{Ge}_{0.20}\text{Se}_{0.80} \) (Gueguen et al., 2011; Nemilov 1964), \( \text{Ge}_{0.25}\text{Se}_{0.75} \) (Gueguen et al., 2011; Nemilov 1964; Senapati and Varshneya 1996) or \( \text{Ge}_{0.30}\text{Se}_{0.70} \) (Gueguen et al., 2011), where the logarithm of the high-temperature viscosity was treated as a fixed parameter set at \( \log_{10}\eta_{\text{Pa s}} = 2.93 \) (Zheng et al., 2011). The broken (red) curve shows the prediction at \( x = 0.20 \) of the MYEGA model if the fragility index \( m_{\text{visc}} \) is equated to \( m_{\text{DSC}} = 17.7 \) as found in the TMDSC measurements of Gunasekera et al. (2013) (see Figure 12).

(Gueguen et al., 2011; Nemilov 1964; Senapati and Varshneya 1996) where two or more of the data sets are self-consistent, and the measured viscosity data for \( \text{Ge}_{0.30}\text{Se}_{0.70} \) (Gueguen et al., 2011) where only one data set is available. For a given composition, the logarithm of the high-temperature viscosity was treated as either a fitting parameter or a fixed parameter set at \( \log_{10}[\eta_{\text{Pa s}}] = -2.93 \) (Zheng et al., 2011). The fits corresponding to \( \log_{10}[\eta_{\text{Pa s}}] = -2.93 \) are shown in Figure 11, and give values of \( T_g \) and \( m_{\text{visc}} \) (Figure 12) that are within the spread of values reported in the literature from viscosity measurements (Table 1).

The measured data sets shown in Figure 12 give a spread in values for the composition dependence of the fragility index. For example, a least-squares parabolic fit to the \( m_{\text{visc}} \) values of Senapati and Varshneya (1996) leads to a minimum at \( x = 0.196(2) \), whereas a similar fit to all of the \( m_{\text{visc}} \) data points leads to a minimum at \( x = 0.223(2) \), consistent with the value \( x = 0.225 \) previously reported by Stølen et
Figure 12. The dependence of the fragility index $m_{\text{visc}}$ or $m_{\text{DSC}}$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The data points are from the viscosity measurements of Senapati and Varshneya (1996) [solid (red) squares ■], Gueguen et al. (2011) [solid (blue) triangles ▲] and Svoboda and Málek (2015) [solid (black) circles ●], or from fits to viscosity data using the MYEGA model with the logarithm of the high-temperature viscosity treated as either a fitting parameter [solid (magenta) stars ★] or a fixed parameter set at $\log_{10} \eta_\infty (\text{Pa s}) = -2.93$ [solid (cyan) stars ★]. Least-squares parabolic fits are shown for (i) all of these viscosity derived data points [solid (black) curve], and (ii) solely the $m_{\text{visc}}$ values of Senapati and Varshneya (1996) [broken (red) curve]. The $m_{\text{visc}}$ values estimated from the molecular dynamics work of Yildirim et al. (2016b) are given by the open (red) diamonds ◊. Also shown are the $m_{\text{DSC}}$ values from Gunasekera et al. (2013) as measured [open (black) squares □] or after shifting by 10 units [open (black) triangles △]; Svoboda and Málek (2015) [open (green) triangles △]; Li et al. (2017) for samples prepared at $x = 0.22$ using short and long sample reaction times of 34 h [open (blue) downward triangle ▼] versus 192 h [solid (blue) downward triangle ▼]; and Zhao et al. (2013) [solid (green) diamonds ●]. The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizontal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{\text{nr}} = 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.
Figure 13. The dependence of the ratio of absolute temperatures $T_g/T_L$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n}$. The $T_L$ values were taken from the least-squares fit to the experimental data shown in Figure 1, and the glass transition values were taken from the least-squares fit to either (i) all of the measured $T_g$ values shown in the main panel of Figure 4, or (ii) solely the $T_{g,rev}$ values shown in the inset to Figure 4. The resultant $T_g/T_L$ versus $x$ curves are shown by the solid (black) and broken (red) curves, respectively. The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizontal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{nr} \approx 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

...are often smaller than their $m_{\text{visc}}$ counterparts (Zheng et al., 2017). As discussed by Svoboda and Málek (2015), the small $m_{\text{DSC}}$ values of Gunasekera et al. (2013) may originate from the exploration of a narrow range of relaxation times in their TMDSC experiments. There may also be an issue in interpreting the imaginary part of the heat capacity signal $C'_P$ from TMDSC experiments, which is used to extract $m_{\text{DSC}}$, when it cannot be represented by a single Gaussian function, e.g., when there are two relaxation channels that originate from different structural motifs (Yang et al., 2012, Gulbiten 2014). A shift in the Gunasekera et al. (2013) $m_{\text{DSC}}$ values to better match the fragility index of glassy Ge$_{0.10}$Se$_{0.90}$ found in the work by Svoboda and Málek (2015) leads to results that are more consistent with the $m_{\text{DSC}}$ values of 23(2)–27(2) measured for Ge$_{0.22}$Se$_{0.78}$ by Li et al. (2017), and better match the measured composition dependence of $m_{\text{visc}}$ (Figure 12). In comparison, the $m_{\text{DSC}}$ values of Zhao et al. (2013) are larger than expected from the other experimental work, and take minimal values for the range $0.22 \leq x \leq 0.23$. 
Figure 14. The dependence of $\log_{10} \eta(T_L)$ for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system, as calculated using the MYEGA model with $\log_{10} [\eta_x (\text{Pa s})] = -2.93$, on the composition $x$ and mean coordination number $\bar{n} = 2(1 + x)$. The solid (black) squares correspond to the fitted data sets shown in Figure 11 where the associated $m_{\text{visc}}$ values are listed in Table 1. The solid (black) and solid (red) curves show the results obtained by taking $m_{\text{visc}}$ from the solid (black) curve in Figure 12 and $T_g/T_L$ from either the solid (black) or broken (red) curve in Figure 13, respectively. The broken (black) and broken (red) curves show the results obtained by taking $m_{\text{visc}}$ from the broken (red) curve in Figure 12 and $T_g/T_L$ from either the solid (black) or broken (red) curve in Figure 13, respectively. The chained (blue) and dotted (blue) curves show the results obtained by taking $T_g/T_L$ from the broken (red) curve in Figure 13 and by assuming that $m_{\text{visc}} \approx m_{\text{DSC}}$, with $m_{\text{DSC}}$ either (i) taken from the results of Gunasekera et al. (2013) or (ii) obtained by combining the results of Svoboda and Málek (2015) with the shifted results of Gunasekera et al. (2013) (see Figure 12), respectively. The chained (green) curve shows the results obtained by taking $T_g/T_L$ from the solid (black) curve in Figure 13 and by assuming that $m_{\text{visc}} \approx m_{\text{DSC}}$, with $m_{\text{DSC}}$ taken from the results of Zhao et al. (2013). The pairs of vertical dashed (black) or chained (red) lines, and associated horizontal arrows, mark compositions for which $\Delta H_{\text{nr}} \approx 0$ as found in the present work or in the work of Boolchand et al. (2001a), respectively.

Figure 13 shows the composition dependence of the ratio of absolute temperatures $T_g/T_L$, where the composition dependence of $T_L$ was taken from a least-squares fit to the data shown in Figure 1 and the composition dependence of $T_g$ was taken from a least-squares fit to the full set of data points shown in Figure 4. These $T_g$ values originate predominantly from DSC experiments (with a few results from dilatometry, indentation and viscosity experiments), and were used as an approximation to the viscosity derived values on account of the sparsity of viscosity measurements for the Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ system.
Table 1. The fragility index \( m_{\text{visc}} \) and glass transition temperature \( T_{g,\text{visc}} \) corresponding to a viscosity \( \eta(T_{g,\text{visc}}) = 10^{12} \text{ Pa s} \). The results obtained by fitting viscosity data to the MYEGA model with \( \log_{10} [\eta_x (\text{Pa s})] = -2.93 \) (Figure 11) are compared to values of \( m_{\text{visc}} \) and \( T_{g,\text{visc}} \) taken from the literature. Also listed are the values of the glass transition temperature \( T_{g,\text{DSC}} \) taken from the onset of the glass transition in the total heat flow measured in the TMDSC experiments of the present work (Figure 4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( m_{\text{visc}} )</th>
<th>( T_{g,\text{visc}}(\circ C) )</th>
<th>( m_{\text{visc}} ) (literature)</th>
<th>( T_{g,\text{visc}} ) (literature)(( \circ C ))</th>
<th>( T_{g,\text{DSC}}(\circ C) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>47–64(^a,c,d)</td>
<td>28–45(^a,c,d)</td>
<td>32(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>37–38(^a,c)</td>
<td>83–95(^a,b,c)</td>
<td>86(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>158</td>
<td>30–32(^a,c)</td>
<td>154–157(^a,b,c)</td>
<td>161(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>219</td>
<td>27–29(^a,c)</td>
<td>214–219(^a,b,c)</td>
<td>227(1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>306</td>
<td>26(^a)</td>
<td>307(^a)</td>
<td>314(2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) Gueguen et al. (2011); \(^b\) Nemilov (1964); \(^c\) Senapati and Varshneya (1996); \(^d\) Svoboda and Málek (2015).

At compositions for which both glass transition temperatures are available (Table 1), a discrepancy \( \leq 10 \circ C \) is indicated, corresponding to a fractional uncertainty of \( \leq 5 \% \) on the absolute values of \( T_g \). In order to examine the effect on \( T_g/T_L \) of an uncertainty on \( T_g \), this ratio was also calculated after making a least-squares fit to the \( T_g,\text{rev} \) values shown in the inset to Figure 4.

The composition dependence of \( \log_{10} \eta(T_L) \) as predicted by the MYEGA model with \( \log_{10} [\eta_c (\text{Pa s})] = -2.93 \) is shown in Figure 14, where the ratio \( T_g/T_L \) was taken from Figure 13 and several different scenarios were investigated for the composition dependence of \( m_{\text{visc}} \) (Figure 12). A maximum in \( \log_{10} \eta(T_L) \) occurs at (i) \( x = 0.21(1) \) if \( m_{\text{visc}} \) is taken from a fit to all of the viscosity derived data, or (ii) \( x = 0.21(1) \) if \( m_{\text{visc}} \) is estimated by shifting the \( m_{\text{DSC}} \) values of Gunasekera et al. (2013) and combining them with the Svoboda and Málek (2015) \( m_{\text{DSC}} \) values. A maximum in \( \log_{10} \eta(T_L) \) occurs at (iii) \( x = 0.22(1) \) if \( m_{\text{visc}} \) is estimated from the unshifted \( m_{\text{DSC}} \) values of Gunasekera et al. (2013), but the calculated viscosities are several orders of magnitude larger than expected from viscosity measurements (see also Figure 11). A maximum in \( \log_{10} \eta(T_L) \) occurs at (iv) \( m = 0.20(1) \) if \( m_{\text{visc}} \) is estimated from the \( m_{\text{DSC}} \) values of Zhao et al. (2013), but in this case the calculated viscosities are significantly smaller than expected from viscosity measurements. A maximum in \( \log_{10} \eta(T_L) \) at \( x \sim 0.2 \) is also indicated if \( m_{\text{visc}} \) is taken from the fitted values listed in Table 1, but disappears if the composition dependence of \( m_{\text{visc}} \) is taken from Senapati and Varshneya (1996).

Recently, Yildirim et al. (2016a, 2016b) used first-principles molecular dynamics simulations to investigate the dynamics of liquid Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\). By applying the Stokes-Einstein relation to the calculated Ge self-diffusion coefficients, a maximum in the viscosity was found at \( x \sim 0.22 \) for the 777 \( \circ C \) isotherm, which accompanies a maximum in the structural relaxation time for the \( \alpha \)-relaxation regime of the intermediate scattering function at \( q = 2.1 \text{ Å}^{-1} \). Temperature dependent constraint counting theory,
when combined with molecular-dynamics-based constraint-counting algorithms, led to a minimum in the fragility index at this composition. A minimum in the composition dependence of the fragility index at \( x \approx 0.2 \) was also found by fitting the high-temperature viscosity data derived from first-principles molecular dynamics simulations to the MYEGA model with the logarithm of the high temperature viscosity set at \( \log_{10}[\eta(x) \text{ (Pa s)}] = -4 \) (Yildirim et al., 2016b). The majority of extracted \( m_{\text{visc}} \) values are, however, significantly larger than expected from experiment (Figure 12).

6. DISCUSSION

6.1. Glass structure and properties

As shown by the inset to Figure 4, the \( T_{g,\text{rev}} \) results of the present work are, within the experimental error, the same as those previously measured by Boolchand and co-workers. As shown in Figure 5, the composition range of the intermediate phase found in the present work, \( 0.175(8) \leq x \leq 0.235(8) \), is centred on the mean-field expectation of a floppy-to-rigid transition at \( x = 0.20 \) (Thorpe 1983), and is therefore shifted to smaller \( x \) values as compared to the work of Boolchand and co-workers. As shown in Figure 2, the composition dependence of the density found in the present work is different to that reported by Bhosle et al. (2012b), and more closely matches that measured by other authors.

Bhosle et al. (2012a, 2012b) report a water-induced increase of density that accompanies a decrease in \( T_{g,\text{rev}} \) for glasses in the Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\) system. In this way, an attempt was made to rationalise the low density values found in their work as compared to previous investigations (Figure 2). At a given composition, the density measured in the present work is also greater than reported by Bhosle et al. (2012a, 2012b), but the \( T_{g,\text{rev}} \) values are the same, e.g., \( 174(2) \text{ °C at } x = 0.19 \) for our sample versus \( 172(2) \text{ °C at } x = 0.19 \) for the (dry) sample of Bhosle et al. (2012a). Also, the infra-red spectra for samples made using our procedure do not indicate any water contamination (Section 3.1). Hence, it is difficult to reconcile the large discrepancy in the composition dependence of the glass density between Bhosle et al. (2012b) and previous work (Figure 2) with the presence of water-contamination.

In the present work, the absence of a jump in the composition dependence of \( \Delta H_{\text{int}} \) at the boundaries of the intermediate phase (Figure 5) might be attributed to inhomogeneous glass that originates from the allocation of insufficient time to fully react Ge and Se in the liquid state before quenching to form a glass (Bhosle et al., 2012b). However, Ge\(_x\)Se\(_{1-x}\) glasses made by using an almost identical rocking-furnace procedure show no evidence of sample heterogeneity (Section 3.1). In the work of Gunasekera et al. (2013), a small fragility index \( m_{\text{DSC}} = 14.8(5) \) for Ge\(_{0.22}\)Se\(_{0.78}\) (Figure 12) might be attributed to the preparation of homogeneous glass after a long reaction time of 144–216 h for samples of mass 2 g. However, systematically larger values of \( m_{\text{DSC}} = 23(2) \) and \( m_{\text{DSC}} = 27(2) \) are reported for Ge\(_{0.22}\)Se\(_{0.78}\) samples of similar mass (~1.5 g)
prepared using short versus long reaction times of 34 h and 192 h, respectively (Li et al., 2017).

The neutron diffraction results of the present work do not show any obvious structural signature of the intermediate phase. For example, they do not support a deviation from the ‘8-N’ rule as reported by Inam et al. (2007) from first-principles molecular dynamics simulations, or a shoulder in the composition dependence of the periodicity $2\pi/q_{\text{FSDP}}$ as reported by Sharma et al. (2005) from x-ray diffraction experiments. This absence of a structural signature is consistent with the high-energy x-ray diffraction and EXAFS spectroscopy work of Shatnawi et al. (2008), who investigated samples for which $\Delta H_{\text{nr}} \simeq 0$ for the range $0.20 \leq x \leq 0.25$.

It is conceivable that a structural signature of the intermediate phase does not manifest itself at the pair-correlation function level, as accessed by diffraction experiments (Fischer et al., 2006). Modelling methods can, however, access information on higher-body correlation functions, and Micoulaut et al. (2013) used first-principles molecular dynamics to investigate the structure of several Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ glasses with compositions spanning the intermediate phase. Although a compelling structural signature of the intermediate phase was not found, constraint-counting algorithms show that broken bond-bending constraints are associated with the stressed-rigid phases at $x = 1/3$ and $x = 0.40$. As shown by Chen et al. (2010a), the electronic structure of a glass may offer evidence of a structural origin for the intermediate phase. By combining first-principles molecular dynamics simulations with the results obtained from x-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) experiments made at the $K$-edge of both Ge and Se, it was suggested that the intermediate phase for Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ glasses corresponds to a composition range in which there is interplay between regions that are either Se-rich or populated by clustered Ge(Se$_4$)$_{1/2}$ tetrahedra.

6.2. Comment on the utility of the intermediate phase

The defining feature of the intermediate phase is a composition range where $\Delta H_{\text{nr}} \simeq 0$. The physical interpretation of this parameter is debated (Schawe 1995; Reading 1997), with Boolchand and co-workers attributing it to the enthalpy of relaxation at $T_g$ (Bhosle et al., 2012a). It is conjectured that glasses within the intermediate phase are stable in the sense that, for different ageing times at room temperature, there is no alteration to the total enthalpy change $\Delta H_{\text{tot}} = \Delta H_r + \Delta H_{\text{nr}}$ across the glass transition: the reversing part $\Delta H_r$ does not alter and, unlike the floppy and stressed-rigid phases, the non-reversing part $\Delta H_{\text{nr}}$ remains vanishingly small (Boolchand et al., 2002; Bhosle et al., 2012b).

By contrast, the change in specific heat capacity $C_p$ across the glass transition, as determined from the total enthalpy change measured in DSC experiments, has been used to monitor the effect on Ge$_{0.10}$Se$_{0.90}$ and Ge$_{0.20}$Se$_{0.80}$ glass fibres of ageing at room temperature for periods of up to 58 months (King 2011). The results show that glasses within the intermediate phase do relax, although the magnitude of change is
markedly smaller for $x = 0.20$ as compared to $x = 0.10$. Some of this difference in response may originate from a difference in fictive temperatures: The glass fibres were quenched quickly from the melt and correspond to a high fictive temperature, whereas the samples of e.g., Bhosle et al. (2012b) were temperature cycled above $T_g$ during a TMDSC experiment before ageing at room temperature, and therefore correspond to a low fictive temperature. Some of this difference in response may also originate from the size of the interval between $T_g$ and the annealing temperature $T_a$, where the former increases with $x$ (Figure 4). Zhao et al. (2013) looked at this issue by employing DSC to monitor the change in total enthalpy for bulk samples of melt-quenched glassy Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ ($0 \leq x \leq 0.23$) annealed for 1 h at $T_g$. The samples were subsequently aged for different durations of time with $T_a$ set at a fixed interval below $T_g$. All of the samples showed the same ageing characteristics, including those associated with intermediate phase compositions, with an ageing rate and kinetics that depend on the interval $T_g - T_a$. A Raman spectroscopy investigation of Ge$_{0.20}$Se$_{0.80}$, in which a glass equilibrated at $T_g = 160 \, ^\circ$C was subsequently aged at 120 $^\circ$C for a time period ranging from 6 to 240 h, showed structural relaxation with a characteristic timescale of $\sim 40$ h during which there is a conversion from edge-sharing to corner-sharing Ge(Se$_4$)$_{1/2}$ tetrahedral units (Edwards and Sen 2011). A conversion from edge-sharing to corner-sharing tetrahedral units was also observed by King (2011) in her Raman spectroscopy work on the ageing of Ge$_{0.10}$Se$_{0.90}$ and Ge$_{0.20}$Se$_{0.80}$ glass fibres at room temperature.

Recently, $m_{\text{DSC}}$ values smaller than the fragility index of silica have been reported for glasses within the intermediate phase window, leading to the notion of ‘superstrong’ liquids (Gunasekera et al., 2013). This feature has been attributed to a slow homogenization of the melt when Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ glasses are prepared via a heating procedure in which elemental Ge and Se pieces are melted in a stationary vertically-mounted silica-ampoule, i.e., when a rocking furnace is not employed (Gunasekera et al., 2013; Bhageria et al., 2014). However, as discussed in Section 5, the numerical values for $m_{\text{DSC}}$ reported by Gunasekera et al. (2013) lead to a temperature dependence of the viscosity that is notably different to that expected from viscosity measurements (Figure 11), leading to log$_{10}$ $\eta(T_L)$ values that are significantly larger than expected (Figure 14).

Lastly, it would be helpful if advocates of the intermediate phase could develop a method for predicting its occurrence and composition range for different classes of network glass-forming systems, and the concomitant effect on the material properties. For example, Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ and As$_x$Se$_{1-x}$ are prototypical chalcogenide glass-forming systems that feature different network topologies. In the case of Ge$_x$Se$_{1-x}$, the intermediate phase window incorporates the composition $x = 0.20$ for which a rigid to floppy transition is expected on the basis of mean-field constraint counting theory, a minimum in the molar volume is reported for the intermediate phase window (Bhosle et al., 2012b, Bhageria et al., 2014), and the fragility index takes a minimum within this window at around $x = 0.22$ (Section 5). In the case of As$_x$Se$_{1-x}$, however, the intermediate phase window of $0.291(1) \leq x \leq 0.37(1)$ (Georgiev et al., 2000) or $0.20 < x < 0.37$ (Ravindren et al., 2014) does not incorporate the mean-field
composition of $x = 0.40$ for a floppy to rigid transition, a minimum in the molar volume may (Ravindren et al., 2014) or may not occur within this composition range (e.g., Feltz et al. 1983 report a minimum at $x = 0.40$), and a minimum in the fragility index $m_{\text{visc}}$ occurs at $x \approx 0.27$ (Musgraves et al., 2011).

7. CONCLUSIONS

The structure of vitreous $\text{Ge}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}$ across the glass-forming region was measured by using neutron diffraction. No clear-cut evidence could be found for a structural origin of the intermediate phase, which extends over the composition range $0.175(8) \leq x \leq 0.235(8)$ as found from the non-reversing enthalpy measured using TMDSC. The dynamical properties of the $\text{Ge}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}$ system were also probed by using the MYEGA model for the viscosity. Much of the available evidence points to a minimum in the fragility index, and a maximum in the viscosity at the liquidus temperature, that occur in the range $0.20 \leq x \leq 0.22$. This range incorporates the composition $x = 0.20$ at which a floppy-to-rigid transition is expected from mean-field constraint-counting theory, in contrast to the $\text{As}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}$ system where a minimum in the fragility index occurs at $x \approx 0.27$ but a floppy-to-rigid transition is expected from mean-field constraint-counting theory at $x = 0.40$. In order to establish the extent to which these findings are related to the expectations of mean-field constraint-counting theory, or to a special range of compositions associated with the intermediate phase, it would be beneficial to make a systematic and more complete investigation on the composition dependence of $\eta(T)$ for the $\text{Ge}_x\text{Se}_{1-x}$ and other chalcogenide network glass-forming systems.
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