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Abstract—This study examines the use of superconducting magnetic and battery hybrid energy storage to compensate grid voltage fluctuations. The superconducting magnetic energy storage system (SMES) has been emulated by a high current inductor to investigate a system employing both SMES and battery energy storage experimentally. The design of the laboratory prototype is described in detail, which consists of a series-connected three phase voltage source inverter used to regulate AC voltage, and two bidirectional DC/DC converters used to control energy storage system charge and discharge. ‘DC bus level signaling’ and ‘voltage droop control’ have been used to automatically control power from the magnetic energy storage system during short-duration, high power voltage sags, while the battery is used to provide power during longer-term, low power under-voltages.

Energy storage system hybridisation is shown to be advantageous by reducing battery peak power demand compared with a battery-only system, and by improving long term voltage support capability compared with a SMES-only system. Consequently, the SMES/battery hybrid DVR can support both short term high-power voltage sags and long term undervoltages with significantly reduced superconducting material cost compared with a SMES-based system.

Index Terms-- Dynamic Voltage Restorer (DVR), Energy Storage Integration, Sag, Superconducting Magnetic Energy Storage, Battery.

I. INTRODUCTION

The improvement of power quality is an important objective for electrical utilities and industrial and commercial consumers. Highly intermittent distributed generation, rapidly changing loads, and direct-off-line power electronic systems all contribute to reduced power quality causing equipment downtime, overload and failure leading to lost revenue [1].

Voltage disturbance is a common problem and under-voltage conditions have been seen to occur more frequently than overvoltage conditions [2]. Short-term under-voltage sags are defined in IEEE Std. 1159-1995 [3] as a decrease to between 0.1 and 0.9 p.u. (per unit) r.m.s voltage for durations of 0.5 cycles to 1 min. They occur more frequently than long-term under-voltages with significant costs to industry [4]. Long-term under-voltage events are defined as a measured voltage less than 0.8-0.9 p.u. r.m.s voltage, lasting longer than one minute [3] and can lead to load shedding and potentially to voltage collapse [5]. The study below presents a means by which both short-term and long-term voltage fluctuations can be mitigated at the load using short-term magnetic energy storage and long-term battery energy storage.

II. LITERATURE REVIEW

Methods to mitigate long-term voltage disturbance, such as load disconnection [6] or modification of loads for greater low-voltage ride-through capability may be impractical [7]. Alternatively, supply voltage can be stabilised by tap changing transformers, uninterruptable power supplies (UPS), shunt-connected compensators, or dynamic voltage restorer (DVR) systems. Tap changing transformers have been shown to suffer from a slow response time and can only output discrete voltage levels [8]. UPS systems provide the complete voltage waveform during a power failure and may prove costly and unnecessary in the event of partial voltage sags. A DVR is a series-connected device capable of voltage compensation with fast response time by injecting a voltage in series with the supply.

DVR systems can be self-supporting by using power from the grid to mitigate disturbances [9]. Alternatively, DVR systems can use energy storage to provide power during compensation such as capacitors [10] for short-term storage or batteries [11] for longer-term storage. Nielsen and Blaabjerg [12] have shown that capacitor-supported DVR systems can suffer from relatively poor performance for severe and long duration sags. A recent study has shown that an ultra-capacitor based DVR [13] can be used to mitigate short-term voltage sags lasting less than one minute. Wang and Venkataramanan [14] have shown that flywheels are a viable short-term energy storage technology for use with voltage restorer systems both experimentally and by simulation. Kim et al. [15] have described a 3 MJ/750 kVA SMES-based DVR system and shown experimental results confirming that SMES is suitable for the compensation of short-term voltage sags. Shi et al. [16] have used a system-level simulation to also show that SMES
Short-term voltage compensation alone may not be sufficient to protect a sensitive load as both long-term [5], [17] and short-term [2-4] voltage stability has been shown to present a problem for many consumers. For this reason, this study considers the use of SMES/battery hybrid energy storage to compensate long and short-term voltage fluctuations. Woong et al. [18] have also considered a SMES/battery hybrid and shown it is viable for smoothing of renewable energy generator output power and can result in reduced energy storage system capacity and prolonged battery life. Li et al. [19] have shown that a SMES/battery energy storage system can improve battery lifetime in electric buses. Deng et al. [20] have presented a SMES/battery hybrid system for reducing peak grid power in an electric vehicle charging station. Nie et al. have also presented a SMES/battery hybrid system and shown its feasibility in dealing with long term and short term charge/discharge events in a wave energy conversion system [21]. This study extends previous simulation-based SMES/battery hybrid system studies [18-21] by considering the hardware implementation of a SMES/battery energy storage system. The design is shown to be capable of interfacing SMES and battery energy storage systems and controlling their power sharing to support a three phase load, during both long-term and short-term voltage sags. This has benefits in terms of improved long-term voltage support capability and reduced costs compared with a purely SMES-based system. Additional benefits include reduced battery power rating requirement and an improvement in expected battery life compared with a battery-only system.

### III. METHODOLOGY

#### A. DVR Control

The objective of the DVR control system is to minimise supply voltage variations at the load terminals. This is achieved by generating a compensating voltage at the series injection transformer terminals. The phasor diagram in Fig. 2(a) shows various DVR voltage control techniques. ‘In phase compensation’ causes the compensating voltage to be in phase with the incoming supply voltage and has been shown to result in the lowest DVR power rating [22]. ‘Pre-sag compensation’ preserves the phase of the incoming supply at the time a sag occurs which can be beneficial in protecting loads that are sensitive to phase disturbances. ‘Energy optimal’ control is used to minimise DVR energy storage requirement by injecting a voltage in quadrature to the load current. ‘In phase compensation’ and ‘Pre-sag compensation’ have been considered in this study. The control scheme was implemented in the synchronous reference frame as shown in Fig. 2(b) by converting three phase AC quantities to equivalent two phase quantities:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{xa} \\
V_{xb} \\
V_{xc}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
1 & -1/2 & -1/2 \\
0 & \sqrt{3}/2 & -\sqrt{3}/2 \\
3 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
V_{sa} \\
V_{sb} \\
V_{sc}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Fig. 1 shows the DVR system considered. The SMES has been emulated by a 15mH, 100A inductor. During a voltage error a three-phase inverter is used to generate the compensation voltage at the primary of the injection transformers (T1-T3) so that the load voltage remains close to nominal. DC/DC converters are used to interface the battery and SMES-emulator to the DC bus. An auxiliary supply (Aux. Supply) is used to support the DC bus during standby operation and charge the energy storage devices. The auxiliary supply is disconnected and the energy storage devices provide the necessary power for the inverter to support the load during a voltage error.

![Figure 1. Hybrid energy storage DVR system configuration.](image1.png)

![Figure 2. Vector diagram of DVR control strategies](image2.png)
where $V_{s,a,b,c}$, $V_{d,q}$ are the supply voltages and $\theta_{pl}$ is the estimated supply phase angle.

A phase-locked loop (PLL) was used to determine the phase angle $\theta_{pl}$ of the incoming supply based on an algorithm which is robust in the presence of harmonics, non-symmetry and transients [23]. Fig. 3 shows a Simulink implementation of the PLL algorithm. This algorithm minimises the sine of the phase error term causing the control system to be non-linear. For this reason, the PI controller gains were tuned empirically. The PLL algorithm requires the cosine and sine of the incoming supply angle as inputs which can be obtained geometrically using the orthogonal reference frame voltages from (1) as:

$$\cos(\theta) = \frac{V_{sa}}{\sqrt{V_{sa}^2 + V_{sb}^2}}$$

$$\sin(\theta) = \frac{V_{sb}}{\sqrt{V_{sa}^2 + V_{sb}^2}}$$

The PLL controller can be tuned to preserve the phase of the incoming supply before a sag event with phase jump or, alternatively, the PLL can be made to track the phase of the incoming supply during a sag with phase-jump. Consequently, by changing the PLL gains the system can be controlled to provide ‘in phase’ or ‘pre-sag’ compensation. Fig. 4 illustrates the results of tuning the PI controller in this way.

To detect the presence of a voltage error, the following inequality was used [24], [25]:

$$\sqrt{(V^* - V_{s,d})^2 + (V^* - V_{s,q})^2} \geq V_{\text{threshold}}$$

where $V_{s,d,q}$ is the measured load voltage and $V^*_{s,d,q}$ is the desired nominal voltage in the synchronous reference frame. Inequality (5) was also used to trigger the disconnection of the DC bus auxiliary power supply (see Fig. 1).

The compensation voltage $V_{ref,d,q}$ is determined, based on the error between the desired nominal voltage and the supply voltage:

$$V_{ref,d,q} = V^*_{d,q} - V_{d,q}$$

The PWM phase reference voltages $V_{ref,a,b,c}$ were generated by transforming the required compensation voltage to the rotating reference frame:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{refd} \\ V_{reff} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(\theta_{pl}) & -\sin(\theta_{pl}) \\ \sin(\theta_{pl}) & \cos(\theta_{pl}) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{refa} \\ V_{refb} \end{bmatrix}$$

The injection voltages, $V_{ref,a,b,c}$ were multiplied by a feed-forward constant, $k$ to compensate for losses within the power stage.

Sine-wave pulse width modulation (SPWM) or space vector modulation (SVM) were considered for generating the inverter output voltage. SVM is advantageous due to better utilisation of the DC bus voltage and which allows deeper sag compensation. However, SPWM allows the possibility to mitigate unbalanced faults so this technique was implemented in this study. The inverter control was implemented using a Texas Instruments F28069 32-bit micro-controller by discretisation of the control and PLL algorithms. The inverter system parameters are listed in the Appendix, Table AI.
B. Energy Storage top-Level Control

The objective of the top-level energy storage control strategy was to control the charge/discharge of each energy storage device. A current vs. voltage active droop characteristic was chosen as this strategy has been shown to provide good stability and active power sharing [26]. The converter reference currents are based solely on the level of the DC bus voltage which is advantageous as high-bandwidth communication between the three different converters is not necessary. The charge or discharge priority of an energy storage device can be adjusted raising or lowering its nominal DC bus voltage using a technique known as “DC bus signaling” [27]. The system was configured to prioritise the SMES-emulator to charge/discharge before the battery. By always prioritising the short term energy storage, battery power cycling is reduced which can improve battery lifetime [19].

The current droop characteristic for each device is shown in Fig. 5, and is made up of three regions of operation. When the DC voltage is above voltage level $V_{bus}$ (where $x$ refers to energy storage system 1 or 2) or below $V_{bus}$, the converter current is limited to $I_{max}$ or $I_{min}$. In between $V_{bus}$ and $V_{bus}$, current is controlled based on the linear current vs. voltage relationship:
\[ I_x = \left( V_{nom} - V_{bus} \right) \cdot k_x \]
(9)
where $k_x$ is a droop coefficient (A/V) and $I_x$ is the energy storage converter reference current.

C. SMES-Emulator System

To reduce costs and be able to evaluate a SMES-battery hybrid DVR control platform, the SMES device was emulated by using a 15mH iron-core inductor in this study. The SMES converter was based on the asymmetric H-bridge configuration shown in Fig. 6. This converter was rated at up to 220A continuous current using forced air cooling. During charge, Q2 is held ON and Q1 is modulated whereas during discharge Q1 is held off and Q2 is modulated. The relationship between DC bus current, inductor current and duty ratio, is given by (12) and (13) for charge and discharge, respectively [16].
\[ I_{cs,smes} = I_{smes}D_1 \]
(10)

For active current droop control according to (9), the desired converter output current $I_{cs,smes}$ is given by (12).
\[ I_{cs,smes} = \left( V_{bus} - V_{nom,smes} \right) k_{smes} \]
(12)
where $k_{smes}$ is the gradient of the droop controller and $V_{nom,smes}$ is the nominal voltage of the droop controller.

The required duty ratio can be determined by substituting Eq. (10) during charge or (11) during discharge into (12) and solving for duty ratio in real time. This allows the SMES-inductor to be charged or discharged by simply raising or lowering the DC bus voltage relative to the nominal $V_{nom,smes}$. The controller was implemented using a 16-bit microcontroller (PIC24HJ128GP502) with a switching frequency of 500Hz. This low switching frequency was chosen to allow for extreme (>>90% and <<10%) duty ratio operation without causing overly narrow gate pulses. When operating at 100A nominal current, conduction losses could be reduced significantly by the use of low on-state resistance MOSFETs as opposed to IGBTs in this system. This is expected not to be the case for larger systems, operating at significantly higher nominal currents and voltage ratings.

D. Battery System

A bidirectional synchronous-buck converter rated at 40A output current with hysteresis current control was used to control battery current. This topology has been previously reported for use with interfacing an ultra-capacitor energy storage system to the DC bus in DVR application [13]. However, the proposed system differs from previous studies [13] in that a variable-frequency hysteresis current control has been used. This is advantageous as it features cycle-by-cycle current limiting, making it tolerant to short circuit faults. Also, the proposed technique is shown below to be globally stable over the operating range whereas typical current mode control techniques described previously [13] require slope compensation to ensure global stability [28]. Further advantages include good dynamic current-tracking capability, and robust performance despite variation and uncertainty in operating conditions [29].

Assuming lossless, ideal components, the inductor current in Fig. 7 is given by (13).
where \( u \) is a gate drive signal and \( V_{bat} \) and \( V_{bus} \) are battery and DC bus voltages.

Sliding-mode control theory may be used to describe the current regulation strategy [30]. The objective in this case is to regulate the inductor \( L_c \) such that it tracks the command reference current \( I^* \). A function \( \sigma = 0 \) can be defined as follows [30]:

\[
\sigma = I_L - I^* \tag{14}
\]

A switching control law which satisfies (15) such that \( \sigma \) and its time derivative have opposite signs ensures the system will converge to the state \( \sigma = 0 \) [30] and consequently \( I_c = I^* \).

\[
\forall \sigma \neq 0: \quad \sigma \dot{\sigma} < 0 \tag{15}
\]

A switching control law which satisfies (15) is defined by (16), where \( h \) is a small constant hysteresis band lying symmetrically about the set-point value.

\[
u = \begin{cases} u = 1, & \text{if } \sigma < -h \\ u = 0, & \text{if } \sigma > h \end{cases} \tag{16}
\]

If \( V_{bat} \) is greater than \( V_{bus} \) then condition (15) is met, so this becomes an operational requirement.

For active current droop control according to (9), the following relationship can be written:

\[
I_{chbat} = \left[ V_{bus} - V_{nom,bat} \right] k_{bat} \tag{17}
\]

where \( I_{chbat} \) is the battery DC/DC converter droop reference current and \( k_{bat} \) is the gradient of the battery converter droop characteristic and \( V_{nom,bat} \) is the nominal voltage of the battery converter droop controller.

The average current delivered to the DC bus can then be estimated based on an ideal conversion ratio as follows:

\[
I_{chatt} = I^* \frac{V_{bat}}{V_{bus}} \tag{18}
\]

To achieve active current droop control, the inductor current reference \( I^* \) is set as follows:

\[
I^* = \left( V_{bus} - V_{nom,bat} \right) k_{bat} \frac{V_{bus}}{V_{bat}} \tag{19}
\]

E. Energy Storage System Sizing

DC bus signaling has been used to control the charge/discharge of the SMES-emulator system during short-term voltage sags whereas the battery is used to mitigate longer-term voltage variations. Consequently, the sizing of the short-term and long-term energy storage systems can be treated separately. The inductive energy storage requirement is sized to mitigate short-term voltage sags whereas the battery system is sized to mitigate long-term under-voltages. The specific sag-depth and duration can be determined by measurement [31] or by simulation. Once the load power, depth of sag and sag duration are known, the SMES energy-storage requirement can be determined as has been previously reported [16]. The technique below extends this method [16] by including the inverter losses in the analysis.

While the DVR system is supporting the load voltage at its nominal value, the real power provided by the DVR to the load can be written:

\[
P_{sag} = \frac{3}{2} \left[ \left( V_{d,nom} - V_{d,sag} \right) I_{d,load} \right] \tag{20}
\]

Assuming a sinusoidal current waveform, the losses in each IGBT and diode in the inverter, can be estimated based on previously reported loss models [32], [33]. Conduction loss in each IGBT is given by:

\[
P_{cl} = \left( \frac{1}{8} + \frac{M}{3\pi} \cos \phi \right) R_c I_{cm}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} + \frac{M}{8} \cos \phi \right) V_{ce0} I_{cm} \tag{21}
\]

where \( M \) = modulation index, \( R_c \) = IGBT on-state resistance, \( V_{ce0} \) = IGBT forward voltage drop. \( I_{cm} \) = IGBT forward current and \( \phi \) = power factor angle.

Conduction loss in each parallel diode is given by:

\[
P_{cd} = \left( \frac{1}{8} - \frac{M}{3\pi} \cos \phi \right) R_f I_{cm}^2 + \left( \frac{1}{2\pi} - \frac{M}{8} \cos \phi \right) V_{f0} I_{cm} \tag{22}
\]

where \( R_f \) = diode on-state resistance, \( V_{f0} \) = IGBT forward voltage drop. \( I_{cm} \) = diode current. The equivalent on-state resistance of the IGBT \( (R_c) \) and diode \( (R_d) \) were determined by linear interpolation of datasheet values [34]. \( M, \phi, \) and \( I_{cm} \) can be found from the \( dq \)-axis components of the load current and required compensation voltage.

Switching loss in the IGBT and parallel diode can be determined as follows [32].

\[
P_{swi} = \frac{1}{\pi} \left[ E_{on}(I_{cm}) + E_{off}(I_{cm}) \right] \frac{V_{dc}}{V_{dc\_ref}} \tag{23}
\]
where $V_{dc}$ is the commutation voltage, $V_{dc, ref}$ is the reference voltage at which the switching loss energies are given, $E_{on}(I_{cm})$, $E_{off}(I_{cm})$ and $E_{lth}(I_{cm})$ are IGBT turn-on, turn-off and diode reverse-recovery energies as a function of forward current [34]. The total inverter losses can then be estimated as:

$$P_{inv_loss} = 6(P_{ci} + P_{cd} + P_{swi} + P_{swd})$$

(25)

If a voltage disturbance has duration $T_{sag}$, then the capacity requirement of the energy storage systems connected to the DC bus can be found from (20) and (25) as follows.

$$E_{sag} = (P_{sag} + P_{inv_loss})T_{sag}$$

(26)

For a given long-term under-voltage, the required discharge power provided by the DVR can be found from (20). The DVR energy can then be determined using the procedure shown in (21) – (26).

F. Experimental Setup

In order to verify the performance of the proposed DVR, a hardware prototype was constructed. The battery was a 48V, 75Ah sealed lead acid type and the SMES-emulator was 15mH/100A iron-core inductor. The nominal AC bus voltage was set to 120Vac using a step down transformer. The load was configured as a 1.4kW star-connected resistor.

A simple laboratory sag generator was developed using solid-state relays to simulate faults to ground as shown in Fig. 8. System voltage measurements using isolating amplifiers were logged together with the battery and SMES-inductor currents using hall-effect current probes. The lead-acid battery was maintained at a full state of charge at the beginning of each experiment. To prevent battery overcharge, the maximum battery charge current was limited to a low value of ~800mA during stand-by operation. The DC bus voltage was maintained at 160Vdc during standby operation using the auxiliary supply.

The short-term energy storage system capacity was estimated to support a sag to 35% of nominal voltage lasting approximately 100ms using (26). The battery bank was sized based on available lead acid batteries. Energy storage device parameters are given in the Appendix, Tables AII and AIII. The experimental set-up is shown in Figs. 8 and 9.

IV. RESULTS

Initially a three-phase voltage sag to 35% of nominal voltage, lasting 100ms was used to demonstrate the response of the DVR and energy storage systems. From Fig. 10 it can be seen that the hybrid DVR system mitigates the voltage sag effectively during the sag event. The battery is discharged momentarily at -1.45A at the end of the sag when the inductor energy has been depleted.

The SMES-emulator was then removed from the system and the test was repeated. The system response to the same three-phase sag with only battery energy storage is shown in Fig. 11. As the DC bus voltage falls below 140Vdc (the battery system nominal voltage) the battery is discharged to support the DC bus. The peak battery current is 21.13A in this case and the DVR system can be seen to effectively mitigate the voltage sag. Fig. 12 shows an oscilloscope trace of the DVR injection voltage and supply voltage during a three phase sag.

To demonstrate the system performance during a long-term under-voltage, the experimental system was configured for a three-phase under-voltage dropping to 80% of nominal lasting 2s. The results are shown in Fig. 13. Initially, the SMES system can be seen to support the DC bus. As the voltage error lasts longer than the SMES-emulator can support the bus voltage, at time = 0.4s the DC bus voltage falls to below 140Vdc and the battery energy storage system automatically discharges.
The battery then provides the necessary power to support the load for the remainder of the under-voltage condition. At Time = 2.1s the under-voltage event has finished and the DC bus auxiliary supply reconnects. The battery and SMES-emulator energy storage systems are then re-charged. While 2s may not be considered a long-term under-voltage, this duration was chosen in order to be able to see the interaction between the energy storage systems clearly. The system response reaches a stable operating point after approximately 0.6s which could be maintained to support the load until the battery bank is depleted.

V. DISCUSSION

By use of the SMES-emulator hybrid system, the peak battery current response during a three-phase sag to 35% of nominal was reduced to 1.45A from 21.13A compared with the battery-only system. This reduction in battery peak current can have significant benefits in terms of improved battery life, which is negatively affected by discharge current magnitude [35].

From Fig. 13, it can be seen that the long-term under-voltage performance of the system has been improved by the introduction of battery energy storage. One of the main shortcomings limiting the usage of SMES systems is the high cost of the superconducting material [36]. By integrating a battery, the hybrid DVR can be of significantly reduced cost compared with a SMES only DVR and still be capable of compensating a variety of short-term sags and long-term under-voltages. In order to estimate the potential scale of the cost reduction, the prototype system used in this study has been considered. The SMES-inductor was emulated to give \( L = 15 \text{mH} \) at 100A DC. The energy capacity of an equivalent SMES can be calculated:

\[
E_{\text{HESS-SMES}} = \frac{LI^2}{2} = 75 \text{ (J)}
\]  

(27)
Without battery storage, the SMES needs to be able to compensate long-term undervoltages. The nominal supply dq-axis voltage has no quadrature component, so the direct axis voltage $V_{d,nom}$ is the peak voltage in the experimental system, 169.7V. During a sag with no phase jump, the supply voltage falls to $V_{d,sag}$ which is 80% of $V_{d,nom}$ or 135.8V. Two cases of sag duration have been considered; a sag lasting two seconds and a sag lasting two minutes. The energy required by the DVR inverter during the two second sag was found to be 638J from (20)-(26), whereas the energy required to support the two minute sag was found to be 38251J based on IGBT module loss parameters given in [37]. The design procedure described in [38] was then used to estimate the superconducting material requirement assuming a working temperature of 50K. The coil design for the different cases and overall superconducting material cost based on the price of 2G HTS (high temperature superconductor) of $400/kA-m$ [39] is given in Table 1. A complete techno-economic analysis of the benefits of the hybrid system is beyond the scope of this work but the simplified calculation above shows that a significant reduction in superconducting material cost can be achieved by hybridisation with a battery. This would be offset by the additional cost the battery system but worsened by the cost of SMES refrigeration equipment which are not included in the analysis.

**VI. CONCLUSIONS**

The performance of a novel hybrid DVR system topology has been assessed experimentally and shown to effectively provide voltage compensation for short-term sags and long-term under-voltages. A prototype system has been developed which demonstrates an effective method of interfacing SMES and battery energy storage systems to support a three phase load. The system has been shown to autonomously prioritise the use of the short-term energy storage system to support the load during deep, short-term voltage sags and a battery for lower depth, long-term under-voltages. This can have benefits in terms of improved voltage support capability and reduced costs compared with a SMES-based system. Additional benefits include reduced battery power rating requirement and an expected improvement in battery life compared with a battery-only system due to reduced battery power cycling and peak discharge power.
VII. APPENDIX

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE A1</th>
<th>INVERTER SYSTEM PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>8kHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection transformer rating</td>
<td>6kVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injection transformer boost ratio</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGBT Modules [34]</td>
<td>2MBi100TA-060-50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filter inductance</td>
<td>3mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Bus capacitor</td>
<td>2 x 470uF in series.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLL PI gains</td>
<td>Kp = 200 Ki = 50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Load nominal voltage</td>
<td>120Vac</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aux. Supply</td>
<td>LAB-SMS 5300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sag detect threshold: ( V_{\text{th}} )</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE A2</th>
<th>SMES-EMULATOR SYSTEM PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Switching frequency</td>
<td>500Hz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MOSFET (Q1, Q2) 2 in parallel in each leg.</td>
<td>IXFN140N30P</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diode (D1, D2) 2 in parallel in each leg.</td>
<td>DSE12X121-02A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inductor ( L_{\text{MES}} )</td>
<td>15mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Bus capacitor</td>
<td>1.5mF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droop gain</td>
<td>0.65 A/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR Snubber</td>
<td>3.30mH + 100nF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE A3</th>
<th>BATTERY SYSTEM PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Battery voltage</td>
<td>48V (4x12V)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Battery type</td>
<td>Sealed lead acid 75Ah</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGBT Module</td>
<td>MG1502YS50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Power Inductor</td>
<td>2 x 1.8mH in parallel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low side capacitor</td>
<td>4700uF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DC Bus capacitor</td>
<td>6600uF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Droop gain</td>
<td>0.45 A/V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum battery charge current</td>
<td>800mA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum battery discharge current</td>
<td>32A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE A4</th>
<th>EXPERIMENTAL SYSTEM PARAMETERS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load</td>
<td>1.4kW resistive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Line impedance</td>
<td>5 Ω, resistive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Step-down transformer ratio</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three phase sag fault impedance</td>
<td>3.0 Ω resistive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single phase under-voltage fault impedance</td>
<td>25 Ω resistive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADC card</td>
<td>NI USB-6463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample rate</td>
<td>10kHz</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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