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ABSTRACT

Photocatalytic reduction of CO\textsubscript{2} offers a solution to the depletion of fossil fuels and the high levels of atmospheric CO\textsubscript{2} exacerbating the global warming. It is, therefore, necessary to develop more efficient photocatalysts that utilize the solar energy and efficiently convert CO\textsubscript{2} to useful fuels. For this purpose, this MPhil focused on the development of perovskite catalysts supported on silica materials (SBA-15 and KIT-6) for the photoreduction of carbon dioxide (CO\textsubscript{2}).

Silica supports SBA-15 and KIT-6 were synthesized by hydrothermal treatment and a subsequent calcination at 550 °C. After this, different semiconductors called perovskites (mixed oxides MFeO\textsubscript{3}) were deposited on the support materials in varying amounts (5%, 10%, and 20%) to know the effect of the incorporation with the use of a sol-gel method. The perovskites selected were LaFeO\textsubscript{3}, BiFeO\textsubscript{3}, AlFeO\textsubscript{3}, and YFeO\textsubscript{3} due to their suitable bandgap and promising photocatalytic response in the visible light. In addition, TiO\textsubscript{2} was also deposited to make a comparison about bandgap and future photocatalytic conversion.

Both SBA-15 and, KIT-6 were analyzed with N\textsubscript{2} adsorption-desorption and XRD showing cylindrical pores with a diameter of around 7 nm and high specific surface areas. These characteristics make the supports good candidates to be a host of metal oxides species, and their pore diameter is suitable to allow that the CO\textsubscript{2} molecules will be adsorbed without problems (CO\textsubscript{2} size=3.4 Å).

This work revealed that the incorporation of species into the silica support resulted in a high loss of specific surface area. The inclusion of TiO\textsubscript{2} into the support had a different effect in comparison with the perovskites due to the Ti species reacted with the particle surface of silica materials preventing the dispersion inside of the channels of the support. The perovskites, however, were well dispersed provoking changes in the internal support structure observed for example in the pore diameter sizes. XRD confirmed the formation of most perovskites and TiO\textsubscript{2}, except AlFeO\textsubscript{3}.

Bandgap calculation from UV-visible spectroscopy measurements suggested that the bandgap of the perovskites in the silica supports increased due to their nanoconfinement, while TiO\textsubscript{2}
bandgap did not change much due to poor nanoconfinement. Calculations on conduction and valence bands revealed that best samples for CO$_2$ photocatalytic reduction were the LaFeO$_3$ (KIT-6, and SBA-15) at 5%, and YFeO$_3$ supported at 5% and 10% wt loading on SBA-15 since both resulting materials have bandgaps in the visible range and conduction and valence bands straddling the redox potentials for CO$_2$ photocatalytic reduction with water (CO$_2$/CH$_3$OH)

In conclusion, the synthesis of ferrite perovskites nanoconfined on mesoporous silicas SBA-15 and KIT-6 have been investigated following impregnation with a sol-gel process. The results indicate that LaFeO$_3$, BiFeO$_3$, and YFeO$_3$ ferrite perovskites can be incorporated in SBA-15 and KIT-6 mesoporous silicas. Properties such as specific surface area and UV-vis absorption strongly depend on the amount of perovskites used. The samples LaFeO$_3$ (KIT-6, and SBA-15) at 5% and YFeO$_3$/SBA-15 supported at 5% and 10% were identified as the best candidates of the investigated catalysts for CO$_2$ photocatalytic reduction.
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<table>
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<tr>
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</tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CB</td>
<td>Conduction band</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO</td>
<td>Carbon monoxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CO₂</td>
<td>Carbon dioxide</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dₚ</td>
<td>Pore diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>e⁻</td>
<td>Electron</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E₉</td>
<td>Energy bandgap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC</td>
<td>Gas chromatography</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h⁺</td>
<td>Holes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
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<td>International Union of Pure and Applied Chemistry</td>
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<td>Oₜbr</td>
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<tr>
<td>P123</td>
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<td>PEO</td>
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</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPO</td>
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<td>Pore size distribution</td>
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<td>SＢET</td>
<td>Surface specific area</td>
</tr>
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<td>TCD</td>
<td>Thermal conductivity detector</td>
</tr>
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<td>TEOS</td>
<td>Tetraethyl orthosilicate</td>
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<td>TiO₂</td>
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<td>UV-vis</td>
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<td>VB</td>
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<td>XRD</td>
<td>X-ray diffraction</td>
</tr>
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</table>
1. INTRODUCTION

Energy is an indispensable factor for the people, but the most significant problem is that we are exploiting large amounts of it. Fossil fuels are an essential help for the production of some products such as steel, cement, etc., and nearly 80% of the energy supply of the world is based on these fuels.¹ The consumption of fossil energy produces a global problem, and it is expected to face a severe crisis because of large volumes of production of carbon dioxide (CO₂).²

The supply of secure, clean and sustainable energy is the most significant challenge that the people face in the 21st century. However, unlike the power generation, it is not possible to substitute renewable energy sources for fossil fuels to reduce emissions such as CO₂. These emissions are mostly accumulating in the atmosphere, although the future effects of these emissions are still uncertain. Among renewable energy resources, solar energy is by far the most significant exploitable resource. It constitutes the primary power source on earth providing more energy in one hour than all the renewable energy produced in one year.

Solar fuels are compounds that have captured and stored solar energy in chemical energy. One example of this is the natural photosynthesis, where the sun is used as energy to carry out some useful reactions.³ The process of natural photosynthesis is a combination of water oxidation and carbon dioxide reduction (or CO₂ fixation) reactions under bright and diffuse light conditions by which plants convert CO₂ of the atmosphere and water accompanied with oxygen (O₂) and other carbon products.⁴

Artificial photosynthesis only mimics the activity and the use of CO₂ with the use of semiconductors, and water to produce fuels such as hydrogen. Research into artificial photosynthesis processes have increased in recent years due to the problems of global warming and decreasing of fossil fuels reserves.⁵ The challenge to reduce costs compared with fossil energy as the materials need to be very inexpensive to make a solar based process economical.

This Mphil focusses on the preparation of new materials which can be employed in photoreduction to convert CO₂ into solar fuels. Two mesoporous silica supports were selected due to their inert and mechanically high stability as well as high in the surface area and large
pore size. The selection of different semiconductors (called perovskites) was studied to incorporate into the matrix of the silica support at different amounts.

Techniques such as N$_2$ physisorption and X-Ray diffraction were realized to determine the physical and textural properties of these materials. UV-visible spectroscopy was performed as well to learn the bandgap of the samples and to obtain an idea about the probable products to achieve considering the redox potentials of CO$_2$ and the band edges.

The specific aims of this MPhil are:

- Develop new materials along the use of two mesoporous silica supports (SBA-15 and KIT-6) for using in the photoreduction of CO$_2$ into solar fuels (CH$_3$OH).
- Study of different perovskites (LaFeO$_3$, BiFeO$_3$, YFeO$_3$, and AlFeO$_3$) at various amounts (5%, 10%, and 20%) inside the silica support. This will help to determine the final product and to obtain a better catalytic performance.
- Perform characterization to study the physical and textural properties of the materials such as N$_2$ adsorption-desorption, X-Ray diffraction (XRD), and UV-visible.
- Analyze and compare the results with the properties of TiO$_2$ catalyst.
2. BACKGROUND

2.1. Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$)

Carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) is a gas formed by a central carbon and two atoms of oxygen, (figure 1) where the molecules are covalently bonded (O=C=O). Among its physical properties include non-flammable, colorless, odorless gas, acidic odor, density around 1.98 kg/m$^3$, and its molecular weight is 44.01 g/mol.$^6$

![Figure 1. CO$_2$ (Carbon dioxide) structure, oxygen atoms are in blue bond to the grey circle (carbon)](image)

The amount of CO$_2$ has been increasing in recent years due to the growing emissions from fossil fuel combustion. Emissions of this gas have accelerated activities to convert it as a carbon source for the production of fuels and chemicals.$^7$ There are three approaches to reduce CO$_2$ emissions. 1) efficient use of carbon-based power sources, 2) use of alternative carbon-free energy source and 3) use of a post-treatment carbon-capture technology.$^8$ However, the main problem is that CO$_2$ is a very stable molecule due to its thermodynamic stability and kinetic inertness. The transformation of CO$_2$ can be achieved via in two routes:

1) The feeding of a high-energy reactant (e.g., H$_2$), unsaturated compounds and organometallic compounds.

2) The supply of external energy such as solar or electrical energy.

In brief, the photocatalysis (PC) and photoelectrocatalysis (PEC) are two highly attractive routes for the reduction of CO$_2$. 
2.2. Carbon capture and storage (CCS)

One step toward reducing CO₂ emissions is to capture the carbon dioxide emissions generated during combustion and store them in an adequate place. This process of carbon capture and storage, sometimes called CCS, has the potential to reduce future world emissions from energy by 20%. In CCS, CO₂ is captured from power plants and then injected underground into reservoirs. While it may be critical for the continued use of fossil fuels in a carbon-constrained world, there is a broad political consensus that the global temperature rise should be limited to 2°C.

The scientific analysis defines that CO₂ must start to fall from 2020 onward. However, CCS is inevitable if the fossil fuels continued to be burned at more than 10% of all the present rate. The combustion of fossil fuels supplies more than 85% of energy for industrial activities and is the main greenhouse gas contributor. Coal will provide 28% of the global power by 2030, as part of a 57% increase in CO₂ emissions.

Although current CCS technology is only in the initial phase, the scale of the ambition to reduce CO₂ emissions by 20% is massive. Capture technologies allow the separation of carbon dioxide from gases produced in electricity generation. This technique can be achieved by one of three methods pre-combustion capture, post-combustion capture, and oxyfuel combustion. CO₂ is then transported by pipeline for storage where millions of tonnes of carbon dioxide are already carried annually for commercial purposes. This scheme is illustrated in Figure 2.

![Figure 2. Carbon capture and storage (CCS) process.](image-url)
2.3. Photocatalytic CO₂

Photocatalytic CO₂ is known as one of the most favorable renewable energy technologies because of the ability to convert carbon dioxide with water into different solar fuels such as CH₄, HCO₂H, and CH₃OH. The process consists of the addition of one or more electrons to a photoexcited species. However, this technology is still limited by its small solar conversion efficiency due to the fast recombination of electrons (e⁻) and holes (h⁺), moderate charge consumption and the low adsorption of the CO₂ at the catalytic site. The catalysts such as semiconductors improving the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with water. These semiconductors involve several main steps in the CO₂ photoreduction with H₂O (Figure 3).

**Figure 3.** Schematic illustration of the mechanism of reduction of CO₂ with H₂O on a semiconductor.

1) Electrons (e⁻) and holes (h⁺) pairs are generated when the semiconductor photocatalyst is irradiated by the energy of a light source that it is equal or greater than the band-gap energy (E₋) of the utilized material.
2) The band-gap excitation (photoexcitation) leads to the formation of e⁻ in the conduction band (CB) and h⁺ in the valence band (VB).
3) After photoexcitation, the electron-hole pairs should be separated partially, and be transferred to redox active species across the interface and minimize electron-hole recombination. A significant fraction of e⁻ and h⁺ pairs recombine together with the energy being released in the form of heat or photons.
4) Once e⁻ and h⁺ are created, they can migrate to the surface and be trapped at the trap sites or recombine at the surface of the semiconductor.
5) Simultaneously, H₂O is oxidized by the h⁺ to produce O₂ and e⁻ could migrate to the surface and reduce CO₂ to solar fuels if surface adsorbates possess a redox potential appropriate for a thermodynamically allowed reaction.

Reduction of CO₂ is an ascending reaction where CB and VB of the photocatalyst should straddle the reduction potential of CO₂ and the oxidation potential of water respectively. A higher CB edge relative to the reduction potential of CO₂ facilitates the transfer of electrons from CB to CO₂ (vacuum level). A lower VB edge relative to the oxidation potential of water helps the transfer of holes from VB to water.

If a CO₂ photocatalyst CB and VB straddles the redox potentials of CO₂ and water, under the irradiation of light, the removal of electrons from VB to CO₂, as well from the water to VB are thermodynamically favorable. Electrons in CB reduce CO₂, whereas holes in VB oxidize water to oxygen. The formal reduction potentials of the reactions regarding thermodynamics with the photoreduction of CO₂ and H₂O versus normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) are given in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>( E^0 ) (V) vs NHE (pH = 7)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HCOOH} )</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 + 2\text{H}^+ + 2\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{CO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>-0.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 + 4\text{H}^+ + 4\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{HCHO} + \text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>-0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 + 6\text{H}^+ + 6\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_3\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CO}_2 + 8\text{H}^+ + 8\text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{CH}_4 + 2\text{H}_2\text{O} )</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

From a thermodynamic view, the creation of methane and methanol are more favorable in CO₂ reduction due to these reactions take place at lower potentials. However, the kinetic makes methane and methanol formation harder than carbon monoxide. Moreover, the 2–8 electrons and protons reactions to obtain the desired products are difficult.

UV radiation commits less than 4% while visible light accounts for more than 40% of sunlight, from 400 nm to 800 nm (3.12 – 1.56 eV). A semiconductor should have a sufficient bandgap to fit the spectral absorption of visible light. As well, it needs to have its conduction band above the reduction potential of CO₂ facilitate the electron transfer. The measurement of the bandgap...
of materials is essential in the semiconductor. Bandgap energy is significant in insulators (> 4 eV) but lower for semiconductors (< 4 eV).

The photocatalysts involve photoreactions which occur at the surface of a catalyst. These reactions can be carried out in two ways:

1. When the initial photo-excitation takes place in an adsorbate molecule, which then interacts with the ground state of the catalyst substrate, the process is referred to a catalyzed photoreaction.
2. When the initial photoexcitation takes place in the catalyst substrate, and the photoexcited catalyst then interacts with the ground state adsorbate molecule, the process is a sensitized photoreaction.\textsuperscript{17}

Annual anthropogenic CO\textsubscript{2} emissions from fossil fuel combustion have a current level of CO\textsubscript{2} in the atmosphere about 400 ppm, approximately 43\% higher than the level recorded in pre-industrial times. CO\textsubscript{2} reduction efficiency is generally low, many of the carbon products detected may not have originated from photoreduction. Although its low efficiency, artificial photosynthesis of CO\textsubscript{2} to fuel has become an attractive route due to its economically and environmentally friendly behavior. From an economic and environmental point of view, recycling of CO\textsubscript{2} to fuels such methane under solar irradiation mimics natural photosynthesis without using extra energy and there is no destructive effect on the environment.

This technology has some difficulties and barriers due to the non-effective catalysts, low yield, and selectivity. But, the approach of the semiconductor photocatalysts offers great potential for transforming earth-abundant materials into high energy density chemical via complex photoreactions that harness solar photons represents an exciting opportunity. This is, therefore, a far more attractive approach from a sustainability perspective.\textsuperscript{18,19}

2.4. Band theory

The description of the states of electrons in an atom is according to their four quantum numbers. When an atom is combined to form substances, the orbitals merge providing a high number of energy levels for electrons. When large numbers of particles are close to each other, the
available energy levels form a nearly continuous band where the electrons may move (see Figure 4)

![Image of band structure](image)

**Figure 4. Description of bandgap**

In some substances, a considerable gap remains between the highest band containing electrons (called valence band) and the band with no electrons (called conduction band). These materials that fall within the category of semiconductors have a narrow gap between the valence and conduction bands, where an amount of energy is needed to remove an electron from the valence band to a higher unoccupied band. So the electronic band structure of solids can explain the distinction between metals, insulators, and semiconductors. The difference of electronic band structures of the three solids is shown in Figure 5.

- **Metals**: They are excellent conductors since the valence and conduction bands overlap in metals, little energy removes an electron.

- **Insulators**: The large gap between the valence and conduction bands of an insulator requires high energy to remove an electron. Thus, insulators do not conduct.

- **Semiconductors**: They have a small non-overlapping gap between the valence and conduction bands. Pure semiconductors are neither excellent insulators nor conductors. Semiconductors are semi-conductive.

An important parameter in the band theory is the Fermi level, the top of the available electron energy levels at low temperatures. The position of the Fermi level with the relation to the conduction band is a crucial factor in determining electrical properties.
2.5. Semiconductor

A semiconductor has a favorable combination of electronic structures, light absorption, and charge transport because its energy gap (bandgap) exists between the top of the filled (valence band) and the bottom of the vacant (conduction band). The semiconductor can form photoinduced electrons and holes upon irradiation, a phenomenon governed by the band energy position.\(^{21}\)

There are two types of semiconductors: p-type and n-type.\(^{22}\)

- The p-type semiconductors have a significant hole concentration higher than electrons. The term p-type refers to the positive charge of the hole where the holes are majority carriers. In these, the impurity of some trivalent element (such as boron, aluminum, Indium, Galium, etc.) can accept one electron (acceptor semiconductor). For these semiconductors, the Fermi level lies closer to the VB than the CB.

- The n-type semiconductors have a larger electron concentration compared to that of holes. The term n-type comes from the negative charge of the electron. In these semiconductors, the impurity of some pentavalent element such as Phosphorus, Bismuth, etc., in this case, the impurity atom donates one electron, (donor semiconductor). In this case, the Fermi level is higher and lies closer to the CB than the VB.
2.6. Photocatalytic supports

In addition to semiconductor catalysts, some porous materials such as zeolites, silica mesoporous can also be utilized in photocatalytic reactions. Such porous materials with a high surface area, tunable porosity, and dimensionalities are suitable for capturing small CO\textsubscript{2} or H\textsubscript{2}O molecules in their cavities.\textsuperscript{23,24} The incorporation of CO\textsubscript{2} reduction sites into these porous materials is a promising strategy to provide a suitable catalyst structure, in which CO\textsubscript{2} can be efficiently adsorbed, activated and reduced by photogenerated electrons.

Ikeue et al.\textsuperscript{25} studied a series of CO\textsubscript{2} photoreduction reactions over 3D dimensional support containing highly dispersed TiO\textsubscript{2}. They incorporated TiO\textsubscript{2} species into the framework of the support by hydrothermal synthesis and performed CO\textsubscript{2} photoreduction with H\textsubscript{2}O to produce CH\textsubscript{3}OH in the gas phase over the obtained catalysts. The previous results are because of the formation of charge transfer excited complexes of Ti\textsuperscript{3+} -O\textsuperscript{-} under the UV irradiation on the isolated TiO\textsubscript{2} species in the framework.

These results indicated that the structure with large pore sizes having a three-dimensional channel structure was suitable not only for achieving high dispersion state of the TiO\textsubscript{2} species. But also, for achieving a large amount of adsorbed CO\textsubscript{2} and a high efficiency to generate CH\textsubscript{3}OH. The increase of CO\textsubscript{2} photoreduction activity was ascribed to the larger pore size and the resulting higher dispersion of TiO\textsubscript{2} species in Ti-SBA-15. The utilization of mesoporous materials (MCM-41, SBA-15, KIT-6, etc) for highly dispersed TiO\textsubscript{2} species leads to relatively high efficiency for CO\textsubscript{2} photoreduction into CH\textsubscript{3}OH, which makes them promising candidates for CO\textsubscript{2} photoreduction.\textsuperscript{26}

It is known that light harvesting process is strongly dependent on the surface of the photocatalyst. It usually improves through the incorporation of macroporous or mesoporous architectures for the utilization of light. The surface area of a photocatalyst can be enhanced by dispersing in a porous support. The support moreover can define the potential, chemistry of immobilization strategies and the control of the surface reactivity.

Mesoporous materials are one of the types of porous materials according to IUPAC with a pore diameter in the range of 2-50 nm. These mesoporous supports are made up of pore walls, which
have ordered arrays of uniform nanochannels and high surface area (600-1300 m\(^2\)/g).\(^{27}\) A transparent porous silica material is an extraordinary support for TiO\(_2\) photocatalysts, due to its low cost, availability, mechanical robustness and the high surface area. It can improve photocatalyst dispersion and increase adsorption of CO\(_2\) and H\(_2\)O on the catalyst.\(^{28}\) Silica consists of entirely condensed silanoxyl bridges (\(=\text{Si-O-Si}=\)) in the framework and silanol functional groups on the surface.\(^{29}\) Pure mesoporous silica can be found in three structure types:

- Hexagonal (1D regular hexagonal of mesopores channels)
- Lamellar (2D system of silica sheets interleaved)
- Cubic (3D bicontinuous network of pores)

The mesoporous materials are based on the arrangement of pores, ordered or disordered, in an amorphous framework. This arrangement varies from 1D pores to 3D interconnected pores. Ordered mesostructures can be defined by space group symmetry, whereas disordered mesostructured have no such notation. The characteristic properties such as porosity and stability of disordered materials are comparable to those of ordered structures.

One question to resolve is what mesostructured material is superior 2D or 3D? This is difficult to answer due to the priority selection with respect to the characteristic of the pore. Among the most used and studied mesoporous supports made up of silica are SBA-15 and KIT-6, these supports are described below.\(^{30-32}\)

### 2.6.1. SBA-15

SBA-15 (Santa Barbara amorphous) is a mesoporous silica material that has cylindrical pores ordered in a hexagonal structure where nanorods are interconnected by the network.\(^{33}\) The pores are responsible for the high surface area that facilitates the diffusion of the reactants through the structure.\(^{34}\) See Figure 6.
The silica structure is not a functional catalyst. It is a host or template for catalysts with nanoparticles or for adding functional groups to the silica structure. Its key features are:

- It can reach pore sizes of 30 nm in two dimensions
- It exhibits a wall thickness of 3 to 7 nm
- The length of the pores varies from 200 nm.
- Its wall thickness improves the thermal and hydrothermal stability in comparison with MCM-41 and related materials.
- Its surface area is around 800-1500 m²/g more than the conventional TiO₂.

Yang et al.³⁵ studied the semiconductor TiO₂ embedded in the mesoporous SBA-15 for photocatalytic activity. Their studies showed much higher photodegradation ability than pure commercial TiO₂ (P-25). Experimental results indicated that the photocatalytic activity of titania/silica mixed materials depends on the adsorption ability of the composite and the photocatalytic activity of titania.

### 2.6.2. KIT-6

KIT-6 has a three-dimensional cubic Ia₃d symmetry with a complex pore geometry and two sets of independent channels which are separated by an amorphous silica wall as illustrated in Figure 7.³⁶,³⁷ Due to its unique properties related to a 3D channel network, it provides highly opened spaces for direct access to guest species without pore blockage and immobilization of large molecules.³⁸ Its main features are:

- It consists of ellipsoidal cages that are 3D connected by smaller cage connecting pores.
- It possesses large readily tunable pores with thick pore walls.
- High hydrothermal stability.
- High surface area (between 600 to 800 m$^2$/g) and a large pore volume.

![Figure 7. Structure of KIT-6.]

2D ordered porous materials possess narrow pore size distribution, tunable porosity, high surface area, and a broad range of molecular size for adsorption and for active compound release properties. Meanwhile, 3D disordered porous materials are ruled by the randomness, connectivity, and tortuosity of the pore space. Both materials use cost-effective silica source that would be the best choice in the synthesis of large pore mesoporous silicas for industrial application.$^{39}$

### 2.6.3. Synthesis of mesoporous silica support

Mesoporous silica is obtained by the addition of an organic template surfactant to the synthesis of SiO$_2$. The synthesis of the mesoporous silica is called sol-gel method because the solution (sol) is a colloidal system where micelles are formed by surfactants dispersed in an aqueous solution. A surfactant is an amphiphilic molecule that is composed of hydrophilic and hydrophobic parts. The hydrophilic component (lipid soluble in water) is sometimes a hydrocarbon chain that helps to form micelles.

Several surfactants can be used in the mesoporous silica synthesis as cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) and Pluronic 123 (commonly named P123). The use of block copolymers is one of the most promising routes regarding high degree of ordering and resulting in a material with excellent hydrothermal stability. Because of their rich phase behavior, low cost and non-toxic degradation.$^{40}$ Pluronic polymers (P123) consist of a hydrophobic polypropylene oxide
block (PPO) surrounded by two hydrophilic polyethylene oxide blocks (PEO) and can be written as \((\text{PEO})_X-(\text{PPO})_Y-(\text{PEO})_X\). The hydrophilic polymers favor the hexagonal phases or even cubic of the most hydrophilic polymers with long PEO chains.\(^{41}\) The formation of SBA-15 is proposed in three stages, the micellar formation, hydrothermal process, and calcination.\(^{42}\)

- **Micellar formation**

In the initial stage of the synthesis, the direct structure agent (P123) is dissolved in aqueous media to give a micellar solution. The micelles are spherical with a core with PPO chains and a corona consisting of PEO groups (as shown in Figure 8). All this is described as the core-corona spherical model.

![Micellar formation](image)

*Figure 8. Micellar formation.*\(^{43}\)

Although the PEO chains are hydrophilic to ensure an amphiphilic character, the PEO corona is less polar; this results in a weak interaction between the O-Si-O groups and the PEO groups of the micellar corona.\(^{44}\)

Organosilicates such as tetraethyl orthosilicate (TEOS) are used as the source of silica. When it interacts with the water media, it hydrolyzes into silica acid and starts to condense and polymerize at 35 °C forming a silica network. The reason of this is the attraction between the silica and PEO. When the silica oligomer has grown, they have little contact with the micellar corona to cause the adsorption of it. TEOS is better to put before the emulsion to avoid the formation of free silica spheres.
• **Hydrothermal treatment**

The aim of the hydrothermal treatment is the formation of the hexagonal structure which begins when a white precipitate is created. This effect is due to the consolidation of the silica network by incubation of particles at a higher temperature (around 80 °C).

After the addition of silica, the micelles core-corona increases in electron density and the arrangement of the surfactants micelles create a mesosphere whose structure is determined by weak forces. The pluronic micelles join the adsorbed silica into flocs of micelles.\(^{45}\) Next, the silica polymer disperses to destabilize the flocs, and they are associated with solid particles. Finally, there is a transition from Pluronic micelles into a cylindrical shape (rods) that rearrange into a hexagonal phase due to the attraction between silica and PEO that originates from silica polymerization.\(^{46}\)

As time progress, the core-corona interface is reduced and increase silica polymerization in this region. The polymerization continues in the corona region where the polymerization starts and close to the core and then propagates out of the zone. When the temperature increases, the mesopores size, and the surface area increase in size and the microporosity decrease. After the surfactants are eliminated, an amorphous silica structure is obtained, and the intrawall porosity grows in size as result of PEO from silica.

• **Calcination**

The calcination of the particles around 500°C for 6 hours removes the organic polymer components (surfactants), and the silica network is enhanced. At this stage, the hexagonal structural retains its size. Above 300 °C, the water from the structure is released, the rest of the organic polymers are burned out, and the hexagonal framework decreased as we can see in Figure 9. Finally, the mesopore volume decreases indicating the micropores are emptied from surfactants.\(^{47}\) Upon the removal of the polymer, smaller pores (<2 nm) inside the silica wall are created as a cast of the PEO part. These small pores are called intrawall pores, and they connect to the primary mesopores, that is the reason that SBA-15 is a bicontinuous material.\(^{48}\)
2.7. Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$)

Titanium dioxide (TiO$_2$) is the most promising material for photocatalytic activities due to its chemical stability, low-cost and no risks to the environment. TiO$_2$ is an n-type semiconductor existing in four polymorphs forms: anatase (tetragonal), brookite (orthorhombic), rutile (tetragonal) and TiO$_2$ (monoclinic) as illustrated in Figure 10. Thermodynamic calculations predict that rutile is the stablest phase at all temperatures. Several strategies have been used to improve the absorption ability of an inorganic photocatalyst, especially on TiO$_2$ since it is the most widely studied photocatalyst. They are described in the following paragraphs.

2.7.1. Metal deposition

The most employed modification method is to load nano-sized metals at TiO$_2$ surface by photodeposition impregnation. The surface metal nanoparticles significantly affect the
photochemical properties of TiO$_2$ support. Various metal nanoparticles such as Pt, Au, and Ag have been used in TiO$_2$ photocatalyst where Au and Ag have often been coupled to TiO$_2$ to utilize the property of localized surface plasmonic resonance (LSPR). This LSPR is the collective free electron charge oscillation in the metallic nanoparticles that are excited by light; this phenomenon strongly depends on particle size, shape, and local dielectric environment. See Figure 11.

![Figure 11. Semiconductor-metal nanocomposite system.](image)

Ku, Y et al., studied metallic platinum on TiO$_2$ particles. The dispersion of the metallic platinum was uniform, and it did not alter the morphology of the TiO$_2$ particles. However, the absorbance of the Pt/TiO$_2$ catalyst for light with wavelength more than 400 nm was much improved by the addition of metallic platinum. The decomposition and mineralization of acetone increased with the UV light intensity for experiments conducted in the photoreactor coated with Pt/TiO$_2$. The conclusion in this study revealed that the activity of TiO$_2$ by the deposition of a metal (Pt) increased due to the increase of electron transfer rate to the oxidant allowing visible light absorption and providing defect states in the bandgap.$^{53}$

### 2.7.2. Doping

Doping with elements has been pursued to sensitize photocatalysts with a full bandgap toward visible light absorption. Doping with metal ions (Fe$^{3+}$, Zn$^{2+}$, etc.) and non-metal ions (C, N, S, B, etc.) have already been widely studied. This method does not only retard the fast charge recombination but also enable visible light absorption by creating defect states in the bandgap. CB electrons or VB holes are trapped in the defect sites inhibiting the recombination and increasing the charge transfer.$^{54}$ The mechanism of TiO$_2$ doped is illustrated in Figure 12.
2.7.3. Surface charge modification

The surface interaction with oxide semiconductors can influence the photocatalytic reaction rate and mechanism. Metal oxides such as silica, alumina, and zirconia are the standard inorganic surface charge modifiers.

Shariq et al. examined the effect of the photocatalytic degradation of aqueous pollutants using SiO$_2$-TiO$_2$ photocatalyst. The results indicated that the surface properties of TiO$_2$ modified with silica-enhanced the degradation of cationic contaminants such as amines. These results were possible due to the increase of surface area and the introduction of Si-O groups which increased the adsorption on TiO$_2$. It only worked with cationic pollutants, not with anionic. These results also showed that a lower pH value (pH=6→3) affects the mobility of suspended TiO$_2$ particles.

2.7.4. Dye-sensitization of TiO$_2$

Coupling of organic dyes to the surface of TiO$_2$ has attracted much attention as a sensitization method for visible light utilization. During visible light irradiation, excited electrons are transferred from the dyes to the semiconductors. Advantages of the dye-sensitized photocatalytic reactions are the fast injection of electrons to the semiconductor and slow backward reaction. This response occurs only from the dye molecules adsorbed on the surface of the photocatalyst. See Figure 13.
Trenczek-Zajac et al. investigated a coupled system by mixing TiO$_2$ with CdS and its photocatalytic activity in UV-vis using methyl orange (MO). It was demonstrated that small amount of CdS (5 mol%) or TiO$_2$ (5 mol%) in the nanocomposite improves the photocatalytic properties. The sensitization can be explained by the electron transfer from CdS grains to TiO$_2$ and the extended absorption of visible light. The highest photocatalytic activity under exposure to UV-vis light was obtained for the composition of 5 mol% TiO$_2$ and 95 mol% CdS.

TiO$_2$/CdS samples exhibited more desirable photocatalytic activity in visible light when compared to pure TiO$_2$ and CdS. These improved photocatalytic properties of the couple semiconductors are related to efficient charge transport between TiO$_2$ and CdS. The results reported the photodegradation of methyl orange in the presence of various TiO$_2$/CdS nanocomposites.

2.8. Perovskites

A perovskite is a type of mineral composed of calcium, titanium, and oxygen in the form of CaTiO$_3$. All materials with the same crystal structure are named perovskites (ABX$_3$). In this structure, A are positive ions at the corners of the unit, B are negative ions occupying the face position and X are oxygen. Oxide perovskites form large families among the structures of oxide compounds. Their essential features are exceptional thermal stability, electronic structure, ionic conductivity, electron mobility, redox behavior and a wide range of Ferro, piezo and electrical properties. The perovskite structure is illustrated in Figure 14.
Several oxide perovskites have been developed with visible light activity. Various strategies have been employed for enhancing the photocatalytic performance emphasizing the advantages and challenges offered by these materials.\textsuperscript{63} In the multiferroic materials, the electric and magnetic properties are mutually controlled, which enables their use in potential devices such as sensors and data storage.\textsuperscript{64}

2.8.1 Ferrite Perovskites

Efficient energy conversion and storage often requires perovskites with a set of specific properties concerning their electronic, physical, and chemical natures. These requirements are so complex that more often than not we fail to find a candidate that can satisfy all the needs simultaneously for the CO\textsubscript{2} photoreduction. As a result, the lack of suitable materials has indeed become an important challenge in energy research.

The most commonly encountered form of iron oxide in nature, hematite is a semiconductor with and an almost ideal bandgap for CO\textsubscript{2} photoreduction. Hematite (Fe\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) stands out as a suitable candidate due to due to its reported bandgap of 2.0-2.2 eV.\textsuperscript{65,66} Moreover, hematite and other iron oxide compounds have known shortcomings such as short exciton diffusion length, low electron conductivity, and lower conduction band edge potential.\textsuperscript{67} However certain ferrite-based perovskites have shown good photocatalytic activities, circumventing the shortcomings seen in binary iron oxides.\textsuperscript{68}

This class of binary iron oxides compounds involves MFeO\textsubscript{3} perovskite-ionic ferrites which have a mixed electronic-ionic conductivity.\textsuperscript{69} Some of the most important are: LaFeO\textsubscript{3}, BiFeO\textsubscript{3}, YFeO\textsubscript{3}, and AlFeO\textsubscript{3}, which are described in the following sections.
2.8.1. Lanthanum Ferrite (LaFeO$_3$)

LaFeO$_3$ is an essential p-type semiconductor with a narrow bandgap of 2.65 eV. It has demonstrated excellent photocatalytic activity under visible light irradiation (Figure 15). Its crystal structure is orthorhombic, and its lattice parameters are $a=5.556$ Å, $b=5.565$ Å, and $c=7.862$ Å. LaFeO$_3$ is well-known as a visible light photocatalytic active due to its unique optoelectronic property.

Liu et al., prepared materials of the type LaMeO$_x$ (Me= Zn, Co, and Fe) supported on SBA-15. They analyzed their performance in the H$_2$S removal. The results showed that the hexagonal arrangement of SBA-15 was not wrecked. They tested in eight successive sulfidation cycles, in which the materials LaFeO$_3$/SBA-15 exhibited better performance and stability in H$_2$S than La$_2$ZnO$_4$ and La$_2$CoO$_4$ supported on SBA-15. This experiment concluded that LaFeO$_3$ did not destroy the arrangement of mesoporous materials.

![Figure 15. Schematic drawing of the crystal structure of perovskite LaFeO$_3$](image)

2.8.2. Bismuth Ferrite (BiFeO$_3$)

BiFeO$_3$ is an attractive rhombohedral perovskite material because of its multiferroic antiferromagnetic properties (Figure 16). It is getting a lot of attention due to its narrow bandgap and photocatalytic activity under visible light. The bandgap of BiFeO$_3$ is around 3.00 eV for crystals and 2.67 eV in thin films. It is in the visible region making it promising to harvest the solar energy. The band gap has been shown to be influenced by the size and morphology of the crystals in individual cases.
Gao et al. designed a BiFeO$_3$ photocatalyst by sol-gel method with a tunable size and a suitable bandgap. This material was used in the degradation of methyl orange (MO) under visible light. It showed a high visible light response due to the small bandgap (2.1 eV). It was concluded that the particle size of BiFeO$_3$ might be the primary factor influencing the photocatalytic efficiency and the light response, although more research is necessary to improve the BiFeO$_3$ photocatalytic performance.

2.8.3. Aluminium Ferrite (AlFeO$_3$)

AlFeO$_3$ is an orthorhombic type perovskite material, which retains collinear ferromagnetic structure. This perovskite also offers the advantage of magnetic recovery of the particles which are useful in practical applications. The lattice parameters of AlFeO$_3$ are $a= 4.909$ Å and $c= 13.393$ Å.

2.8.4. Yttrium Ferrite (YFeO$_3$)

YFeO$_3$ is an orthoferrite material with a distorted perovskite structure. YFeO$_3$ is thermodynamically unstable at high temperatures which makes the synthesis of pure perovskite a challenging task. This perovskite has been widely studied for its magnetic and magneto-optical properties and used in the gas sensor, environmental applications, and catalysis, this material is illustrated in Figure 17.
Diez-Garcia et al. examined the behavior of YFeO$_3$ thin films under illumination. They reported two methods consisting of a deposition of nanoparticles synthesized by ionic liquid route and spin coating of a sol-gel precursor. Both approaches created highly textured films with an orthorhombic phase and direct bandgap transition at 2.45 eV. XPS confirmed a Fe$^{3+}$ oxidation state in both films with a surface composition of 70:30 Y: Fe. Both materials exhibited a response to light, producing hydrogen in alkaline solutions with a potential of 1.05 V. This behavior confirms the use of YFeO$_3$ for photoreduction due to its bandgap and excellent answer under illumination.

2.9. CO$_2$ reaction

2.9.1. Adsorption and activation of CO$_2$

The study of the adsorption and activation of CO$_2$ is the primary step for improving the efficiency of CO$_2$ reduction.

Xin Li et al. studied the adsorption of CO$_2$ on heterostructure CdS (Bi$_2$S$_3$)/TiO$_2$ nanotubes (TNT) photocatalysts. CdS and Bi$_2$S$_3$ were used as sensitizers and applied to modify TNT photocatalyst. This research indicated that Bi$_2$S$_3$ and CdS modification can decrease the surface area and the amount absorbed of CO$_2$, but significantly increase the visible light absorption capability and the photocatalytic activity of TNT. Moreover, the amounts adsorbed of CO$_2$ on TNT-Bi$_2$S$_3$ are higher than those of TNT-CdS.

After the surface modification, the adsorption capacities of the modified TNT for CO$_2$ were decreased. It is also worth noticing that the higher adsorption capacity of CO$_2$ is nearly in
agreement with the increase of surface area of the sample. This concluded that the surface area plays a crucial role in the enhancement of CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption. CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption ability and charge carrier separation improves when the surface area increases and it leads to the formation of partially charged species. This phenomenon happens through interaction with surface atoms where the high surface area is suitable for capturing small CO\textsubscript{2} or H\textsubscript{2}O molecules in their cavities. This effect facilitates the CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption that leads to the formation of charged species CO\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{•} with interactions with the surface.\textsuperscript{57}

The role of the mesoporous silica is a very important material because it is applied as adsorbent and support. The molecular properties of silica are strongly affected by the nature of their surface sites.

2.9.2. CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption mode

Adsorption is not only a very important industrial process but also a powerful methodology for the characterization of the surface of materials. The study of the interaction of carbon dioxide with the surface of a group of well-characterized porous, amorphous, ordered, and crystalline silica.\textsuperscript{85}

Metal complexes, metal oxides, and silica support are known to activate CO\textsubscript{2} and form a variety of activated CO\textsubscript{2} complexes.\textsuperscript{66} CO\textsubscript{2} exhibits different types of coordination modes on the surface of the catalyst (oxygen and carbon coordination). Figure 18 shows the different ways that the CO\textsubscript{2} can be adsorbed.

Figure 18. The structures of adsorbed CO\textsubscript{2} on catalysts.
CO\textsubscript{2} is activated through carbon type coordination on organo-functionalized SBA-15 materials. These carbonite species react with adsorbed water or surface hydroxy groups forming product carbonates, bicarbonates or forms. In the carbon coordination mode, the anchoring of the carbon atom in the CO\textsubscript{2} molecule on the silica surface makes difficult the hydrogenation. A hydrogen atom attacks one oxygen in the absorbed molecule to form a carboxyl species (●COOH).\textsuperscript{87} This attachment is more favored when CO\textsubscript{2} is bound to the surface by the carbon atom because the cleavage of the C-O occurs first. The adsorbed CO can efficiently desorb from the surface of the catalyst with a weak CO\textsubscript{2} adsorption.\textsuperscript{88}

The adsorbed CO can accept additional electrons to form carbon radicals and subsequently mix with up to four H radicals If the catalyst has a moderate adsorption strength.\textsuperscript{89} The adsorbed CO can be hydrogenated to form various hydrocarbon products, the equations of this mode are presented below.

\begin{align*}
(a) & \quad \bullet O = C = O_{\text{ads}} - + H^+ \rightarrow \bullet COOH_{\text{ads}} \\
(b) & \quad \bullet COOH_{\text{ads}} + e^- \rightarrow CO_{\text{ads}} + OH^-.
\end{align*}

2.9.3. Surface defects

It is widely accepted that the surface defects of nanocatalysts serving as an active site play a decisive role in the adsorption and reactivity of catalytic reactions. The formation of abundant and specific defect sites on the surface of supported nanocatalysts is a promising approach for tailoring the surface morphology and electronic structure to enhance catalytic activity.

Abundant surface vacancy clusters serve as active sites. The silica support with surface defects has been reported by Azsis et al.\textsuperscript{90} The high activity of Ti/SBA-15 is due to the presence of both intra- and interparticle porosity which led to a high concentration of basic sites and oxygen vacancy sites. The presence of defect sites and oxygen vacancies in MSN (mesostructured silica nanoparticles) is responsible for the formation of surface carbon species, while Ti sites dissociated hydrogen form atomic hydrogen. The surface carbon species then interacted with an atomic hydrogen to form methane.
The nature of the support plays a crucial role in the high activity of CO$_2$. Single metal oxides and mesoporous materials possess different characteristic and show good potential to be used as supports for Ti catalyst.

### 2.9.4. Reaction mechanism

Although the CO$_2$ reaction is a simple reaction, its mechanism appeared to be difficult to establish. Presently, there are still arguments on the nature of the intermediate compound involved in the process and on the methane formation scheme.

Firstly, CO$_2$ and H$_2$ are absorbed onto metal sites, followed by dissociation to form CO, O and H atoms, and migration onto the MSN surface.$^{91}$ The CO then interacted with oxide surfaces of the MSN to form bridged carbonyl and linear carbonyl. Bidentate formate was also formed through the interaction with atomic hydrogen. Meanwhile, the O atom split over onto the surface of the MSN and was stabilized in the oxygen vacancy site near the metal site. The adsorbed oxygen then reacted with atomic hydrogen to form hydroxyl on the MSN surface in which a further reaction with another atomic hydrogen formed a water molecule.

Finally, the adsorbed carbon species was further hydrogenated to methane and another water molecule.$^{92}$ The proposed mechanism process is illustrated in Figure 19. The oxygen vacancies can serve as reactive surface sites because the CO$_2$ species are generated as result of electron gain by CO$_2$. The regeneration of oxygen vacancies in the photocatalysts is therefore still a problem that needs to be solved.$^{93}$

---

**Figure 19.** Dissociation of CO$_2$ supplies an oxygen atom.$^{94}$
2.9.5. Conditions of CO₂ reaction

The two typical reaction modes that have been utilized for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O are solid-liquid and solid-vapor. In the solid-liquid mode, the photocatalyst is immersed in an aqueous solution where CO₂ and the solid-liquid phase interacts occurring the photoreaction. But, there are several problems related to the interaction between CO₂ and H₂O in the liquid phase such as the low solubility of CO₂ in H₂O. In the solid-gas interaction, the catalyst is surrounded by CO₂ and H₂O vapor.⁹⁵

The main differences between the two modes are when the photogenerated electrons are created, this e⁻ are used for the reduction of H₂O to H₂ in solid-liquid phase. While in the solid-gas mode, the same electrons allow the exposure of the catalyst surface to CO₂ and reduce the formation of H₂. The conclusion is that the solid-gas phase is preferably in the reduction of CO₂. The reaction modes can be illustrated in Figure 20.

![Figure 20. Reactor for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O. a) solid-gas mode, b) solid-liquid mode.][9]

Xie et al. tested the implementation of a reaction mode based on solid-vapor. They found that the use of this type of reaction mode increased the selectivity of photogenerated electrons in the reduction of CO₂ to CO in comparison with the solid-liquid system.⁹⁶ The primary factor was the reduction of H₂O to H₂ first than carbon fuels. This research confirms that the water acts as an electron donor in the system and also the solid-vapor system is better in comparison with the traditional method.
2.10. Summary

The aim of this chapter is to know the photocatalytic reduction of carbon dioxide (CO$_2$) as a generate valuable chemical method by artificial catalysts to obtain solar fuels such as methane, due to the increasing CO$_2$ emissions and the shortage of the fossil resources. An efficient CO$_2$ photoreduction catalysis involves the combination of catalysts, semiconductor such as TiO$_2$, and perovskites. TiO$_2$ is the golden material due to its special characteristics such as a large surface area and strong adsorption ability.

To prevent the recombination of e- and h+ pairs mesoporous network structures such Ti incorporated SBA and KIT series was reported to enhance the adsorption of the reactive species and utilization of the incident light and thereby increase the photocatalytic activity. The photoreduction of CO$_2$ over TiO$_2$ via the confined space effect of the ordered mesoporous structure not only shows a higher production efficiency of CH$_3$OH than the commercial TiO$_2$ but also exhibits better stability for CO$_2$ photoreduction.

SBA-15 and KIT-6 have been chosen as support due to their main characteristics such as surface areas and large in pore sizes. The beneficial study of the synthesis of the silica supports helps to know the optimal conditions (temperature, time of calcination, steps) to have a good surface area and large pore sizes. This study and analysis were described in the section 2.6.3.

The perovskite metal oxides have aroused as promising photocatalyst materials to operate CO$_2$ transformation reactions because of their stable crystal structure, and high catalytic activity. The ferrite perovskite material has its native bandgap in the visible region (3.2 eV), so it is necessary to expand its position to the corresponding reduction potential to get the desired products (CH$_3$OH). One of the methods that I studied in this work to expand the bandgap of the semiconductor is to confined in the mesoporous material. Herein, I demonstrated the facile synthesis of the silica support, ferrite perovskites, and characterization by various techniques (e.g. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption, XRD, and Uv-Vis). And finally, the study of the reaction mechanism and pathways of CO$_2$ reduction, as well as their dependent factors are also analyzed and discussed. This is expected to increase the efficiency of the reduction through controlling the selectivity towards the CH$_3$OH product.
The work done in Eslava group has been: (i) synthesis of SBA-15 and KIT-6 through the hydrothermal method and analyzed by N₂ adsorption-desorption, XRD, and UV-Vis measurement, (ii) Semiconductors (TiO₂, LaFeO₃, BiFeO₃, AlFeO₃, and YFeO₃) synthesized by sol-gel route and analyzed with the same methods as silica supports, and (iii) Synthesis and study of confined photocatalysts in the mesoporous silica support at different loadings.
3. EXPERIMENTAL METHODOLOGY

3.1. Materials

The material utilized in this project are:

- Pluronic 123 ([poly (ethylene oxide)-block- poly (propylene oxide)-block- poly (ethylene oxide)], (EO$_{20}$-PO$_{70}$-EO$_{20}$, Aldrich)
- Tetraethyl orthosilicate (99.99% trace metal basis, sigma Aldrich)
- 1-butanol (99.4%, Sigma Aldrich)
- Titanium (IV) isopropoxide (97%, sigma Aldrich)
- 2-Propanol (anhydrous 99.5%, sigma Aldrich).
- Lanthanum (III) nitrate hexahydrate (La (NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O), sigma Aldrich
- Iron (III) nitrate nonahydrate (Fe (NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O), sigma Aldrich

3.2. Photocatalytic supports

3.2.1. SBA-15

SBA-15 was synthesized by the hydrothermal method proposed by Zhao et al.$^{97}$ Pluronic 123 surfactant was dissolved (4 g) in an HCl 2M solution (8.75 g) and distilled water (120 g) and vigorously stirred for 4 h at 35°C. Then, 8.6 g of TEOS (Tetraethylorthosilicate) was added as the silica source, and the solution was kept under stirring for 24 h at 35°C. After that, the material obtained was transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave and heated at 90°C for 24 h. The product was recovered by filtration, dried at 80°C. To remove P123 and liberate the silica pores, heat treatment was performed in air in an oven at 550°C for 6h with a rate of 2°C/min for surfactant template removal.

3.2.2. KIT-6

KIT-6 was synthesized following the process proposed by Kleitz et al.$^{98}$ 6g of Pluronic 123 was dissolved in 11.9g of HCl 0.75 M solution and 217 g of distilled water. To this, 6 g of 1-butanol was added under vigorous stirring at 35°C. After 1 h stirring, 9 g of TEOS was added dropwise, and after another hour 3.9 g of TEOS was poured. The mixture was left under stirring at 35°C for 24 h and subsequently heated for 24 h in a Teflon-lined autoclave at 100°C.
The product obtained after the hydrothermal treatment immediately was filtered without washing and dried at 100°C overnight. Finally, the template was removed by extraction with an ethanol-HCl mixture, and it was calcined at 550°C for 9 h for surfactant template removal.

### 3.3 Semiconductors

#### 3.3.1. TiO$_2$

The semiconductor TiO$_2$ was synthesized following the procedure by Yang et al.$^{35}$ Titanium IV isopropoxide (TTIP) was added dropwise in 2-Propanol (volume ratio TTIP: 2-propanol of 1:8). Water was slowly added to the resulting solution to cause the hydrolysis of the TTIP (TTIP: water volumetric ratio of 1:10). The stirring was maintained for 2 h to hydrolyze TTIP completely. The material was recovered by centrifugation with a mixture of ethanol and deionized water. In the end, the solution was dried at 80°C overnight and calcined at 700°C for 2 h.

#### 3.3.2. LaFeO$_3$

LaFeO$_3$ was synthesized as follows.$^{70}$ La (NO$_3$)$_3$·6H$_2$O and Fe (NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O were dissolved in equimolar amounts (0.005 mol) with citric acid (0.010 mol) in a 25 ml H$_2$O and ethanol mixture (H$_2$O: ethanol 1:2) to yield a homogeneous solution. The solution was stirred for 10 min, sonicated for another 10 min at room temperature, and finally heated in a bath at 70°C until evaporation. The resulting gel from the evaporation was dried at 90°C for 24 h in an oven and calcined at 600°C (with a heating rate of 2 °C/min) for 5h.

#### 3.3.3. BiFeO$_3$

The BiFeO$_3$ powder was synthesized by a simple sol-gel route.$^{75}$ 0.005 mol of Bi (NO$_3$)$_3$·5H$_2$O and Fe (NO$_3$)$_3$·9H$_2$O were dissolved in stoichiometric proportions (1:1 molar ratio) in 50 ml of ethylene glycol (0.4 M) as the precursor solution. The mixture was stirred for 90 min at 80°C to obtain a viscous gel (sol). Next, it was kept at 120°C for 3 days to form a xerogel powder. Then, the powder was first calcined at 300°C for 4 h as a pre-treatment and finally at 600°C for 2 h.
3.3.4. AlFeO₃
AlFeO₃ was synthesized as follows.⁹⁹ 0.005 mol of Al(NO₃)₃·9H₂O and Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O were dissolved in stoichiometric proportions (1:1 molar ratio) in 20 ml of distilled water. 10 ml NH₄OH (25% concentration) was added dropwise to the mixture until the appearance of a dark red solution, showing the formation of iron hydroxide. The solution was kept under stirring for one hour, and a small amount of acetone was added to allow the reaction to precipitate. Next, the liquid was maintained at 70°C in a bath until evaporation. The gel was dried at 80°C overnight and calcined at 700°C for 1 h.

3.3.5. YFeO₃
YFeO₃ was synthesized under hydrothermal conditions as follows.¹⁰⁰ 0.005 mol of Y(NO₃)₃·6H₂O and Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O were dissolved in stoichiometric proportions (1:1 molar ratio) in 30 ml distilled water under stirring. Next, 30 g of solid NaOH as a mineralizing agent was added to the solution. Next, the mixture was transferred to a Teflon-lined autoclave where the crystallization was performed at 240°C for 72 h. The dark product was filtered several times with distilled water to obtain crystals and dried at 80°C overnight in air.

3.4. Synthesis of SiO₂-Semiconductors

3.4.1. SiO₂-TiO₂
The TiO₂/SiO₂ materials were prepared by hydrolysis of titanium IV isopropoxide into the silica support (KIT-6 or SBA-15) via sol-gel method following the procedure by Yang et al.³⁵ The silica material was sonicated in isopropanol. Then, the required amount of TTIP was added dropwise to the solution under stirring for 45 min. Water was then slowly added (TTIP: water with volumetric ratio 1:10) to cause the hydrolysis of TTIP, and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. The material was recovered by centrifugation with deionized water and ethanol, dried at 80°C and calcined at 700 °C for 2h.

3.4.2. MFeO₃-SiO₂ (where M= La, Bi, Al, and Y)
MFeO₃/SiO₂ materials were synthesized following the process proposed by Liu et al.⁷³ We take the preparation of LaFeO₃/SiO₂ as an example. 0.01 mol of La (NO₃)₃·6H₂O and 0.01 mol of Fe(NO₃)₃·9H₂O were dissolved in 4.5 ml of deionized water, Then, drops of HNO₃ (6M,
previously prepared) were poured until the solution changed color to avoid hydrolysis. Afterward, citric acid was added (citric acid/Fe = 1.5 molar ratio).

Then, 0.25 g of the synthesized silica support was added to the mixture. The solution was kept at 60 °C over a water bath under stirring until a viscous gel was formed. The gel was aged at room temperature for three days and dried at 80 °C overnight, and finally, it was calcined at 550 °C for 6 h. Similar procedure was realized with the preparation of BiFeO$_3$/SiO$_2$, YFeO$_3$/SiO$_2$, and AlFeO$_3$/SiO$_2$.

The theoretical weight of silica and M (M= La, Bi, Al, and Y) supported catalyst was controlled to be 5%, 10%, and 20% respectively. For comparison, pure semiconductor without the addition of SiO$_2$ powders was prepared using the methods above, which can be defined as weight= 0%.$^{101}$

3.5. Characterization

3.5.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

The supports, catalysts, and perovskites were characterized by XRD using a Brucker D8-Advance and Cu Kα radiation with a wavelength of 1.5418 Å, with a continuous scan of 0.6° min$^{-1}$ without rotation in the ranges 1.0° < 2θ < 5° and 30° < 2θ < 80° with a constant scan rate.

The crystallite sizes were calculated from the Scherrer equation (Equation 1).$^{102}$

\[
D = \frac{0.9 \lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]  

(1)

Where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength of the X-rays, \( \theta \) is the diffraction angle, and \( \beta \) is the corrected full width at half-maximum (FWHM) calculated by OriginPro.

3.5.2. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption

The textural properties (specific surface area, pore volume, and pore diameter distribution) of the catalysts were determined from nitrogen isotherms measured using a Micromeritics 3Flex. The specific surface area was calculated by the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) equation, while
the pore diameter distribution and pore volume were determined using Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method. Before the physisorption of N\textsubscript{2}, the samples were degassed at 350°C for 2h.

Wall thickness (\(\delta\)) was calculated (Equation 2) from the difference between the lattice parameter (hexagonal array) and pore diameter.\textsuperscript{103}

\[
\delta = a_o - D_p \tag{2}
\]

\[
a_o = \frac{2d_{100}}{\sqrt{3}} \tag{3}
\]

Where \(a_o\) is the cell parameter (hexagonal array) (Å), \(d_{100}\) is the inter-planar distance (from Scherrer’s equation), \(d = \lambda/2 \sin (\theta)\), \(\lambda\) is the X-rays wavelength (Å), \(\theta\) is the diffraction angle, \(\delta\) is the wall thickness (Å) and \(D_p\) is the pore diameter (from BJH calculations, N\textsubscript{2} physisorption isotherm) (Å).

3.5.3. UV-visible spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS)

The catalysts were characterized by UV-Vis spectroscopy in a Perkin Elmer Lambda 40 equipped with an integrating sphere. The reflectance data was processed using the Kubelka-Munk function (F (\(R_\infty\))), Equation 4, in which \(R_\infty\) is the reflectance at infinite depth.

\[
F(R_\infty) = \frac{(1-R_\infty)}{2R_\infty} \tag{4}
\]

The band edge position of the semiconductor at the point zero charge can be calculated using the following empirical equations: \textsuperscript{102}

\[
E_{VB} = X - E^e + 0.5E_g \tag{5}
\]

\[
E_{CB} = E_{VB} - E_g \tag{6}
\]
Where $E_{VB}$ represents the valence band (VB) edge potential, $X$ is the electronegativity of the semiconductor, $E^e$ is the energy of free electrons on the hydrogen scale (~4.5 eV), $E_{CB}$ is the conduction band (CB), and $E_g$ is the band gap of the semiconductor.

A method to estimate the electronegativity was developed by Robert Mulliken with the use of the values of the first ionization energy (IE) and the electron affinity (EA) to calculate the electronegativity of the semiconductors. The values are: LaFeO$_3$- 5.54 eV, BiFeO$_3$- 5.89 eV, YFeO$_3$- 5.63 eV, AlFeO$_3$- 5.61 eV and TiO$_2$-5.81 eV. The purpose of this is to find the band edges and the possible products according to their redox potentials.
4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

4.1. Photocatalytic support

The results of the characterization techniques performed on the SBA-15 and KIT-6 supports are presented in the following sections.

4.1.1. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

Small angle X-ray diffraction (SAXS) patterns were measured on SBA-15 and KIT-6. SBA-15 presented an intense sharp peak which can be indexed as d_{100} spacing and two well-resolved peaks indexed as d_{110} and d_{200} spacings (Figure 21-A). The d_{100} reflection is distinctive of the SBA-15 mesoporous material structure; the d_{110} indicates the 2D pore system, and the d_{200} indicates the periodicity of the pore system. The presence of the three distinct Bragg diffractions confirms a 2D hexagonal lattice with p6mm symmetry arrangement of mesopores and high crystallinity according to JCPDS card no. 744-1394.\(^{105-107}\)

The KIT-6 pattern showed a sharp and intense peak at 2\(\theta\)=1.06° corresponding to d_{211} reflexion and two weak peaks indexed as d_{220} and d_{420} (Figure 21-B). These peaks indicate that the material is well ordered and belongs to continuous cubic space group la3d, according to JCPDS card no. 744-1394.\(^{37}\)

![Figure 21. Small-angle XRD patterns of (A) SBA-15 and (B) KIT-6.](image-url)
The wide-angle XRD patterns of the silica supports are shown in Figure 41, Appendix 7.1. The patterns revealed no defined diffraction, indicating the amorphous nature of the SiO$_2$ walls. There is only one broad peak at a 20 value of 20-30° characteristic of silicas.$^{108}$

### 4.1.2. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption

In Figure 22, the supports SBA-15 and KIT-6 showed a shape of isotherm that is classifiable as type IV and hysteresis H1 loop according to IUPAC. This type of isotherm is characteristic of the mesoporous materials with pores with a constant cross-section (cylindrical).$^{109}$ The isotherm presented an inflection at P/P$_0$= 0.6-0.85 presenting a near-equilibrium desorption that corresponds to the existence of mesopores with a narrow pore size distribution. Hysteresis loop H1 occurs when the adsorption and desorption branches are vertical with a parallel design, distinctive for uniform cylindrical pores.$^{110}$

The three-dimensional KIT-6 pore system presented narrower hysteresis loop in comparison to the 2D SBA-15. The interconnected 3D network of channel pores (KIT-6) is smaller than the independent mesopore system with cylindrical channels in SBA-15.$^{111}$

![Figure 22. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption isotherms of support SBA-15 and KIT-6.](image-url)
Pore size distribution of KIT-6 and SBA-15 (Fig 23) confirmed that the adsorption and desorption have a well-defined pore size diameter with a pore size centered at 8 nm measured during desorption, at meniscus equilibrium conditions.\textsuperscript{112,113}

Both materials are known to have a secondary pore system in their walls (intrawall pores), with sizes in the micropore range.\textsuperscript{105} The presence of intrawall pores explains the large surface areas measured, with values of 933 and 833 m\textsuperscript{2}/g for SBA-15 and KIT-6, respectively.\textsuperscript{114} The low amount pores detected in KIT-6 (~4 nm) must result from cavitation effects, that is, from the sudden nucleation of nitrogen in ink-bottle pores with narrow necks in the material. Their amount is negligible compared to the abundance of the 8 nm pores.\textsuperscript{115,116}

There is a strong relationship between the adsorption and desorption pore size distribution. The adsorption pore size distribution corresponds to a progressive filling of mesopores, whereas desorption generally leads to a sudden emptying of the same pores. As a consequence, the desorption branch gives a narrower pore size distribution than the adsorption branch. This is the reason that the desorption branch is the parameter reported in table 2 as true pore size. But others authors claim that the adsorption branch should be used instead, as it gives the complete pore size distribution and not the size of the necks from which the condensed gas could quickly be evacuated upon desorption.\textsuperscript{117}
Figure 23. Pore size distribution of SBA-15 and KIT-6 (A) adsorption (B) desorption

Textural properties obtained from the 3Flex™ are presented in Table 2 in which SBA-15 and KIT-6 have shown a large specific surface area and pore volume. The main differences between these materials are their pore sizes and the structure connectivity for SBA-15 (2D) and KIT-6 (3D) pore structure. The large pore wall thickness ($\delta$) was calculated with the information of $d_{100}$ spacing and pore diameter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Supports</th>
<th>$S_{BET}$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>$D_p$ (nm)</th>
<th>$V_t$ (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>$a_o$</th>
<th>$\delta$ (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBA-15</td>
<td>933</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KIT-6</td>
<td>833</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>18.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where: $S_{BET}$: BET surface area, $D_p$= pore diameter, $V_t$= total pore volume, $a_o$= unit cell parameter estimated from the XRD. Equations 2 and 3 (see Experimental section).
To summarise, SBA-15 and KIT-6 were successfully synthesized with pore diameters around 8 nm, high specific surface area, and cylindrical pores. These characteristics make the supports good candidates to be a host of metal oxides species, and their pore diameter is suitable to allow the CO$_2$ molecule to be adsorbed with no problem (CO$_2$ size=3.4 Å).\textsuperscript{121}

4.2. Semiconductors

4.2.1. N$_2$ adsorption-desorption

The information about the specific surface area ($S_{\text{BET}}$) and pore volumes of all the materials prepared are listed in Table 3. SBA-15 and KIT-6 decreased their specific surface areas significantly with a load of photocatalysts (up to 50-75%), indicating that there was deposition of the photocatalysts on the silica supports.

Comparison between the results obtained for SBA-15 and KIT-6 indicate that they behave differently as supports for TiO$_2$. KIT-6 incorporates much less TiO$_2$ than SBA-15. The differences could be attributed to the differences in mesopore structure shape, KIT-6 comprising a three-dimensional pore structure, which can affect how Ti precursors diffuse and react with the silica surfaces.\textsuperscript{122}

The deposition of LaFeO$_3$, BiFeO$_3$, AlFeO$_3$, and YFeO$_3$ on both KIT-6 and SBA-15 seems quite consistent for both silica supports since the specific surface area decreased similarly for both cases. The results of surface area and pore volume verified that the perovskites were deposited on the silica supports. Whether this deposition was inside the pore channels or on the external surface of the silica particles requires analysis of the pore size distribution curves.\textsuperscript{123}
Table 3. Textural properties of semiconductors with SBA-15 as support

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>SBA-15</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>KIT-6</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S_{BET}</td>
<td>D_p (nm)</td>
<td>V_t (cm(^3)/g)</td>
<td>S_{BET}</td>
<td>D_p (nm)</td>
<td>V_t (cm(^3)/g)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) (20%)</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>483</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) (10%)</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>641</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO(_2) (5%)</td>
<td>727</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaFeO(_3) (20%)</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaFeO(_3) (10%)</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LaFeO(_3) (5%)</td>
<td>507</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiFeO(_3) (20%)</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>187</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiFeO(_3) (10%)</td>
<td>274</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>320</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BiFeO(_3) (5%)</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFeO(_3) (20%)</td>
<td>305</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.31</td>
<td>365</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFeO(_3) (10%)</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>464</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>YFeO(_3) (5%)</td>
<td>521</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>550</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlFeO(_3) (20%)</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlFeO(_3) (10%)</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlFeO(_3) (5%)</td>
<td>577</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>0.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Where: S_{BET}= BET surface area, D_p= Pore diameter, V_t= total pore volume.

Figures 24-32 present the N\(_2\) adsorption-desorption isotherms and pore size distributions of all the materials prepared. The effect in adsorption-desorption isotherms involves a combination of physical mechanics which is reproduced in different types of hysteresis loops giving information about the pore size and shape.

TiO\(_2\)/SBA-15 samples (Fig 24-A) show sharp capillary condensation around P/P_0=0.6-0.9 for all samples containing TiO\(_2\), but the maximum amount adsorbed decreases with increasing loading of the precursors. The isotherm shape conservation means that the incorporation of TiO\(_2\) into SBA-15 did not destroy the structure of the support. This effect can be attributed to the incorporation of TiO\(_2\) in SBA-15 post-synthesis where the titanium species could have reacted with the surface of the walls (silanol groups). As a result, the surface area and pore volume decreased, but the characteristic pore arrangement of SBA-15 was kept.
TiO$_2$/KIT-6 isotherms in Figure 24-B show the same behavior as in SBA-15. There is a sharp capillary condensation around P/Po=0.6-0.8, and the isotherm shape is kept with the loading of TiO$_2$. The amount of nitrogen adsorbed decreases with the amount of TiO$_2$ indicating its loading on the SBA-15. There is also a small kink in the desorption at P/Po= 0.45-0.5, characteristic of nitrogen cavitation. This effect occurs in ink-bottle type pores where the evaporation during the desorption is limited by a hemispherical meniscus in the pore neck, and the pore body remains full until there is a sudden bubble nucleation of the nitrogen, typically occurring at P/Po=0.40.$^{124}$

![Graph A](image1.png)

![Graph B](image2.png)

**Figure 24.** Nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherm for TiO$_2$ series catalysts: (A) SBA-15 and (B) KIT-6

The pore size distributions (PSD) of TiO$_2$/SBA-15 samples were calculated on adsorption-desorption isotherms using BJH model (Fig. 25). In complex porosities, the adsorption PSD gives information of the pore body and the desorption PSD of the pore neck. The incorporation
of TiO₂ into the support mainly affected the adsorption PSD, indicating a slight shrinkage of the pore body size of 2-3 nm.

However, the desorption showed that most samples have the same pore neck size, except the 5% TiO₂/SBA-15 which shrunk 1 nm. These results show that there was an incorporation of TiO₂ in the pore network, specifically for the 5% loading which also affects the pore neck size. But for larger loadings, the TiO₂ precursors probably agglomerate and precipitate on the surface of the SBA-15 particles as we can deduce in figure 25-B, where the pore diameter persists with no big changes in comparison with SBA-15.¹²⁵,¹²⁶ But at higher amounts of TTIP, the second step of the N₂ isotherm remains with no change. This means that the deposition of the extra titania can be located outside the pores or inside closing the pore completely, confirming the results in the pore size distribution.¹²⁷

![Figure 25. Pore size distribution of TiO₂ / SBA-15 catalysts: (A) adsorption and (B) desorption.](image)
The PSDs of KIT-6 loaded with TiO$_2$ are shown in Fig 26. The maximum of the PSD curve of KIT-6 calculations using the adsorption branch is shifted to a bimodal distribution. The presence of cavitation indicated the presence of narrow necks (smaller than 4 nm), this may offer gas diffusion limitations for the photocatalytic reactions. The results indicated that the best loading was 5% since this influenced both adsorption and desorption PSD. This is indicative of a better uniformity and dispersion of the load of the precursors leading to a decrease in the pore volume of the main peak (about 8 nm).\textsuperscript{128}

Pore diameter from desorption is larger than the pore diameter from adsorption indicating that higher loading can induce agglomeration of species and deposition on the surface of the KIT-6 particles.\textsuperscript{129} The 3D pore structure was able to accommodate dispersed TiO$_2$ and facilitate a faster diffusion of the products. Moreover, the PSDs show a bimodal distribution with pore sizes in the range of 2-4 and >5 nm, respectively. It can be speculated to be defects in the ordered structure of the material depending on the degree of incorporation of the precursor. The wider PSDs at the range of 2-8 nm, can be further separated into two kinds of pores: one is about 4 nm and the other at 7 nm. These regions are affected by the adsorption of the TiO$_2$ particles, which caused a decrease of the pore size diameter.\textsuperscript{130}

Early reports indicated that KIT-6 is composed by two sets of interpenetrating mesopores, in which each set of mesopores is independent. Recent studies have contradicted this view, suggesting that these sets are interconnected by micropores. If TiO$_2$ is within the pores of KIT-6 can do so across both sets of pores. The result of this is the appearance of a bimodal distribution about 4 nm, and 5-8 nm.\textsuperscript{36}

The PSD of KIT-6 features not only the main peak that corresponds to the main mesoporous channel of KIT-6 but also a substantial amount of pores in the range between 2 and 5 nm (intrawall pores). The parts of PSD curves corresponding to intrawall pores show a maximum peak at about 3 nm, this observation indicates that the peak in PSD is real and not an artifact. The pore volume associated with the 3 nm modal peak increases with the increase of content, this means that some precursors are in the intrawall pore.\textsuperscript{131}
The isotherms for LaFeO$_3$ on SBA-15 and KIT-6 are shown in Figure 27. The loading of LaFeO$_3$ is evident since in both cases there is a decrease of the nitrogen adsorbed. It is noted that the hysteresis becomes less upright with increasing loading of LaFeO$_3$, indicating the change in shape of the pore network which must be due to some filling of the pores.\textsuperscript{132}
Figure 27. Nitrogen adsorption-desorption isotherm for LaFeO$_3$ series catalysts: (A) SBA-15 and (B) KIT-6.

The PSD of LaFeO$_3$/SBA-15 series in Fig 28 shows the shrinkage in pore sizes in all the samples with the loading of LaFeO$_3$. Both pore bodies and necks decrease in size. The higher the loading, the larger the shrinkage. LaFeO$_3$ series for both supports especially for 5% loading became bimodal for the larger pore size.

These results assume that there was a partial transformation of the SBA-15 and KIT-6 pore network leading to a bimodal material with two sets of well-defined pore sizes due to the deposition of the species inside the network. This indicates that the smaller mesopores act as bottlenecks and restrict the accessibility to the larger mesopores of the supports.\textsuperscript{133}
The same effect seen in TiO$_2$ was observed for LaFeO$_3$, BiFeO$_3$, AlFeO$_3$ and YFeO$_3$ with both supports (Fig. 29-31 and Appendices) with isotherm changes following the same trends. In some cases with KIT-6, the samples suffered from cavitation, with pronounced artificial peaks around 4 nm in desorption PSDs.

These results are encouraging since it shows the procedure to deposit ferrites on SBA-15 and KIT-6 for all the ferrite samples was successful. While the behavior of TiO$_2$ into SBA-15 is quite different because the results from PSD suggests that the precursors are not completely inside the pores and it can be detected that some precursors can be outside the surface. In KIT-6, the presence of a peak around 3 nm in PSD shows that the incorporation of TiO$_2$ is in the intrawall pores concluding that the most of precursors are in the framework. Although, both
materials showed that there was not a total deposition of precursors in comparison with the ferrite samples as the results indicated in the PSDs.

**Figure 29.** Nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherm for BiFeO$_3$ series catalysts: SBA-15 (left) and KIT-6 (right)

**Figure 30.** Nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherm AlFeO$_3$ catalysts: SBA-15 (left) and KIT-6 (right)

**Figure 31.** Nitrogen adsorption desorption isotherm for YFeO$_3$ series catalysts: SBA-15 (left) and KIT-6 (right)
4.2.2. X-Ray Diffraction (XRD)

Small-angle XRD patterns for the precursors (TiO₂, LaFeO₃, BiFeO₃, AlFeO₃, and YFeO₃) with SBA-15 and KIT-6 showed that the porous structure of the supports was not destroyed after the formation of the precursors. They conserved the characteristic peaks of the mesoporous silica lead to a decrease in peak intensity. But the most notable changes are illustrated in the wide-angle XRD patterns of the samples. Because the wide-angle XRD gives information about the structure of the sample including the crystal phase, particle size, and peak position.

XRD patterns of TiO₂/SBA-15 are shown in Fig 32. The patterns evidenced the existence of titania in anatase structure corresponding to JCPDS No. 21-127. The anatase structure has characteristic peaks at 38°, 47°, 55°, 63° and an intense peak at 27.5°. The latter is ascribed to (101) plane and increase with the content of Ti species incorporated. There is an extra small peak around 23.5° corresponding to the rutile phase due to the high temperature of calcination (700 °C) indicating that there is a mixture of anatase and rutile phases. This is in agreement with the literature that thermal treatment influences the size and phase structure of the anatase phase. When TiO₂/SBA-15 and TiO₂/KIT-6 are calcined at 700°C appear a pattern of amorphous and anatase respectively about 2θ=25° increasing with relation to the Ti amount.

The crystallite sizes were determined from the Scherrer’s equation (equation 1) using the broadening of the (101) anatase peak reflection. The fact that one can observe XRD peaks of anatase with a crystallite size of 8.3 nm, 5.2 nm and, 8.9 nm for 5, 10, and 20% TiO₂ in SBA-15 and 7.4 nm, 9.1 nm and 10.6 nm for 5, 10, and 20% TiO₂ in KIT-6. The intensity of the (101) TiO₂ peaks decreases while the FWHM of (101) peaks increases in relation to the amount of Ti. The absence of titania features for low loading (5%) on SBA-15 and KIT-6 indicates the presence of highly dispersed titania into the structure.

The use of KIT-6 and SBA-15 mesoporous support material appears to control the titania crystal size restricting the growing of the TiO₂ clusters inside the mesoporous channels at 5% loading. However, specific surface decreased gradually with the increase of titanium loading, but there is no specific trend on the average pore diameters. Therefore, it is accomplished that
the mesostructured is maintained upon TiO₂ addition into the pore of SBA-15 and KIT-6 silica materials.

These results are in agreement with what it found in PSD and adsorption-desorption isotherms that. TiO₂/SBA-15 and TiO₂/KIT-6 at 5% are possible to control the TiO₂ crystal size by constraining the growth of the titanium dioxide clusters inside the mesoporous channels. In contrast, the use of an amorphous support with 10% and 20% Ti load does not induce this effect, leading to bigger TiO₂ crystals as the titanium loading increases.¹³８ This indicates that titania is well dispersed inside mesoporous materials and causes partial blockage of its pore. The absence of reflection of crystalline TiO₂ at low titanium loading is due to the higher dispersion of titanium within the silica matrix, which can prevent the formation of TiO₂ domains sufficiently large to be detected by XRD.

![Figure 32](image)

**Figure 32.** XRD patterns of TiO₂ catalysts with SBA-15 (left) and KIT-6 (right)

Fig. 33 displays the wide-angle XRD patterns of LaFeO₃/SBA-15 and LaFeO₃/KIT-6 series. The pattern shows a broad diffraction around 2θ=29° attributed to amorphous silica. No diffraction is observed for 5% LaFeO₃ loading. When the number of mixed oxides increases, there are peaks that appear at 2θ= 23.2, 32.5, 40.05, 58.3 and 67.9°. These peaks suggest the formation of LaFeO₃ crystallites.

They appear for 10% loading in SBA-15 and 20% loading in KIT-6. Below those percentages, LaFeO₃ seems well dispersed in the silica pore surface area. At 20% LaFeO₃/SBA-15, a new peak appears at 29.8° indicating the appearance of La₂O₃ phase.¹³⁹ These results demonstrate
that LaFeO\textsubscript{3} can disperse well, especially in the KIT-6 pore network, confirming the results from \textsuperscript{14}N\textsubscript{2} adsorption-desorption.

\textbf{Figure 33.} XRD patterns of LaFeO\textsubscript{3} catalysts with SBA-15 (left) and KIT-6 (right)

5-20\% BiFeO\textsubscript{3}/SBA-15 and BiFeO\textsubscript{3}/KIT-6 series are presented in Fig 34. At 5\% and 10\%, the patterns have an amorphous nature determined by the silica (SBA-15 and KIT-6) that means that the material was well dispersed in the structure of the support. In the case of the BiFeO\textsubscript{3}/SBA-15 and BiFeO\textsubscript{3}/KIT-6 at 20\%, both materials present characteristic peaks of BiFeO\textsubscript{3} at $2\theta = 32.5^\circ$, 40.01$^\circ$, 47.5$^\circ$, 58.3$^\circ$ and 67.9$^\circ$ with high intensity. These results agree with those found in \textsuperscript{14}N\textsubscript{2} physisorption, in which isotherms showed a considerate decrease of pore size and volume.

\textbf{Figure 34.} XRD patterns of BiFeO\textsubscript{3} catalysts with SBA-15 and KIT-6
5-20% AlFeO$_3$/SBA-15 (Fig. 35) series showed that samples are all amorphous, with only broad diffraction attributed to the silica. Even the unsupported pure AlFeO$_3$ did not show sharp peaks indicating that the AlFeO$_3$ powder is low crystallinity without other detectable phases, unlike LaFeO$_3$ and BiFeO$_3$ according to literature.\textsuperscript{140}

![Figure 35. XRD patterns of AlFeO$_3$ with SBA-15 and KIT-6.](image)

All samples YFeO$_3$/SBA-15 series and YFeO$_3$/KIT-6 series (Fig. 36) presented no diffraction peaks of perovskite, just a broad diffraction attributed to amorphous silica. These results seem to indicate that this precursor was highly dispersed in the structure, due to the absence of well-shaped peaks in both mesoporous supports.\textsuperscript{141}

![Figure 36. XRD patterns of YFeO$_3$ with SBA-15 and KIT-6.](image)
4.2.3. UV-visible spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS)

In this section, the study of the behavior of the bandgap of each semiconductor incorporated in the mesoporous silica was analyzed through UV-visible absorption. The purpose of the analysis is to verify the quantum size effect which leads to an increase in the bandgap (resulting in the blue shift).\textsuperscript{142} When the bandgap increases, the conduction band edge position, and the valence band edge position shift upward and downward respectively, so the $E_{CB}$ will reach the suitable reduction potential from CO$_2$ to CH$_3$OH.

The visible light response and energy bandgap of the catalysts were investigated by UV-vis spectroscopy with a diffuse reflectance sphere. The Kubelka-Munk function was used to analyze the bandgap of each sample through the Tauc Plot and the conversion of eV from nm. Fig 37 shows the adsorption edges and band gap where the unsupported TiO$_2$ exhibited a bandgap approximately 3.34 eV resulting similar of the theoretical value of 3.20 eV as reported for anatase in the literature.\textsuperscript{143}

The incorporation of TiO$_2$ inside the mesoporous materials gave a behavior effect where at 5% both SBA-15 and KIT-6 increase the bandgap instead of decreasing, (3.43 and 3.42 eV, respectively). These results may be not explained in terms of quantum size effects where the particle size decreases as the bandgap increases. We can attribute the shift of the band edge positions of TiO$_2$/SiO$_2$, as well as the increase in bandgap energy to electronic support interaction mediated though surface Si-O-Ti bonds and not to a quantum size effect.\textsuperscript{144,145}

The quantum size effect did not show any tendency at 10 to 20% Ti amount where the band gap showed no significant change in the bandgap energy of 3.34 eV neither with SBA-15 nor KIT-6 when they are used as supports. This observation ensures that the lower crystallite size of SiO$_2$ as compared to TiO$_2$ has a negligible impact on the bandgap measurements and it is not the cause for the bandgap widening found in the supporting materials. This finding emphasizes the important role of the interface between TiO$_2$ and SiO$_2$.\textsuperscript{146}
The effect seen in the incorporation of TiO$_2$ is different than the perovskites when the LaFeO$_3$ is incorporated into the mesoporous support. Therefore, a load of species from 5% to 20% will lead to a significant change in the bandgap energy confirming a different effect than TiO$_2$ supported catalysts as it can be seen in Figure 38.$^{147}$

The behavior can be explained in LaFeO$_3$ where we can see a change in the bandgap energy of the LaFeO$_3$ supported catalysts in SBA-15 at 5% (2.95 eV), 10% (2.53 eV), and 20% (2.31%). It can be observed a trend when the increase in the loading of LaFeO$_3$ into the SBA-15 support provokes an increase in the particle size as we can see in XRD results, and therefore the bandgap energy reduces. This results can confirm that the quantum size effect not only modifies the bandgap energy but only the VB and CB band edge position shift upward and downward respectively.

BiFeO$_3$, YFeO$_3$, and AlFeO$_3$ showed the same tendency as LaFeO$_3$, while the amount of a load of species in the material increases the band gap reduced its size explained by the quantum size effect. The Uv-visible spectrum of the semiconductor into silica support is illustrated in Appendix 7.2.
Figure 38. Uv-Vis spectrum of powder LaFeO$_3$ samples

These results suggest that the addition of SBA-15 and KIT-6 can effectively suppress the growth of particles and as a consequence of this the particles have a small size limited by the channels of the support. Although in general these results should be taken with caution since it is difficult to avoid and quantify scattering effects during the data collection in these measurements. The same trend is shown in both supports SBA-15 and KIT-6, where the bandgap decreases when the particle size increases. As we can see in TiO$_2$ and perovskites, the election of different silica support did not show any significant change in the bandgap, and band edges position.

Table 4 shows the values for the valence band and the conduction band, calculated with the equations given (2) and (3) and the values of electronegativity (See Experimental). They were estimated to obtain an idea of the probable products to achieve considering the redox potentials of CO$_2$ and water conversions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Bandgap structure (pH=7 vs NHE)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Eg (eV) CB VB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 TiO₂</td>
<td>3.34 -0.48 2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 TiO₂/SBA-15 (5%)</td>
<td>3.43 -0.53 2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 TiO₂/SBA-15 (10%)</td>
<td>3.35 -0.49 2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 TiO₂/SBA-15 (20%)</td>
<td>3.34 -0.48 2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 TiO₂/KIT-6 (5%)</td>
<td>3.42 -0.52 2.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 TiO₂/KIT-6 (10%)</td>
<td>3.34 -0.48 2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 TiO₂/KIT-6 (20%)</td>
<td>3.35 -0.49 2.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 LaFeO₃</td>
<td>2.12 -0.02 2.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 LaFeO₃/SBA-15 (5%)</td>
<td>2.95 -0.44 2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 LaFeO₃/SBA-15 (10%)</td>
<td>2.53 -0.23 2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 LaFeO₃/SBA-15 (20%)</td>
<td>2.31 -0.12 2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 LaFeO₃/KIT-6 (5%)</td>
<td>2.81 -0.37 2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 LaFeO₃/KIT-6 (10%)</td>
<td>2.51 -0.22 2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 LaFeO₃/KIT-6 (20%)</td>
<td>2.20 -0.06 2.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 AlFeO₃</td>
<td>2.10 0.34 2.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 BiFeO₃</td>
<td>2.54 0.12 2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17 BiFeO₃/SBA-15 (10%)</td>
<td>2.50 0.14 2.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18 BiFeO₃/SBA-15 (20%)</td>
<td>2.46 0.16 2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19 BiFeO₃/KIT-6 (5%)</td>
<td>2.44 0.17 2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 BiFeO₃/KIT-6 (10%)</td>
<td>2.28 0.25 2.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21 BiFeO₃/KIT-6 (20%)</td>
<td>2.16 0.31 2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 AlFeO₃</td>
<td>1.71 0.26 1.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23 AlFeO₃/SBA-15 (5%)</td>
<td>2.81 -0.30 2.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 AlFeO₃/SBA-15 (10%)</td>
<td>2.69 -0.24 2.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25 AlFeO₃/SBA-15 (20%)</td>
<td>2.48 -0.13 2.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 AlFeO₃/KIT-6 (5%)</td>
<td>2.62 -0.20 2.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 AlFeO₃/KIT-6 (10%)</td>
<td>2.56 -0.17 2.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28 AlFeO₃/KIT-6 (20%)</td>
<td>2.22 0.00 2.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 YFeO₃</td>
<td>2.25 -0.01 2.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30 YFeO₃/SBA-15 (5%)</td>
<td>2.99 -0.38 2.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 YFeO₃/SBA-15 (10%)</td>
<td>2.94 -0.35 2.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32 YFeO₃/SBA-15 (20%)</td>
<td>2.57 -0.17 2.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33 YFeO₃/KIT-6 (5%)</td>
<td>2.73 -0.25 2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34 YFeO₃/KIT-6 (10%)</td>
<td>2.70 -0.23 2.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35 YFeO₃/KIT-6 (20%)</td>
<td>2.64 -0.20 2.44</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 39 shows the bandgap (Eg) and the position of the edges according to the information given in Table 4. For four samples the incorporation of the species at low levels 5% increased the bandgap substantially to the point that the CB is positioned above the CO₂ to methanol reduction potential. However, this will also decrease the amount of solar light they can absorb.

BiFeO₃ perovskites failed to get the appropriate band edges in both SBA-15 and KIT-6 at different amounts. This is due to the positive redox potential in E_CB whose values are below the CO₂ reduction potential to thermodynamically conversion to CH₃OH (E_CB = 0.12) as we can see in Table 4. This can be explained because the reduction of CO₂ to hydrocarbons requires that the bottom energy level of E_CB needs to be more negative with respect to reduction potential for CO₂. The yields of methanol are well correlated with the CB edge position of the semiconductors, indicating the necessary requirement of a negative CB edge relative to the CO₂/CH₃OH reduction potential. Moreover, we can observe that these materials are not suitable for water splitting (E_CB= 0.00) as well.¹⁴⁹

However, LaFeO₃ at 10% (SBA-15 and KIT-6), and YFeO₃ at 5% and 10% (SBA-15) have the suitable CB and VB to provide sufficient negative and positive redox potentials for water oxidation and CO₂ reduction. Their bandgaps are below 3 eV, appropriate to absorb the visible light in comparison with TiO₂. Although TiO₂ materials in both silica support reached the ECB position for the CO₂/CH₃OH conversion, their large bandgap energy (>3.2 eV) will not coincide with the visible spectrum. Their large bandgap will absorb in the UV region that corresponds to only 4% to the incident solar energy, which limits the spectrum of photons that can create electron-hole pairs to participate in oxidation or reduction reactions.¹⁵⁰

With this information, we can suggest that LaFeO₃/SBA-15 (5%), LaFeO₃/KIT-6 (5%) and YFeO₃/SBA-15 (5%), YFeO₃/SBA-15 (10%) have the appropriate band edges and bandgap to absorb in the visible light range.
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Figure 39. Conduction band (CB) and valence band (VB) of semiconductors. X-axis number refer to Table 4.

5. CONCLUSIONS

The main conclusions of this MPhil are:

The preparation of SBA-15 and KIT-6 were successfully synthesized using the hydrothermal method and calcination at 550 °C. They showed high specific surface area (933 and 833 m²/g respectively), pore size about 7 nm and the low angle XRD patterns presented the characteristic peaks confirming that the materials are of suitable structure and ordered mesoporosity. These characteristics make the supports as good candidates to be a host of metal oxides not only to accommodate CO₂ but also the perovskites.

Synthesis of the perovskites LaFeO₃, BiFeO₃, and YFeO₃ have been successfully produced by the sol-gel method and confirmed by XRD. Additionally, the preparation of the perovskites in the presence of the mesoporous material was carried out following a sol-gel method at 75°C and calcination at 550°C. The formation of a ferrite-silica composite was confirmed by the appearance of characteristic peaks in XRD for high loadings such as 20%, with the exception of the AlFeO₃ sample.

Furthermore, TiO₂ loading on SBA-15 and KIT-6 was also attempted and the presence of TiO₂ anatase and rutile confirmed by XRD. At low loadings, the TiO₂ and ferrite perovskites were well dispersed into SiO₂ supports. The increment of loading of the precursors (10% and 20%)
cause the rise of mean peaks of each ferrite perovskites. This effect causes the partial destruction of the structure of the support inducing the increase of particle size that provokes the decreasing of the bandgap energy, and therefore the contraction of $E_{CB}$ for CO$_2$/CH$_3$OH conversion.

In N$_2$ adsorption-desorption technique, the catalysts with perovskites showed the loss of specific surface area around 73% due to the increase in the percentage of mixed ferrite up to 20%. The PSDs confirmed the dispersion of ferrite species into the SBA-15 and KIT-6, with narrower pore bodies and necks. There was a clear correlation between ferrite perovskite loading and shrinkage of pore sizes. However, crystallites outside the pore network were present, as confirmed by XRD. KIT-6 loaded with ferrites showed nitrogen cavitation effects, which could lead to poorer diffusion of CO$_2$ and the resulting products.

From UV-vis spectroscopy, it is concluded that LaFeO$_3$/SBA-15 and LaFeO$_3$/SBA-15 at 5% and YFeO$_3$/SBA-15 at 5% and 10% have the suitable CB and VB edges to reduce CO$_2$ with water to methanol and the narrow bandgap to absorb the visible light. BiFeO$_3$/SBA-15 and BiFeO$_3$/KIT-6 showed, however, narrower bandgap convenient to absorb in the visible range but a CB not suitable for CO$_2$/CH$_3$OH.

Concluding, 5% loading was found to be the best loading to achieve a good dispersion of photocatalysts inside SBA-15 or KIT-6. Both supports behave similarly, although the KIT-6 pore network was easier to get blocked due to the presence of narrower necks. Future experiments should be directed towards studying loading around 5% and in testing these composites in the photocatalytic CO$_2$ reduction. To this end, a photocatalytic reactor with a quartz window for the simulated sunlight irradiation and control over humidity and mass flow of CO$_2$ will be needed.
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7. APPENDIX

7.1. Pore size distribution

Figure 40. Pore size distribution of BiFeO$_3$/SBA-15 catalysts: adsorption (left) and desorption (right)

Figure 41. Pore size distribution of BiFeO$_3$/KIT-6 catalysts: adsorption (left) and desorption (right)

Figure 42. Pore size distribution of AlFeO$_3$/SBA-15 catalysts: adsorption (left) and desorption (right)
Figure 43. Pore size distribution of AlFeO₃/KIT-6 catalysts: adsorption (left) and desorption (right)

Figure 44. Pore size distribution of YFeO₃/SBA-15 catalysts: adsorption (left) and desorption (right)

Figure 45. Pore size distribution of YFeO₃/KIT-6 catalysts: adsorption (left) and desorption (right)
7.2. Uv-Visible spectra

Figure 46. Uv-Vis spectrum of powder LaFeO$_3$ samples

Figure 47. Uv-Vis spectrum of powder AlFeO$_3$ samples
Figure 48. Uv-Vis spectrum of powder YFeO₃ samples