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Abstract

The entorhinal cortex (EC) is a vital structure in the mammalian brain, implicated in the processes of learning and memory, and a possible site for the generation of seizures in temporal lobe epilepsy. Neurones in the EC are constantly bombarded with inhibitory and excitatory neurotransmitter. This background activity is thought to exert significant control on the excitability and function of neurones in cortical networks, with changes in the levels and proportion of background inhibition ($I_{Bg}$) and excitation ($E_{Bg}$) driving rhythmic oscillations in membrane potential, and even underlying the generation of epileptic seizures.

In this thesis I used a novel approach to quantify levels of background activity in the EC, and relate these levels to cellular excitability. The VmD (membrane voltage distribution) method (Rudolph et al., J Neurophysiol 91, 2884-96) allows the estimation of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$, and their respective standard deviations, from intracellular recordings in principal neurones. I have applied the VmD method to pyramidal neurones in the rat medial EC in an in vitro slice preparation. My experiments have provided validation of the VmD method in the mEC by initially using a range of well-characterised pharmacological tools (e.g. NBQX, 2-AP5) to obtain predictable and repeatable measures of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ and the effects of receptor or uptake blockade on these values.

The VmD method was also used to investigate lamina-specific differences in background activity and sensitivity to epileptogenesis in the mEC. Using the VmD method and the GABA\textsubscript{A} antagonist bicuculline I was able to show that there are appreciable lamina-specific differences between the ratio of inhibition to excitation and sensitivity to GABA\textsubscript{A} blockade.
Additionally, I used the method to characterise two different types of slow wave oscillatory activity (seen in highly active cortical networks) in layer III of the mEC, and to investigate the mode of action of several anticonvulsant drugs.
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CHAPTER 1
GENERAL INTRODUCTION
**Introduction**

Work in this laboratory has been focussed on the functional synaptic organisation of the entorhinal cortex (EC). In particular, in recent years, it has been examining how spontaneous release of excitatory (glutamate) and inhibitory (GABA) transmitters is controlled, and how this spontaneous release helps to determine overall network activity.

The aim of this thesis has been to investigate the link between cortical network activity and cellular excitability in the medial EC of the rat. This has been approached using a recently developed method, based on membrane voltage fluctuations (the “VmD Method”, Rudolph et al., 2004) that enables us to obtain, using simple intracellular recordings, an estimation of global background excitatory and inhibitory conductance in principal neurones, at the same time, in the same cell. As it is based on intracellular recordings, the VmD method also allows measurements of cellular excitability to be made in the recorded cells, thus enabling concurrent observation of how changes in the degree and proportion of background excitation and inhibition affect the ability of principal cells to fire action potentials. This in turn provides information on the relationship between the inhibitory and excitatory systems within the EC.

**The Entorhinal Cortex**

The EC is a structure forming part of the limbic system, located within the rhinal sulcus in the rostral ventromedial surface of each temporal lobe (Fig 1.1). The EC can be roughly divided into two triangular sections, as outlined in Witter et al. (1989). These sections, the medial entorhinal cortex (mEC) and lateral entorhinal cortex (lEC), are distinct in their connections to and from the hippocampal corru ammonis, dentate gyrus and neocortex. The intricate connections between the EC and the hippocampus
have been studied since Cajal first noted them. It has long been proposed that both the EC and hippocampus are associated with memory processes (Zola-Morgan and Squire, 1993; Eichenbaum et al., 1994). The rat EC is often used to model human diseases, as it has functional and anatomical similarities, especially with primates (Insausti et al., 1997).

Figure 1.1: Location of the EC in the human (top) and rat brain (bottom left). Top left illustration is coronal section of human brain, taken through the front of the temporal lobes. the EC is visible in green next to the hippocampus. In the slice of rat brain (bottom right) the CA1 and CA3 areas of the hippocampus, the dentate gyrus (DG) and EC are all visible. Human illustration courtesy of McGill University. Rat illustration adapted from Cajal (1911).

The EC has been implicated in a number of diseases, such as schizophrenia, Alzheimer’s disease and Parkinson’s disease (Kovari et al., 2003; Prasad et al., 2004;
Pennanen et al., 2004). However, the disease most commonly associated with
dysfunction of the EC is temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE), which is thought to be the
cause of seizures in around 40% of adult epilepsy (McNamara, 1992; Schwarcz et al.,
2000; Jones and Woodhall 2005).

Despite considerable focus on the hippocampus as the cause of TLE (Bradford, 1995;
McCormick and Contreras, 2001), there is increasing evidence from surgical studies
that the EC is heavily involved in seizure generation and propagation. There have
been many reports of seizure activity arising independently, or even preferentially, in
the EC (Rutecki et al., 1989; Spencer and Spencer, 1994; Alarcon et al., 1997; Assaf
and Ebersole, 1997; Asaf et al., 2003; Bartolomei et al., 2004, 2005).

Studies performed during operations on patients undergoing temporal lobe resection
to treat intractable TLE highlighted a similarity between spikes evoked in the
hippocampus by EC stimulation, and spontaneous activity in the hippocampus
observed between seizures (Rutecki et al., 1989). These studies also showed that
spontaneous activity recorded within the EC clearly preceded many similar discharges
recorded within the hippocampus. Wennberg et al. (2002) suggested that seizures
arising within the hippocampus generally fail to propagate beyond their site of onset,
and have little or no clinical manifestation. However, seizures with focal onset in the
amygdala or the parahippocampal cortex (see Fig. 1.1) displayed clear clinical
symptoms and showed definite signs of propagation. When parahippocampal cortex-
based seizures (including the EC) were considered alone, the correlation between
these seizures and clinical manifestations/propagation was 100%.

In human epilepsy, as many as 30% of patients are refractive to current drug therapy,
but the reasons for this lack of response are currently unknown (Sander, 1993; Kwan
and Brodie, 2000). Surgical intervention to control drug-resistant TLE involves a
partial temporal lobectomy removing both the hippocampus and the EC. The success
rate of this surgery appears to correlate with the amount of parahippocampus and EC removed during temporal lobe resection (Siegel et al., 1990; Fried, 1993; Sperling et al., 1996). Goldring et al., (1992, 1993) have suggested that the removal of the EC is essential for surgical control of refractory TLE. One of the symptoms of TLE is hippocampal atrophy, as seen in MRI studies with epileptic patients. However, a large number of MRI studies have shown that the EC is significantly reduced in volume in TLE patients. Supporting the above intraoperative studies, atrophy of the EC has been observed in TLE without accompanying loss of hippocampal volume. In epilepsies arising outside of the temporal lobe, or in generalised epilepsies of unknown aetiologies, no entorhinal atrophy is evident (Bernasconi et al., 1999, 2001, 2003; Jutila et al., 2001; Bonilha et al., 2003).

After acute seizure activity had been induced in vitro in rat brain slices, recorded seizure activity (and increased glucose consumption associated with a rise in neuronal activity) appears in the EC before spreading to other parts of the limbic system, again suggesting the EC as a source of seizure activity (Ben-Ari et al., 1981; Collins et al., 1983; Stringer, 1994). Furthermore, in vivo studies in rats with chronically induced epilepsy have demonstrated events such as high frequency events and oscillations in the EC, with a high degree of similarity to events recorded in human TLE patients (e.g. Bragin et al., 2002, 2004). Additionally, electrophysiological studies have suggested that seizures in acutely-evoked TLE are generated by the EC, propagating from the deep to the superficial EC and on to the hippocampus (Jones and Lambert 1990a, b; Nagao et al., 1996).

**Anatomy and Physiology of the EC**

Much of the anatomical study of the EC has focused on its connections to and from the hippocampus (Fig. 1.2), and the rich interconnection between layers within the EC itself. As stated above, the EC can be divided into medial and lateral sub-sections. The
lateral sections project to the caudal levels of the dentate gyrus (DG), whilst the mEC projects towards more caudal sections of the DG. There is a direct relationship between the position of cells and their projection, as more medial cells in the EC project further towards the caudal end of the DG (Witter et al., 1989).

The EC itself can be further divided into six layers, from layer I (the most superficial) to layer VI (the innermost layer), although the exact divisions vary between investigators. There have been many efforts made to further divide the EC, with the number of proposed subfields ranging from as little as two, to as many as 23. This thesis will employ the divisions noted in Witter et al. (1989). Two of the layers (I and IV) are sometimes termed “plexiform layers”, and contain very few neuronal soma. Layer I contains mostly fibres, whilst layer IV, the lamina dessicans, has an extremely low density of neurones and is not found at the more rostral areas of the EC. There is some debate as to whether layer IV is a subdivision of layer V, or a structure in its own right. It has been postulated that the EC represents an evolutionary transition between the three-layered hippocampus and the clear six layers of the mammalian neocortex (Insausti et al., 1997).

![Figure 1.2: Extrinsic connectivity of the mEC. The superficial layers receive much of the input from the neocortex, with layers II and III projecting towards the hippocampus, forming the “trisynaptic loop”. Layer V is densely innervated by the hippocampus, and projects to the neocortex and back to the superficial EC, leading to high levels of recurrent connections.](image-url)
Laminar Structure and Internal Connectivity of the EC

Even from early anatomical studies (for example, those of Cajal, 1911 or Lorente de No, 1933) it is clear that there is an extensive network of interconnections between cells in different layers of the EC, and a number of differences in the density and morphology of neurones between these layers. As staining and imaging techniques improve, more and more is being discovered about the relationship between neurones in different layers of the EC; this information can be further supported by complex electrophysiological studies investigating the functional relationship of the layers, and their susceptibility to diseases such as epilepsy.

Layer I of the EC is only very sparsely populated with neurones, containing mostly fibres. There are small populations of both horizontal and multipolar cells, projecting and ramifying locally in a limited manner (Germroth et al., 1989). Layer II also contains some horizontal cells. However, the majority of neurones in layer II are spiny stellate cells, with a greater proportion in the mEC than the lEC (Alonso and Klink, 1993; Jones, 1994; Klink and Alonso, 1997). These spiny stellate cells, with many diverse and far-reaching projections, form the main output from the EC. Layer II stellate cells form the major part of the perforant pathway to the hippocampus. A single layer II cell has been shown to project axonal branches towards the entire transverse dentate gyrus (suprapyramidal and infrapyramidal blades, and the DG crest), the CA2-3 fields of the hippocampus, and the subiculum (Tamamaki and Nojyo, 1993). Their dendritic trees branch extensively throughout the three outermost layers of the EC. Given the large amount of information passing from the neocortex to the hippocampus through these layers, it is evident that layer II stellate cells provide the pivotal link between these two structures. There is limited evidence to suggest that layer II stellate cells also project to layers V and VI of the EC (Ino et al., 2000; Buckmaster et al., 2004).
Activity in layer II is tightly regulated by a wealth of inhibitory connections. *In vitro* electrophysiological studies have shown that layer II neurones are kept mostly quiescent and prevented from firing action potentials due to the overwhelming level of inhibitory postsynaptic potentials (IPSPs) from GABAergic interneurones (Jones, 1993, 1994; Heinemann *et al*., 2000). These interneurones form a complex web of connections comprising of feed-forward and feed-back inhibitory links to principal cells in both layer II and layer III. The most potent inhibitory interneurones in the cortex are considered to be basket cells and chandelier cells, and these are both most abundant within layers II and III (Hendry *et al*., 1989; DeFelipe, 1999). Horizontal inhibitory cells in layer II possess dendritic and axonal structures that are largely confined to the layer, in contrast with the principal neurones (Germroth *et al*., 1989; Jones and Buhl, 1993).

The principal excitatory cells of layer III are pyramidal cells (Fig. 1.3). The primary function of excitatory cells in layer III is thought to differ from that of layer II principal cells. Instead of forwarding information from the cortex to the hippocampus (as layer II cells do largely through the perforant pathway), a proportion of pyramidal cells in layer III have been proposed to act as “controller cells” for pyramidal neurones in the CA1 hippocampal area. Layer III principal cells exist in two groups, those that project towards the hippocampus, and those that project within the EC. The former group forms a closed-loop system with the hippocampal CA1 cells, controlling the exit of information from the hippocampal trisynaptic pathway, whereas the latter, internally projecting cells extend their axons throughout layer III. Both groups of layer III pyramidal cells have rich dendritic trees within layers I and II (Gloveli *et al*., 1997; Buckmaster *et al*., 2004). This extensive interconnection between principal cells, the proposed controller role for the externally-projecting pyramidal cells, and the large amount of inhibitory control exerted within layer III would all suggest that the pyramidal cells in this layer perform a crucial information-processing function,
presumably integrating and processing cortical signals via layers I and II of the EC, then controlling the passage of this information to the hippocampus.

Layer IV, the *lamina dessicans* (LD), contains a sparse number of pyramidal cells in its deeper sections, and is rich in myelinated fibres. In the rostral sections of the EC, layer IV is not readily apparent. Cajal (1911) described the LD as a distinct layer, whereas Lorente de Nó (1933) described the majority of the layer as a subset of layer III, with his layer IV referring to the small group of pyramidal neurones contained within the deeper *lamina dessicans*. Whatever terminology is applied, it is clear that the majority of layer IV consists of fibres from cells in other layers traversing the LD (Amaral et al., 1987).

The majority of principal cells in layers V and VI are pyramidal cells, however there are also a number of bipolar and multipolar cells (Gloveli et al., 2001). The pyramidal cells in these layers possess prominent apical dendrites, extending towards the superficial layers of the EC. The axons of these cells extend into the white matter, and also towards the more superficial layers of the EC. The somata of these deep pyramidal cells are significantly smaller than those of the pyramidals in layers II and III (Buckmaster et al., 2004).

Inhibition in the deeper layers of the EC is less pronounced than the tight control exerted by interneurones in the superficial layers. Excitatory postsynaptic potentials (EPSPs) dominate IPSP activity in the deep pyramidal neurones. Inhibitory responses in layer V are very weak, and in some cases they are not apparent at all (e.g. Jones and Heinemann, 1988). Woodhall et al. (2004) showed that there was a four-fold higher basal frequency of spontaneous IPSC activity, and a greater intensity and frequency of IPSC bursts, in layer II compared to layer V. The proportion of activity dependent inhibitory events was found to be much greater in layer V than in layer II, i.e. the inhibitory system in the superficial layers is more constitutively active than the
interneurones of the deep layers. The superficial layers of the EC are said to be more 
“epilepsy resistant” than the deeper layers, this is possibly explained by the increased 
inhibition in the superficial layers, reducing the chances of excitatory synchronisation 
and subsequent epileptogenesis (Jones and Lambert 1990a; Jones 1993; Woodhall et 
al., 2004). The direct link of inhibitory activity levels and susceptibility to 
epileptogenesis has, however, been challenged by studies linking GABAergic 
inhibition with the promotion of synchronous activity (Cobb et al., 1995). There is 
evidence to suggest that the difference in basal inhibitory activity between EC layers 
is due, at least in part, to lamina-specific differences in presynaptic GABA_B 
autoreceptor activity. Bailey et al. (2004) found that feedback control (reduction) of 
GABA release by metabotropic autoreceptors occurs tonically in layer V, but not in 
layer II. This would go some way to explain the lamina-specific differences in 
inhibitory activity.

Transmission and Activity in the EC

Our laboratory has long been focussed on the properties of transmission in the mEC, 
largely due to its involvement in TLE. Several studies have been undertaken detailing 
the lamina-specific differences in spontaneous and evoked transmission in the mEC, 
providing valuable insight into the physiology and pathophysiology of this area.

Lamina-specific Differences in Activity-dependent Transmission

Early work highlighted the properties of neurones in the deeper layers. In layer V, it 
was shown that low-frequency stimulation of afferent pathways leads to a dominance 
of excitatory synaptic activity, particularly NMDA receptor-mediated responses. In 
contrast, inhibitory activity in layer V was weak (Jones, 1987; Jones and Heinemann, 
1988). The relative dominance of excitatory activity in deeper layers has also been 
replicated by Funahashi and Stewart (1998) in the pre- and parasubiculum. Excitatory
Figure 1.3: Laminar structure and intrinsic connectivity of the mEC. Top: Location of the mEC in the slice preparation used within the experiments in this thesis. Bottom: The principal cells (pyramidal in layers III and V, stellate in layer II) are well connected both to each other and to external structures such as the hippocampus and neocortex. There is a great deal of inhibitory control exerted upon the principal cells by the GABAergic interneurones, especially in the superficial layers. Diagram from van Haefen et al., 2003.

Activity in layer V is subject to powerful frequency-dependent facilitation, and has been shown to cause repetitive firing and, in extreme cases, the generation of
synchronised population discharges (Jones, 1993, 1994; Cunningham et al., 2000). This facilitation would appear to be dependent upon the activity of NMDAR. Intracellular recordings show that layer V cells undergo a slightly larger degree of AMPAR-mediated facilitation than layer II cells, but the difference is not significant. However, NMDAR-mediated facilitation is clearly and significantly greater in layer V than in layer II (Jones and Woodhall, 2005).

Activity in layer II, contrastingly, is dominated by inhibition. Whereas low-frequency stimulation in layer V produced a greater proportion of excitatory activity, similar studies in layer II indicated that the majority of responses to this stimulation were GABA\textsubscript{A} mediated inhibitory events (Jones, 1994; Glovelli et al., 1997; Heinemann et al., 2000). However, this inhibitory dominance is highly dynamic. With increasing stimulation frequency, inhibitory interneurones in layer II become increasingly labile, to the point that activity in layer II shifts towards increased excitation at stimulation frequencies above 1 Hz (Jones, 1993, 1994, 1995; Glovelli et al., 1997). This frequency dependent depression of inhibitory activity is, however, even more pronounced in layer V, further emphasising the dominance of excitation in the deeper layers (Jones and Woodhall, 2005).

**Lamina-specific Differences in Spontaneous Transmission**

A presynaptic membrane does not have to be depolarised by an action potential in order to release neurotransmitter. Spontaneous release of single quanta is a feature thought to be common to all synapses, and enabled the formulation of the quantal theory of neurotransmission in the first place (Del Castillo and Katz, 1954; Sara et al., 2005). During *in vitro* intracellular recording, principal neurones in the superficial layers of the mEC remain quiescent and do not fire action potentials constitutively, presumably due to the large amount of inhibitory innervation in layers II and III, as discussed above. However, this does not mean that there is no excitatory release on to
these cells, and patch clamp studies have shown a constant generation of EPSCs in these cells, due to the release of glutamate from surrounding neurones. It should be noted that this activity continues to some extent even in the presence of the voltage-gated sodium channel blocker tetrodotoxin (TTX), the remaining activity being termed as miniature excitatory post-synaptic currents (mEPSC) (Cunningham et al., 2000).

These miniature and spontaneous events also exert a great deal of control over the target cell. A single excitatory quantum can cause the generation of an action potential in small postsynaptic neurones, whilst single inhibitory quanta may delay, or even suppress, postsynaptic firing (Lu and Trussell, 2000; Carter and Regehr, 2002). It has recently been shown that activity-independent miniature events are mediated by the release of neurotransmitter from a distinct population of presynaptic vesicles, i.e. a separate pool from vesicles releasing neurotransmitter in response to action potentials (Sara et al., 2005).

Our laboratory has carried out a range of studies investigating this spontaneous activity in the EC, using whole-cell voltage clamp to monitor spontaneous EPSCs and IPSCs. Neurones throughout the EC are constantly bombarded with both glutamate and GABA, released spontaneously from surrounding pre-synaptic boutons (Berretta and Jones, 1996a; Bailey et al., 2004; Woodhall et al., 2004; Jones and Woodhall, 2005).

**Excitation**

Spontaneous excitatory activity, mediated by glutamate release, has been shown in both layer II and layer V to be largely independent of action potential activity, as the addition of TTX caused only a 15-20% reduction in sEPSC frequency (Berretta and Jones, 1996a). Comparing the baseline levels of spontaneous activity between deep
and superficial layers, average frequency of sEPSC activity is slightly, but significantly, higher in layer V than in layer II. Additionally, the amplitude of sEPSCs in layer V is significantly greater (Berretta and Jones, 1996a; Jones and Woodhall, 2005). The reasons for this difference could be that excitatory terminals in layer V are more proximally located than in layer II, with evidence to suggest that layer II EPSCs are subject to a greater degree of dendritic filtering. Furthermore, recurrent excitatory connections in layer V of the mEC are very common, whilst being practically non-existent in superficial layers (Dhillon and Jones, 2000).

As well as frequency and amplitude differences, there would appear to be significant differences between the receptors mediating sEPSC activity in deep and superficial EC layers. Studies in Mg\(^{2+}\)-free artificial cerebro-spinal fluid (ACSF) indicate expression of functional NMDAr in both layer II and layer V of the mEC. However, R-AP5 sensitive events were considerably more frequent in layer V, and a significant R-AP5 sensitive component exists within layer V sEPSCs recorded in normal ACSF, something not seen in layer II. This could suggest a greater expression of NMDAr in layer V. Alternatively, the expressed NMDAr could be more available to spontaneously released glutamate in deeper layers, perhaps due to differences in the site of expression (Berretta and Jones, 1996a; Jones and Woodhall, 2005).

**Inhibition**

As mentioned above, spontaneous inhibition of neurones in the mEC arises from the constant release of GABA, activating GABA\(_A\) receptors in the post-synapse. A secondary component, a standing inhibitory current mediated through tonic GABA\(_A\)r activation, has been proposed and located in areas such as the cerebellum and somatosensory cortex (Brickley *et al.*, 1996; Salin and Prince, 1996). However, our laboratory has not been able to demonstrate such a component in any part of the mEC (Woodhall *et al.*, 2004; Jones and Woodhall, 2005) in rats aged 6-9 weeks, an age
where tonic GABA conductance would be expected to be prominent (Hall and Usowicz, 1997).

sIPSCs in the mEC possess broadly the same pharmacological characteristics, regardless of layer. However, there are significant differences in spontaneous release of GABA when layers II and V are compared. sIPSCs have a baseline frequency of approximately 12 Hz in layer II, compared with 2.5 Hz in layer V. Additionally, layer II neurones exhibited frequent and sustained bursts of sIPSC activity. These bursts were also evident in layer V, but were less frequent and contained fewer events (Woodhall et al., 2004).

In contrast to the largely activity-independent EPSCs found in both deep and superficial layers, there are stark differences between the proportion of activity-dependent GABA release in layer V and layer II of the mEC. Addition of TTX caused a reduction of 1-2 Hz in both layers. However, the baseline frequency of IPSCs in layer II was approximately 10-fold greater than layer V. Therefore, layer II inhibitory activity is largely (≈ 90%) activity-independent, whereas the proportion of miniature and AP-dependent IPSCs in layer V is about 1:1 (Jones and Woodhall, 2005).

**Background Activity and Cellular Excitability**

As discussed in the previous section, there is a constant release of both excitatory and inhibitory neurotransmitter onto cells throughout the cortex. This constant, background release differs between layers, and can have effects on the properties of principal cells within these layers. Furthermore, there is significant evidence to suggest that this background activity, a form of synaptic “noise”, contributes to the enhancement of signal detection (Stacey and Durand, 2000, 2001) and integration (Destexhe and Pare, 1999; Ho and Destexhe, 2000), and modulates gain to affect the input-output characteristics of cells (Hausser and Clark, 1997; Stevens and Zador,
1998; Chance et al., 2002; Fellous et al., 2003; Wolfart et al., 2005), e.g. gain modulation (Shu et al., 2003a) their resting properties (Pare et al., 1998a, b), their firing (Harsch and Robinson, 2000) and oscillatory behaviour (Dorval and White, 2005) through the phenomenon of stochastic resonance (Rudolph et al., 2004).

By way of illustration (see Fig. 1.4), we can imagine a weak signal as a sine wave that peaks just below the detection threshold (e.g. the firing threshold of a neurone). With a “clean” signal, the peaks of the wave will never breach the detection threshold, and the signal will never be acknowledged by the target neurone. However, if we introduce noise to the signal, at certain points additive noise will coincide with the peak of the wave, pushing the signal above threshold and enabling its detection.

![Figure 1.4: Random noise aids detection of subthreshold signals. A: A clean subthreshold signal never breaches the detection threshold, resulting in no output from the receiving cell. B: Addition of random noise, for example the constant background release of neurotransmitter, will eventually result in a peak subthreshold signal coinciding with additive noise, leading to a breach of the detection threshold and output from the target cell.](image)

It is clear that the combination of action potential-dependent and -independent release, \textit{in vivo} at least, provides a possible method to aid signal detection through stochastic resonance, where sub-threshold synaptic events are pushed above threshold due to the presence of background activity. Thus, the relative level of inhibitory and excitatory
background activity is a reflection of network activity and is instrumental in determining the excitability of any given neurone.

Changes in the level of background release are thought to be the cause of a range of network phenomena. There has been a great deal of recent research into the phenomenon of stochastic resonance in the modulation of neuronal and network properties, ranging from in vitro and in vivo electrophysiological approaches to in silico simulations of large neuronal networks (Hausser and Clark, 1997; Pare et al., 1998a, b; Stacey and Durand, 2000, 2001; Rudolph et al., 2004). This range of approaches has allowed the problem to be approached from both sides, the computational studies lead to modelling methods that can subsequently be used to extract more information from electrophysiological data, as will be discussed later.

In an intact brain in vivo, the level of background activity is so intense that it causes cortical neurones to attain a “high-conductance” state, in which the observed neurone is subject to such high levels of bombardment from surrounding cells that the membrane potential shows high-amplitude fluctuations and can be almost constantly depolarised ($V_m$ around $-65\text{mV}$) when compared to quiescent cells in the absence of synaptic noise (Destexhe et al., 2003; Rudolph and Destexhe, 2004). These high-conductance states are thought by some to be the cause of spontaneous sub-threshold depolarisations, “up-states”, which are most prominently observed in vivo during slow-wave sleep and under certain types of anaesthesia. However, some contention exists as to how much background activity is necessary to cause these spontaneous depolarisations.

During intracellular recordings of principal neurones in vivo, and under certain conditions in vitro, the membrane potential of the observed neurone can be seen to suddenly undergo a sustained depolarisation, lasting several seconds and having an amplitude of as much as 20mV. These “up-states” would appear to be driven by
synchronised volleys of synaptic activity (Cowan and Wilson, 1994; Peterson et al., 2003), leading to two distinct periods, one where neurones are subject to “normal” background activity, and another whereby increases in activity cause up- or high-conductance states. Steriade et al. (1993) first proposed the distinction of up-states as slow oscillations separate from other observed cortical rhythms found in sleep or under anaesthesia. These up-states were found exclusively in pyramidal cells with large dendritic arbours, in layers III-VI of several cortical areas (association, motor and visual). It has been proposed by Cossart et al. (2003) that such up-states represent “circuit attractors”, an emergent network phenomenon that enables simple processing units (e.g. neurones) to function as highly complex computational units (Hopfield, 1982). This circuit attraction property may form the basis of memory retention or computational function in neuronal networks.

Waters and Helmchen (2004) show that up-states can be tracked throughout different parts of cortical neurones through matching with electrocorticogram (ECoG) recordings. By carefully matching patch-clamp recordings from somata, dendritic tufts and apical trunks with the time-course of up-states recorded with ECoG, it was concluded that up-state activity promotes action-potential back-propagation throughout the dendritic structure, with up-states occurring simultaneously across the entire neurone (instead of starting at one point and propagating outwards). Thus, up-state activity increases the back-propagation of action potentials and subsequently increases AP-mediated calcium influx in the distal apical dendritic tree; this increases the level of association between deep and superficial neurones in vivo, and serves as a feedback signal to enhance the accuracy of time-dependent signalling in active dendrites.
Background Release and the Generation of Up-States

The mechanistic causes of up-states are subject to some debate. It is entirely possible that the fluctuations investigated by various groups actually represent different manifestations of similar phenomena, however this does not explain the direct contradiction of certain theories on this matter. Destexhe et al. (2003) propose that up-states are high-conductance states triggered by intense cortical activity, and are representative of neuronal function in the awake state. This assertion was based on intracellular electrophysiology performed in awake behaving animals (e.g. Matsumura et al., 1988; Baranyi et al., 1993; Steriade et al., 2001), where the recorded neurones were observed to have low input resistance (5 to 40 MΩ) and a depolarised, fluctuating membrane potential (-60 mV, σ 2-6 mV). These in vivo studies found consistent results regardless of the cortical area recorded.

Figure 1.5: Up-state activity in the barrel cortex. The top trace is a whole-cell recording of up-states in the barrel cortex of an anaesthetised rat. The bottom trace is electrocorticogram activity, indicating a cortex-wide increase in activity during an up-state. Dashed line (top) indicates -80 mV, solid line (bottom) indicates 0 mV. Adapted from Waters and Helmchen, 2004
Waters and Helmchen (2006) contend this notion of large amounts of synaptic activity being necessary for the generation of up-states. Using a combination of patch-clamp and computational methods, they have proposed that background activity is less prominent than previously thought, and that relatively sparse amounts of excitatory activity are necessary to instigate an up-state. They also forward the notion that Destexhe’s “high-conductance states” are, in fact, very small changes in the level of conductance (between 2-10 nS), with input resistance being increased by anomalous rectification. They account for differences in resistance and conductance measured by other groups to be a function of the level of action potential firing ongoing within the recorded neurones. This method of input resistance comparison has previously been rejected, however, by Destexhe et al. (2003), citing inconsistencies in the recording method and electrode properties between laboratories (and individual experiments) as being too variable to provide a reliable method of comparison. Furthermore, any comparison of resistance between in vitro studies, anaesthetised in vivo studies and awake behaving studies is confounded by the differences in cortical state between each approach – in the awake behaving animals, for example, the resistance changes markedly depending on what type of behaviour is being exhibited.

Differences seen between in vitro and in vivo cellular characteristics were elucidated by recordings in the presence of TTX (Pare et al., 1998b). Under local perfusion of TTX in vivo, the recorded neurones displayed attributes closely resembling those of neurones found in acute slices. From this it was concluded that differences in activity between in vitro and in vivo recordings is due to activity-dependent neurotransmitter release in vivo, as opposed to any sort of cellular damage caused by the recording process, or differences between electrodes used in vitro and in vivo. Up-states can be reproduced in vitro by increasing overall background activity through careful adjustment of the perfused ACSF. Reducing the concentration of magnesium and increasing the concentration of potassium compared to “normal” levels simulates
increased activity across the slice by increasing NMDAr activity and lengthening action potential duration.

Using a reduced (1.25 vs 2.0 mM) Mg\(^{2+}\) concentration in the ACSF, Cunningham et al. (2006) were able to show that slow-wave oscillations or up-states in the EC are at least partly dependent upon the activity of GluR5-containing kainate receptors. Blockade of either NMDA or AMPA-type ionotropic glutamate receptors failed to abolish up-state generation (although the characteristics of the up-states were altered in the presence of the AMPA receptor antagonist SYM-2206). However, when the GluR5-specific antagonist, UBP-302, was added, up-state activity was abolished, both at the single-cell and network levels. Cunningham et al. (2006) proposed that slow-wave oscillations were highly dependent upon Glu-R5 KAr, but also partly governed by metabolic activity, based on oscillations are a function of ATP-modulated potassium channels. K\(_{\text{ATP}}\) blockade with tolbutamide led to prolonged “up” phases, and increasing K\(_{\text{ATP}}\) activity with diazoxide led to longer “down” periods. Blockade of K\(_{\text{ATP}}\) channels by MgATP slowed the transition between up- and down-state. The increased activity seen during up-states may cause rapid depletion of intracellular ATP, leading to K\(_{\text{ATP}}\) opening and the termination of the up-state.

**Conductance Estimates from Membrane Fluctuations**

The challenge of measuring background synaptic activity during high-conductance states has led to a range of approaches, as discussed previously. The most promising avenue would appear to be the combination of computational simulations with more traditional electrophysiology. This thesis uses a method developed by Alain Destexhe’s group to quantify background levels of excitation and inhibition, at the same time, in the same cell, based on membrane voltage fluctuations recorded intracellularly from principal neurones.
The whole-cell voltage clamp approach used previously in our laboratory does not lend itself well to relating the level of background activity to cellular excitability. Generally, experimental recording conditions are established to record either excitatory or inhibitory currents in isolation. The somatic recording location means that more distally located currents may not be detectable. Most importantly, the inclusion of blockers of voltage-gated ion channels in the patch pipette solution, to improve space clamp etc., largely precludes meaningful estimates of cellular excitability. Sharp-electrode intracellular recording allows for the latter, but does not provide high enough electrical resolution for direct observation of small amplitude background synaptic events.

In 2004 Destexhe’s group described a method of estimating global background synaptic conductances from measurement of fluctuations in membrane potential (termed VmD) derived from sharp electrode intracellular recordings (Rudolph et al., 2004). The analytic expression of mean and standard deviation of membrane potential distribution permits simultaneous estimation of the global background excitation mediated by glutamate acting at AMPA receptors ($E_{Bg}$) and background inhibition ($I_{Bg}$, due to GABA acting via GABA_A receptors). Importantly, the use of intracellular recording allows us to simultaneously obtain measurements of cellular excitability and, thus, to relate excitability to relative levels and changes in $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$.

The Destexhe group’s approach to modelling background conductance from single-neurone recordings is based on two fundamental starting points, both having roots in stochastic calculus and the description of the time evolution of random processes.

The **Fokker-Planck equation** (see Eq. 6) is used to describe the time evolution of probability density functions for the velocity and position of a particle subjected to a Wiener process (Brownian motion). The equation can be used outside the confines of equations of motion in order to model processes with a relatively small number of important variables, with other factors varying in such a random and rapid manner.
that they can be considered to be noise, very much like the membrane potential of a neurone in an active network. Consider that the spontaneous release of neurotransmitter onto a given cell can be described as a Poisson process (i.e. one that is random, orderly and without memory). Under intense periods of synaptic activity (high conductance states), the release of transmitter has an average frequency of 1 Hz for excitatory synapses and 5 Hz for inhibitory synapses (Destexhe and Pare, 1999). This barrage of synaptic activity causes the membrane potential to undergo a continuous random walk, and this potential distribution can be said to be a time-homogeneous Markov process (i.e. its future state does not depend upon its past state) that obeys the Fokker-Planck equation as an **Ornstein-Uhlenbeck** process.

The Ornstein-Uhlenbeck (OU) process (Uhlenbeck and Ornstein, 1930) is a stochastic process that can be used for describing low-pass filtered Gaussian white noise, which was originally forwarded in the 1930s as a model of Brownian motion:

\[ dW(t) = m dV(t) + \beta V(t) dt \]  

(Eq. 1)

In this form (Eq 1.) the equation serves as an approximation of the one-dimensional Brownian motion of a particle in a liquid, where \( W(t) \) is a Wiener process (essentially white noise), \( V(t) \) is the particle’s velocity, \( m \) its mass and \( \beta V(t) \) is representative of a friction proportional to the velocity. The OU process is easily adapted to express a range of other situations such as harmonic motion or membrane voltage under synaptic bombardment simply by changing the meaning of the constants.

Rudolph and Destexhe (2003) use the OU process to describe the time-dependent probability density functions of several components of their neuronal models. By adapting the OU equation to predict the probable distribution of membrane voltage for a given set of conductances and cellular parameters, and then setting up a simultaneous equation using two levels of injected current to work the model backwards and predict conductances from membrane voltage distribution, they were
able to arrive at the VmD method, used in this thesis for all background conductance estimates.

The initial step (Destexhe et al., 2001) was to describe the passive membrane properties of cortical neurones by the following equation (Eq 2):

\[
C_m \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = -g_L (V(t) - E_L) - \frac{1}{a} I_{syn}(t) \quad \text{(Eq. 2)}
\]

Here \(V(t)\) is membrane potential, \(C_m\) represents specific membrane capacitance (e.g. Gentet et al., 2000), \(a\) is membrane area, \(g_L\) is leak conductance and \(E_L\) is leak reversal (this latter variable can be obtained through recordings of neurones in completely quiescent states). \(I_{syn}\) represents current mediated by receptors subject to synaptic bombardment. This passive membrane equation (Eq. 2) was incorporated (Eq. 3) into a point conductance model (Destexhe et al., 2001; Rudolph et al., 2004) which introduces a constant stimulating external current, \(I_{ext}\).

\[
C_m \frac{dV(t)}{dt} = g_L [E_L - V(t)] - \frac{1}{a} I_{syn}(t) + \frac{1}{a} I_{ext} \quad \text{(Eq. 3)}
\]

We now have two sources of current onto our passive membrane – the external stimulation \(I_{ext}\) and the synaptic noise, described by the total synaptic current \(I_{syn}(t)\), which is split further into two independent components; excitatory conductance (with reversal potential) and inhibitory current (again with its own reversal potential). This current breakdown can be described by the following equation:

\[
I_{syn}(t) = g_e(t)[V(t) - E_e] + g_i(t)[V(t) - E_i] \quad \text{(Eq. 4)}
\]

Here \(g_e\) and \(E_e\) are excitatory conductance and reversal, respectively, and \(g_i\) and \(E_i\) are inhibitory conductance and reversal. We see clearly from this equation that \(I_{syn}(t)\) is merely the function of two distinct conductances over time, based on the present state of the membrane voltage \((V(t))\) and nothing else. The above-mentioned OU equation
can be used to describe the time-evolution of the excitatory and inhibitory conductances as a one-variable stochastic process:

\[
\frac{dg_{[e,i]}(t)}{dt} = -\frac{1}{\tau_{[e,i]}} \left[ g_{[e,i]}(t) - g_{[e,i]0} \right] + \sqrt{\frac{2\sigma_{[e,i]}^2}{\tau_{[e,i]}}} \chi_{[e,i]}(t) \quad \text{(Eq. 5)}
\]

In Equation 5, the concept of average conductances, describing the estimated mean level of excitatory \( (g_{e0}) \) and inhibitory \( (g_{i0}) \) activity mediated by AMPA and GABA\(_A\) receptors, respectively, is introduced. Also taken into account are the time constants \( (\tau_{[e,i]}) \) of the receptors involved in mediating these currents. To make a workable model, these are taken to be AMPA and GABA\(_A\) receptors, which are the main excitatory and inhibitory populations in cortical neurones. The random nature of synaptic activity is simulated by a white-noise process \( (\chi_{[e,i]}) \) described with zero mean and standard deviation \( \sigma_e \) and \( \sigma_i \) for excitatory and inhibitory noise respectively.

The point-conductance model described in Equations 3-5 was tested analytically and numerically against two more complex models (a single-compartment model and a detailed biophysical model) by Rudolph et al. (2004), using a NEURON simulation environment (Hines and Carnevale, 1997). It was concluded that the point-conductance model provided an estimation of synaptic conductance to a degree of accuracy comparable to the other, more detailed models, whilst being simpler (and faster) to simulate and more readily manipulated. Rudolph et al. found that the time course of the probability density function \( \rho(V,t) \) of the membrane voltage \( (V_m) \) attaining voltage \( V \) at time \( t \) could be described by solving the Fokker-Planck equation for the point conductance model detailed above (as described in detail in Rudolph et al., 2004). It was also observed that the voltage distribution for a neurone in an active network at physiological voltages (between around -70 and -50 mV) is only very weakly asymmetric, and could therefore be approximated to a Gaussian distribution. This allowed a simplified version of the Fokker-Planck equation for the point-conductance model to be derived, hence:
Here $\rho(V)$ is the probability of voltage $V$, with $\bar{V}$ representing the average voltage and $\sigma_v$ the standard deviation of the voltage distribution. This approximation can be further refined by replacing the exponential function in the Fokker-Plank with a Taylor expansion (a representative of a function calculated from single-point values of its derivatives) based on the maximum $\bar{V}$ of the probability $\rho(V)$. The Gaussian distribution of the voltage can then be based around the following equations:

$$\begin{align*}
\bar{V} &= \frac{S_1}{S_0} \left( E_x (2aC_m g_{e,+}) + E_x (2aC_m g_{e,-}) + E_i (2aC_m g_{i,+}) + E_i (2aC_m g_{i,-}) + E_x (\sigma_v^2 \tau_x) + E_i (\sigma_v^2 \tau_i) + 2aC_m I_{ext} \right) \\
&\quad \div (2aC_m g_{e,+}) + (2aC_m g_{e,-}) + (2aC_m g_{i,+}) + (2aC_m g_{i,-}) + (\sigma_v^2 \tau_x) + (\sigma_v^2 \tau_i)
\end{align*}$$

This expression of the average voltage yields the Gaussian distribution:

$$\rho(V) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma_v^2}} \exp \left[ -\frac{(V - \bar{V})^2}{2\sigma_v^2} \right]$$

The variance is given by:

$$\sigma_v^2 = \frac{S_0^2 (\sigma_v^2 \tau_x E_x^2 + \sigma_i^2 \tau_i E_i^2) - 2S_0 S_1 (\sigma_v^2 \tau_x E_x + \sigma_i^2 \tau_i E_i) + S_1^2 (\sigma_v^2 \tau_x + \sigma_i^2 \tau_i)}{S_0^3}$$

(Eq. 9)

Here $S_0$ and $S_1$ are defined in the average voltage equation (Eq. 7). Using two levels of injected external current, two simultaneous equations are formed, which allows the model to be inverted, meaning that the values for synaptic conductance can be deduced from the voltage distribution over time. In practice, this involves taking the average and standard deviation of membrane voltage at two levels of injected current, and entering them into the following equation:
This (Eq. 10) is the equation central to the VmD method. It gives estimates of average background synaptic conductance, split into excitatory ($g_{e0}$, referred to in this thesis as $E_{Bg}$) and inhibitory ($g_{i0}$, referred to as $I_{Bg}$) components, based on the reversal potentials of AMPA and GABA$_A$ receptors and the passive membrane parameters such as leak conductance and input resistance. The estimates are based on working out the conductances from the membrane probability density outlined by the Fokker-Plank and Ornstein-Uhlenbeck functions used to construct the point conductance model, by finding the best-fitting parameters for a voltage distribution that is already there, rather than predicting the probable voltage generated by certain conductance parameters, the model is working backwards to glean information from real neurones.

The second part of the VmD equation (Eq. 11) calculates the variance (and hence standard deviation, SD) of the background synaptic conductances. This may provide important information concerning the level of synchronous activity in inhibitory and excitatory neurone populations. It has been suggested that an increase in SD in $E_{Bg}$, for example, would reflect increased synchronisation among principal neurones. As a result of coordinated firing, there would be time-locked release of glutamate from multiple terminals resulting in larger “peaks” of voltage changes. $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ variances are described by the following equation:
This estimation of variance requires special treatment of the time constants of the modelled receptors ($\bar{\tau}_{(e,d)}$). These are referred to as “effective noise time constants”, and their purpose is to improve the accuracy of the variance estimation by correcting for synaptic noise filtering effects. The time constants are defined as:

$$\bar{\tau}_{(e,d)} = \frac{2\tau_{(e,d)}}{\tau_{(e,d)}} + \tau_0$$

where

$$\tau_0 = \frac{aC_m}{a g_L + g_{e0} + g_{i0}}$$

(Eq. 12)

Hence the time constants used in the variance estimation take into account the global background conductance estimates made in the first part of the VmD method.

The validity of the VmD method was tested by Rudolph et al. (2004) using a variety of methods. Firstly, two different computational models were run concurrently with VmD approximations. The VmD voltage distributions strongly agreed with those obtained through both a point conductance model and a more detailed, multi-synaptic model where noise was simulated by stochastic release from around 4000 synapses. A more demanding computational test of the method was performed by comparison with a highly detailed biophysical model, in which randomly firing synapses were distributed randomly across the soma and dendrites of the modelled “recorded” neurone. Once again, VmD-derived estimates of voltage distribution were in agreement with those obtained using the detailed model, however there was some slight discrepancy at the extreme ends of the distributions. Encouragingly, it was shown using this model that dendritic filtration of synaptic input, and active dendrites driven by voltage-sensitive currents (e.g. $I_{Na}$, $I_{Ka}$, $I_h$) cause only minor deviations in the distributions of membrane potential and background conductance predicted by the VmD equations, that do not significantly affect the accuracy of the obtained estimates.
The final test of the reliability of the VmD method was performed using a dynamic clamp approach \textit{in vitro}. Up-state firing cells were recorded and levels of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ during up-state activity were estimated using the VmD method (Fig. 1.6). Stochastically fluctuating current, within the parameters estimated by the VmD equation, was then injected back into the same neurone. Upon current injection, an “artificial” up-state, closely resembling those fired spontaneously by the cell, was observed. Thus, VmD estimates are able to re-create the input to a principal neurone during an up-state, suggesting that the acquired estimates are an accurate portrayal of the synaptic activity around the observed neurone.

![Figure 1.6: Artificial up-state activity. Using the conductance parameters gained from the VmD method, Rudolph et al. (2004) were able to mimic up-state activity by injection of current during dynamic clamp recordings.](image)

In summary, it is clear that the EC is a vital brain structure, both in the normal function of the mammalian brain, and in its role in TLE. Equally clear is that background activity can exert a large amount of control over neurones throughout the cortex, leading to increased synchronisation and the appearance of high-conductance states, or up-states. Using the VmD method, I aim to link the levels of background conductance estimated with the above equations to levels of cellular excitability within the EC, and observe how one or both of these properties changes in the presence of a range of pharmacological tools.
Specific aims of this thesis:

- To test and verify the VmD method in principal cells in layers II, III and V of the mEC
- To characterise the changes in global conductance estimates mediated by a range of pharmacological tools, and link these changes to levels of cellular excitability
- To investigate the differences, if any, between conductance levels in different layers of the EC, especially with regards to seizure generation
- To observe the effects on background activity, and hence gain possible insight into the mode of action, of a range of poorly understood drugs, i.e. anticonvulsants
CHAPTER 2
METHODS
Introduction

All the experiments in this thesis were conducted in combined EC-hippocampal slices prepared from rat brain. The electrophysiological approach involved conventional, sharp-electrode intracellular recordings of membrane potential, made “blind” in slices maintained in an interface chamber. The majority of recordings were made from pyramidal neurones in layer III of the mEC. However, in one study (Chapter 5) comparison was made with stellate/pyramidal neurones of layer II and pyramidal cells in layer V.

Slice Preparation

Slices were prepared as originally outlined in Jones and Heinemann (1988). Three to four-week old male Wistar rats (50-70 g) were used in all experiments. Rats were either anaesthetised (ketamine 120 mg/kg and xylazine 80 mg/kg i.m.) and decapitated, or killed by cervical dislocation and decapitated. The entire brain was rapidly removed and placed in normal artificial cerebrospinal fluid (ACSF, see table 2.1) at ≈ 4°C. The cerebellum was removed, and the cerebrum dissected into two hemispheres by cutting the corpus callosum along the central sulcus. Sections of each cerebral hemisphere were removed by making a transverse incision several millimetres from the dorsal surface of the cerebrum, parallel to the base of the brain. This incision allows for a flat surface for mounting the brain during slicing.

The dissected cerebral hemispheres were attached by their cut dorsal surfaces to a Teflon slicing plinth using cyanoacrylate adhesive, and quickly rinsed using more ice-cold ACSF. The plinth and mounted brain were then transferred to the chamber of a Vibroslice (Campden Instruments, Loughborough, UK) filled with cold ACSF, continually gassed with 95% O₂ / 5% CO₂. Also included in the slicing solution was uric acid (300 µM), N-acetyl-cysteine (250 mM), indomethacin (45 µM) and
ketamine (200 µM). Empirical evidence from our laboratory shows that the COX inhibitor indomethacin greatly improves the quality of the obtained brain slices, with more viable cells, whilst the neuroprotective effects of anti-oxidants such as uric acid and N-acetyl-cysteine are well documented (Sekhon et al., 2003; Jayalakshmi et al., 2005). An improvement in slice viability is also gained through the use of ketamine, and this is likely to result from a reduction of excitotoxicity, mediated through a blockade of NMDA receptors (Church et al., 1988; Fujikawa, 1995). These manipulations have been used in this laboratory for a number of years, and produce slices of high quality, with no evidence for any irreversible abnormal effects.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Normal ACSF</th>
<th>Recording ACSF</th>
<th>Up-state ACSF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>126</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KCl</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.75</td>
<td>3.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgSO₄</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaHCO₃</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaH₂PO₄</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaCl₂</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 2.1: Composition of artificial cerebrospinal fluid (mM)*

Slices were cut with vibration set to 75% of the maximum speed of the vibroslice, moving from posterior to anterior at an ultra-slow pace (2-3 mm per minute). Once the hippocampal formation was clearly observed, along with the beginnings of the rhinal sulcus, slices were cut at 350-400 µM and transferred to a holding chamber (BSC-PC, Harvard Instruments, USA) for recovery. Slices containing the EC and hippocampus were dissected from the horizontal sections, with appearance shown in Fig. 1.3. Slices were cut and retained until the rhinal fissure was no longer apparent in the tissue block. This led to slices containing all hippocampal areas (e.g. CA1-3, dentate gyrus), subiculum, lateral and medial EC and part of the remainder of the parahippocampal cortex. mEC layers were identified based on their distance from the
Figure 2.1: Design of brain slice holding chambers. A: Original in-house chamber constructed from syringes. B: Harvard BSC-PC made from machined Perspex. After cutting, slices are immediately transferred to the holding chamber, where they sit on a nylon mesh, fully submerged in ACSF. Carbogen gas (95% O\textsubscript{2} 5% CO\textsubscript{2}) is bubbled through the chamber, causing the ACSF to circulate, and ensuring that the slices are well oxygenated. Slices in these chambers can stay viable for 6-8 hours after slicing.
pia and the position of the lamina dessicans, if apparent. In all experiments in this thesis, no attempt was made to distinguish results obtained from ventral slices as opposed to those from more dorsal levels.

The slices were allowed to recover at room temperature for at least one hour before recording. The holding chamber for the slices was filled with normal ACSF, along with N-acetyl-cysteine (250 mM) and uric acid (300 µM). The chamber was gassed constantly with 95% O$_2$ / 5% CO$_2$ and was of a design that allowed gassed ACSF to freely circulate around the chamber, maintaining optimum conditions for slice preservation. Slices sat on a nylon net at the bottom of the main holding chamber, and were completely submerged. Two different holding chambers were used over the course of this project. Originally, I used a chamber constructed in-house from the barrels of plastic syringes and a 250 ml Pyrex beaker (Fig. 2.1A). More recently a commercially available holding chamber was substituted for this (Harvard BSC-PC; Fig. 2.1B), and subjective evidence suggests that this has provided a further increase in slice quality.

**Intracellular Recording**

After the recovery period, individual slices were transferred to the recording chamber for experimentation. The custom made chamber was of a standard interface design, with a heated water compartment below the recording area that maintained a constant temperature of 32°C in the recording chamber. The water in the heated compartment was maintained at around 35°C by means of a thermistor controlled power supply, in order to achieve a temperature of 32°C in the recording compartment. Carbogen gas (95% O$_2$ / 5% CO$_2$) was constantly bubbled through the distilled water in the bottom of the chamber to maintain a high degree of humidity above the slices and prevent desiccation. Vents in the side of the recording chamber allowed the humidified gas access to the slices.
Recording ACSF (or up-state ACSF) gassed with carbogen was perfused through the recording chamber using a peristaltic pump (Gilson Minipuls 3) at a rate of 1.0 to 1.5 ml/min. The ACSF was preheated to 28-30°C to prevent gas bubbles forming in the perfusion line and recording chamber. It was gassed with carbogen and had a pH of 7.3 at the recording temperature. The perfusion lines entered the heated water chamber before being directed in to the recording chamber. Slices were placed on a nylon mesh with the pial surface of the EC facing away from the ACSF flow. Levels of ACSF in the recording chamber were altered using a nylon wick positioned downstream of the slice. The wick directed the ACSF to a drain hole at the bottom of the chamber, where fluid was removed by gravity to a waste bucket. Drug solutions were introduced by switching the perfused ACSF to a drug-containing ACSF using a three-way tap. Slices were allowed to equilibrate in the chamber for 15-20 minutes before recordings were attempted, to allow for anti-oxidant washout and recovery.

Borosilicate glass pipettes (Clark/Warner Omega Dot, 1.2 mm outer diameter, 0.69 mm internal diameter with internal filament) were pulled using a Flaming-Brown horizontal puller (Sutter Instruments P-87). Pipettes were filled with 3 M potassium acetate at least one hour before recording, and allowed to rest before use. Pipettes ideally had a resistance of 75-120 MΩ. This pre-fill method allows more stable recordings to be made as minute air bubbles are eliminated from the tip of the electrode during the resting period.

Intracellular membrane potential recordings were obtained using an Axoprobe 1A (Axon Instruments, USA) in bridge mode with a 0.1X headstage. Recordings were made using a blind approach. The tip of the pipette was placed on the surface of the slice under visual control, using a binocular microscope (Leica Wild-M8). At this stage stray pipette and junction potentials were offset to zero using the voltage offset control of the amplifier. Hyperpolarising current pulses (50 ms) were injected at 1 Hz via the recording electrode using the step-command input of the amplifier and driven
by a Master-8 pulse generator (AMPI, Israel). The voltage deflection induced by the pulse was then adjusted to zero using the bridge balance control of the amplifier. At the same time any capacitance transients associated with the onset and offset of the pulses were minimised using the capacitance compensation control.

The pipette was advanced slowly through the target area of the slice at a low speed using a Leitz manual micromanipulator, and the brief negative current pulses were continued. When an increase in resistance at the pipette tip occurred, signified by an increase in the voltage deflection during the current pulses, the Buzz control of the Axoprobe was briefly activated to attempt penetration of the potential cell at the pipette tip. During the buzz an oscillating voltage is applied to the pipette via the head stage capacitor, and the duration, frequency and amplitude of the voltage are set by amplifier controls. The mechanism by which this oscillation aids electrode penetration remains unknown, but it is highly effective.

If a successful penetration was made, then constant hyperpolarising current was also injected into the cell (0.1 – 1.0 nA) via a manual DC current command before starting recordings. This current injection stabilises the neurone at a negative potential and helps recovery from penetration. It is gradually removed as the membrane potential of the neurone stabilises towards a normal resting state. Any neurone that fired action potentials spontaneously when all manual current was removed was discarded from analysis. Neurones accepted for experimentation had stable resting potentials of > -65 mV and action potentials that overshot zero by at least 10 mV. Data were stored on a Microsoft Windows based PC and acquired using a Digidata 1200B analogue to digital converter and Axoscope software (both Axon Instruments, USA). Recordings were digitised at 5 kHz and filtered at 2 kHz.
**Patch Clamp Recording**

To further support the data obtained from the intracellular recordings, voltage clamp recordings of both inhibitory and excitatory spontaneous post-synaptic currents (sIPSC/sEPSC) were made from pyramidal neurones in layer III of the mEC. These spontaneous events are caused by the constant background release of both glutamate and GABA from synapses onto the recorded cell. Patch-clamp recordings of spontaneous events offer a more direct measurement of background excitation and inhibition, although these cannot be recorded at the same time, in the same cell.

Individual slices were transferred (after recovery period) to a submersion chamber (Warner Instruments, Platform P1 with an RC-22C bath) perfused with normal gassed ACSF maintained at 31°C ± 1°C by an inline heater (Warner Instruments, SH-27B with TC-324 controller), and allowed to re-equilibrate for 20 minutes. Slices were visualised using a Zeiss Axioskop upright microscope and a differential phase-contrast infra-red CCD system (Sony XC-77CE) with a CE-4 contrast enhancer (BRSL, Newbury, UK) to further improve visualisation of the slices.

Borosilicate patch pipettes (Harvard PG120T-10, 1.2 mm OD, 0.93 mm ID) were pulled to a resistance of 1-4 MΩ using a Flaming-Brown type horizontal puller (Sutter Instruments P-87) and filled with either IPSC or EPSC patch solution, as described in Table 2.2. Before recording, the osmolality of the solution was measured using an Advanced Instruments M3300 Micro-osmometer. Solutions were diluted until the measured osmolality was 285 mOsmol/kg. pH was adjusted to 7.3 using CsOH. The patch solutions both contained the sodium channel blocker QX-314 to improve space clamp, and each solution was tailored to eliminate any unwanted activity i.e. the EPSC solution minimised IPSC activity and vice versa. These are the two primary reasons why our patch clamp method is not suitable for the simultaneous
measurement of inhibition and excitation, coupled with cellular excitability measurements, which the VmD method allows in intracellular recording.

Pyramidal cells were visually selected from layer III of the mEC. No attempt was made to unequivocally identify the cells as pyramidal by dye injection, however all recorded cells possessed a clear pyramidal morphology. The micropipette was introduced to the cell membrane and a gigaseal was obtained through mouth suction. An Axon Instruments Axopatch 200B was used in voltage clamp mode (all cells were clamped at -60 mV) to record excitatory or inhibitory post-synaptic current events, dependant on the patch solution used. Access resistance was monitored at regular intervals, and if this changed by more than 10% during the course of the recording, the cell was rejected. Reversal potentials for both solutions were 0 mV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>EPSC Solution</th>
<th>IPSC Solution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CsCl</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEPES</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QX-314</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgCl₂</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NaCl</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEA-Cl</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phosphocreatinine</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D-Gluconate</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg-Gluconate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATP-Na</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GTP-Na</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.2: Composition of patch solutions (mM)

Recordings were stored using an Axon Instruments Digidata 1200B analogue to digital converter and saved directly to the hard disc of a Windows PC using Axoscope software (Molecular Devices, USA). Recordings were digitised at 20 kHz, and filtered at 2 kHz. Analysis of spontaneous EPSCs and IPSCs was performed off-line using MiniAnalysis software (Synaptosoft, USA). Spontaneous events were detected using
a threshold-crossing algorithm, and their inter-event interval (IEI), amplitude and 50% decay time were determined. Event detection threshold was adjusted for each neurone, to obtain the smallest events discernable from the baseline noise of the recording, and maintained at the same level throughout the analysis of that neurone. 200 consecutive events were analysed from both control and drug conditions of each neurone.

These patch recordings were also used to obtain decay-time data for use with the SD estimation equation used in the VmD method. 95% decay times of both IPSCs and EPSCs were analysed using Mini-Analysis software (Synaptosoft, USA) and used to calculate the effective time-constants applied to the VmD method, as outlined in Chapter 1. These were chosen after consultation with Alain Destexhe.

**Reversal Potential Measurements**

In order to use the previously discussed VmD method (see Chapter 1), several parameters characterising the recorded cells were needed. Reversal potentials for AMPA and GABAA receptors, as well as leak conductance reversal, were required for each population of cells to be studied. Ideally, all these parameters should be determined for each neurone individually, but this is clearly impractical. Thus, a series of experiments was performed to obtain average reversal potentials for each layer of the mEC, which were then used in all later VmD estimations.

Reversal potentials for AMPAr and GABAAr were obtained using evoked synaptic responses in the presence of a number of antagonists, at a range of membrane voltages. AMPAr mediated EPSP reversals were obtained in the presence of the GABAA antagonist bicuculline (10 µM), the GABAB antagonist CGP-55845A (5 µM), the NMDA antagonist 2-AP5 (30 µM) and the GluR5-specific kainate antagonist UBP-302 (20 µM). A bipolar stimulating electrode was placed on the surface of the slice in layer V of the lateral EC. Synaptic responses were evoked using monopolar, square-
wave pulses (0.1 ms duration, 5-30 V) delivered via the stimulating electrode at 0.05 Hz using an isolated stimulator (AMPI Iso-flex, Israel) driven by pulses from the Master-8. The amplitude of the evoked AMPA receptor mediated EPSPs was set at about 85% of maximal, and they were recorded over a range of membrane voltages set by manual DC injection through the recording electrode. The first responses were measured at around -100 mV, and membrane voltage was then decreased in 5 mV steps until the recording became unstable (usually at around -40 mV), due to the failure of the pipette to pass current. Bridge balance was monitored throughout and adjusted as necessary. Five EPSPs were evoked at each voltage level, and these were averaged, graphed and extrapolated to determine the reversal potential.

For GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor reversal potentials, the same procedure was carried out. The blocking cocktail consisted of CGP-55845A (5 µM), 2-AP5 (30 µM), UBP-302 (20 µM) and the AMPA receptor antagonist NBQX (10 µM).

Leak reversal potential is essentially the resting membrane potential of the cell when all synaptic activity is abolished. Ions flowing around the site of impalement, through voltage-dependent channels and by active transport all contribute to the resting potential of the cell, even without EPSPs and IPSPs mediated by synaptic activity. Using TTX in this instance is not appropriate, due to the constant background release of neurotransmitter from synapses surrounding the cell. Even when action potential activity is abolished, miniature EPSC/IPSC activity is still observed due to release of neurotransmitter from a distinct pool of vesicles at the pre-synaptic membrane (Sara et al., 2005). Instead, the AMPA and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptor reversal potential blocker cocktails were combined, to ensure that all major receptors were blocked. 10 minute recordings of membrane potential were then made after a resting period of 20 minutes from first impalement.
The VmD Method

Using the mean data collected for AMPAr, GABA\textsubscript{A}r and leak reversal potentials, and employing the intracellular recording method detailed above, the VmD method (Rudolph et al., 2004) was used to gain estimates of background excitatory (E\textsubscript{Bg}) and inhibitory (I\textsubscript{Bg}) conductance levels in principal cells of the mEC. When a stable intracellular impalement was obtained, resting membrane potential was recorded for a period of 10-15 minutes. At intervals thereafter, neurones were depolarised (for 10-15 seconds) to two sub-threshold levels by injection of known positive currents (I\textsubscript{ext1} and I\textsubscript{ext2}) via the recording electrode (Fig. 2.2B). The values of the currents differed from neurone to neurone, but were maintained the same throughout any individual experiment. I\textsubscript{ext2} was chosen to elicit a depolarisation to within 1-2 mV of action potential threshold and I\textsubscript{ext1} was adjusted to depolarise the neurone to about halfway between I\textsubscript{ext2} and resting membrane potential.

Membrane potential fluctuations at these two levels were fitted to Gaussian distributions and the mean and standard deviation of the membrane potential determined (Fig. 2.2C). Leak conductance in each neurone was calculated from the ohmic response produced by a small (0.1-0.3 nA, 100 ms) hyperpolarising current, injected at resting membrane potential. This leak value was not found to differ significantly at the depolarising currents, as long as the amplifier bridge was properly balanced.

These parameters, together with the mean reversal potentials derived from preliminary experiments, allowed us to apply the VmD equation (Fig. 2.2D; Eq. 10 and 11 in Chapter 1) to quantify background inhibitory and excitatory conductances resulting from global network input onto individual neurones.
Figure 2.2: The VmD Method. A: Intracellular recordings were made from pyramidal neurones in slices of rat EC. B: At intervals, the membrane potential was depolarised by injection of two known external currents $I_{\text{ext1}}$ and $I_{\text{ext2}}$. C: Membrane potential fluctuations at each current level were fitted to Gaussian distributions and the mean and standard deviation determined. D: These values, together with previously determined reversal potentials for AMPAr ($E_c$) and GABA$_A$ ($E_l$) and the leak conductance ($g_{\text{L}}$) obtained during each recording, were used to calculate $I_{\text{Bg}}$ and $E_{\text{Bg}}$ using the VmD method outlined by Rudolph et al. (2004).
Example Calculation

What follows is a step-by-step calculation of the conductance and standard deviation values for one VmD run from a single neurone. This was a layer III pyramidal cell recorded under control conditions (i.e. normal ACSF and no added drug). Resting membrane potential was -75.6 mV.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>External Current 1 ($I_{Ext1}$)</td>
<td>0.15 nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>External Current 2 ($I_{Ext2}$)</td>
<td>0.33 nA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitatory Reversal ($E_e$)</td>
<td>6.6 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitory Reversal ($E_i$)</td>
<td>-66.7 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Voltage 1 ($V_1$)</td>
<td>-68.7 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Voltage 2 ($V_2$)</td>
<td>-60.3 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation 1 ($\sigma_1$)</td>
<td>0.6 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standard Deviation 2 ($\sigma_2$)</td>
<td>1.22 mV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Membrane Area ($a$)</td>
<td>34636 µm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific Membrane Capacitance ($C_m$)</td>
<td>1 µF/cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excitatory Decay Time ($\tau_e$)</td>
<td>13.65 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inhibitory Decay Time ($\tau_i$)</td>
<td>29.05 ms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellular Leak Conductance ($g_{L}$)</td>
<td>20 nS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The equation must be calculated in a specific order. First, the conductances must be determined (Eq. 10, Chapter 1), as they need to be inserted into the equation that determines the standard deviation.

$$g_{(e,i)0} = \frac{(I_{ext1} - I_{ext2})[\sigma^2_{11}(E_{(e,i)} - V_1)^2 - \sigma^2_{1i}(E_{(e,i)} - V_2)^2]}{[(E_e - V_1)(E_i - V_2) + (E_e - V_2)(E_i - V_1)][(E_{(e,i)} - E_{(i,e)})(V_1 - V_2)]}$$

$$= \frac{(I_{ext1} - I_{ext2})(E_{(e,i)} - V_2) + [I_{ext2} - g_L a(E_{(e,i)} - E_L)](V_1 - V_2)}{(E_{(e,i)} - E_{(i,e)})(V_1 - V_2)}$$
Excitatory and inhibitory conductances are calculated separately from each other. To achieve the value for excitatory conductance, we substitute the correct parameters into the VmD equation, thus:

\[
G_{eo} = \frac{1.58E^{-21} - 4.46E^{-13}}{-1.80151E^{-9} - 6.16E^{-14}}
\]

This simplifies to:

\[
G_{eo} = \frac{0.15nF - 0.33nF(0.6mF) + 0.6mF - 0.3mF)}{0.6mF - 0.3mF(0.6mF)}
\]

Which gives an excitatory conductance value \(g_{eo}\), referred to in this thesis as \(E_{Bg}\) of 0.724 nS. Recalculating this equation for \(g_{io}\) (referred to in this thesis as \(I_{Bg}\)) gives an inhibitory conductance value of 3.45 nS, with an I:E ratio of 4.8.

The next stage is to calculate the standard deviation of the conductance components (Eq. 11, Chapter 1), which may provide some insight into the level of synchronisation within the network (Rudolph et al., 2004).

\[
\sigma^2_{(e,i)} = \frac{2aC_m(E_{i,e} - \bar{V}_2)^2 - \sigma^2_{(e,i)}}{\bar{\tau}_{(e,i)}[(E_e - \bar{V}_1)(E_i - \bar{V}_2) + (E_e - \bar{V}_2)(E_i - \bar{V}_1)(E_{i,e} - E_{i,e})]} \frac{(E_{i,e} - \bar{V}_2)^2}{\bar{\tau}_{(e,i)}}
\]

Additionally, the effective noise time constant must be calculated, using the following:

\[
\tilde{\tau}_{(e,i)} = \frac{2\tau_{(e,i)}\tau_0}{\tau_{(e,i)}} + \tau_0 \quad \text{where} \quad \tau_0 = \frac{aC_m}{ag_L + g_{eo} + g_{io}}
\]

Substituting the necessary values into these equations, we get:

\[
\sigma^2_e = \frac{-6.23E^{-20} \times 8.79E^{-12}}{4.29E^{-2} \times (-1.80151E^{-9})}
\]

Taking the square root of this gives us a standard deviation of 84 pS for \(g_{eo}\), with a value of 0.12 nS for \(g_{io}\).
Excitability Measurements

Since the intracellular recordings were performed blind, it was imperative to properly characterise their electrophysiological properties in order to identify which cells were being recorded and ensure that pyramidal cells only were studied. Identification of interneurones, pyramidal and spiny stellate cells could all be achieved through a comparison of their resting potential, action potential characteristics and response to injected current (e.g. Heinemann et al., 2000). In the majority of recordings (layer III), pyramidal cells were selected that exhibited no constitutive firing and maintained a resting membrane potential of between -65 and -80 mV.

![Response of layer III pyramidal cell to depolarising current](image)

Figure 2.3: Response of layer III pyramidal cell to depolarising current. Left – a single action potential evoked by a 50ms depolarising current pulse. Right – a train of spikes generated by a supra-threshold 250 ms depolarising pulse.

The current pulses used to characterise the recorded cell-type were also used to gauge cellular excitability. Between periods of VmD measurements, the step-command input of the amplifier, driven by pulses from the Master-8, was used to inject depolarising pulses (0.1-1.0 nA) via the recording electrode. These pulses were of two types: short (50 ms) pulses with amplitude incrementally increased so that one action potential was evoked at the peak of the depolarisation, and longer (250 ms) suprathreshold
pulses, eliciting a train of action potentials. The short pulses allowed firing threshold (from rest) to be determined, and the longer pulses provided a measure of firing frequency. These parameters allowed cellular excitability to be quantified. These measurements were carried out throughout the recording, in order to constantly monitor cellular excitability and ensure that the recorded cell was healthy and viable.

**Figure 2.4: Measurement of cellular excitability from single evoked action potential.** Higher spike threshold would indicate a lower probability of action potential formation, suggesting that the recorded cell is less excitable. Spike amplitude is measured to investigate the actions of the observed drugs on voltage-gated sodium channel activity, and ensure that cells remain viable throughout recording.

**Results and Statistics**

All values are expressed as mean ± standard error. Unless otherwise noted, all statistics are paired Student’s t-tests with a threshold of $P=0.05$. Where one-way ANOVA have been used to compare multiple groups, Bonferroni post-hoc tests were performed on all groups where $P<0.05$. Statistical analysis and membrane frequency distributions were calculated using GraphPad Prism 4 software. VmD method calculations were performed using Microsoft Excel 2003.
List of Drugs Used

Unless otherwise stated, all drugs were dissolved in de-ionised water.

R-AP5 ([R]-2-amino-5-phosphonopentanoic acid; NMDA receptor antagonist; Tocris)

4-AP (4-aminopyridine; potassium channel blocker; Tocris, dissolved in 50% dimethylsulphoxide)

Bicuculline methiodide ([R-(R*,S*)]-5-(6,8-dihydro-8-oxofuro[3,4-e]-1,3-benzodioxol-6-yl)-5,6,7,8-tetra-hydro-6,6-dimethyl-1,3-dioxolo[4,5-g]isoquinolinium iodide; GABA_A antagonist; Tocris)

Carbenoxolone (3β-hydroxy-11-oxooolean-12-en-30-oic acid 3-hemisuccinate; gap junction blocker; Sigma-Aldrich, UK)

CGP-55845A (2S)-3-[[[(1S)-1-(3,4-dichlorophenyl)ethyl]amino-2-hydroxypropyl] (phenylmethyl)-phosphinic acid; GABA_B antagonist; Tocris)

Ethosuximide (2-Ethyl-2-methylsuccinamide; anticonvulsant; Sigma-Aldrich, dissolved in 20% ethanol)

Felbamate (2-Phenyl-1,3-propanediol dicarbamate; anticonvulsant; Sigma-Aldrich)

Gabapentin (1-(aminomethyl)cyclohexaneacetic acid; anticonvulsant; Tocris)

GYKI-53655 (1-(4-aminophenyl)-3-methylcarbamoyl-4-methyl-3,4-dihydro-7,8-methylenedioxy-5H-2,3-benzodiazepine; AMPA receptor antagonist, gift from Dr Dick Evans, Bristol University)
Lamotrigine (6-(2,3-dichlorophenyl)-1,2,4-triazine-3,5-diamine; anticonvulsant; Tocris)

NBQX (6-nitro-7-sulfamoylbenzo[f]quinoxlone-2,3-dione disodium; AMPA/Kainate receptor antagonist; Tocris, UK)

PDC (L-trans-pyrrolidine-2,4-dicarboxylic acid; excitatory amino acid transporter blocker; Tocris)

Phenytoin (5,5-diphenylhydantoin; anticonvulsant; Sigma-Aldrich)

QX-314 bromide (N-(2,6-dimethylphenylcarbamoylmethyl)triethylammonium bromide; Na-channel blocker; Tocris)

Sodium Valproate (Anticonvulsant; Sigma-Aldrich)

Tiagabine ((3S)-1-[4,4-bis(3-methylthiophen-2-yl)but-3-enyl] piperidine-3-carboxylic acid; GABA uptake blocker/anticonvulsant; gift from Dr John Lambert, Aarhus University, Denmark)

TMA (Trimethylamine; Gap junction opener; Sigma-Aldrich)

TTX (Tetrodotoxin; Na-channel blocker; Alomone Labs, Israel)

UBP-302 ((S)-1-(2-amino-2-carboxyethyl)-3-(2-carboxybenzyl)pyrimidine-2,4-dione; selective GluR5 antagonist; gift from Dr David Jane, Bristol University)
CHAPTER 3

EVALUATION OF THE VmD METHOD IN THE EC
Introduction

In order to be confident in the abilities of the VmD method, its limitations and its ability to measure predictable effects of known pharmacological tools on global background conductance needed to be investigated. In this Chapter, I will outline the methods used to construct and limit the VmD method, and observe the effect of common drugs on background conductance (and hence network activity) in layer III of the mEC.

The global background conductances estimated by the VmD method represent the activity of the network in which the observed neurone is embedded. Drugs that change the activity of the network as a whole will therefore have a marked effect on the estimated conductances. The relative changes between \( I_{Bg} \) and \( E_{Bg} \) therefore provide insight into the relationship between the two major neurone populations of the network, the principal, excitatory glutamatergic cells and inhibitory GABAergic interneurones.

Spontaneous transmitter release at central synapses has two components, that driven by sodium-dependent action potentials (APs) invading the presynaptic terminal, and an AP-independent component which reflects quantal release. AP-dependent release is often multi-quantal, and depends on calcium entry through voltage-gated calcium channels. In contrast, AP-independent (“miniature”) neurotransmitter release reflects stochastic release of transmitter quanta from individual vesicles, and can occur at basal calcium levels when APs are blocked. As noted in Chapter 1, the majority of spontaneous GABA and glutamate release in both layer V and layer II neurones of the EC consists of miniature events (Berretta and Jones, 1996a; Woodhall et al., 2004; Jones and Woodhall, 2005). Unpublished whole-cell voltage clamp recordings in our laboratory show that sIPSC frequency in layer III neurones is reduced by around 50-60% by addition of the voltage-gated sodium channel blocker TTX, whereas the toxin
only elicits a fall of around 20% in sEPSCs (Chamberlain and Jones, unpublished observations).

Activity-independent release can be isolated by blocking action potentials with TTX. I used this approach in the current experiments to determine what proportion of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were attributable to activity-dependent/independent release.

In the second series of experiments in this Chapter I attempted to induce a generalised increase in network activity by increasing the extracellular concentration of $K^+$ (to 7.5 mM from 3.75 mM control). This shifts the reversal potential of voltage-gated (and other) potassium channels to a less negative value (e.g. Charpak et al., 1990), causing a general depolarisation and slowing the repolarisation of neurones during action potentials. It is likely that this would result in increased spontaneous firing of neurones coupled with increased GABA and glutamate release. Thus, this approach should, to some extent, mimic a more *in vivo*-like situation, where spontaneous activity is considerably higher (Jones and Woodhall, 2005).

The voltage-gated potassium channel blocker 4-aminopyridine (4-AP) has been shown to increase the amplitude and frequency of spontaneous postsynaptic potentials, with convulsant properties at higher concentrations (Galvan et al., 1982). 4-AP blocks A-type channels (Gustafsson et al., 1982), which have been shown to have no bearing on VmD estimates by Rudolph et al., (2004). However, blockade of these channels would be expected to prolong depolarisation at inhibitory and excitatory terminals, increasing release of both glutamate and GABA. In this Chapter I have used 4-AP at two different concentrations. The overall level of conductance for both inhibitory and excitatory components would be expected to rise with each concentration. Furthermore, the GABA$_A$R blocker bicuculline and the AMPA$_R$ blocker NBQX were applied in the presence of 4-AP to investigate the changes in conductance that would be precipitated by these drugs in a high-conductance state.
**Methods**

All recordings were made in layer III pyramidal neurones of the rat EC, obtained from juvenile male Wistar rats (50-70g). Typically, these neurones had a resting membrane potential of $-72.2 \pm 0.5$ mV, input resistance of $79 \pm 6$ MΩ and action potential amplitude (from threshold) and half-widths of $72.1 \pm 1.6$ mV and $0.32 \pm 0.01$ ms, respectively. Reversal potentials for GABA$_A$ receptors were obtained by stimulation of white or grey matter near the recording site, in the presence of the NMDAr blocker R-AP5 (30 µM), the AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist NBQX (10 µM) and the GABA$_B$ receptor antagonist CGP-55845A (5 µM). Responses to electrical stimulation were measured at a range of membrane potentials (-100 to -50 mV) and extrapolated to obtain the reversal potential at $V_m=0$. Similarly, AMPAr reversal potentials were calculated in the presence of R-AP5, CGP-55845A and the GABA$_A$ receptor antagonist bicuculline (10 µM). Leak reversal potentials were measured by recording the resting membrane potential in the presence of all four of the above antagonists. All cells were allowed to rest for 5-10 minutes after impalement before recording was attempted.

The majority of cells in this Chapter were recorded with K-Ac filled pipettes. In 6 neurones, intracellular recordings using the VmD method were obtained using electrodes filled with QX-314 (5 mM) and caesium chloride (125 mM) to investigate the effects of internal voltage-gated sodium and potassium channel blockade. In these cells, VmD measurements were performed within 1-2 minutes of impalement, before the internal channel blockers dialysed into the cell. Positive current (0.2-0.5 nA) was then used at the recording electrode to aid dialysis of the channel blockers into the recorded neurone, before another VmD measurement was made at 10-15 minutes after impalement.

Whole-cell voltage clamp recordings (held at -80 mV) were made in a small series of experiments to determine decay time constants for sEPSCs and sIPSCs.
Results

Methodological Considerations

The conductance estimates provided by the VmD method are based on the Gaussian approximation of the recorded membrane voltage at the two levels of polarisation, so the range of voltages at which this approximation holds has to be considered. For this purpose, I followed Rudolph et al. (2004) in restricting measurements to voltage ranges between -75 mV and -50 mV. The method requires the removal of any action potential activity from recordings as the spike, and any voltage-gated ion channel activity before and after it, will substantially effect the distribution and standard deviation of the recorded membrane voltage (Rudolph et al., 2004). Thus, depolarising the cell to a point where firing is constant and rapid drastically reduces the quiescent period necessary to construct the conductance model.

Thus, the depolarising current used in the present studies was adjusted so that the higher current level held the neurone slightly below its firing threshold. This allows the membrane to be at its most excitable whilst reducing the amount of action potentials that must be removed.

The estimates of $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ require knowledge of the reversal potentials for AMPA and GABA$_A$ receptors, and the leak conductance and reversal. The leak conductance can be measured simply by injecting a hyperpolarising current pulse through the recording electrode, and using Ohm’s law to calculate resistance, so specific measurement of this property is not needed. It was obtained before each run of the current injection protocol used in the VmD method. However, it is impractical to measure reversal potentials on a cell-by-cell basis. The necessary use of antagonists to obtain measurements of reversal potentials necessarily blocks receptors that mediate
$E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$. Thus, the reversal potentials were characterised separately and individually in neurones other than those used for VmD measurements.

Decay times, necessary to construct the “effective time constant” of the VmD method, were obtained from whole-cell patch clamp recordings made from layer III mEC pyramidal cells. Voltage clamp recordings were made as described in Chapter 2. EPSCs and IPSCs were recorded from quiescent neurones and the 95% decay time was used instead of the time constant (as recommended by Alain Destexhe, personal communication) to obtain the values necessary for the VmD equation (see Chapter 1, Eq. 11 and 12). Care was taken to ensure that both slow and fast conductances were included in the decay time.

**Determination of Reversal Potentials**

Reversal potentials for AMPAr-mediated EPSPs were obtained from 6 pyramidal neurones in layer III. Membrane voltage was manually clamped in 5 mV increments from -95 to -65 mV, and three EPSPs evoked at each voltage level for each cell. It was found that, at potentials less negative than -65 mV, problems were encountered with ability to pass current and membrane potential became too unstable to obtain reliable evoked measurements. Voltage offset after recording was carefully noted to ensure that the observed membrane potentials were as accurate as possible. Fig. 3.1A shows the averaged IV plots for the 6 neurones. Extrapolation of the plot gave an average reversal potential for AMPA receptors in layer III of 6.8 mV.

Reversal potentials for GABA$_A$ receptor-mediated IPSPs were obtained in a similar fashion. 6 cells were recorded at membrane voltage steps of 5mV, from -95 to -70mV. The average relationship for the peak amplitude of IPSPs plotted against $V_M$ is shown in Fig. 3.1B. The reversal potential for these receptors was found by linear regression to be -66.7 mV.
Figure 3.1: Reversal potentials for (A) AMPA and (B) GABA\(_A\) receptor mediated synaptic responses in layer III. Evoked responses at a range of membrane were averaged and plotted, using linear regression, from 6 pyramidal neurones in layer III of the mEC to find the reversal potentials of AMPA and GABA\(_A\) receptors. AMPA\(_r\) reversal was 6.8 mV, whilst GABA\(_{Ar}\) reversal was -66.7 mV.
Layer III Decay Times

Whole-cell patch clamp recordings were made from a total of 12 pyramidal cells in layer III of the mEC. The average 95% decay time for sEPSCs in 6 neurones was 13.7 ± 1.0 ms. The average 95% decay time of sIPSCs in 6 neurones was 29.1 ± 2.7 ms.

Baseline Values for \( E_{BG} \) and \( I_{BG} \) in Layer III

Currently, the VmD method is applicable to GABA\(_A\) and AMPA receptor-mediated conductances (Rudolph et al., 2004), and does not take into account GABA\(_B\) or NMDA receptor mediated activity. However, it is unlikely that spontaneous activation of GABA\(_B\) receptors occurs in EC neurones (Woodhall et al., 2004), and although spontaneous currents mediated by postsynaptic NMDAr do occur in slices, such events are very infrequent (Berretta and Jones, 1996a). Thus, the vast majority of the background synaptic noise in EC neurones is mediated via AMPA and GABA\(_A\) receptors.

Baseline values for \( E_{BG} \) and \( I_{BG} \) have been averaged in a population of 61 layer III neurones (Fig. 3.2). Mean \( E_{BG} \) in these neurones was 2.5 ± 0.3 nS and \( I_{BG} \) was 10.2 ± 1.6 nS, giving a ratio of approximately 4:1 in favour of inhibition. There was considerable variability in the two conductances from neurone to neurone. There are a number of practical reasons why this may be so, including the day to day variation in quality of slices, whether slices were selected from more dorsal or ventral regions, variations in preservation of intrinsic connectivity etc. It seems unlikely that the variations are due to sampling of different neuronal types. We studied regular spiking neurones in layer III, and these have an homogenous pyramidal cell morphology and electrophysiological characteristics (e.g. Dickson et al., 1997; Tahvildari and Alonso, 2005). Typically (n=6), these neurones had a resting potential of -72.2 ± 0.5 mV,
input resistance of $79 \pm 6$ MΩ and action potential amplitude (from threshold of $21.2 \pm 2.3$) and half-widths of $72.1 \pm 1.6$ mV and $0.32 \pm 0.01$ ms, respectively.

**Figure 3.2:** Distribution of conductance values for layer III pyramidal cells. Scatter plot shows values for $E_{Bg}$ (top) and $I_{Bg}$ (bottom) taken from 61 neurones in layer III of the mEC.

Despite the neurone to neurone variation $I_{Bg}$ was consistently greater than $E_{Bg}$. The ratio of inhibitory to excitatory conductances (I:E) was clearly in favour of inhibition (4.08:1), and this is similar to the situation estimated from naturally occurring up-states of activity recorded in ferret occipital cortex *in vitro* (Rudolph *et al*., 2004), and cat neocortex *in vivo* (Rudolph *et al*., 2007). In other experiments in this laboratory we have been studying sEPSCs and sIPSCs using whole-cell patch clamp recording in layer III neurones. Whilst it is difficult to directly relate global conductances to spontaneous currents, it is pertinent that in a sample of 7 neurones sIPSCs had a mean frequency and amplitude of $12.4 \pm 2.9$ Hz and $37.4 \pm 3.2$ pA, whilst in a further 7 neurones the corresponding values for sEPSCs were $6.6 \pm 1.2$ Hz and $11.5 \pm 0.9$ pA. Together with the considerably longer decay times for sIPSCs noted above, it is clear that total charge transfer associated with spontaneous inhibitory events would be
considerably greater than that of spontaneous excitation (Chamberlain and Jones, unpublished observations).

**High Potassium ACSF**

Increasing extracellular potassium depolarised the resting membrane potential by 2.9 ± 0.7 mV. When extracellular potassium was elevated from 3.75 mM to 7.5 mM (n=7), both \( E_{Bg} \) and \( I_{Bg} \) were increased (Fig. 3.3). \( E_{Bg} \) increased from a control level of 2.4 ± 0.5 nS to 4.4 ± 1.3 nS. This increase was significant to \( P=0.04 \). \( I_{Bg} \), however, increased substantially more, from 7.1 ± 1.6 nS to 34.0 ± 12.7 nS (significant at \( P=0.03 \)). These changes caused a shift in the I:E ratio in favour of inhibition, from 3.1 ± 0.2 to 8.6 ± 2.6.

When the standard deviation (SD) of the conductances was calculated, raising the extracellular potassium had practically no effect on \( E_{Bg} \) SD: the control value was 5.0 ± 1.9 pS and in high-K\(^+\) it was 5.1 ± 1.6 pS (\( P>0.05 \)). \( I_{Bg} \) SD, similarly, showed no change (0.12 ± 0.04 nS to 0.13 ± 0.05 nS, \( P>0.05 \)).

The change in I:E ratio was accompanied by changes in cellular excitability. Spike firing threshold showed a marked reduction (20.0 ± 0.6 mV to 13.0 ± 0.3 mV, \( P<0.001 \)). However, surprisingly, the number of spikes generated during a 250ms depolarising pulse was unchanged (4.3 ± 0.3 vs 4.3 ± 0.9, \( P>0.05 \)). The overall amplitude of action potentials evoked during a 50 ms depolarising pulse was reduced from 93.1 ± 0.7 mV to 85.0 ± 1.6 mV. This change was found to be significant at \( P<0.001 \).
Figure 3.3: Effect of increasing $[K^+]_o$ on background activity and neuronal excitability. A: Examples of membrane potential recordings made in two layer III neurones at two levels of injected current in control and high-$K^+$ ACSF. B: The bars show the mean (± sem) values for background conductances, measured using the $V_m D$ approach, pooled from 7 neurones. Note the difference in scales for $I_{Bg}$ (left) and $E_{Bg}$ (right). This applies to all subsequent similar figures. The right hand panel shows the $I:E$ ratio. C: Mean SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in the same neurones as in (A).
(Fig. 3.3 Cont) Note scale for $E_{Bg}$ SD is in pS, not nS in this and subsequent figures. D: Mean action potential width measured at half height in the same neurones. E: Threshold for spike firing measured as mV from rest. F: Spike amplitude as measured from threshold G: Number of spikes generated by a 250 ms supermaximal depolarising pulse. Asterisks indicate $P>0.05$ by paired t-test in this and all subsequent figures.

4-Aminopyridine

4-aminopyridine was applied to 6 neurones at two concentrations (20 µM and 40 µM). Subsequently the AMPA/kainate receptor antagonist NBQX (10 µM) was added, followed by the GABA_A receptor antagonist bicuculline (20 µM).

Addition of 20 µM 4-AP caused an upward trend in both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$, with $I_{Bg}$ going from $6.3 \pm 1.1$ nS to $24.6 \pm 9.6$ nS (Fig. 3.4). $E_{Bg}$ increased from $1.7 \pm 0.3$ nS to $4.2 \pm 0.8$ nS. Neither change was significant by ANOVA. However, increasing the concentration of 4-AP to 40 µM caused $E_{Bg}$ to become significantly different from control values ($P=0.01$) at $4.6 \pm 0.7$ nS. 40µM 4-AP caused a slight decrease in mean $I_{Bg}$ ($19.1 \pm 4.4$ nS) compared to 20 µM, however the smaller error values led to a significant difference from control ($P=0.03$). The I:E ratio was essentially unchanged throughout, at $3.9 \pm 0.5$ for control conditions, $5.2 \pm 1.2$ in the presence of 20 µM 4-AP, and $4.2 \pm 0.9$ when 4-AP was increased to 40 µM (Fig. 3.4A).

The SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ was steadily decreased by the rising 4-AP concentration (Fig. 3.4B). Control values for the SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were $0.35 \pm 0.20$ nS and $6.5 \pm 3.3$ pS respectively. In the presence of 20 µM 4-AP, these decreased to $0.13 \pm 0.09$ nS for $I_{Bg}$ SD and $3.2 \pm 1.8$ nS for $E_{Bg}$ SD. When the 4-AP concentration was increased to 40 µM, $I_{Bg}$ SD decreased further to $0.022 \pm 0.008$ nS, whilst $E_{Bg}$ SD decreased to $1.8 \pm 0.2$ pS. None of these changes were found to be significant by ANOVA.
Figure 3.4: Effect of 4-AP on background activity and cellular excitability. Details as for Fig. 3.3 A: 4-AP significantly increases both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ at a concentration of 40 µM, but does not change the I:E ratio or significantly affect the SDs (B), despite causing a noticeable downward trend in $I_{Bg}$ SD. The increased conductance would appear to be mediated by enhanced transmitter release due to prolonged action potentials (C) which would prolong depolarisation at presynaptic terminals. However, due to the lack of change in I:E ratio, cellular excitability is not affected (D-F).
In the presence of 4-AP there appeared to be slight reduction in cellular excitability, most likely because of the increase in inhibition. From a control level of 21.7 ± 2.0 mV, spike firing threshold was essentially unchanged at 22.7 ± 1.9 mV in the presence of 20 µM 4-AP, and only slightly higher at 24.2 ± 0.8 mV with 40 µM 4-AP ($P>0.05$). The mean number of spikes generated by a 250 ms depolarising pulse was 5.5 ± 0.2 in control conditions, 5.2 ± 0.2 with 20 µM 4-AP and 4.3 ± 0.2 with 40 µM 4-AP. The latter change was found to be significant with ANOVA ($P<0.001$). The overall amplitude of evoked action potentials was unaffected (see Figs 3.4 C-E).

Figure 3.5: Effects of NBQX and bicuculline on background activity on 4-AP treated neurones. Details as for Fig. 3.3. A: NBQX in the presence of 4-AP causes a significant drop in $E_{Bg}$, but has no effect on $I_{Bg}$. Subsequent addition of bicuculline caused a reduction in $I_{Bg}$, increased $E_{Bg}$ back to previous levels, and (B) significantly increased the SD of $I_{Bg}$. The I:E ratio is shifted in favour of inhibition by NBQX and excitation by bicuculline. Asterisk indicates $P<0.05$ by one-way ANOVA.
Further experiments used specific antagonists to determine whether the increase in $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ with 4-AP were mediated by increased activation of GABA\textsubscript{A} and AMPA receptors. Addition of the AMPAr antagonist NBQX (10 $\mu$M) during 4-AP caused a marked decrease in $E_{Bg}$ and an increase in inhibitory conductance (Fig. 3.5A). The I:E ratio increased to 23.9 ± 8.2 compared with 4.2 ± 0.9 in 40 $\mu$M 4-AP. The SD of $I_{Bg}$ was decreased to 0.017 ± 0.013 nS, and $E_{Bg}$ SD was increased to 4.0 ± 1.7 pS compared to 4-AP alone (see above and Fig. 3.5B).

The subsequent and sequential addition of the GABA\textsubscript{A} antagonist bicuculline (20 $\mu$M) to these neurones caused a pronounced reduction in $I_{Bg}$ (to 8.5 ± 5.2 nS). However, surprisingly, $E_{Bg}$ was actually increased compared to the value obtained under NBQX (to 4.5 ± 1.5 nS). Thus, with bicuculline plus NBQX, $E_{Bg}$ was almost the same as with 4-AP alone. I:E ratio was reduced to 2.1 ± 0.6, and SD values were 0.14 ± 0.012 nS for $I_{Bg}$ and 5.0 ± 2.0 pS for $E_{Bg}$. The change in $E_{Bg}$ elicited by NBQX was found to be significant ($P$=0.04), and the change in $I_{Bg}$ mediated by bicuculline was significant at $P$=0.03. Of the SD measurements, only the change in $I_{Bg}$ SD caused by bicuculline was significant ($P$<0.001). No ratio changes were significant by ANOVA.

**Tetrodotoxin**

TTX (1 $\mu$M) was added to 6 neurones, with the further addition of NBQX (10 $\mu$M) and bicuculline (10 $\mu$M) in 3 each of these neurones. A separate group (n=5) was recorded in high potassium (7.5 mM) ACSF in the presence of TTX. No measures of cellular excitability could be made as abolition of action potentials by TTX precludes estimates of spike threshold etc.
Figure 3.6: Effect of TTX (1 µM) on conductance estimates and conductance SDs in layer III pyramidal neurones. Details are as for Fig. 3.3. A: Blockade of VGSC with TTX precipitates a far larger change in $I_{Bg}$ than in $E_{Bg}$, suggesting that inhibitory transmission in layer III is more dependant upon action-potential activity than excitatory transmission is. Thus, the I:E ratio shifts towards excitation when VGSC are blocked. B: The SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ is unaffected by TTX. This is surprising as the SDs are thought to be a measure of synchrony, which would logically be mediated largely by action potentials.
Figure 3.7: Effect of increased extracellular K\(^+\) in neurones pre-treated with TTX. A: High-K\(^+\) with TTX did not have a significant effect on conductance estimates. B: Similarly, the SDs of both I\(_{Bg}\) and E\(_{Bg}\) were not significantly changed, although the SD of I\(_{Bg}\) shows a trend towards reduction.

The addition of TTX caused a reduction in both E\(_{Bg}\) and I\(_{Bg}\). However, the reduction in I\(_{Bg}\) (18.3 ± 3.8 nS to 6.7 ± 1.5 nS) was much larger than the reduction in E\(_{Bg}\) (4.4 ± 1.0 nS to 3.6 ± 1.1 nS). Both changes were found to be significant using paired t-test (\(P<0.01\) for both, Fig. 3.6A). TTX did not cause a significant change in either I\(_{Bg}\) or E\(_{Bg}\) SD (Fig. 3.6B).

The effect of potassium-induced depolarisation during VGSC blockade was investigated by increasing extracellular potassium to 7.5 mM in the presence of TTX. Transition to high potassium ACSF only slightly increased I\(_{Bg}\) from 6.7 ± 1.5 nS to
8.3 ± 2.2 nS. $E_{B_g}$, however, was essentially unaffected by high potassium (3.6 ± 1.1 nS vs 3.4 ± 0.5 nS). The I:E ratio was also unaffected (2.2 ± 0.5 vs 2.4 ± 0.5). None of these changes were found to be statistically significant (Fig. 3.7A). The SD of $I_{B_g}$ and $E_{B_g}$ was unaffected by high K$^+$ with TTX (Fig. 3.7B).

**Internal Voltage-gated Channel Blockade**

In their description of the VmD method, Rudolph et al. (2004) tested the effects of including active conductances, including voltage-dependent Na$^+$ and K$^+$ currents for spike generation, $I_M$ for spike-frequency adaptation, a transient A-type K$^+$ current ($I_{KA}$), a low-threshold Ca$^{2+}$ current ($I_{CaT}$) and a hyperpolarisation-activated current ($I_h$) in soma and dendrites. Although greater variability and small deviation of estimated background conductances was seen in this model, overall the inclusion of these conductances had little effect. I have attempted to partially replicate this situation in my experiments by effectively *deleting* voltage-gated Na$^+$ and K$^+$ conductances by inclusion of Cs$^+$ and QX-314 in the recording electrode in 5 neurones.

In two of these neurones, despite no obvious differences in voltage traces, the VmD method was unable to calculate conductance values, with the neurones producing membrane voltage distributions that led to impossible conductance estimates (i.e. negative numbers). The remaining three neurones showed very little difference in background synaptic activity estimated immediately after penetration and after 15 minutes internal dialysis with Cs$^+$ and QX-314. $I_{B_g}$ was 10.1 ± 2.0 nS in control conditions and 12.2 ± 2.7 nS after 15 minutes recording. Likewise, $E_{B_g}$ was 3.2 ± 0.5 nS before and 3.6 ± 0.3 nS after VGC block (Fig. 3.8A). This meant that the I:E ratio was largely unchanged. None of the changes was statistically significant. In addition, the SD of both $I_{B_g}$ and $E_{B_g}$ although slightly reduced by VGC blockade, was not significantly altered (Fig. 3.8B).
Figure 3.8: Effect of blockade of transient Na\(^+\) and K\(^+\) currents on background conductance estimates. A: Cs\(^+\) and QXR314 have little or no effect on VmD measurements of background conductance in these cells. B: The SDs of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ are also not significantly affected. This agrees with the findings of the Destexhe group (Rudolph et al., 2004).

Discussion

Sub-threshold background synaptic activity or “synaptic noise” is increasingly seen as a functional way of controlling excitability and gain in cortical neurones, and hence of the processing capabilities of cortical networks. Conversely, the characteristics of the background activity in individual elements provide information concerning the dynamic state of the network. Recording excitability of network elements and simultaneously quantifying the level of background activity presents considerable
technical problems, and most studies have employed a dynamic clamp approach to model in vivo-like synaptic noise and determine its effects on gain and excitability. The VmD method devised by Rudolph et al. (2004) potentially allows us to quantify excitatory and inhibitory synaptic noise at the same time as monitoring excitability. In the experiments in this Chapter I have provided initial evidence that this method is a valid and useful approach for the investigation of network activity even under the quiescent conditions present in acute brain slices. The results show that it is clearly possible to realistically obtain a simultaneous quantification of the naturally arising, global, background synaptic inhibition and excitation in entorhinal neurones in resting conditions.

Baseline estimations of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in layer III neurones clearly indicate a substantially higher level of background inhibition compared to excitation. Destexhe’s group have reported a dominance of inhibition compared to excitation during spontaneous up-states recorded from ferret visual cortex slices in vitro (Rudolph et al., 2004), and in cat parietal neurones under ketamine/xylazine anaesthesia in vivo, using the VmD method (Rudolph et al., 2005). A similar ratio in favour of inhibition was recorded in the same animals during EEG-activated states following electrical stimulation in the porcine reticular formation. More recently, the same group have demonstrated dominant inhibition during natural sleep/waking states in non-anaesthetised cats (Rudolph et al., 2007). Other studies using different approaches have previously suggested dominant inhibitory conductances in cat visual cortical neurones under anaesthesia in vivo (Borg-Graham et al., 1998). However, others have provided evidence to suggest that excitatory and inhibitory conductances are approximately equal during spontaneous up-states in ferret cortex in vitro or in vivo (Shu et al., 2003b; Haider et al., 2006). In contrast, the VmD method applied to neurones in the anaesthetised (urethane) rat somatosensory cortex suggests that spontaneous up-states are associated with a rise in excitatory conductance with a concurrent fall in inhibition (Zou et al., 2005). My data add to these observations by
showing that under quiescent resting conditions in layer III of the EC *in vitro*, background synaptic noise mediated by GABA strongly dominates over excitation. This agrees with whole-cell patch clamp experiments done in this laboratory, which have shown that under the same conditions, sIPSCs are larger, longer and more frequent than sEPSCs.

**Figure 3.9**: Schematic diagram of network connectivity in the mEC. The principal, pyramidal cells ($P_1$ and $P_2$) are connected both to each other and to a rich network of inhibitory interneurones (e.g. $I_1$ and $I_2$). An increase in excitatory activity will bring about a rise in inhibitory firing, due to the action of glutamate at the inhibitory cells. Thus, any change in one system’s activity would be expected to affect the other, due to the level of feedback present between the two systems. Both 4-AP and high $K^+$ increases activity of all connections, TTX abolishes all action potential activity between cells. Under 4-AP, NBQX will reduce the activity of AMPA receptors at the post-synapse of both principal cells and, to a lesser extent, interneurones. Bicuculline will antagonise $GABA_A$ receptors at the pre- and postsynapse of both types of cells.

It is important to remember that $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ are not static background conductances, but are each the sum of dynamically varying inputs, the activity of which result from stochastic release of transmitters, and events driven by network interactions. Fig. 3.9
shows a highly simplified schematic representation how inhibitory and excitatory neurones may interact in the mEC. The principal cells (in green) modify, and are modified by, the inhibitory interneurones (in red). Thus, when the global background conductances are recorded in the central pyramidal neurone it is clear that changes in excitation will have inevitably consequent effects on inhibitory transmission, and vice versa. The activity of the interneurones is driven by the excitatory drive provided by the principal pyramidal cells, so increases or decreases in this drive would be expected to strongly affect the inhibitory component. Likewise the change in inhibition will in turn affect the level of activity in the principal neurones. So how does this network arrangement contribute to the changes in $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ observed in my experiments?

In the first series of experiments, I attempted to more closely mimic the in vivo situation by provoking a generalised elevation of synaptic activity across the whole network by increasing extracellular $K^+$. This resulted in an approximate doubling of $E_{Bg}$, but a much more dramatic five-fold rise in $I_{Bg}$. However, when these experiments were repeated in TTX, high $K^+$ had little effect on either background conductance. This suggests that the predominant effect of high $K^+$ alone was to promote action potential driven release, rather than activity-independent miniature release. Increased activity-dependent release most likely arises because cells will be depolarized closer to threshold and will fire more action potentials when $K^+$ is raised. The increase in $E_{Bg}$ can be explained by increased glutamate release from excitatory afferents from other principal neurones in the slice, possibly compounded by recurrent excitatory connections between them. The increase in $I_{Bg}$ will be dependent on increased GABA release from multiple interneurones onto the recorded principal neurone.

The greater effect on $I_{Bg}$ compared to $E_{Bg}$ may reflect the fact that interneurones are generally polarised less negatively than principal neurones (see Jones and Buhl, 1993; Jones, 1994) and will already be closer to firing threshold. Indeed, as noted above,
TTX alone reduces $I_{Bg}$ to a greater extent than $E_{Bg}$, showing that the interneurones are likely to display a greater level of spontaneous firing than the principal neurones under baseline conditions.

Considering the network circuit (Fig. 3.9), there are a number of other points to note. Increased firing in principal neurones should also increase the excitatory drive onto the interneurones, thereby enhancing the elevation of GABA release occurring as a result of increased interneurone firing. However, as $I_{Bg}$ rises this should actually temper the rise in $E_{Bg}$ to some extent. Conversely, as the interneurones themselves are subject to inhibition from other interneurones, the elevation of $I_{Bg}$ in the principal cell should be partly mitigated by increased inhibition in the interneurones.

Despite the increases in $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$, there was no change in the SD of either. This suggests that there is little added synchronisation between interneurones or pyramidal neurones when network excitability is generally increased. What is clear is that the I:E ratio is further increased in favour of inhibition when overall activity across the network is elevated. Thus, during relative quiescence in the slice, the network is inhibition-dominated. When activity is raised towards more in vivo-like levels, this dominance becomes even more marked. This mirrors the situation in the cortex of awake cats in vivo (Rudolph et al, 2007), experiments from which suggested that in the inhibition-dominant network action potentials were evoked in response to a drop in inhibition rather than an increase in excitation.

What implications does this have for excitability of the principal neurones in our experiments? Spike threshold was significantly reduced, which can be explained partly by the depolarizing effects of the high $K^+$ medium. The increase in $E_{Bg}$ could also contribute to this although it might be expected that this would be ameliorated by the concurrent increase in $I_{Bg}$. Surprisingly, there was no change in the number of spikes evoked by a supra-threshold depolarizing pulse. It is possible that the increased
K\(^+\) enabled easier generation of action potentials, but that this was counteracted by the relative increase in \(I_{Bg}\), which then acted to reduce sustained firing. However, since changing extracellular K\(^+\) itself clearly alters intrinsic membrane excitability, it is difficult in this instance to draw any firm conclusions about the relationship between \(I_{Bg}\), \(E_{Bg}\) and cellular excitability.

In later experiments I studied the relative contributions of action potential driven glutamate and GABA release and that occurring by stochastic, activity-independent processes (i.e. miniature events) to global background synaptic activity. The former was eliminated by blockade of VGSC with TTX. Under these conditions both \(I_{Bg}\) and \(E_{Bg}\) decreased significantly. If we examine the schematic network in Fig. 3.9 the effects of TTX are easily explained. Action potentials in all connections will be blocked and therefore, glutamate and GABA release onto the recorded neurone will both fall. The reduction in GABA release will be the result of direct loss of spontaneous activity in the interneurones as well as the loss of excitatory drive onto them, which would normally help to promote spontaneous activity.

\(E_{Bg}\) was slightly decreased (by around 20\%) but \(I_{Bg}\) was reduced sharply, to around 35\% of control. This clearly indicates that activity-dependent GABA release driven by spontaneous firing in interneurones contributes much more to global inhibition, compared to the respective contribution of activity-dependent glutamate release to overall excitation. This is in line with whole-cell voltage clamp recordings in our laboratory, which show that sIPSC frequency in layer III neurones is reduced by around 50-60\% by TTX whereas the toxin only elicits a fall of around 20\% in sEPSCs (Chamberlain and Jones, unpublished observations).

There was little change in the SD of either \(I_{Bg}\) or \(E_{Bg}\) in the presence of TTX. If one accepts that the SD is a reflection of the degree of synchrony in the network (see Introduction) then this suggests that synchrony may be minimal under baseline
conditions, so there is no real change when spontaneous firing in both principal and interneurones is abolished.

What is abundantly clear is that any manipulation that alters even one element of the network will have consequent dynamic effects on virtually all elements of the network. This stresses the importance of being able to monitor the global effects of inhibition and excitation in the principal neurones. Changes in these global conductances can tell us not only how a substance influences excitability of the output neurones, but provides information on how it may alter interactions within the network. This provides an enormous advantage when it comes to examining and predicting how therapeutic substances may change CNS activity. Any substance administered systemically and which penetrates the blood brain barrier will have access to all elements of the network. Therefore, knowing how the substance alters \( I_{B_g} \) and \( E_{B_g} \) will provide us with information about where in the network it may act and how it then globally alters network activity. In a later chapter of this thesis I have studied the actions of one group of CNS active drugs, anticonvulsants, in this way.

As discussed in the introduction, 4-AP blocks A-type K-channels \( (I_{KA}) \). Rudolph et al. (2004) have shown that several voltage-dependent currents \( (I_{Na}, I_{Kd}, I_{H}, I_{CaT}, \text{and} I_{KA}) \) do not significantly distort conductance estimates obtained with the VmD method. Thus, blockade of \( I_{KA} \) with 4-AP will not directly change \( I_{B_g} \) or \( E_{B_g} \) estimations, in the sense that the VmD equation alone is not changed by the actions of these channels. However, \( E_{B_g} \) and \( I_{B_g} \) values can be affected by activity changes elsewhere in the network. It has been shown that blockade of A-type channels leads to an increase in presynaptic \( Ca^{2+} \) influx without changing postsynaptic \( Ca^{2+} \) influx. The presynaptic fibre volley was also increased with 4-AP (Jones and Heinemann, 1987). This suggests that there will be greater release of neurotransmitter from presynaptic terminals, leading to increases in both \( E_{B_g} \) and \( I_{B_g} \), as was observed in this Chapter. Using whole-cell studies, Tan and Llano (1999) showed that 4-AP increases the
frequency of spontaneous IPSCs in cerebellar basket cells, and work from our own laboratory has shown that spontaneous glutamate release is mediated through dendrotoxin insensitive channels that are likely to be type-A (Cunningham and Jones, 2001).

Adding 10 µM NBQX to neurones already exposed to 4-AP produced an expected reduction in $E_{Bg}$, without having much effect on $I_{Bg}$. However, when bicuculline was subsequently added, $E_{Bg}$ was restored to previous levels. This suggests that the activity of the inhibitory interneurones is having a marked effect on the level of excitatory transmission, i.e. when the inhibition is reduced, the excitation increases (see Fig 3.9).

Blockade of voltage gated Na$^+$ and K$^+$ channels by internal perfusion with Cs$^+$ and QX-314 had little effect on estimations of either $I_{Bg}$ or $E_{Bg}$ in a small population of neurones. The lack of change in $E_{Bg}$ or $I_{Bg}$ in these neurones supports the assertion (Rudolph et al., 2004) that active dendritic channels should have little effect on overall background synaptic conductances. There was a tendency for the SD of both conductances to decrease, which would be in line with the observation that the SD of both conductances was increased in the model when active channels were introduced (Rudolph et al., 2004). I cannot rule out the possibility that dendritic Ca$^{2+}$ channels may strongly influence $I_{Bg}$ or $E_{Bg}$, as I have not tested this possibility. However, it is interesting that one of the anticonvulsants I have tested, ethosuximide (see Chapter 7), is a blocker of transient Ca$^{2+}$ channels (the Ca$^{2+}$ conductance included by Rudolph et al., 2004) had little overall effect on $E_{Bg}$ or $I_{Bg}$.

In conclusion, the VmD method offers insight in to the relationship between glutamatergic and GABAergic transmission in these slices, which can be carefully investigated with the application of various drugs. It would seem that the SD estimates, at least in this Chapter, do not offer a great deal of information with regards
to the synchrony of the neuronal populations. This may be a function of the vastly reduced preparation used here, compared to an intact brain. However, the conductance estimates offer a robust and predictable method for the study of network activity. In the next Chapter, I will continue to investigate the function of the mEC by using the VmD method with a range of well characterised pharmacological tools.
CHAPTER 4
PHARMACOLOGICAL CHARACTERISATION OF BACKGROUND SYNAPTIC CONDUCTANCES
Introduction

In the previous chapter I described experiments designed to validate the VmD approach in EC slices, and to determine the effects of raising or lowering network activity. In this chapter I will focus on the effects of modifying synaptic activity, by blockade of receptors pre- and postsynaptically and on the effects of blockade of neurotransmitter uptake (both glutamate and GABA).

Currently, the VmD method estimates conductances arising as a result of activation of two receptor populations, the glutamate and GABA ionotropic receptors, AMPAr and GABA_Ar, respectively, and does not take into account GABA_B or NMDA receptor mediated activity. However, it is unlikely that spontaneous activation of GABA_B receptors occurs in EC neurones (Woodhall et al., 2004), and although spontaneous currents mediated by postsynaptic NMDA receptors do occur in slices, such events are very infrequent (Beretta and Jones, 1996a). Thus, the vast majority of background synaptic noise in EC neurones is mediated via AMPA and GABA_A receptors. Hence, the first set of experiments determined how blockade of either population of receptors would alter $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$. It is clear that the excitatory and inhibitory neurone populations do not exist in isolation, as shown by the experiments with TTX and high K$^+$ in the previous chapter. For example, blockade of glutamatergic activity would be expected to alter the activity of GABAergic interneurones, since the inhibitory neurones rely on excitatory input to drive their firing. Likewise, inhibitory neurones control the activity of principal cells, so reducing inhibition may well alter $E_{Bg}$ as well as $I_{Bg}$.

Despite the fact that VmD does not take into account and conductance mediated by NMDA receptors in the principal cells, it is interesting to consider how alteration of NMDAr activity may affect $E_{Eg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ for a number of reasons. Intereurones in many regions are driven by a strong NMDAr mediated excitation (Monyer et al.,
1994; Glitsch and Marty, 1999; Maccaferri and Dingledine, 2002), and this is also the case in the EC (Jones and Buhl, 1993). In addition, glutamate release in the EC is facilitated by presynaptic NMDA autoreceptors (Berretta and Jones, 1996b; Woodhall et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2006). Presynaptic NMDAr have also been shown to increase GABA release in layer II of the EC (Woodhall et al., 2001). Thus, although they may not contribute directly to $E_{Bg}$, NMDAr are potentially important in controlling the background excitation and inhibition driven by network activity.

Much less is known about the role of kainate receptors (KAr) in cortical synaptic transmission. KAr consist of a tetrameric combination of subunits from two related families (GluR5-R7 and KA-1 and 2). It is thought that KA-2 requires combination with GluR5-R7 subunits to achieve surface expression, however GluR5, 6 and 7 receptors can form homomeric receptors by themselves (Gallyas et al., 2003; Alt et al., 2004). KAr have been implicated in fast synaptic transmission and presynaptic modulation of transmitter release in hippocampus and cortex (Collingridge et al., 1983; Clarke et al., 1997; Vignes et al., 1998). Recent work from our own laboratory has implicated KAr in glutamatergic and GABAergic transmission in layer III of the mEC. In summary, this work has shown that glutamate release can be facilitated by presynaptic GluR5 receptors, whereas another subtype may mediate weak postsynaptic excitation of principal neurones. GluR5 receptors make a substantial contribution to glutamate drive onto interneurones and may also directly facilitate GABA release onto principal cells (Chamberlain and Jones, unpublished observations). Thus, it is of interest to determine how alteration of KAr may alter network driven $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$. Pharmacologically, there are very few subunit specific kainate antagonists available for study. However, a GluR5-selective antagonist (UBP-302) is available, and has been used in the experiments in this chapter.

Reuptake is the major means of inactivation for glutamate and GABA at central synapses. Glutamate re-uptake is mediated by a family of excitatory amino acid
transporters (EAAT1-5), which facilitate the inward transportation of glutamate molecules, coupled to the inward movement of multiple sodium ions and a proton, along with the outward movement of a potassium ion (Seal and Amara, 1999). The actions of the EAAT family can be antagonised by the non-specific EAAT antagonist L-trans-pyrrolidine-2,4,5-dicarboxylate (PDC). Similarly, GABA is removed from the synapse into neurones or glia by a sodium-dependent transporter (GAT-1) that can be blocked by the actions of tiagabine (Suzdak and Jansen, 1995).

Methods

Pyramidal cells were recorded in layer III of the mEC, in EC-hippocampal slices prepared from juvenile male Wistar rats (40-70g), as outlined in Chapter 2. VmD recordings using sharp electrodes comprise the majority of the data in this chapter. Whole cell patch clamp studies were also performed in layer III pyramidal cells to determine the effects of the glutamate transport blocker PDC, and the GABA transport blocker tiagabine on sEPSCs and sIPSCs.

Results

Effects of Blocking AMPAR

NBQX (10 µM) was tested in a total of 11 neurones. In the presence of NBQX, $E_{BG}$ fell, as predicted, from a control level of $2.9 \pm 0.7$ nS to $1.2 \pm 0.5$ nS ($P=0.02$). This was accompanied by a non-significant decrease in $I_{BG}$ (Fig. 4.1A, $P>0.05$). Overall, this resulted in a shift in the I:E ratio further in favour of inhibition, from $6.2 \pm 1.0$ to $13.1 \pm 1.8$ ($P=0.02$).

The SD of the background conductances before and after NBQX exhibited a clear downward trend. NBQX caused a reduction in the SD values of both $E_{BG}$ and $I_{BG}$, as
outlined in Fig. 4.1B. $I_{Bg}$ SD was subject to around a 3-fold drop, whilst $E_{Bg}$ SD was reduced almost four-fold, however, surprisingly, neither was significant.

NBQX also caused a decrease in cellular excitability. The number of spikes generated by a 250 ms train showed a downward trend from $6.2 \pm 0.9$ to $4.2 \pm 1.1$ (Fig. 4.1E). Spike amplitude was unchanged (Fig. 4.1D). However, spike threshold was significantly increased ($P=0.01$, Fig. 4.1C) to around 5 mV more positive to rest compared to control.

NBQX is not specific for AMPA receptors. At higher concentrations it can also block KA Re. So, in three further neurones, a specific AMPA antagonist, GYKI-53655, was tested at a concentration of 25 µM. Control values for $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ in this sample were much lower than those seen in experiments with NBQX. However, the effect of GYKI-53655 was very similar.

In the presence of GYKI-53655, $I_{Bg}$ was reduced from $5.4 \pm 0.5$ nS to $2.0 \pm 0.7$ nS. $E_{Bg}$ was also reduced, from $1.4 \pm 0.1$ nS to $0.4 \pm 0.1$ nS. Both these changes were found to be significant ($P_I=0.02$ and $P_E=0.01$, Fig. 4.2A). The I:E ratio was not significantly altered, in contrast to the effects of NBQX where it increased substantially in favour of inhibition. Given the low number of experiments using GYKI-53655 and the marked difference in control values for $I_{Bg}$ compared to NBQX, it would be premature to place any great significance on this result. It is also true that GYKI-53655 had less of an effect on the SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ than NBQX, although again the control values, especially for inhibitory SD, were lower in this group. $I_{Bg}$ SD was largely unchanged, although $E_{Bg}$ SD was reduced by around 40% (Fig. 4.2B)
Figure 4.1: Effect of AMPAr blockade on background activity and cellular excitability estimates. NBQX (10 µM, n=11) was applied during intracellular recording from layer III principal cells. A: A small decrease in $I_{BG}$, likely due to decreased drive onto interneurones, was accompanied by a significant drop in $E_{BG}$. The I:E ratio shifted in favour of inhibition. B: SD estimates showed a downward trend but were not significantly altered. C: Only spike threshold was significantly changed, with no change in amplitude (D) and a small decrease in spikes/train (E).
Figure 4.2: Effects of specific AMPAr blockade on conductance estimates and cellular excitability. A: Similar to NBQX, both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ are reduced by GYKI-53655, with a small shift in I:E ratio in favour of inhibition. B: SDs are not affected, suggesting that any change seen with NBQX is mediated by KAr. C: Spike threshold is significantly increased, with no change in amplitude (D) and a significant reduction in the number of spikes generated by a 250 ms pulse (E). This suggests reduced cellular excitability with GYKI-53655.
As with NBQX, cellular excitability was reduced by GYKI-53655. Spike threshold increased from a control value of $21.6 \pm 0.6$ mV to $25.7 \pm 0.5$ mV ($P=0.002$, Fig. 4.2C). At the same time, spikes evoked during the depolarising pulse fell by around 50% ($P=0.006$, Fig. 4.2E), without accompanying change in action potential amplitude (Fig. 4.2D). Thus, it is clear that blocking AMPA receptors drastically reduces $E_{Bg}$, but that $I_{Bg}$ is also concurrently reduced meaning that overall, background inhibition comes to predominate further. This change is reflected by indications of decreased cellular excitability.

**Blockade of NMDA Receptors**

As noted previously, the VmD method does not account for NMDA receptors in estimation of $E_{Bg}$, so it might be expected that NMDA receptor blockade would have little effect. The competitive NMDAr antagonist R-AP5 was used at a concentration of 30 µM on a total of 7 neurones. Overall, NMDAr blockade had relatively weak effects on the global background inhibitory and excitatory conductances (Fig. 4.3A). $I_{Bg}$ was not significantly affected, from $15.0 \pm 5.6$ nS to $10.1 \pm 3.0$ nS in the presence of R-AP5 ($P>0.05$). At the same time, $E_{Bg}$ was little altered, from a control level of $3.2 \pm 0.9$ nS to $2.8 \pm 0.5$ nS ($P>0.05$). The end result was little change in the I:E ratio (Fig 4.3A, $P>0.05$).

It was possible to split the neurones in this group into two subgroups, based on the control levels of background conductances. In four neurones (Fig. 4.4), values of $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ were very similar to those recorded in the general population as a whole. R-AP5 had little or no effect on conductance values in these neurones. However, the other three cells showed much higher levels of baseline inhibition and excitation. In these cells addition of 30 µM R-AP5 caused a reduction in $I_{Bg}$, from $32.1 \pm 11.9$ nS to $9.8 \pm 3.7$ nS. Concurrently, $E_{Bg}$ was reduced from $5.4 \pm 1.8$ to $1.7 \pm 0.6$ nS.
Figure 4.3: NMDAr blockade has little effect on conductance estimates. A: Slight downward trends are seen in both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in the presence of R-AP5 (30 µM) though these are not significant. I:E ratio is unchanged. B: The SD of $I_{Bg}$ is slightly reduced, but neither SD is significantly altered by NMDAr blockade. C: Spike threshold is slightly, but significantly, increased by R-AP5. D: Neither spike amplitude nor (E) spikes per 250 ms pulse are significantly altered during NMDAr block.
Figure 4.4: Effect of NMDAr blockade on high-activity networks. In a subgroup of the R-AP5 treated cells, those showing higher levels of baseline activity were significantly affected by addition of 30 µM R-AP5. A: Both inhibitory and excitatory conductance was reduced. B: There was no change in I:E ratio. C: Only the SD of $I_{Bg}$ was significantly altered. C-E: No change in cellular excitability was observed.
The I:E ratio was unchanged (control = 5.4 ± 0.3, drug = 5.6 ± 1.1). Thus, these results may suggest that NMDA receptors may help to maintain a high level of baseline network activity in some slices. The reasons why this may be so are discussed below.

In the total population of 7 neurones, NMDAr blockade decreased the SD of $I_{Bg}$ without affecting that of $E_{Bg}$ (Fig. 4.3B). If the SDs are accepted as a measure of population synchrony, this may indicate that inhibitory interneurones rely on NMDA receptor mediated drive for synchronisation, whereas principal neurones may not.

The weak overall effects of R-AP5 on $I_{Bg}$, $E_{Bg}$ and I:E ratio were reflected by cellular excitability changes that were marginal. Spike firing threshold rose from 20.4 ± 1.2 mV to 23.4 ± 1.6 mV ($P=0.01$). However, the number of spikes generated by a 250 ms train was not significantly affected. Spike amplitude was also unaffected (Fig. 4.3 C-E).

**Blockade of GluR5 Kainate Receptors**

A contribution of KAr to background excitability is not directly accounted for in VmD measurements, as mentioned earlier. However, in studies in layer III neurones, a role for the GluR5 subtype in controlling both glutamate and GABA release has been demonstrated in this lab (Chamberlain and Jones, unpublished observations), so it was of interest to study effects on $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$.

The GluR5-specific antagonist UBP-302 was tested at a concentration of 20 µM in 6 pyramidal neurones. Control values were 10.8 ± 3.4 nS for $I_{Bg}$, 2.7 ± 1.0 for $E_{Bg}$, with a mean I:E ratio of 4.6 ± 0.4.
Figure 4.5: Blockade of Glu-R5-containing KA receptors with UBP-302 (20 µM). Whilst there is little effect on $I_{Bg}$, $E_{Bg}$ or $I:E$ ratio (A), and no appreciable change in cellular excitability (C-E), the obvious reduction in conductance SD may indicate that these receptors have a role to play in synchrony either within or between neurone populations. This notion is supported by studies observing slow-wave activity in the cortex (e.g., Cunningham et al., 2006).
The antagonist had little effect on any of these conductance values. $I_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ were both unchanged leading to no change in the I:E ratio. This is illustrated by Fig. 4.5A.

However, the addition of UBP-302 did have noticeable effects on the SD of both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$. $I_{Bg}$ SD was reduced from $0.78 \pm 0.30$ nS to $0.11 \pm 0.04$ nS ($P=0.04$). $E_{Bg}$ SD was not significantly reduced, although there was a clear trend towards this (see Fig. 4.5B). The reduction in SDs may suggest that kainate receptors play a role in synchronisation of principal and interneurone populations in layer III.

Cellular excitability was largely unaffected in the presence of UBP-302. However, spike firing threshold was slightly increased, and the number of spikes evoked in a train fell by around 10% (Fig. 4.5 C and E). Action potential amplitude was not affected (Fig. 4.5D). These results would suggest that, whilst it has little effect on overall synaptic activity or cellular excitability, blockade of GluR5-containing kainate receptors may alter network synchronisation.

**Blockade of Glutamate Uptake**

Having determined the effects of blockade of pre- and postsynaptic ionotropic glutamate receptors on background synaptic activity, it was interesting to see what the effect of increasing activation of these receptors would have. To that end, I attempted to increase the ambient levels of glutamate by blocking its re-uptake. The restricted glutamate analogue PDC was used to study the effect of EAAT blockade using both VmD and whole cell patch clamp methods.

**VmD**

The VmD method was applied to 6 pyramidal neurones. Again, it should be noted that the control values for both background conductances were rather lower than usual, in
Figure 4.6: Effect of glutamate uptake blockade with PDC (50 µM). $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ are increased by uniform amounts, although neither is changed significantly, leading to little change in I:E ratio (A). Similarly, $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ SD are both slightly increased (B). The lack of change in I:E ratio leads to no change in cellular excitability (C-E) in the presence of PDC.
this sample of neurones. Perfusion with PDC (50 µM) caused little overall change in
the I:E ratio, as a result of a uniform rise in both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$.

$I_{Bg}$ was increased from $3.9 \pm 1.0$ nS to $8.5 \pm 3.4$ nS ($P>0.05$). Similarly, $E_{Bg}$ increased
by nearly two-fold, from $1.0 \pm 0.2$ nS to $1.9 \pm 0.5$ nS ($P>0.05$). At the same time, the
SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ did not change significantly, although both were increased (Fig.
4.6B). SD of $I_{Bg}$ increased by 69%, whilst SD of $E_{Bg}$ increased by 23%.

Despite the rise in $E_{Bg}$, PDC had little effect on cellular excitability measurements.
Spike firing threshold, spikes generated during a 250 ms depolarising pulse and action
potential amplitude were not significantly changed (Fig. 4.6 C-E).

**Whole Cell Patch Clamp**

Since the $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ depend on global integration of spontaneous transmitter release
(see Introduction) it was decided to compare the effects of PDC on VmD
measurements to effects on spontaneous synaptic currents in layer III neurones, as
these had not been investigated previously. sIPSCs and sEPSCs were recorded in a
total of 12 layer III pyramidal neurones (6 per group). In pooled data analysis, 200
consecutive events were analysed in each neurone, in the presence and absence of the
drug.

The results of the patch clamp studies of sEPSCs are summarised in Fig. 4.7. It is
possible to see an increase in the frequency of sEPSCs in the raw voltage clamp traces
from one neurone shown in Fig. 4.7A, and by the pooled data from Fig. 4.7B.
Addition of PDC (50 µM) caused a leftward shift in the cumulative probability curve
Figure 4.7: Effect of EAAT blockade on sEPSC activity in layer III pyramidal cells. A: Example traces from whole-cell recording of one layer III mEC pyramidal neuron show that between control (top) and PDC (50 µM) there is a discernable increase in frequency during PDC application. B: The inter-event interval curve shifts to the left with PDC, indicating increased sEPSC frequency during EAAT blockade. C: Mean amplitude of sEPSCs is unchanged by PDC. D: sEPSC decay time is similarly unaffected.

For IEI (Fig. 4.7B). This indicates a reduction in IEI, the corollary of which is an increase in the frequency of EPSCs. Apart from the increased frequency of sEPSCs, there was little alteration in other properties. PDC had little effect on the kinetics of the observed excitatory events. 50% decay times were measured as the increased frequency made it difficult to observe the slower component of the events. Average sEPSC amplitude was also largely unaffected by the addition of PDC (Fig. 4.7D).
Fig. 4.8 illustrates the effects of PDC on sIPSCs in layer III neurones. The voltage clamp records in 4.8A show a high frequency of events in the control situation, with no obviously discernable change in PDC. However, in pooled data the mean IEI was decreased from 110.4 ± 2.9 ms to 89.6 ± 1.9 ms by PDC. Again, this is shown by the leftward shift in the IEI curve, indicating an increase in sIPSC frequency (Fig. 4.8B).
PDC also caused a small but significant increase in the average amplitude of IPSCs in these cells (23.3 ± 0.6 pA control, 27.7 ± 0.5 pA PDC, \( P<0.001 \)). However, neither the 50% decay time of sIPSCs nor the 10-90% rise time (1.9 ± 0.2 ms to 2.1 ± 0.3 ms) were altered (Fig. 4.8C-D).

**Blockade of GABA Re-uptake**

Thus, it is clear that blockade of GABA_A receptors powerfully reduces \( I_{Bg} \) as would be expected, but with concurrent effects on \( E_{Bg} \). In the next series of experiments, I investigated the effects of enhancing GABA transmission using the GABA uptake blocker, tiagabine.

In a similar study to the PDC data above, tiagabine was investigated using both VmD and whole cell voltage clamp approaches. In the VmD studies, a concentration of 4 \( \mu \)M tiagabine was used, as it was found that higher concentrations caused unpredictable and spontaneous depolarisations of the membrane potential. In subsequent voltage clamp studies, tiagabine was tested at both 4 and 12 \( \mu \)M.

**VmD**

VmD conductance and SD estimates were obtained from a total of 6 pyramidal neurones. Addition of tiagabine (4 \( \mu \)M) caused a large, six-fold rise in \( I_{Bg} \) from a control level of 8.1 ± 2.1 nS to 48.8 ± 16.8 nS \( (P=0.0017) \), although there was little change in SD. Surprisingly, perhaps, \( E_{Bg} \) also increased but to a much lesser degree, from 1.8 ± 0.4 nS to 3.9 ± 1.0 nS \( (P>0.05) \). Although \( E_{Bg} \) was more than doubled, the overall change shifted the I:E ratio very heavily in favour of inhibition, from 4.5 ± 0.2 to 12.5 ± 4.4 \( (P=0.026, \text{Fig. 4.9A}) \).
Figure 4.9: Effects of GABA uptake blockade on conductance estimates and cellular excitability. A: In all neurones, tiagabine (4 µM) causes a persistent upward trend in $E_{B_g}$ and a significant increase in $I_{B_g}$. The $I:E$ ratio is shifted two-fold in favour of inhibition. B: SD of $I_{B_g}$ or $E_{B_g}$ is not significantly changed by GAT-1 blockade. C: Spike threshold is made 5 mV less negative from rest during tiagabine application. D: Spike amplitude is not changed. E: The number of spikes during a 250 ms pulse is reduced 3-fold by tiagabine.
This large shift in I:E ratio was accompanied by an appreciable effect on neuronal excitability. Tiagabine raised the action potential firing threshold from 18.8 ± 0.5 mV to 24.9 ± 0.5 mV positive to rest \((P<0.001)\). The number of spikes generated during a 250 ms depolarisation fell substantially from 3.5 ± 0.4 to 1.3 ± 0.21 \((P=0.001)\), but action potential amplitude was unaffected (Fig. 4.9C-E).

**Figure 4.10:** Effect of increasing tiagabine concentration on sEPSCs. **A:** The example traces, somewhat surprisingly, clearly show an increase in EPSC frequency with 12 µM tiagabine. **B:** This is supported by the IEI data, showing a concentration-dependent shift to the left with tiagabine. This is in agreement with the VmD data for this drug (Fig. 4.9). **C:** At 4 µM, sEPSC amplitude was significantly increased, with the subsequent reduction in 12 µM possibly due to depletion of glutamate from presynaptic stores. **D:** Decay time is not significantly affected, but shows a downward trend with increasing tiagabine.
Whole Cell Patch Clamp

As with PDC, whole-cell patch clamp recordings of the effects of tiagabine on spontaneous transmitter release had not previously been conducted in these neurones. To correlate effects on spontaneous release with estimate of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ I studied the effects of tiagabine on sEPSCs and sIPSCs in a total of 12 neurones (6 for each group). As in the PDC experiments, 200 consecutive events were analysed for each of the control and drug periods in each neurone. The results are summarised in figures 4.10 and 4.11. Tiagabine had a contrasting effect on EPSCs and IPSCs, however the results were perhaps not what would be expected, especially when the VmD data above are considered.

The effect of increasing tiagabine concentration on sEPSCs is illustrated in Fig. 4.10. An increase in EPSC frequency with tiagabine can be seen in the trace from a single neurone in Fig. 4.10A, and is supported by the increasing leftward shift in the IEI curve seen in Fig. 4.10B. Additionally, EPSC amplitude was slightly, but significantly, increased from control (11.2 ± 0.2 pA) by 4 µM (12.3 ± 0.3 pA) and 12 µM tiagabine (11.3 ± 0.2 pA, $P<0.01$, ANOVA). EPSC decay time showed a downward trend in increasing concentrations of tiagabine, from 0.99 ± 0.04 ms to 0.89 ± 0.04 ms in 12 µM tiagabine ($P>0.05$).

The results obtained for IPSCs in tiagabine were surprising, especially with reference to the VmD data. As seen in Fig. 4.11A and B, increasing concentrations of tiagabine actually cause a reduction in sIPSC frequency, illustrated by a rightward shift in the IEI curve (Fig. 4.11B). However, the kinetics of the observed events may provide some explanation for the VmD results. IPSC amplitude and decay time increased steadily with tiagabine concentration (Fig. 4.11C-D). Amplitude increased from 17.8 ± 0.5 pA to 21.8 ± 1.1 pA in the presence of 12 µM tiagabine ($P=0.004$). Similarly, 50% decay times were increased from 3.65 ± 0.06 ms to 4.51 ± 0.15 ms ($P<0.001$).
These results indicate that, although frequency of IPSC activity is reduced, the longer duration and larger amplitude of the GABAergic events may account for the observed increases in background inhibitory conductance.

**Figure 4.11:** Tiagabine reduces sIPSC frequency in a concentration-dependent manner. A: In contrast to the EPSC data (Fig. 4.10), example traces show a reduction in sIPSC frequency with tiagabine. However, an increase in amplitude is clearly evident. B: The IEI curve shifts right with increasing tiagabine, supporting the trend seen in (A). C: However, any decrease in IPSC frequency may be cancelled out by the observed significant concentration-dependent increases in amplitude. D: Similarly, decay time is significantly increased with tiagabine, suggesting that, in agreement with the VmD data (Fig. 4.9), $I_{Bg}$ may actually be increased, despite reductions in sIPSC frequency.
Blockade of GABA\textsubscript{A}r or AMPAr During VGSC Blockade

I have previously demonstrated the effects of the VGSC blocker TTX on conductance estimates layer III pyramidal cells (Chapter 3). Subsequent to TTX application, GABA\textsubscript{A}r or AMPAr were blocked using bicuculline (10 µM, n=3) and NBQX (10 µM, n=3) respectively. In the presence of TTX (1 µM), $I_{Bg} = 6.7 \pm 1.5$ nS, $E_{Bg} = 3.6 \pm 1.1$ nS and I:E ratio = 2.2 ± 0.5.

**Figure 4.12: Addition of bicuculline and NBQX to TTX treated cells.** Graphs show VmD estimates in cells treated with 1 µM TTX. Conductance values in TTX were $I_{Bg} = 6.7 \pm 1.5$ nS, $E_{Bg} = 3.6 \pm 1.1$ nS, I:E ratio = 2.2 ± 0.5. A: Addition of bicuculline (10 µM) causes a significant decrease in $I_{Bg}$, leaving $E_{Bg}$ unchanged and significantly shifting the I:E ratio in favour of excitation(to 0.6 ± 0.1). Conversely, treatment with NBQX (10 µM) caused no change in $I_{Bg}$, but a significant decrease in $E_{Bg}$. The I:E ratio displayed a trend towards increased inhibition (to 4.1± 1.6) but this was not significant. B: None of the SD estimates were affected by bicuculline or NBQX. This was to be expected, as action-potential mediated network connections would be abolished by TTX.
Addition of bicuculline (10 µM) in the presence of TTX caused a significant reduction in $I_{Bg}$ (to $2.3 \pm 1.0$ nS, $P=0.02$), but $E_{Bg}$ was unaffected (at $3.5 \pm 1.2$ nS, Fig. 4.12A). The SDs of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were not affected.

NBQX (10 µM), in the presence of 1 µM TTX, had essentially the opposite effect to bicuculline. Compared to TTX alone, $E_{Bg}$ was decreased at $1.4 \pm 0.5$ nS ($P=0.02$), whereas $I_{Bg}$ was unaffected at $5.9 \pm 2.8$ nS. Similarly to bicuculline, neither SD was affected by NBQX addition (Fig. 4.12B).

**Discussion**

The experiments in this chapter were designed to investigate the control exerted on background activity, and hence cellular excitability, by a range of receptor populations. However, the VmD method is designed only to incorporate conductance mediated by postsynaptic AMPA and GABA$_A$ receptors in the recorded cell, giving values for $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$, respectively. As stated in Chapter 3, spontaneous activation of GABA$_B$ receptors is highly unlikely in EC neurones under normal circumstances (Woodhall et al., 2004), and spontaneous NMDAR-mediated events are very infrequent (Berretta and Jones, 1996a). Background synaptic noise in EC neurones is, therefore, overwhelmingly reliant on the activation of AMPA and GABA$_A$ receptors. We are confident, therefore, that a reliable and repeatable measure of background activity can be obtained through the current version of the VmD method.

The Destexhe group has considered, and is working on, the incorporation of multiple excitatory components to a revised version of the VmD method. However, the mathematical equations necessary to support multiple excitatory (or inhibitory) inputs in this way become exponentially more complex with increasing numbers of components. Thus, given the already highly complex nature of the VmD method and the equations used to construct and test it, it may not be practicable to include more
than AMPA and GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors at this time (Alain Destexhe, personal communication).

The VmD results obtained in the presence of both NBQX and GYKI-53665 indicate that AMPA receptors are indeed mediating the majority of excitatory transmission in these cells. \(E_{\text{Bg}}\) in both cases was significantly reduced to around 33\% of control levels. This reduction could logically be attributed to the reduction in postsynaptic AMPAr activity, something that is directly measured in the VmD equation. Theoretically, it is possible that recurrent excitatory drive, as seen between cells \(P_2\) and \(P_1\) in Fig. 4.13, could account for some of the background activity abolished by AMPAr antagonists (Dhillon and Jones, 2000). However, in our VmD studies with TTX and NBQX, it is clear that recurrent, action potential dependent excitatory activity has very little bearing on \(E_{\text{Bg}}\) measured in these experiments.

Both NBQX and GYKI-53655 cause a clear reduction in \(I_{\text{Bg}}\). With reference to the above-mentioned TTX experiments, the majority of inhibitory transmission in layer III of the mEC would appear to be activity-dependent. Thus, the most likely explanation for the reduction in \(I_{\text{Bg}}\) mediated by the AMPAr antagonists is a reduction in excitatory drive onto inhibitory interneurones. A further reduction in inhibitory transmission could be mediated through reduction of GABA release due to blockade of presynaptic AMPAr (and, in the case of NBQX, KAr) at inhibitory terminals (Bureau and Mulle, 1998; Rusakov \textit{et al}., 2005), however the co-application of TTX and NBQX in Chapter 3 showed only a slight, insignificant reduction in \(I_{\text{Bg}}\).

NBQX causes a far larger reduction in levels of \(I_{\text{Bg}}\) and \(E_{\text{Bg}}\) SD than GYKI-53655. If we are to continue to accept that these SD measurements provide an idea of the synchronisation of neuronal populations, it must be concluded that the greater effects seen with NBQX are due to its action at KAr, the role of which will be discussed later.
Figure 4.13: Schematic diagram of network connectivity in the mEC. Antagonism of one population of receptors, for example AMPAr, could have secondary effects across the network, not just at the postsynaptic membrane. Blockade of AMPAr could reduce recurrent excitation \((P_2 \rightarrow P_1 \rightarrow P_2)\) or excitatory drive onto interneurones \((I_1\) and \(I_2\)) leading to changes in the observed conductances not mediated directly at the postsynapse. During application of uptake blockers (i.e. PDC and tiagabine) the affected neurotransmitter (glutamate and GABA, respectively) would be more available at all synapses across the network, leading to changes in the activity of both principal cells and interneurones. Coupled with the high levels of recurrent connection, uptake blockade has complex effects across the whole network.

Cellular excitability is significantly reduced by both NBQX and GYKI-53655. Considering the lack of significant change in \(I_{Bg}\) in the presence of these drugs, this suggests either that excitability is predominantly controlled by levels of \(E_{Bg}\), or that it is dependent on the I:E ratio. The latter theory is more likely to be correct, as we will see from studies with anticonvulsants in Chapter 7.

Blockade of NMDA receptors with R-AP5 had only moderate effects on \(I_{Bg}\) and \(E_{Bg}\). As mentioned previously, postsynaptic NMDAr at the recorded cell do not form part
of the VmD estimates. Therefore, any changes seen in conductance or SD must be mediated through network transmission changes or modulation of transmitter release by presynaptic NMDAr. Blockade of presynaptic NMDAr would directly reduce glutamate release, thus reducing $E_{Bg}$. These presynaptic NMDAr have been shown to be tonically active (Berretta and Jones, 1996b; Woodhall et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2006) so their blockade is likely to be the chief mediator of any reduction in $E_{Bg}$. Alternatively, some reduction in $E_{Bg}$ could be due to reduced NMDAr activity in recurrent excitatory connections (Dhillon and Jones, 2000), but as discussed for AMPAr blockade this would appear to contribute little to $E_{Bg}$ observed in these studies.

Reduction of $I_{Bg}$ by R-AP5 could be due to a reduction in GABA release mediated by antagonism of presynaptic NMDA receptors on inhibitory terminals. However, it is not clear whether layer III inhibitory terminals contain presynaptic NMDAr. Previous studies from our laboratory have indicated the presence of NMDAr at inhibitory terminals in layer II of the mEC, but not in layer V (Woodhall et al., 2001). What is more likely is a reduction of the NMDAr-mediated excitatory drive onto inhibitory interneurones, which mediates much of their AP-dependent activity (Jones and Buhl, 1993; Monyer et al., 1994; Glitsch and Marty, 1999; Maccaferri and Dingledine, 2002). This reduction in drive may be supported by the observed reduction in $I_{Bg}$ SD with R-AP5, which would indicate reduced synchrony of interneurone activation.

Spike firing threshold is slightly, but significantly, reduced in the presence of R-AP5. This is difficult to explain in terms of direct activity of NMDAr at the postsynaptic membrane, but may be related to the reduction in $E_{Bg}$. However, the I:E ratio does not change significantly. It may be that a reduction in $E_{Bg}$ alone is enough to significantly reduce firing threshold in certain cases.
The effects of R-AP5 on the subgroup of neurones exhibiting markedly higher control levels of $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ provide some insight into the mechanism of conductance modulation by NMDAr antagonism. These cells may have higher levels of baseline conductance due to the presence of a more intact network within the slices. This would cause a circular increase in glutamate release; the higher baseline release of glutamate from excitatory synapses would trigger more facilitation of release by presynaptic NMDAr, further increasing $E_{Bg}$. This higher level of excitation may lead to an increase in levels of recurrent excitation between principal cells (see Fig. 4.13) leading to even greater amounts of glutamate being released from excitatory synapses.

Furthermore, the network-wide elevation in glutamate release (and hence $E_{Bg}$) would be expected to increase drive onto inhibitory interneurones, leading to increased $I_{Bg}$. Thus, given the crucial role that presynaptic NMDAr play in this sequence of events, it is unsurprising that addition of R-AP5 precipitates a large reduction in both $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$. That the I:E ratio is unchanged by R-AP5 supports the notion of network driven increases in conductance, since the reduction in $E_{Bg}$ appears to remove the excitatory drive from inhibitory cells, leading to a commensurate reduction in $I_{Bg}$.

The use of UBP-302 to block GluR5-containing KAr has no significant effect on background conductance or cellular excitability. Whole-cell patch clamp studies from our laboratory have indicated that KAr present on the postsynaptic membrane do not contain GluR5. Furthermore, the GluR5-containing KAr that have been shown to mediate facilitation of glutamate and GABA release are not tonically active, so in these quiescent slices the addition of a KAr antagonist would have little effect (Chamberlain and Jones, unpublished observations).

In contrast to conductance and excitability measurements, blockade of GluR5-containing KAr does have an appreciable effect on SD estimates for both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$. This suggests that, whilst having little bearing on levels of background conductance, these KAr are responsible for maintaining some degree of neuronal synchrony.
Evidence to support this notion comes from studies involving up-state behaviour in cortical networks (Cunningham et al., 2006), where the use of UBP-302 abolishes sub-threshold oscillations which would appear to be reliant on a high degree of network synchrony. This oscillatory behaviour will be discussed in more detail in Chapter 6. An interesting avenue for research could possibly be the use of a specific GluR5 antagonist as an anticonvulsant. Given the minimal effects on both overall conductance and cellular excitability, coupled with the reduction in synchrony, a drug such as UBP-302 could be used to reduce the likelihood of seizure generation without disrupting normal network function, as epileptiform activity is essentially the synchronised firing of many neurones across a network.

The blockade of glutamate uptake with PDC causes an increase in both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$. The increase in $E_{Bg}$ could be further enhanced by activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors, and increased input from recurrent excitatory connections. However, the lack of change in $E_{Bg}$ SD, and hence no change in the synchronicity of the excitatory neurone population, would suggest that these recurrent connections do not play a large role in the observed $E_{Bg}$ increase. The observed increase in $I_{Bg}$ is likely to be down to increased excitatory drive onto interneurones. Again, there may be further enhancement of interneurone activity by NMDAr-mediated facilitation of glutamate release onto inhibitory cells. Considering both conductance components together, it may be that the overall value of $E_{Bg}$ has been underestimated in this instance, as the increase in $I_{Bg}$ may be acting to dampen some of the excitatory activity throughout the network.

PDC does not cause a large change in the SD of $E_{Bg}$ or $I_{Bg}$, and has no effect on the I:E ratio, as both conductance components rise by proportionally equal amounts. The lack of change in cellular excitability suggests that the I:E ratio does have some bearing on the degree of cellular excitability.
The use of whole-cell patch clamp studies in conjunction with the VmD method allows for further investigation of changes in synaptic activity in the presence of this drug. The increase in sEPSC frequency, without a change in decay time, was somewhat surprising. Blockade of glutamate uptake would be expected to increase the persistence of glutamate at the binding sites of postsynaptic receptors, leading to increased activation time. However, no such increase in event duration was observed. The increase in frequency may be due to activation of presynaptic NMDA receptors, leading to a more depolarised presynaptic membrane and a higher probability of glutamate release. Furthermore, there was no increase in EPSC amplitude. This may suggest that the AMPA receptor population in the recorded cells is close to saturation. The increase in frequency would result in a greater overall conductance, which fits in with the observations made using the VmD method.

Considering IPSC activity under PDC, the observed increase in frequency is most likely due to increased excitatory drive onto inhibitory interneurones, caused by the increased persistence of glutamate at the synapse. The increase in excitatory drive would necessarily increase the amount of multi-quantal, activity-dependent GABA release from inhibitory terminals, leading to the significant increase in decay time seen in this study. The increase in GABA_A-mediated conductance, along with the increase in excitatory drive onto interneurones, accounts for the rise in I_{Bg} and the slight rise in I_{Bg SD} seen in the VmD study.

The use of tiagabine to block the uptake of GABA yields some surprising results, in both the VmD method and patch clamp studies. As would be expected, levels of I_{Bg} estimated by VmD are significantly increased in the presence of tiagabine. This can simply be explained by the increased amount of GABA present in the synapse. However, tiagabine also causes a noticeable rise in E_{Bg}. There is mounting evidence to challenge the traditional view of GABA as a hyperpolarising, inhibitory transmitter. Presynaptic GABA_A receptor are thought to be depolarising due to a high concentration of
chloride ions in glutamatergic terminals causing outward movement of Cl\(^-\) (Jang et al., 2001). It has been shown that these receptors can facilitate glutamate release in the hippocampus (Jang et al., 2006; Alle and Geiger, 2007) and locus coeruleus (Koga et al., 2005). Presynaptic GABA\(_A\) receptors have also been shown to facilitate GABA release (Xiao et al., 2007). The fact that \(E_{BG}\) was not reduced by a GABA\(_A\) antagonist such as bicuculline (see Chapter 5) suggests that these receptors are not tonically active, and only affect glutamate release in the presence of large amounts of GABA.

Furthermore, blockade of GABA uptake by tiagabine or NO-771 has been shown to induce spontaneous depolarisations of neurones in seizure prone mice (Hu and Davies, 1997; Davies and Shakesby, 1999). Initial trials with high concentrations of tiagabine in our own laboratory led to spontaneous slow depolarisations in slices obtained from healthy juvenile rats. The most likely mediator for these depolarising events is the presynaptic GABA\(_A\)r mentioned above.

Of further interest is the effect of tiagabine on both IPSCs and EPSCs in the patch clamp studies. Tiagabine shows a concentration-dependent increase in sEPSC frequency, most probably due to the actions of the presynaptic GABA\(_A\) receptors discussed above. What is more surprising is the reduction in sIPSC frequency precipitated by increasing concentrations of tiagabine. Increased amounts of GABA in the synapse may lead to the activation of presynaptic GABA\(_B\) receptors. These metabotropic receptors have been shown to inhibit the release of GABA from presynaptic terminals, however their activity has been shown to be tonic in deeper layers and phasic in more superficial layers of the mEC (Bailey et al., 2004). The reduction in IPSC frequency is, however, accompanied by concentration-dependent increases in both amplitude and duration of inhibitory events. Thus the total charge transfer mediated by postsynaptic GABA\(_A\) receptors may be increased from control levels, in agreement with the large rise in \(I_{BG}\) seen in the VmD experiments.
CHAPTER 5
LAMINA-SPECIFIC DIFFERENCES IN BACKGROUND SYNAPTIC ACTIVITY
**Introduction**

As previously stated in Chapter 1, the EC is divided into six anatomical layers, although some controversy exists as to the exact classification, e.g. the *lamina dessecans*. All the experiments to validate the viability of the VmD approach described in previous chapters have been conducted in layer III. This is largely because previous work in our laboratory (Cunningham *et al.*, 2006) has shown that this area has a propensity to develop synchronised slow-wave oscillations (SWO), and later experiments (Chapter 6) have used the VmD method to characterise I:E changes leading to SWO generation. In the current Chapter I have compared $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in two further populations of neurones, those in layer V and layer II of the mEC.

As discussed in Chapter 1, there are a number of differences in the structure and nature of inhibitory connections between layers in the mEC, which may affect the susceptibility of these layers to epileptic activity. Briefly, there would appear to be a greater degree of inhibitory control exerted over neurones in superficial layers than in deep layers. Layer II principal cells, consisting mostly of spiny stellate cells, form the major part of the output from EC to hippocampus, with projections towards the dentate gyrus, CA2-3 hippocampus and subiculum (Alonso and Klink, 1993; Tamamaki and Nojyo, 1993; Jones, 1994; Klink and Alonso, 1997). Inhibition in layer II is mediated by basket and chandelier cells, providing tight control of principal cell activity with dendritic trees that are confined mostly within the layer (Germroth *et al*., 1989; Jones and Buhl, 1993). Layer III principal neurones are mostly pyramidal cells, many of which act as “controller cells” for neurones in CA1 (see Chapter 1). Inhibition in layer III is again mediated by basket and chandelier cells (Hendry *et al*., 1989; DeFelipe, 1999). Excitation in layer V is also mediated by pyramidal cells, however these cells mostly project back towards the superficial layers of the EC, see Figs. 1.2 and 1.3.
There is a significant difference in the inhibitory properties of layer V and layers II and III. Previous studies, mainly from our own laboratory, have indicated clear electrophysiological differences between the levels of inhibitory transmission in layers II and V. Principal cells in layer II are kept mostly quiescent, and prevented from firing action potentials, by the high level of inhibitory activity in this layer (Jones, 1993 and 1994; Heinemann et al., 2000). In contrast, activity in layer V is dominated by excitation, with inhibitory responses sometimes not being apparent at all (Jones and Heinemann, 1988). Furthermore, inhibitory activity in superficial layers is more constitutively active, with a greater proportion of IPSCs being action potential independent than in layer V (Woodhall et al., 2004). These results suggest that neurones in different parts of the EC are subject to differing balances of network control.

Considering the lamina-specific differences in inhibitory control of principal cells, the superficial layers of the EC have been labelled as “epilepsy resistant”, with the deeper layers being “epilepsy prone” (Jones and Lambert 1990a; Jones 1993; Woodhall et al., 2004). However, in human patients and in animal models of chronic epilepsy, there is evidence for a preferential loss of neurones in layer III of the EC (Du and Schwarz, 1992; Du et al., 1993, 1995). Additionally, changes in recurrent inhibition in layer II result in excessive output towards the dentate gyrus in the pilocarpine model of epilepsy in rats (Kobayashi et al., 2003; Kumar et al., 2007). Bear et al. (1996) found that electrically induced chronic epilepsy in rats led to a prolongation of evoked responses in superficial layers, that could be shortened by application of 2-AP5. The same group found that, again in electrically induced chronic epilepsy, deep-layer neurones in the EC of epileptic rats were hyperexcitable, partly due to an increase in NMDA-mediated excitation (Fountain et al., 1998). Scharfman et al. (1998) found that, in a rat model of chronic epilepsy induced by injection of amino-oxyacetic acid, similar prolongations of excitatory discharges to those found by Bear et al. above
were seen in superficial neurones in the EC. In deeper layers, ictal discharges occurred after a short delay in some neurones.

Several *in vitro* studies of acutely generated seizures have shown that, within the EC itself, seizure events are initiated in the deeper layers (V-VI) and propagate ictal discharges to both deeper and more superficial areas of the EC and beyond. This role of the deep layers in the generation of seizures lends weight to the notion that they are “seizure sensitive”, compared to the more “seizure resistant” areas in the superficial layers (Jones and Lambert, 1990a, b; Jones, 1993; Avioli *et al.*, 1996; Lopantsev and Avioli, 1996; D’Arcangelo *et al.*, 2001). This picture of the lamina-specific differences in the EC has been mirrored in studies of seizure initiation in the neocortex (Hoffman and Prince, 1995; Barkai *et al.*, 1995; Badea *et al.*, 2001; Yang and Benardo, 2002). Furthermore, in agreement with the results of similar studies investigating acutely invoked seizures *in vivo* (e.g. Ben-Ari *et al.*, 1981; Collins *et al.*, 1983; Stringer, 1994), this acute seizure activity would appear to originate in the EC and spread to adjacent areas of the limbic system (Jones and Lambert, 1990a; Iijima *et al.*, 1996; Avioli *et al.*, 1996; Bucheim *et al.*, 2002; Weissinger *et al.*, 2000; D’Arcangelo *et al.*, 2001). These studies serve to support the notion of the EC as a crucial structure in TLE, and highlight the importance of understanding the lamina-specific differences in excitability and epilepsy sensitivity that may underlie the generation of seizures in animal models and, ultimately, human patients.

To investigate the differences in background activity between layers in the mEC, and any differences in susceptibility to acutely-evoked epileptiform activity, this chapter will focus on using the VmD method to obtain estimates of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in layers II, III and V of the mEC, and how these change over time in response to the application of the GABA$_A$ antagonist bicuculline, used in several studies to mimic epilepsy *in vitro* (e.g. Jones, 1988; Jones and Lambert, 1990a, b). The time-course of onset of epileptic activity, and changes in background conductance, in each layer should give some
indication of the susceptibility of each layer to acute seizures, with faster onset indicating increased propensity towards seizures. In order to properly characterise $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in layers II and III, values for AMPAr and GABA$_A$r reversal must also be obtained.

**Methods**

All experiments were carried out on EC-hippocampal slices taken from juvenile male Wistar rats, as described in Chapter 2. In order to apply the VmD method to principal cells in layers II and V it was necessary to first determine reversal potentials for AMPAr-mediated EPSPs and GABA$_A$r-mediated IPSPs in these two populations. Reversal potentials of AMPA and GABA$_A$ receptors, leak reversal potentials, and sEPSC and sIPSC decay times, for layer II and V pyramidal cells were obtained in the same manner as those for layer III cells, as described in Chapter 3.

The VmD method was used to obtain the time-course of action of the GABA$_A$r receptor antagonist, bicuculline (10 µM). Cells were allowed to equilibrate for 5-10 minutes after impalement, after which time recording was started and control values were measured using the two-current protocol described previously. Bicuculline was then perfused over the slice for a period of up to 15 minutes, with estimates of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ being made every two minutes. Epileptic seizure activity (as seen in Fig. 5.7) was observed in the majority of cells. A final estimate of conductances was made after seizure activity began. Accurate measurement of conductances was not possible after the establishment of regular seizure activity. With continued perfusion of bicuculline, regular and frequent seizures were observed in most slices. This makes it difficult to obtain stable periods of membrane potential necessary for accurate VmD estimations, due to both the seizure itself and the refractory period after each discharge. As such, the obtained time-courses display the run-up to the initial seizure, and the final values obtained after this event.
Results

Layer II and V Reversal Potentials

Reversal potential recordings were made from a total of 12 pyramidal neurones, 3 in each group for GABA\textsubscript{A}r and AMPAr reversal potentials for both layer II and layer V. The results obtained do not differ greatly from the values measured in layer III pyramidal cells, as outlined in Chapter 3. The reversal potentials for AMPAr, GABA\textsubscript{A}r and leak conductance can be compared in table 5.1. Graphs of AMPAr-mediated EPSPs and GABA\textsubscript{A}r-mediated IPSPs at a range of membrane voltages in layers II and V can be seen in figures 5.1 and 5.2 respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>AMPAr</th>
<th>GABA\textsubscript{A}r</th>
<th>Leak</th>
<th>EPSC</th>
<th>IPSC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>-66.1</td>
<td>-77.6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>-66.7</td>
<td>-78.1</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>29.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>6.1</td>
<td>-65.2</td>
<td>-78.8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1: Reversal potentials for layers II, III and V of the mEC. Average reversal values, especially for leak and GABA\textsubscript{A}r reversal, are very similar across the layers.

Comparison of Laminar Baseline Values

The baseline values of $I_{Bg}$, $E_{Bg}$ and their respective SDs give some idea of the differences in transmission and synchrony between layers in the mEC. In layer II ($n=6$), $I_{Bg} = 12.3 \pm 2.0$ nS, $E_{Bg} = 3.3 \pm 0.4$ nS and I:E ratio = 3.6 ± 0.3. Baseline SD in layer II was higher than for other populations, suggesting greater synchrony in control conditions. $I_{Bg}$ SD was 2.1 ± 1.1 nS, and $E_{Bg}$ SD was 46.0 ± 9.1 pS. The average number of spikes generated by a 250 ms depolarisation was 4.0 ± 0.4, and the mean baseline spike threshold was 18.8 ± 0.4 mV.
Figure 5.1: Reversal potential graphs for AMPA and GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors in layer II of the mEC. Evoked responses at a range of membrane potentials in the presence of antagonists for other ionotropic receptors were averaged and graphed, using linear regression, to find the reversal potentials of 3.91 mV for AMPA and -66.05 mV for GABA<sub>A</sub> receptors. Each graph represents pooled data from 3 neurones.
Figure 5.2: Reversal potential graphs for AMPA and GABA$_A$ receptors in layer V of the mEC. Evoked responses at a range of membrane potentials in the presence of antagonists for other ionotropic receptors were averaged and graphed, using linear regression, to find the reversal potentials of 6.10 mV for AMPA and -65.20 mV for GABA$_A$ receptors. Each graph represents pooled data from 3 neurones.
The majority of neurones recorded in this thesis were from layer III, allowing a larger group to be used for control values. In 61 cells, as previously stated (Chapter 3), baseline $I_{Bg} = 10.2 \pm 1.6$ nS, $E_{Bg} = 2.5 \pm 0.3$ nS and $I:E = 4.1 \pm 0.3$. $I_{Bg}$ SD was $0.3 \pm 0.1$ nS and $E_{Bg}$ SD = $7.8 \pm 1.3$ pS. Cellular excitability values were $20.5 \pm 0.7$ mV for firing threshold, and $4.4 \pm 0.3$ spikes per train.

Layer V values were as follows (n=5): $I_{Bg} = 6.8 \pm 1.6$ nS, $E_{Bg} = 2.8 \pm 0.6$ nS and I:E ratio = $2.5 \pm 0.4$. SD was $0.30 \pm 0.2$ nS for $I_{Bg}$ and $4.7 \pm 1.6$ pS for $E_{Bg}$. Spike firing threshold was $24.2 \pm 0.5$ mV and average spikes per 250 ms pulse was $2.7 \pm 0.2$.

From these results it is clear that there is a much higher level of synchrony present in both inhibitory and excitatory populations within layer II. Layer V has the lowest baseline levels of inhibition and an I:E ratio that is more in favour of excitation than the superficial layers. This reflects previous studies by our laboratory and others, suggesting that activity in layer V is less biased towards inhibition compared to layers II and III.

**Effects of Bicuculline in Layer II**

Having calculated the baseline levels of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in the three layers, I now determined time-course of changes during application of the convulsant GABA$_A$ blocker, bicuculline.

Three neurones exhibited consistent seizure activity upon application of bicuculline (10 µM). The cells that did not display any discernable epileptiform activity were recorded for up to 25 minutes, but no events were observed. Lack of epileptiform activity did not appear to be dependant upon the level of network preservation in the slices used, as cells exhibiting and lacking epileptic activity were recorded from slices taken from the same animals, on the same day.
Figure 5.3: Time-course of bicuculline in layer II non-epileptic cells. A: Apart from a drop in $I_{Bg}$ 2 minutes after bicuculline (10 µM) addition, conductance values are largely unchanged in these cells. B: The lack of significant change in $E_{Bg}$ or $I_{Bg}$ leads to no overall change in the I:E ratio throughout. C: SD estimates for $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ fluctuate throughout, but do not show a significant change. None of these cells exhibited any epileptiform events ($n=3$)
Conductance measurements were carried out over a 12 minute period in 6 pyramidal cells from mEC layer II. In three neurones where no epileptiform events were observed, there was an initial reduction in $I_{Bg}$ from $10.7 \pm 3.6$ nS to $6.6 \pm 2.2$ nS after 2 minutes exposure to bicuculline ($P > 0.05$), after which no change was evident. $E_{Bg}$ was generally unchanged throughout the experiment. The I:E ratio was unchanged apart from a sharp rise at the end of the experiment (Fig. 5.3A-B). In the unresponsive neurones, SD estimates for both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ fluctuated rapidly throughout the experiment. However, there was no significant change in either component at any time point (Fig. 5.3C).

The remaining three layer II neurones exhibited epileptiform events at an average of $447.0 \pm 17.6$ seconds (7.5 minutes) after the start of bicuculline perfusion. $E_{Bg}$ was unchanged throughout, staying close to its initial value of $3.7 \pm 0.4$ nS. In contrast, $I_{Bg}$ exhibited a clear reduction between 2 and 4 minutes after bicuculline addition, to 46% of its initial value (Fig. 5.4A). After this initial reduction, $I_{Bg}$ remained steady until the end of the experiment.

The change in $I_{Bg}$ was reflected in the I:E ratio for these cells (Fig. 5.4B). From an initial value of $3.6 \pm 0.3$, I:E was reduced to $1.8 \pm 0.2$ at 4 minutes, attaining a final value of $1.5 \pm 0.1$ after 12 minutes in the presence of 10 µM bicuculline. Despite these clear trends, changes in conductance and I:E ratio were not significant at any time point.

The SD estimates of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ arguably provide the most interesting data in this layer (Fig. 5.4C). Immediately after exposure to bicuculline, the SD of both conductance components is noticeably reduced. However, in the run up to the first seizures at approximately 7.5 minutes, both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ SD are steadily increased. This suggests an increase in the level of synchronisation in both excitatory and
Figure 5.4: Time-course of bicuculline in layer II epileptic cells. Dotted line shows average time of first seizure. A: $E_{Bg}$ levels are unchanged throughout. $I_{Bg}$, however, shows a sharp reduction after 2 minutes, from $13.8 \pm 2.0$ to $6.6 \pm 1.8$ nS. B: This rapid change in $I_{Bg}$ is reflected in the $I:E$ ratio. C: The SD of both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ is reduced rapidly after bicuculline addition. However, in the period leading up to the first seizure event, both SDs show a steady increase. This suggests increased synchrony in both populations preceding an epileptiform event.
inhibitory populations before epileptiform activity. Again, however, despite a clear trend in both excitatory and inhibitory components, the changes in SD were not significant throughout. The value of $a$ in these cells was 32426 µm.

Cellular excitability measurements were taken after each VmD measurement. Changes were not significant in either responsive or unresponsive neurones. In pooled data from all six layer II cells, neither spike threshold (18.8 ± 0.4 mV vs 17.0 ± 0.7 mV) nor spikes per train (4.0 ± 0.4 vs 5.7 ± 0.5) showed any change after 12 minutes of bicuculline perfusion.

**Effects of Bicuculline in Layer III**

In a similar manner to the study in layer II, the time-course of the effect of bicuculline was observed in 6 neurones in layer III of the mEC. All of these cells eventually exhibited epileptiform activity, in contrast with the findings in layer II.

The development of epileptiform activity in layer III was faster than that seen in layer II. Cells displayed epileptiform activity after 4-7 minutes, with a mean value of 313.5 ± 42.7 seconds (5.2 minutes). This value contrasts with the 7.5 minute mean for activity to develop in layer II cells. Control conductance levels in bicuculline-treated layer III neurones were slightly lower than the mean values generally found in this layer, with initial measurements of $I_{Bg} = 7.1 ± 0.9$ nS, $E_{Bg} = 1.2 ± 0.3$ nS, and an I:E ratio of 4.8 ± 0.4.

Layer III cells, upon exposure to bicuculline (10 µM), exhibited a steady and rapid reduction in $I_{Bg}$, up to and beyond the point of seizure initiation. $E_{Bg}$ was largely unaffected, causing a shift in the I:E ratio firmly in favour of excitatory activity. This is illustrated in Fig. 5.5. $I_{Bg}$ was decreased from 7.1 ± 0.9 nS to 1.5 ± 0.5 nS after 8
Figure 5.5: Time-course of bicuculline in layer III principal cells. As in Fig. 5.4, the dotted lines indicate the mean time of initial epileptiform activity. A: $I_{Bg}$ shows a marked decline throughout the experiment, up to and beyond the first seizure. $E_{Bg}$ is unchanged. B: The change in $I_{Bg}$ is reflected in the steady movement of I:E ratio in favour of excitation. C: $I_{Bg}$ SD initially drops to a low of $0.17 \pm 0.07$ nS at 4 minutes, before increasing again to $0.40 \pm 0.09$ nS as seizure activity begins. The SD of $E_{Bg}$ is slightly decreased throughout.
minutes of bicuculline perfusion. $E_{Bg}$ tended to increase slightly throughout the experiment, but was not significantly changed from the initial value (Fig. 5.5A). The I:E ratio fell to $1.1 \pm 0.1$ after 8 minutes, indicating almost equal amounts of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ (Fig. 5.5B).

During bicuculline perfusion, the SD of $I_{Bg}$ was rapidly reduced from $1.3 \pm 0.3$ nS to a final level of $0.12 \pm 0.07$ nS after 8 minutes (Fig. 5.5C, $a = 34636$). $E_{Bg}$ SD also decreased from $21.0 \pm 18.0$ pS to $8.1 \pm 4.2$ pS. The difference between the SD of $I_{Bg}$ in control recording and after 8 minutes perfusion with bicuculline was significant ($P=0.028$), whereas the change in the SD of $E_{Bg}$ was not ($P>0.05$, t-test). Similar to the findings in layer II, cellular excitability was not significantly altered by bicuculline. Firing threshold was $20.6 \pm 1.1$ mV in control recordings and $18.2 \pm 0.9$ mV after 8 minutes ($P>0.05$). The number of spikes generated by a 250 ms depolarising pulse was also not significantly affected ($4.3 \pm 0.3$ to $5.5 \pm 1.0$ after 8 minutes).

**Effects of Bicuculline in Layer V**

The time-course of the effects of bicuculline (10 µM) was studied in 5 pyramidal neurones in layer V of the mEC. All of these recorded cells displayed rapid epileptiform activity within 5-8 minutes of bicuculline addition.

During application of bicuculline, $I_{Bg}$ displayed a rapid and sustained drop from $6.8 \pm 1.6$ nS, being more than halved after 2 minutes and reaching $1.9 \pm 0.6$ nS by only 4 minutes. After this it remained largely the same, reaching $1.4 \pm 0.4$ nS after 8 minutes. $E_{Bg}$ displayed little change throughout after an initial fall from $2.8 \pm 0.6$ nS to $1.9 \pm 0.5$ nS. Thereafter it tended to increase again slightly, but was still reduced, compared
Figure 5.6: Time-course of bicuculline in layer V. Dotted line shows mean seizure point. A: $I_{Bg}$ is quickly reduced to $1.9 \pm 0.6 \text{ nS}$ after 4 minutes, after which time the reduction is far slower. $E_{Bg}$ undergoes a small initial reduction and then remains largely unchanged. B: $I:E$ ratio decreases throughout, mirroring the trend seen with $I_{Bg}$. With an endpoint of $0.8 \pm 0.1$, $I:E$ ratio in the presence of bicuculline shows dominance of $E_{Bg}$ over $I_{Bg}$. C: The SD of $I_{Bg}$ is reduced steadily throughout. $E_{Bg}$ SD shows an initial dip at 2 minutes, and then recovers to near-control levels.
to control, at 1.8 ± 0.3 nS after 8 minutes (Fig. 5.6A). The I:E ratio was reduced from 2.5 ± 0.4 to 1.0 ± 0.3 after 4 minutes, and fell slightly further to 0.8 ± 0.1 after 8 minutes (Fig. 5.6B). This indicates that, after 8 minutes of bicuculline perfusion, $E_{Bg}$ actually dominates $I_{Bg}$ in these neurones. The changes in $I_{Bg}$ and I:E ratio were both found to be significant by ANOVA ($P_I=0.005$ and $P_R=0.002$), whereas $E_{Bg}$ was not found to have changed significantly.

The SDs of the $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were very low in layer V, compared to values obtained for neurones in superficial layers. From a control value of 0.30 ± 0.20 nS, $I_{Bg}$ SD fell steadily throughout, reaching 0.043 ± 0.006 nS after 8 minutes. $E_{Bg}$ SD was very low, starting on 4.7 ± 1.6 pS. Although there was no significant change at 8 minutes (4.2 ± 1.8 pS) compared to control, it is interesting that after an initial fall (2.7 ± 0.7 pS after 2 minutes), the SD of $E_{Bg}$ then increased again back to control levels (Fig. 5.6C). Neither change in SD was found to be statistically significant.

Bicuculline had a slight but significant effect on cellular excitability in layer V. Spike amplitude remained largely unchanged, however spike threshold was reduced steadily throughout, from 24.2 ± 0.5 mV in control recordings to 20.7 ± 0.8 mV after 8 minutes ($P=0.004$). The number of spikes generated by a 250 ms pulse was not significantly affected.

**Laminar Comparison of Epileptiform Activity**

In addition to observed differences in the time-course of seizure initiation, and changes in $I_{Bg}$, $E_{Bg}$, and their respective SDs, there was a clear difference between epileptiform events in different layers. Typical events are illustrated in Fig. 5.7.
Figure 5.7: Comparison of epileptiform events in layers II, III and V of the mEC. A: Layer II events are shorter, have smaller amplitude and have shorter refractory periods than in either of the other two layers. B: Layer III events are more emphatic than layer II, with greater and more frequent spikes, longer duration and greater amplitude. C: Layer V events are the most violent of all, with very high frequency spike activity and high amplitude, taking several seconds to recover to baseline membrane potential. These differences in event length and amplitude reflect the laminar means for I:E ratio (LII = 1.5 ± 0.1, LIII = 1.1 ± 0.1, LV = 0.8 ± 0.1). D: Epileptiform activity takes significantly longer to develop in layers III and V compared to layer II. Some cells exhibited varying patterns of epileptiform activity, but these traces represent the vast majority of events in each layer.
The duration, amplitude and firing frequency of epileptiform events would appear to correlate with the I:E ratio in the presence of bicuculline in each layer. Typically, layer II neurones (I:E = 1.5 ± 0.1) display small, short epileptiform bursts. Layer III bursts are longer and larger (I:E = 1.1 ± 0.1), with layer V events the most prolonged and depolarised (I:E = 0.8 ± 0.1). Furthermore, layer II cells take significantly longer to develop signs of epileptic activity (447.0 ± 17.6 seconds) compared to layers III and V (313.5 ± 42.7 and 350.0 ± 48.0 seconds). These results would appear to support the theory that deeper layers are progressively more susceptible to epilepsy compared with layer II.

**Discussion**

The VmD data from different layers of the mEC provides further insight into differences in transmission and excitability between these layers. From baseline data in each layer, it is possible to obtain an idea of the normal resting conditions, i.e. the balance of inhibition and excitation, in deep and superficial layers. Ideally, simultaneous intracellular recordings, using the VmD method, from layers II, III and V in the same slice would give the most accurate picture of lamina-specific differences in I:E ratio and excitability, however this was not possible with our current experimental setup.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Conductance (nS)</th>
<th>SD (pS)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$I_{Bg}$</td>
<td>$E_{Bg}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>12.3</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 5.2: Comparison of baseline values for layers II, III and V of the mEC*

Table 5.2 provides a simple means of comparing baseline conductance and SD values across layers. The two most noticeable differences are the low $I_{Bg}$ in layer V, and the
high degree of SD (of both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$) in layer II. $E_{Bg}$ in layer V is not significantly less than mean $E_{Bg}$ levels in the superficial layers, leading to an I:E ratio more in favour of inhibition in layer V.

The results obtained using the VmD method in this chapter are in agreement with a number of patch clamp and intracellular studies, which suggest that inhibitory control of layer II principal neurones is far greater than in deeper layers (Finch et al., 1986; Jones, 1987; Finch et al., 1988; Jones and Heinemann, 1988; Jones and Buhl, 1993; Jones, 1993; 1994; Heinemann et al., 2000). Overall $I_{Bg}$ levels in control conditions were greatest in layer II, followed by layer III. Baseline conditions in layer V indicate that $I_{Bg}$ levels are almost 50% less in layer V compared to layer II (6.8 nS vs 12.3 nS). $E_{Bg}$ levels are comparable across all three layers, the corollary of which is an I:E ratio in layer V that is more in favour of excitation compared to the other two layers.

The VmD method is based on the actions of AMPAr and GABA$_{AR}$, and as such provides a reliable estimate of background conductance levels, as we have seen throughout this thesis. However, the reliance on only two populations of receptors leads to a risk of underestimation in certain circumstances. Whereas spontaneous excitation is almost exclusively mediated by AMPAr in superficial layers of the mEC (with presynaptic NMDAr facilitating glutamate release), there is evidence to suggest that a significant component of spontaneous excitatory transmission in layer V is mediated by postsynaptic NMDAr, again with facilitation of glutamate release by presynaptic NMDAr (Berretta and Jones, 1996a, b; Jones and Woodhall, 2005). In whole-cell patch clamp studies, sEPSC frequency and amplitude has been found to be greater in layer V than in layer II (Berretta and Jones, 1996a). This is not reflected in the VmD values for $E_{Bg}$ in this Chapter (3.3 nS in layer II, 2.8 nS in layer V). It is likely that the VmD method is underestimating the actual amount of excitatory activity in layer V, further shifting the “real” I:E ratio in favour of excitation compared to other layers.
There is little risk of this underestimation occurring when $I_{Bg}$ is considered. Whole-cell patch clamp studies from our own laboratory have indicated that spontaneous inhibition in both layer II and layer V is mediated postsynaptically by $GABA_{AR}$, with $GABA_B$ autoreceptors regulating GABA release from inhibitory terminals (Bailey et al., 2004; Woodhall et al., 2004). The levels of spontaneous inhibition seen in deep and superficial layers in these patch clamp studies are in agreement with the VmD data in this Chapter. Woodhall et al. (2004) showed that sIPSCs in layer II occurred at an average of around 12.5 Hz, whereas the mean frequency in layer V was 2.5 Hz. The amplitude of sIPSCs in layer II was also slightly higher.

Levels of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ SD may give some indication of the degree of synchronicity within inhibitory and excitatory populations, respectively. If so, the baseline data indicate that inhibitory activity in layer II is highly co-ordinated. In the study by Woodhall et al. (2004) mentioned above, it was found that high-frequency bursts of sIPSCs occurred on a regular and frequent basis. This is indicative of a high level of synchrony in layer II. Additionally, $E_{Bg}$ SD in layer II suggests an unusually high level of excitatory synchrony compared to other layers. Whether this synchronisation is a function of the high level of $I_{Bg}$, i.e. transmission-mediated, or through a high level of recurrent connections, i.e. anatomically-mediated, is unclear. It is likely to be due to a combination of both factors.

Contrary to the conductance levels, cellular excitability measurements would indicate that layer II neurones are most excitable, with layer V neurones having the highest firing threshold and lowest firing frequency in control conditions. This is in contradiction to the expected values, as an I:E ratio in favour of inhibition, with high levels of $I_{Bg}$, would be expected to lead to low cellular excitability. However, the neurones recorded in different layers may be of differing cell types (e.g. pyramidal cells in layers III and V, stellate cells in layer II), each with their own cellular
morphology and passive channel properties, which may in this instance have more bearing on firing threshold/rate than overall and relative conductance levels. No attempt was made to unequivocally identify cellular morphology in these experiments. This discrepancy between I:E ratio and cellular excitability was overturned in the presence of bicuculline. Addition of bicuculline caused a slight reduction in firing threshold compared to resting potential in all three layers. However, the change in threshold was greater in layer III than in layer II, and in layer V bicuculline causes the largest reduction of all (14.5% over 8 minutes), a significant difference compared to control values ($P = 0.004$). Layer V may start with the highest firing threshold, but this appears to be more sensitive to GABA$_{A}$R blockade than in superficial layers. This may be a function of the fact that the I:E ratio with bicuculline is biased in favour of $E_{Bg}$ in layer V ($0.8 \pm 0.1$), something that is not seen in other layers.

The lamina-specific differences in baseline $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ are reflected in the relative speed of onset of epileptiform activity, the characteristics of the epileptiform events, and the response of each layer to the addition of bicuculline. In layer II, only half of the 6 neurones exposed to bicuculline exhibited epileptiform activity. The non-epileptic cells showed a slight reduction in $I_{Bg}$, but this was not significant. This is somewhat surprising, as VmD estimates of background inhibition are based directly on the actions of GABA$_{A}$R, of which bicuculline is a competitive antagonist. It may be that the high level of inhibitory activity in layer II causes a displacement of bicuculline from GABA$_{A}$R, however this theory is undermined by the fact that the layer II neurones that eventually exhibited seizure activity had higher baseline levels of $I_{Bg}$ than those that did not. Since epileptiform activity is population driven, and takes place throughout the EC in a co-ordinated fashion, apparently driven by layer V (Jones and Lambert, 1990a, b), differences in epileptic susceptibility seen from slice to slice could be due to the effects of bicuculline elsewhere in the mEC.
In all layers, initiation of seizure behaviour is preceded by clear declines in $I_{Bg}$, with I:E ratio shifting in favour of inhibition. Interestingly, in layer II, the first sign of epileptic activity is preceded by clear upward trends in the SD of both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$, suggesting increased synchronisation in both inhibitory and excitatory populations. No clear SD trend is evident in the layer II cells that do not display epileptiform events. It may be that this apparent change in levels of synchronisation underlies the generation of seizures in layer II.

The degree of severity of epileptiform activity, and the classic picture of lamina-specific differences in epileptic sensitivity, is reflected in the values of I:E ratio for each layer in the presence of bicuculline. $E_{Bg}$ is increasingly favoured by the I:E ratio in progressively deeper layers, and this is evident in the prolonged and larger-amplitude epileptiform events in layer V compared to layer III, which in turn has larger and longer events than layer II. Paired recordings in slices have shown that epileptiform activity in layer V precedes activity in layers II, III and VI by several milliseconds (Jones and Lambert 1990a, b). This implicates layer V in the initiation and propagation of epileptic activity not just in the EC, but possibly throughout the entire mesial temporal lobe.

The results in this Chapter highlight the lamina-specific differences in $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ that appear to underlie the susceptibility of each layer to acutely-evoked seizures. Certainly, the relatively low level of $I_{Bg}$ in layer V would support studies implicating it in seizure generation and propagation, with the high $I_{Bg}$ level in layer II leading to greater “seizure resistance” in superficial layers (Jones and Lambert, 1990a, b; Avoli et al., 1996; Lopantsev and Avoli, 1996; D'Arcangelo et al., 2001). However, some studies using resected human tissue and animal models of chronic epilepsy have implicated layer II in seizure generation, possibly due to higher levels of synchronisation (Silva-Barrantes et al., 1988; Louvel et al., 1992; Scharfman et al., 1998; Kohling et al., 1998, 1999). Certainly, the SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ in layer II suggests a
significantly higher level of network synchronisation in this area of the mEC. This purported seizure susceptibility, however, is not supported in acutely evoked epileptiform activity, with paired recordings indicating generation in layer V (Jones and Lambert 1990a, b) and the VmD data in this Chapter indicating larger, longer and more easily evoked seizures in deeper layers.
CHAPTER 6
BRIEF MEMBRANE OSCILLATIONS IN THE EC
Introduction

We have seen, in previous data chapters, that interference with individual receptor populations, or small changes in environment, can have wide-ranging effects on the activity of the entire network throughout the EC. Furthermore, the effect of a single drug can vary between layers within the mEC. Within a physiological context, small changes in the activity of neurone populations can have dramatic effects on the membrane voltage of an individual cell, manifested in brief membrane oscillations (BMO), or up-states, events that are easily recordable using intracellular electrophysiology. In this Chapter, I will investigate the phenomenon of membrane oscillations within layer III of the mEC, and use the VmD method in an attempt to quantify some of the network activity underlying these events.

As discussed previously, cortical neurones, whether in the intact brain or an acutely prepared slice, are subject to constant background synaptic bombardment. It is this “synaptic noise” that forms the basis of the membrane voltage equation used as the core of the VmD method. The constant neurotransmitter release, and subsequent postsynaptic activity, is believed to aid the detection of at- or below-threshold signals due to the additive effect of random noise on a “weak” signal, i.e. stochastic resonance. This stochastic noise is increasingly thought to be an important factor in a variety of cellular and network processes throughout the mammalian brain; regulating signal integration from a number of neurones, modulating signal gain and determining the excitability of single neurones, or populations (Ho and Destexhe 2000; Chance et al., 2002; Dorval and White, 2005; Wolfart et al., 2005). Increases in the intensity of background conductance have been implicated in the generation of BMO and up-states, as mentioned above. However, there is much debate as to the exact nature of the conductance changes necessary to mediate such events.
The advantage of using the VmD method to investigate background noise, and any subsequent consequences of changes in its level, is that it is complementary to a range of studies carried out using other means, from more traditional electrophysiology studies to the computer-based simulations that gave rise to the VmD method in the first place. Indeed, the VmD method was originally devised to study periods of increased background activity, leading to BMO (termed “high-conductance states” by the Destexhe group) and, under certain circumstances, the subthreshold oscillations in membrane potential known as “up-states” (Hausser and Clark, 1997; Pare et al., 1998a, b; Stacey and Durand, 2000, 2001; Destexhe et al., 2003; Rudolph et al., 2004; Rudolph and Destexhe, 2004). These can be so intense in an intact brain that neurones undergoing BMO are constantly depolarised in comparison to cells in quiescent periods. Whether periods of high background conductance are the sole cause of observed up-state activity is hotly debated, with conflicting theories from a number of high-profile groups (e.g. Destexhe et al., 2003; Rudolph et al., 2004; Rudolph and Destexhe, 2004; c.f. Waters and Helmchen, 2004, 2006).

The differentiation of spontaneous up-state activity caused by periods of differing background activity, as opposed to other, more regular oscillations associated with such things as sleep or memory formation, was initially made by Steriade et al. (1993), in pyramidal cells of certain morphology, usually cells with large dendritic arbours. Sanchez-Vives and McCormick (2000) showed that, in the visual cortex of anaesthetised cats, up-state activity is initiated in layer V and propagates vertically to layer VI and the superficial layers. There are several conflicting theories behind the generation and function of these up states. Walters and Helmchen (2004) showed using combined patch clamp and electrocorticogram studies that up-state activity is co-ordinated throughout the recorded neurones simultaneously, through an increase in action potential back-propagation mediated by an increase in the activity of dendritic sodium channels and/or a reduction in dendritic potassium channel activity, and would appear to also coincide with a marked increase in activity across the cortex.
However, Waters and Helmchen (2006) question the requirement of an increase in synaptic activity in the generation of up-state activity, citing instead small increases coupled with increases in input resistance caused by current rectification. Using short hyperpolarising current injections during whole-cell recordings of up-state activity in rat neocortical neurones in vivo, the membrane resistance of the recorded cells was monitored throughout. During up-state activity the resistance of the recorded neurone increased, with a small increase in conductance of 2-10 nS.

In contrast to the assertions of Waters and Helmchen, the Destexhe group (Destexhe et al., 2003; Rudolph et al., 2004; Rudolph and Destexhe, 2004; Rudolph et al., 2007) have maintained that up-states are generated by periods of intense and co-ordinated cortical activity. Their theory is that up-state activity is representative of the behaviour of neurones in the intact brains of awake, behaving animals, and so is the most accurate representation of a fully functional neurone. Indeed, Cossart et al. (2003) have proposed that up-state activity may form the basis of memory retention or computational function in neuronal networks, by functioning as “circuit attractors”, a proposed method of enabling large collections of simple processing units (i.e. neurones) to perform complex computational tasks (Hopfield, 1982). Furthermore, Shu et al., (2003a) have demonstrated that barrages of increased excitatory and inhibitory activity enhance neuronal responsiveness and increase the accuracy of spike-timing. These co-ordinated barrages are thought to be controlled by the actions of feedback pathways. McCormick et al. (2003) have suggested that up- and down-states are the natural result of the extensive recurrent connections in the cortex, with inhibitory interneurones playing a crucial role (Sanchez-Vives and McCormick, 2000).

Studies in awake animals have shown that neurones in behaving subjects possess low input resistance and a depolarised membrane potential in comparison to quiescent cells seen in slices or anaesthetised animals. This would appear to support a much higher level of neurotransmitter release in these subjects (Matsumura et al., 1988;
Baranyi et al., 1993; Steriade et al., 2001). Furthermore, the technique of resistance comparison (by injection of hyperpolarising pulses and application of Ohm’s law) used by Walters and Helmchen to propose their “low conductance” up-states, with little change in overall synaptic activity, has previously been questioned by the Destexhe group (Destexhe et al., 2003) as too unreliable and non-transferable between studies or laboratories, due to differences in tissue preparations, electrode properties and recording conditions. Further support for the high-conductance theory of up-states comes from the use of the sodium channel blocker TTX in in vivo studies. Neurones recorded from intact brains in anaesthetised animals displayed, in the presence of TTX, resistance and potential characteristics similar to those found in neurones recorded from acute brain slices (i.e. a reduced preparation). These results logically support the reduced nature of slice preparations, but also rule out any differences in membrane potential or resistance characteristics that may have been caused by slice preparation, lending further weight to the notion of increased activity leading to up-states.

Cunningham et al. (2006) proposed an alternative explanation for up-state activity in the EC. They suggest that the major drive behind up-state activity is GluR5 containing kainate receptors, increasing activity by potentiating GABA and glutamate release through action at presynaptic terminals, but also postulate that the oscillations are a function of ATP-modulated potassium channels. Blockade of these channels with tolbutamide led to the prolongation of “up” phases, whereas enhancing the activation of \( K_{\text{ATP}} \) channels with diazoxide led to increased “down” periods. Blockade of \( K_{\text{ATP}} \) channels by MgATP slowed the transition between up- and down-state. The results suggest that increased activity during up-states leads to rapid depletion of intracellular ATP levels, which in turn causes the activation of \( K_{\text{ATP}} \) channels and the termination of the up-state.
In the studies discussed above, up-state activity is readily observed \textit{in vivo} due to the high level of baseline activity in the intact brain. However, in reduced preparations (i.e. brain slices) it is usually necessary to increase activity levels to obtain oscillatory behaviour such as BMO and up-states. This can easily be achieved by lowering the magnesium concentration in the recording ACSF (e.g. see McCormick \textit{et al.}, 2003; Cunningham \textit{et al.}, 2006) to increase activation of pre- and postsynaptic NMDAr, increasing release of glutamate and GABA (Jones and Heinemann, 1989; Jones 1994).

Here, I have used a modified ACSF in acute brain slices to induce up-state behaviour in the mEC. The VmD method has been used in an attempt to characterise differences in transmission between high-conductance states and quiescent periods. Both up-states and brief membrane oscillations (i.e. periods of obviously increased potential fluctuation that do not lead to spontaneous depolarisations) have been studied with a range of drugs.

\textbf{Methods}

EC-hippocampal slices were prepared from juvenile male Wistar rats (40-70g) as described in Chapter 2. To induce up-state behaviour, slices were cut in normal ACSF without additional ketamine (which was found to reduce the likelihood of up-state activity), and stored for 2 hours at room temperature in a modified ACSF after slicing. This modified solution contained higher $[K^+]_o$ (3.75 mM) and lower $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ (1.25mM) compared to normal (see Ch. 2), and was used in the majority of experiments in this Chapter, with the exception of the Mg$^{2+}$ ramp group. The longer recovery time was found to be necessary to obtain reliable up-state behaviour. All recordings were made from pyramidal neurones in layer III of the mEC.

A separate group of 6 neurones were subjected to a magnesium ramp, to study the effects of decreasing concentration. Recording was started in ACSF with 1.5 mM
[Mg$^{2+}$]$_o$, which was then reduced by 0.5 mM every 5 minutes, giving a run of 1.5mM to 0.0mM [Mg$^{2+}$]$_o$ across the duration of the experiment, until it was nominally zero.

Results

Identification and Classification of Brief Membrane Oscillations

Up-states, where the membrane voltage spontaneously depolarises, often for several seconds, are easy to identify and differentiate from epileptiform activity by their amplitude, duration and shape, and as such can be identified by eye both during and after the recording as obvious depolarisations. However, other events were encountered that were obvious increases in the SD of the membrane potential, referred to here as brief membrane oscillations (BMO). These BMO are far harder to identify post-hoc, and identification involves assessing the entire voltage trace on a second-by-second basis and comparing membrane voltage fluctuations with the surrounding trace, looking for periods of increased variability. Once BMO states have been identified, they can be separated from quiescent membrane periods during VmD calculations. This gives two readings per cell; one for the normal, quiescent membrane state (QMS) and one for the rapid bursts that characterise the BMO.

Characterisation of Up-states

Up-state activity was successfully evoked in a total of 10 cells. Several drugs were used to characterise the receptor populations involved in the generation and maintenance of up-state activity: the NMDAr antagonist 2-AP5, the AMPAr/KAr antagonist NBQX, the GluR5-containing KAr antagonist UBP-302, the gap-junction opener trimethylamine, and the gap-junction antagonist carbenoxelone.
An illustration of up-state activity, along with an expanded, single up-state showing clearly spontaneous synaptic activity, can be seen in Fig. 6.1. Mean baseline values for up-state activity were as follows: amplitude = 6.3 ± 1.0 mV, duration = 2.2 ± 0.4 s, frequency = 0.22 ± 0.11 Hz.

Figure 6.1: Up-states in a layer III mEC pyramidal neurone. Traces in this and subsequent figures show membrane voltage levels obtained through intracellular recording from single LIII pyramidal neurones. A: Expanded trace of a single up-state shows clear spontaneous synaptic activity on the rising edge and plateau of the event. B: Up-states exhibit rhythmic activity with a mean frequency of 0.22 ± 0.11 Hz

AMPAr

Up-states in layer III neurones consist of large amplitude depolarisations with spontaneous synaptic events superimposed (Fig. 6.1). Since most fast synaptic excitation at cortical synapses is mediated by AMPAr, it seemed logical to test for an involvement of these receptors in up-state generation so the contribution of AMPAr to
up-state activity was assessed. When a low concentration (5 µM) of the AMPAr/KAr blocker NBQX was perfused, the frequency of up-states slowed from 0.16 Hz to 0.08 Hz, without significant changes in amplitude. A representative trace is illustrated in Fig. 6.2. Upon washout, the frequency of the up-states was restored to control levels.

![Figure 6.2: Effect of NBQX on up-states in layer III. A: In control conditions, these up-states exhibited a mean frequency of 0.16 Hz. B: Addition of NBQX (5 µM) caused a 50% reduction in frequency, without significant change to up-state amplitude.](image)

**NMDAr**

NMDAr are tightly regulated by the voltage-dependent block exerted by Mg\(^{2+}\). Removal of \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o\) elicits prolonged epileptiform discharges in EC neurones (Jones
and Heinemann 1988, 1989; Jones 1994), so a progressive lowering of $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$ may eventually give rise to up-states involving increased activation of NMDAr. When the NMDAr antagonist 2-AP5 (30 µM) was perfused (n=3), up-state frequency was reduced within 5 minutes. In 2 out of 3 cells, 2-AP5 abolished up-states. Fig. 6.3 shows a representative trace from one neurone. Whilst the remaining cell showed reduced frequency and amplitude, the up-states were not abolished. This suggests that NMDAr have more of a role in the generation of up-states than AMPAr.

Figure 6.3: Effect of NMDAr blockade by 2-AP5 on up-state activity. A: In control conditions, up-state behaviour was frequent and regular. B: Addition of 2-AP5 first slowed, then abolished, up-state activity in 2 out of 3 cells. The other cell shows reduced frequency and amplitude but up-states were not abolished.
KAr

GluR5-containing KAr appeared to be crucial to the generation and maintenance of up-states in layer III. Application of UBP-302 (20 µM) abolished up-states in all tested cells (n=8). Upon wash-out of UBP-302, up-state activity was restored, with a similar frequency, but slightly reduced amplitude, compared with control ($P>0.05$). One representative recording is illustrated in Fig. 6.4. Large amplitude up-states occurred at highly regular intervals. These were interspersed with less regular and smaller amplitude events. Application of UBP-302 abolished both.

Figure 6.4: Effects of GluR5 KAr blockade on up-state activity in layer III. A: In control conditions up-states have an average frequency of 0.22 Hz. B: After addition of 20µM UBP-302, no up-state activity was observed, although baseline membrane noise remained at a similar level. C: After 10 minutes wash in drug-free ACSF, up-state activity spontaneously returned, with similar frequency but slightly reduced amplitude.
Gap Junctions

There is much evidence to suggest that electrical synapses (i.e. gap junctions) play a major role in the synchronisation of sub-threshold activity in the cerebral cortex (see Connors and Long, 2004 for review). Thus, I considered the possibility that gap junctions may be involved in synchronisation leading to up-states in the EC. Increasing gap junction activity might be expected to increase the synchronisation of sub-threshold synaptic events, leading to increased up-states. Conversely, decreasing electrical synapse activity might be predicted to reduce network-wide synchrony and activity. The role of gap-junctions in up-state activity was investigated through the use of trimethylamine (TMA) and carbenoxolone.

Figure 6.5: Effect of increased gap junction activity on up-state activity in layer III of the mEC. Top trace (A) shows normal up-state activity in a typical cell. After addition of 1mM TMA (B), up-state activity increases in frequency, with a slight reduction in amplitude. At peak activity, up-state generation under TMA is so frequent that the membrane spends more time in a depolarised state than in a resting state.

Addition of the gap-junction opener, TMA (1 mM), caused a dramatic increase in the frequency (0.15 ± 0.02 Hz to 0.31 ± 0.06 Hz, P=0.03) and duration of up-states, to the point where the membrane potential was essentially shifted to a prolonged up-state
with only occasional periods of normal membrane potential. A representative trace from one neurone is illustrated in Fig. 6.5. Washout of the TMA restored up-state activity to normal levels. In contrast, the gap junction blocker, carbenoxolone (200 µM), did not alter the amplitude or frequency of up-states. Thus, it appears that gap junction opening can enhance existing up-state generation, but they do not appear to be tonically involved in initiating or maintaining activity.

**$I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ During Progressive Depletion of $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$**

The up-states described above were induced by lowering $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$ to 1.25 mM (compared to 1.5 mM). In addition, a common method of inducing acute epilepsy in slices is to record in a Mg$^{2+}$-free environment (e.g. Jones and Heinemann 1988, 1989; Jones 1994). The pharmacological experiments above have shown that up-states may be modified by AMPAR, NMDAR and GluR5 KAR. In addition, Mg$^{2+}$-free epileptic activity has been shown to be blocked by NMDAR antagonists and modified by AMPAR antagonists (Jones and Lambert, 1990a, b). VmD measurements (Chapter 4) in normal $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$ showed that background inhibition and excitation could be modified by blocking NMDAR or GluR5 KAR, which themselves do not feature in the VmD estimates. Thus, in the present studies I examined changes in $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ during a progressive lowering of $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$ from 1.5 to 0 mM (Mg-ramp).

Mg$^{2+}$-ramps were obtained in 6 pyramidal neurones in layer III. Initial $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$ was 1.5 mM, and this was lowered in steps of 0.5 mM every 10 minutes until 0 mM $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$ was reached. Conductance estimates were performed every 2 minutes. Of these neurones, two cells exhibited up-states at 1.5-1.0 mM $[\text{Mg}^{2+}]_o$, and all cells exhibited acute epileptic activity during perfusion with Mg$^{2+}$-free ACSF. Conductance measurements were made outside periods of epileptic activity.
Figure 6.6: Conductance estimates in decreasing \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]_0\) in layer III mEC pyramidal neurones. Control levels are comparable to that found throughout this thesis in layer III. A: Stepwise lowering of \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]_0\) causes large rises in both \(E_{Bg}\) and \(I_{Bg}\), however the increase in \(I_{Bg}\) is proportionally much larger. B: This leads to a final \(I:E\) ratio (at 0 mM \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]_0\)) of 22:1 in favour of inhibition, compared to 4:1 in control conditions.
Control values were 8.0 ± 1.3 nS for $I_{Bg}$, 2.2 ± 0.4 nS for $E_{Bg}$ and I:E ratio was 3.8 ± 0.3 in 1.5 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_o$. Lowering $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ elicited a progressive rise in both $E_{Bg}$ and $I_{Bg}$ (Fig. 6.6A). $I_{Bg}$ increased throughout the $Mg^{2+}$-ramp. However, $E_{Bg}$ was practically unaltered at 1 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_o$, but rose thereafter. Comparing values obtained at 1.5 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ with those in zero $[Mg^{2+}]_o$, $E_{Bg}$ showed a 3.5 fold increase, reaching a final level of 7.6 ± 2.7 nS. $I_{Bg}$ was affected to an even greater extent, undergoing nearly a 14 fold increase to reach 111.1 ± 33.1 nS in $Mg^{2+}$-free ACSF. This was manifest as a large change in I:E ratio, which attained a final value of 21.8 ± 8.51 in Mg-free ACSF. Changes between 1.5 and 0 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ were found to be significant using ANOVA ($P_I＜0.001$, $P_E=0.016$ and $P_R=0.037$).

Changes in the SD of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were also examined. $I_{Bg}$ SD increased from an initial value of 0.12 ± 0.06 nS in 1.5 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ to 0.32 ± 0.16, a 2.5 fold increase in magnesium free ACSF (Fig. 6.7A). However, the relative change in $E_{Bg}$ SD was even greater, increasing from 1.8 ± 0.3 pS in 1.5 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ to 8.1 ± 0.4 pS in $Mg^{2+}$-free ACSF. This represents a 4.5 fold increase over the control value. The changes in SD indicate increasing synchrony in both excitatory and inhibitory drives onto the principal cells. These are likely to be interconnected as it has been shown that interneurones can actually synchronise activity in principal cells. Thus, overall reduced $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ causes elevated synchrony in the whole network, and this could be involved in the generation of epileptic responses. Neither change, however, was found to be significant using ANOVA.

Lowering $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ had conflicting results on cellular excitability measurements. The firing threshold of action potentials was reduced steadily throughout the Mg-ramp from 22.3 ± 1.3 mV in 1.5 mM $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ to 16.2 ± 0.9 mV in zero $[Mg^{2+}]_o$, indicating increased excitability ($P=0.004$, t-test). However, the spikes evoked by a 250 ms depolarising pulse was not significantly affected by reducing $[Mg^{2+}]_o$ (2.8 ± 0.7 to 2.1 ± 0.5).
Figure 6.7: SD estimates and cellular excitability measurements in Mg$^{2+}$-ramp experiments. A: The change in SD estimates is the reverse of that seen in the conductance results, as the level of $I_{Bg}$ SD increases proportionally less than the level of $E_{Bg}$ SD. This indicates higher levels of synchrony in both populations, however in Mg$^{2+}$-free ACSF the excitatory cells have a proportionally higher degree of synchrony compared to the interneurones. B: Cellular excitability measurements show conflicting results, with spike threshold falling significantly. C: However, the number of spikes per train is also slightly reduced.
VmD Measurement of Up-states

In one neurone where a Mg\(^{2+}\)-ramp experiment was conducted, at approximately 1 mM \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]_0\) up-states with plateaus long enough (several hundred ms) to obtain VmD measurements were observed, allowing estimates of \(I_{Bg}\) and \(E_{Bg}\) to be made during up-states (Fig. 6.8). 6-8 up-states were analysed at each conductance level. VmD conductance values for up-state peaks were 21.5 nS for \(I_{Bg}\), and 7.0 nS for \(E_{Bg}\). This gave an I:E ratio of 3.1 at the plateau of up-states. \(I_{Bg}\) SD was very high: 1.93 nS. \(E_{Bg}\) SD was close to normal control values, at 6.77 pS. These data suggest that up-states are periods of high conductance, accompanied by increased synchrony in inhibitory populations. The up-state data described during this chapter was largely obtained before the VmD method was perfected, so no conductance data is available for them. Subsequent experiments were performed using slices taken from rats killed by cervical dislocation, as opposed those given ketamine and decapitated. The latter group of rats exhibited up-states in most slices, however with the cervical dislocation group, used after VmD recordings were made available, up-state activity was almost impossible to obtain.

However, cervically dislocated rats did exhibit brief membrane oscillations (BMO) in 1.25 mM \([\text{Mg}^{2+}]_0\), which were readily distinguishable from normal quiescent membrane states (QMS) as periods of increased membrane potential variability, but did not cause up-state like depolarisations. These BMO were studied using the VmD method.

Measurement of BMO

VmD analysis of BMO (n=7) revealed extremely interesting results. The fluctuations in membrane potential did not involve large increases in overall conductance, but were associated with a brief change in I:E ratio. Control conditions in these cells were
Figure 6.8: VmD measurement of up-states. A: During one Mg-ramp recording, up-states with plateaus long enough to obtain VmD measurements from were observed. The membrane voltage values between the red lines for 6-8 up-states per injected current level were pooled and treated as one set of VmD data. B: Values of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were both substantially increased, by proportionally equal amounts. C: This led to little change in overall I:E ratio. D: The SD of both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ is substantially increased, suggesting a large increase in synchrony within and between inhibitory and excitatory populations.
within the normal range of observations for layer III cells in 1.25 mM [Mg$^{2+}$]$_0$. $I_{Bg}$ was 4.0 ± 0.5 nS, $E_{Bg}$ was 1.1 ± 0.2 nS, and the mean I:E ratio was 3.9 ± 0.3. During a BMO, $I_{Bg}$ was noticeably reduced to 1.6 ± 0.5 nS. At the same time $E_{Bg}$ was increased to 2.4 ± 0.8 nS. This gave an I:E ratio of 1.0 ± 0.2 during a BMO (Fig. 6.9). This represents a brief change in ratio of almost 4 fold in favour of excitation. The increase in $E_{Bg}$ was reflected in the SD measurements. Control $I_{Bg}$ SD was 0.15 ± 0.04 nS, control $E_{Bg}$ SD was 1.4 ± 0.2 pS. During a BMO, $I_{Bg}$ SD was little altered at 0.19 ± 0.04 nS, with the SD of $E_{Bg}$ showing an upward trend with a nearly 6 fold increase, to 8.1 ± 5.9 pS. Because of the difficulty in obtaining BMO recordings, n-numbers are low. The high S.E.M. of the $E_{Bg}$ SD means that it is not a significant change, although more experiments may improve the errors. If so, this would indicate that the relative synchrony of excitatory activity is greatly increased during these membrane potential fluctuations. The changes in I:E ratio and $I_{Bg}$ was significant ($P<0.05$, paired t-test). None of the changes in SD were found to be significant.

**Effects of Tiagabine on BMO**

The fact that BMO appeared to involve loss of background inhibition prompted me to study the effects of tiagabine (4 µM, n=4) on their occurrence. Previous experiments showed that the GABA uptake blocker caused a substantial increase in $I_{Bg}$. The drug abolished BMO in all four neurones. At the same time, it increased $I_{Bg}$ from 4.0 ± 0.8 nS to 8.5 ± 0.9 nS, and left excitatory conductance largely unchanged at 0.9 ± 0.2 nS from 1.2 ± 0.3 nS. This led to a final I:E ratio of 9.7 ± 0.8 (vs 3.6 ± 0.4 control). The large increase in $I_{Bg}$ is likely to be responsible for the abolition of the BMO periods. Tiagabine did not significantly change the SD of either $I_{Bg}$ or $E_{Bg}$.
Figure 6.9: Characterisation of background conductance during brief membrane oscillations. 

A: Example of BMO, indicated by solid black line over trace. Increased membrane potential SD can clearly be seen. B: VmD values for control and BMO states. I_{Bg} is significantly reduced, with a smaller increase in E_{Bg}. I:E ratio is significantly shifted in favour of inhibition (3.9 vs 1.0). D: Although not significant, there is a clear trend in favour of E_{Bg} SD increase. I_{Bg} SD is not affected. Thus, BMO are associated with brief reductions in I_{Bg} and increases in the SD of E_{Bg}. 
Discussion

Oscillations in cortical networks, associated with changes in background activity, are thought to play an important role in the processing of sensory information, memory formation and other highly complex computational tasks (Cossart et al., 2003). In this Chapter I have characterised two separate oscillatory behaviours, up-states and BMO, which would appear to have distinct profiles of background synaptic activity.

Up-states, as outlined in the introduction to this Chapter, have been the subject of some debate with regards to the level of synaptic bombardment necessary for their generation. Waters and Helmchen (2006) suggest that, due to rectification by voltage sensitive channels and associated increases in membrane resistance, only small increases in background activity are required for up-state generation. In contrast, the Destexhe group (Destexhe et al., 2003; Rudolph et al., 2004; Rudolph and Destexhe, 2004; Rudolph et al., 2007) maintain that up-states are a result of brief periods of significantly increased conductance, with high levels of synchronisation between and within neuronal populations.

The VmD data gathered from up-states in this Chapter is in agreement with the latter theory. Despite only one neurone being suitable for VmD analysis, the increases in $I_{Bg}$, $E_{Bg}$ and their respective SDs are clearly associated with up-state events. The I:E ratio does not change between baseline and up-state periods, suggesting a proportionally equal rise in background excitation and inhibition. The wealth of recurrent connections in the mEC leads to close association between inhibitory and excitatory populations, with changes in the activity of one having an appreciable effect on the other, as seen in earlier Chapters. Thus, a rapid and pronounced increase in $E_{Bg}$ would precipitate a similar increase in $I_{Bg}$. In a similar fashion to the generation of epileptiform activity in the mEC, Sanchez-Vives and McCormick (2000) found that up-state behaviour is initiated in layer V and propagates towards the superficial layers.
A valuable future study could involve simultaneous VmD measurements from layers III and V of the mEC, to investigate possible lamina-specific changes in background activity which precede, or coincide with, up-state activity.

Aside from the VmD data, the pharmacological characterisation of up-states performed in this Chapter would suggest that GluR5-containing KAr have a crucial role in the generation and maintenance of up-state activity. Whole-cell patch clamp studies from our laboratory have strongly suggested that GluR5-KAr enhance both glutamate and GABA release through action at presynaptic terminals (Chamberlain and Jones, unpublished observations). If it is accepted that the increase in background activity seen with the VmD method is the driving force behind up-state activity, it may be that activation of presynaptic KAr provides a further drive in up-state generation.

Figure 6.10: Network connections in the mEC. As before, green triangles represent principal cells, and red circles represent interneurones. The wealth of recurrent connections (red line) is thought to be an important factor in the generation of up-state behaviour. Small increases in transmitter release could be boosted through activation of GluR5-KAr and/or presynaptic NMDAr, meaning that these receptors play a pivotal role in up-state activity.
Further enhancement of transmitter release may come from presynaptic NMDAr, explaining the sensitivity of up-states to NMDAr blockade with 2-AP5. Another possibility is that up-state activity is sensitive to 2-AP5 due to the role that postsynaptic NMDAr play in excitatory transmission in layer V. As discussed above, up-states are thought to originate in deeper layers and propagate vertically (Sanchez-Vives and McCormick, 2000). Our laboratory has shown that a significant component of background excitation in layer V is mediated by postsynaptic NMDAr (Berretta and Jones, 1996a), the blockade of which may significantly reduce excitatory activity in layer V, and reduce the probability of up-state generation and propagation.

The majority of fast excitatory transmission in cortical networks is mediated by postsynaptic AMPAr. However, addition of the AMPAr/KAr antagonist NBQX did not completely abolish up-state activity in all cells. The effect of NBQX on up-states in this Chapter is not clear cut. The abolition seen in 66% of cells, and the reduction in frequency seen in the other, may be due to an overall reduction in $E_{Bg}$ due to AMPAr blockade. However, it may be caused, at least in part, by the antagonism of pre- or postsynaptic KAr under NBQX. This could be resolved by further studies with more specific antagonists, such as GYKI-53665 (specific AMPAr antagonist) although there are few suitable specific antagonists for non-GluR5 containing KAr.

Opening gap junctions with TMA leads to an appreciable increase in the frequency and duration of up-state activity. However, reduction of gap junction activity with carbenoxolone has no effect on up-states. Thus, it would seem that whilst gap junction activity can enhance existing up-states, tonic activation of gap junctions is not involved in the normal generation of up-state activity.

The large increases in conductance seen during stepwise reduction of extracellular magnesium are not surprising. NMDAr are known to exist both presynaptically and postsynaptically at excitatory synapses in the mEC (Berretta and Jones, 1996a, b;
Jones and Woodhall, 2005; Yang et al., 2006). Furthermore, NMDAr are known to play a large role in the function of GABAergic interneurones (Monyer et al., 1994; Glitsch and Marty, 1999; Maccaferri and Dingledine, 2002; Duguid and Smart, 2004; Tzingounis and Nicoll, 2004). By reducing the extracellular concentration of magnesium, the voltage-dependent blockage of the NMDAr pore by Mg$^{2+}$ ions is lessened, and NMDAr mediated depolarisation is more likely. This would increase the depolarisation of both the presynaptic and postsynaptic membrane, leading to increased release of both glutamate and GABA, and the greater likelihood of action potential formation. Thus, since the effects of [Mg$^{2+}$]$_o$ reduction take place on both sides of the synapse, and would be expected to cause a positive feedback effect on transmitter release (i.e. NMDAr activity increases glutamate release, which further increases NMDAr activity both pre- and postsynaptically) the rise in activity seen during stepwise [Mg$^{2+}$]$_o$ reduction is rapid and emphatic. Furthermore, given the above-mentioned link between NMDAr and interneurones, the shift in the I:E ratio towards inhibition is a predictable response to removal of voltage-dependent Mg$^{2+}$ blockade of NMDAr.

Decreasing [Mg$^{2+}$]$_o$ to 1.25 mM gives rise, in some cells, to brief membrane oscillations (BMO). The VmD data from these events suggest that they are distinct from up-states, in that there is no overall increase in background conductance. Instead, a brief but significant drop in I$_{Bg}$ leads to an I:E ratio of approximately 1:1, accompanied by an increase in the synchrony of excitatory neurones.

The function of these BMO provides an interesting avenue for investigation. They may be associated, precursor events to up-states, that would trigger spontaneous depolarisations if conditions were favourable (i.e. greater levels of transmitter release, as seen in vivo or with reduced [Mg$^{2+}$]$_o$ in vitro). These BMO events are strongly reminiscent of sharp wave activity seen throughout the hippocampus and EC in behaving animals (Chrobak and Buzsaki, 1994, 1996). These sharp waves are periods
of highly co-ordinated activity that are most prominent in the CA1 area of the hippocampus (Buzsaki et al., 1983; Buzsaki, 1986; Suzuki and Smith, 1987), but are also evident in the deeper layers of the mEC. They have been postulated as a mechanism for the transfer of memory traces from hippocampus to EC (Ylinen et al., 1995). Chrobak and Buzsaki (1994) claim that sharp waves are not evident in the superficial layers of the EC and associated retrohippocampal structures, instead demonstrating the prominence of a similar but unrelated theta-wave activity in these superficial layers in behaving animals. However, the BMO events observed in this Chapter are seemingly random, and do not display the highly rhythmic activity associated with theta waves, as well as having a far slower frequency.

A possible method for the investigation of these BMO is the combination of VmD recording with multi-electrode extracellular, or paired intracellular, recordings. This would allow any slice-wide waves to be tracked in real time, which would then give an estimation of the direction of propagation, and possible site of origin.
CHAPTER 7
EFFECT OF ANTICONVULSANTS ON BACKGROUND SYNAPTIC ACTIVITY
Introduction

The effective diagnosis and treatment of various types of epilepsy presents an ongoing challenge for physicians and pharmacologists alike. Epilepsy is the most common serious neurological condition in the developed world, with a prevalence of 5-10 cases per 1000 population. Of these patients, one third will die in a manner related directly to their epilepsy (Brodie et al., 1997). The mean duration of epilepsy is 10 years, but 20-30% of patients will experience lifelong epilepsy. Modern pharmacotherapy enables the control of many types of seizures of varying severity. However, 30% of patients are, or will become, refractory to any form of pharmacological intervention. Of those, a further 30% will be unresponsive to surgical intervention (Sander, 1993; Brodie et al., 1997; Kwan and Brodie, 2000). The EC has increasingly become a focus of attention for those studying mechanisms of epilepsy, and understanding where current treatment regimes fall short. The most common form of epilepsy in adults is temporal lobe epilepsy (TLE), accounting for around 40% of seizures in adults. Surgical studies have suggested that the success of anterior temporal lobectomy or selective amygdalohippocampectomy is directly correlated to the amount of EC tissue removed (Fried, 1993). The role of the EC as the “gateway” between hippocampus and neocortex implicate it in the propagation of seizures arising from hippocampal sclerosis. Indeed, the EC itself has been cited as an initiation point for seizures in TLE (Jones and Lambert, 1990a, b; Siegel et al., 1990; Fried, 1993; Nagao et al., 1996; Sperling et al., 1996; Schwarcz et al., 2000; Dupont et al., 2001; Jones and Woodhall, 2005).

Frontline pharmacotherapy for epilepsy has long been based on empirical results rather than rational drug design. As a consequence, the mode-of-action of many anticonvulsant drugs is poorly understood. Several common drugs have been the subject of debate with regards to their cellular and synaptic effects. Studies in this laboratory have used whole-cell patch clamp recording to show that a number of
clinically effective drugs modify the spontaneous release of GABA and glutamate at EC synapses. In this Chapter I have used the VmD method to determine how these effects relate to global background activity and excitability.

**Phenytoin**

Used in the treatment of generalised tonic-clonic and complex partial seizures, phenytoin has been in use since the 1950s (Mattson *et al.*, 1985; Cunningham *et al.*, 2000). There is contention concerning its primary mode of action. Many studies have suggested that phenytoin acts via blockade of VGSC in a use- and voltage-dependent manner (e.g. McLean and MacDonald, 1983; Matsuki *et al.*, 1984; Yaari *et al.*, 1986; Tomaselli *et al.*, 1989; Ragsdale *et al.*, 1991; Rogawski and Loscher, 2004; White *et al.*, 2007), leading to a reduction of sustained repetitive action potential activity in postsynaptic neurones. However, consequence of VGSC blockade might also be altered transmitter release.

Previous studies in this laboratory (Cunningham *et al.*, 2000) used whole-cell patch clamp studies in the mEC to show that phenytoin could reduce the spontaneous release of glutamate but increased release of GABA. Both effects persisted in the presence of TTX, showing that blockade of sodium channels was not responsible for alterations in release. Phenytoin did not appear to affect postsynaptic glutamate receptors (rise time, amplitude and decay time of EPSCs were not affected) so it seems likely that it had actions on the release mechanisms at both GABA and glutamate synapses. The basis of these effects remains unknown, but they could contribute to the anticonvulsant effects of the drug.
Ethosuximide

Ethosuximide is the drug of first choice in the treatment of absence seizures. It is equally effective as valproate. The prevailing view on the clinical action of ethosuximide is that it blocks T-type calcium channels (MacDonald and Kelly, 1994). However, as with all anticonvulsants, this is unlikely to be the only factor involved in the mode of action of ethosuximide. Coulter et al. (1989a) first showed blockade of low-threshold calcium currents ($I_{CaT}$) by ethosuximide. In the same year a study in isolated neurones from the ventrobasal complex of guinea pig (Coulter et al., 1989b; Kostyuk et al., 1992), suggested that the action of ethosuximide on $I_{CaT}$ was voltage dependent (more pronounced at hyperpolarised potentials). Gomora and colleagues (2001) confirmed these findings in cloned human T-type calcium channels, inactive-state preferential binding by ethosuximide was observed. Other studies suggested that persistent Na$^+$ channels and Ca$^{2+}$-activated K$^+$-channels may be more likely targets for the action of ethosuximide (Leresche et al., 1998). Additionally, Löschner and Frey (1977) showed that ethosuximide was able to reverse isoniazid-mediated inhibition of GAD, suggesting that the drug may have some effects on GABA synthesis.

Gabapentin

Gabapentin was approved for use in antiepileptic polytherapy in 1994. It is a 3-substituted GABA analogue, and as such was developed on the premise that it would exert anticonvulsant effects through mimicking/enhancing GABAergic transmission. However, a large consensus suggests that gabapentin has little or no effect on various aspects of GABA transmission.

Taylor et al. (1998) discussed a number of proposed mechanisms of the action of gabapentin. There is little or no evidence to support direct interaction of gabapentin with either GABA_A or GABA_B receptors, as it does not displace GABA binding
(Taylor et al., 1998; Jensen et al., 2002). It does not alter GABA uptake into neurones (or glia), nor does it alter electrophysiological responses to GABA (Rock et al., 1993; Su et al., 1995). Maneuf et al. (2003) has summarised the efforts to identify and purify a “gabapentin binding protein”. This was identified as the α2δ1,2 subunit of the VGCC (Gee et al., 1996). No definitive data exist as to the location of these in the EC.

In studies from this laboratory, gabapentin (and the related drug pregabalin) was found to reduce both activity dependent and independent glutamate release in the EC. The results suggested a dual mechanism of the action of gabapentin. This was mediated partly by blockade of P/Q-type VGCCs, and partly by an unknown effect downstream of Ca2+ entry (Cunningham et al., 2004).

**Felbamate**

Felbamate was the first of the new generation of anticonvulsants introduced in the 1990s, having been approved for use in 1993. Its clinical use is now limited as a result of rare, but often fatal, side effects such as aplastic anaemia (Pellock and Brodie, 1997).

The anticonvulsant effects of felbamate have largely been considered to result from blockade of NMDA receptors. Kuo et al. (2004) demonstrated that the action of felbamate in the blockade of NMDA currents is use dependent, whilst other studies have shown that felbamate is specific for receptors composed of NR1a/NR2B subunits (Harty and Rogawski, 2000). Recent work from our laboratory has shown that felbamate, like other anticonvulsants, reduces spontaneous glutamate release at EC synapses. This effect seems to be entirely dependent on blocking the tonic facilitatory presynaptic NMDA receptor. In contrast, the reduction of glutamate release by phenytoin and gabapentin was independent of presynaptic NMDAr blockade (Yang et al., 2007).
Felbamate has also been shown to potentiate the action of GABA_A receptors in cultured neurones, enhancing Cl\(^-\) current by acting at a site close to, but distinct from, the picrotoxin site, and separate from the barbiturate, GABA, benzodiazepine, zinc and neurosteroid sites (Rho et al., 1994; Kume et al., 1996; Rho et al., 1997). Further investigation showed that the action of felbamate at GABA_Ar was subunit specific, and depended heavily on the right combination of α, β and γ subunits (α₁β₂γ₂S, α₁β₃γ₂S, α₂β₂γ₂S and α₂β₃γ₂S) expressed within the GABA_A receptor, with no effect or negative modulation of other subunit combinations (Simeone et al., 2006).

Valproate

Valproic acid has a long history as an antiepileptic, used to treat generalised and partial seizures (Löscher, 1999). Valproate is primarily considered to act as an enhancer of GABA (Löscher, 1981), by blocking breakdown through antagonism of GABA aminotransferase (Löscher, 1993) or increasing synthesis by increasing glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD) activity (Wikinski et al., 1996). Some have found that valproate has a biphasic effect on GABA levels, reducing release at low concentration and enhancing release at higher concentrations (Wolf et al., 1988; Biggs et al., 1992). Valproate has also been suggested to act upon VGSC to reduce repetitive firing in cortical neurones (McLean and MacDonald, 1986; Taverna et al., 1998). Additionally, some studies suggest a valproate-mediated block of postsynaptic NMDA receptors and the displacement of glutamate from AMPA receptors (Wamil and McLean, 1991; Zeise et al., 1991; Gean et al., 1994; Kunig et al., 1998).

Work from our laboratory (Cunningham et al., 2003) has shown a reduction in the frequency (but not amplitude) of both sEPSCs and sIPSCs (with a greater reduction in sEPSC frequency). Both these effects were abolished in the presence of TTX suggesting valproate reduces glutamate and GABA release by blocking presynaptic VGSC. In addition, the decay time of sIPSCs was increased by valproate, indicating
that the drug can potentiate the action of GABA$_A$ receptors in the postsynaptic membrane. This may occur through interaction with the benzodiazepine site on the receptor.

**Lamotrigine**

Lamotrigine has been approved for use since the mid-1990s. It has been shown to be effective in the treatment of partial seizures in patients who are refractory to other pharmacotherapies (Goa *et al.*, 1993). Lamotrigine has been suggested to act in a similar fashion to phenytoin by blockade of VGSC (Miller *et al.*, 1986; Cheung *et al.*, 1992; Xie *et al.*, 1995; Dichter and Brodie, 1996) and reduction of the repetitive firing of somatic action potentials (Calabresi *et al.*, 1999; Salvati *et al.*, 1999). A number of studies have suggested that lamotrigine acts largely upon presynaptic sodium channels and decreases glutamate release (Leach *et al.*, 1986; Waldmeier *et al.*, 1995, 1996; Wang *et al.*, 1996a; Calabresi *et al.*, 1999). Blockade of voltage-gated calcium channels (VGCC) has also been suggested to be involved in the action of lamotrigine (Wang *et al.*, 1996a, b; Stefani *et al.*, 1997).

Again, previous work in this laboratory (Cunningham and Jones, 2000) has used whole-cell patch clamp recordings in the mEC to demonstrate that lamotrigine, like phenytoin, decreases spontaneous glutamate release and increases GABA release in both the presence and absence of TTX. Thus VGSC block is not a prerequisite for the effects of lamotrigine on release.

**Methods**

Slices were prepared from juvenile male Wistar rats (40-60g) as outlined in Chapter 2. Intracellular recordings were obtained from layer III pyramidal cells in the mEC. The VmD method was utilised as previously described. After a control period of recording,
perfusion was switched to ACSF containing the required anticonvulsant drug. Drug perfusion was continued for at least 20 minutes, or until the cell was lost. Concentrations of anticonvulsants were chosen to be similar to their therapeutic levels.

Results

Phenytoin

Phenytoin was applied to a total of 9 neurones at two separate concentrations (20 µM, n=6, 50 µM, n=3). At 50 µM, effects on conductance and SD values were similar to those seen in 20 µM phenytoin. However, action potential amplitude was significantly reduced, affecting cellular excitability. Thus, the remaining studies were performed in 20 µM phenytoin. Control values for the cells tested with 20 µM were: $I_{Bg}$ $5.1 \pm 0.7$ nS, $E_{Bg}$ $1.1 \pm 0.2$ nS, and I:E ratio was $5.1 \pm 0.7$.

Addition of 20 µM phenytoin caused a rise in $I_{Bg}$ (Fig. 7.1A), to $9.3 \pm 1.6$ nS. $E_{Bg}$, however, was slightly reduced (to $0.6 \pm 0.2$ nS). This led to a change of ratio in favour of inhibition, to $24.6 \pm 7.3$. Both the change in inhibitory conductance ($P=0.01$), and the increase in ratio ($P=0.04$), were statistically significant, however $E_{Bg}$ was not ($P>0.05$). 20 µM phenytoin appeared to reduce the SD for both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ (Fig. 7.1B), but these changes were not significant ($P>0.05$), although a trend is evident.

At this concentration, phenytoin had clear, significant effects on cellular excitability (Fig. 7.1C-E). Firing threshold increased from $17.1 \pm 1.0$ mV to $24.3 \pm 1.0$ mV ($P<0.001$), and the number of spikes generated during a depolarising current step fell from $5.3 \pm 0.5$ to $3.5 \pm 0.3$ ($P<0.001$). However, there was no change in action potential amplitude. Additionally, during evoked spike trains, phenytoin did not significantly change the amplitude of the final action potential in each train, or the relative amplitudes of the first and last spikes.
Figure 7.1: Effects of phenytoin on background activity and cellular excitability. A: Phenytoin (50 µM) causes a significant increase in $I_{bg}$ (5.1 ± 0.7 to 9.3 ± 1.6 nS), with no significant change in $E_{bg}$. This leads to a shift in the I:E ratio in favour of inhibition. B: Despite downward trends in the SD of both $I_{bg}$ and $E_{bg}$, no significant change was caused by lamotrigine. C: Spike threshold was significantly increased, without affecting action potential amplitude (D). Likewise, the number of spikes per 250 ms depolarisation was significantly reduced (E).
Ethosuximide

The effect of ethosuximide (250 µM) was investigated in a total of 6 neurones. The addition of ethosuximide did not dramatically alter background synaptic activity. From a control value of 5.8 ± 0.6 nS, I$_{Bg}$ increased to 9.1 ± 3.0 nS after 15 minutes perfusion with the drug. E$_{Bg}$ was hardly affected (1.38 ± 0.16 nS vs 1.15 ± 0.29 nS, Fig. 7.2A). Neither change was significant ($P>0.05$, t-test). However, overall the I:E ratio resulting from the combined changes in the conductances, from 4.3 ± 0.3 to 7.6 ± 1.3, was significant at $P=0.03$. Ethosuximide also had no significant effect on the SDs.

Despite the weak effect on background activity, ethosuximide did have appreciable effects on cellular excitability. Spike firing threshold was increased from 21.6 ± 0.9 mV to 26.0 ± 1.2 mV ($P=0.016$), and the number of spikes evoked by depolarisation was reduced from 4.8 ± 0.2 to 3.0 ± 0.5 ($P=0.003$), without effect on the amplitude of spikes not significantly affected ($P>0.05$, Fig. 7.2C-E).

Gabapentin

Gabapentin was tested in 6 neurones, at a concentration of 25 µM. In contrast to many of the other anticonvulsant drugs tested, gabapentin had little effect on I$_{Bg}$ in these neurones. The control level of 4.5 ± 1.3 nS was initially unaltered after 15 minutes in gabapentin (4.9 ± 1.3 nS). There was a reduction in E$_{Bg}$ (1.1 ± 0.3 to 0.5 ± 0.1 nS), but this was still not statistically significant ($P=0.12$). Although the individual changes were not profound, the I:E ratio nevertheless changed from 4.1 ± 0.7 to 8.6 ± 1.9 in favour of inhibition, and the change was significant ($P=0.04$, Fig. 7.3A). Gabapentin had no significant effect on the SDs of I$_{Bg}$ or E$_{Bg}$ (Fig. 7.3B).
Figure 7.2: Effects of ethosuximide on background activity and cellular excitability. A: Ethosuximide (250 µM) has no significant effect on individual conductances, although $I_{Bg}$ is slightly increased and $E_{Bg}$ slightly decreased. These combine to cause a significant shift in I:E ratio towards inhibition. B: SDs of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ are not affected. C-E: Cellular excitability is decreased, with spike threshold increasing and spikes/train decreasing significantly. AP amplitude is not affected.
Figure 7.3: Effects of gabapentin (25 µM) on background activity and cellular excitability. A: Neither conductance component is significantly altered, however $E_{Bg}$ shows a clear downward trend. I:E ratio shifts significantly towards inhibition (4.1 ± 0.7 to 8.6 ± 1.9). B: Conductance SDs are not affected by gabapentin. C-E: As with other anticonvulsants, cellular excitability is decreased, with spike threshold increasing and spikes/train falling. AP amplitude is unchanged.
As with ethosuximide, although the changes in $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were small, cellular excitability was significantly changed by gabapentin. Spike threshold increased from $19.3 \pm 0.8 \text{ mV}$ to $23.0 \pm 0.3 \text{ mV}$ after 15 minutes ($P=0.002$), with the number of spikes evoked by depolarisation falling from $5.2 \pm 0.3$ to $3.3 \pm 0.2$ ($P=0.001$). Overall spike amplitude was unaffected (Fig. 7.3C-E).

**Felbamate**

Felbamate, at a concentration of 100 µM, was tested in 6 neurones. Whilst the change was not significant, felbamate was unique amongst the drugs tested in causing a slight depression of $I_{Bg}$, although clearly the change was not close to significance (Fig. 7.4A). $E_{Bg}$ also fell, from $2.3 \pm 1.3 \text{ nS}$ to $0.4 \pm 0.1 \text{ nS}$, but again the difference was not significant ($P=0.17$). However, when the I:E ratio was considered, there was a marked change from $4.6 \pm 1.0$ to $15.6 \pm 3.5$, which proved to be significant ($P=0.013$). Neither the SD of $E_{Bg}$ nor $I_{Bg}$ changed significantly in the presence of felbamate.

Again, despite the non-significant overall changes in $E_{Bg}$ or $I_{Bg}$, the relative changes (i.e. the I:E ratio) proved to be a good predictor of excitability changes. Thus, spike firing threshold was raised from $20.3 \pm 1.7 \text{ mV}$ to $24.4 \pm 1.0 \text{ mV}$ (significant at $P=0.02$). Similarly, spikes generated per 250 depolarising pulse fell from $5.2 \pm 0.4$ to $2.5 \pm 0.2$ under felbamate ($P=0.007$). Once again, the overall height of evoked action potentials was largely unaffected by the addition of this anticonvulsant (Fig. 7.4C-E).

**Valproate**

Sodium valproate (500 µM) was perfused for 15 minutes, with a conductance estimate made every 5 minutes. Valproate induced a marked elevation in background inhibition, with $I_{Bg}$ increasing from a control value of $10.2 \pm 2.0 \text{ nS}$ to $23.1 \pm 4.4 \text{ nS}$.
Figure 7.4: Effects of felbamate on background activity and cellular excitability. A: Felbamate (100 µM) had little effect on $I_{bg}$, and did not significantly affect $E_{bg}$, despite showing a noticeable reduction. Once again, small changes combined to significantly change the I:E ratio. B: SDs were not significantly altered in felbamate. C-E: Cellular excitability was reduced without changing AP amplitude, with both spike threshold and number of spikes per 250 ms depolarisation being significantly changed.
Figure 7.5: Time-course of valproate action on VmD estimates. A: Over 15 minutes, $E_{Bg}$ is largely unaffected, being only slightly reduced by valproate (500 µM). $I_{Bg}$ first falls, then increases significantly. This leads to a significant increase in I:E ratio. B: SD estimates show a downward trend, but are not significantly changed. C-E: Cellular excitability is significantly reduced, with action potential amplitude unaffected.
after 15 minutes. Conversely, $E_{Bg}$ fell from an initial value of $2.8 \pm 0.4 \text{nS}$ to $1.7 \pm 0.3 \text{nS}$ under valproate. These changes were reflected by a change in the I:E ratio, from $3.6 \pm 0.4$ to $14.1 \pm 3.0$. The changes in $I_{Bg}$ ($P=0.028$), $E_{Bg}$ ($P=0.041$) and I:E ratio ($P=0.01$) were all significant (ANOVA).

Examination of the time-course of the valproate (Fig. 7.5A) showed an interesting effect. After 5 minutes, $I_{Bg}$ was actually depressed, although not significantly so. Thereafter it remained close to the control value before increasing sharply after 15 minutes. In contrast, $E_{Bg}$ decreased steadily throughout.

Valproate caused a reduction in the SD of both $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$. The SD of $I_{Bg}$ fell from $0.6 \pm 0.1$ to $0.09 \pm 0.03 \text{nS}$ after 15 minutes (significant at $P=0.003$), whilst that of $E_{Bg}$ fell steadily throughout, and was significantly reduced after 10 minutes ($P=0.005$) before increasing slightly at 15 minutes (Fig. 7.5B).

Finally, valproate had quite marked effects on cellular excitability. The action potential threshold rose from $17.8 \pm 3.6 \text{mV}$ to $24.2 \pm 3.2 \text{mV}$ after 15 minutes (significant at $P=0.01$), whilst the number of spikes generated by the depolarising pulse fell from $5.7 \pm 1.1$ to $0.6 \pm 0.5$ ($P=0.006$, t-test). Valproate had no significant effect on the amplitude of evoke action potentials (Fig. 7.5D).

**Lamotrigine**

Lamotrigine was applied at two different concentrations to a total of 8 neurones, 6 with 20 $\mu$M, and 2 at 200 $\mu$M. Similar to results in phenytoin, 200 $\mu$M lamotrigine caused a large reduction in AP amplitude, as well as having a detrimental effect on the stability of the baseline membrane voltage. VmD estimates showed similar effects to those obtained in 20 $\mu$M. During the studies with 20 $\mu$M, VmD measurements were
Figure 7.6: Time-course of lamotrigine action. A: $E_{Bg}$ is not significantly altered throughout by lamotrigine (20 µM), but $I_{Bg}$ increases throughout, shifting I:E ratio in favour of inhibition. B: Although a clear trend is evident, the SDs of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were not affected. C-E: As with the other anticonvulsants, cellular excitability was reduced without significant effect on action potential amplitude.
made every 5 minutes during a 15-minute perfusion period, to follow the time-course of the effect of the drug.

Figure 7.7: Spike train frequency, but not amplitude, is reduced by lamotrigine. Although the number of spikes in a 250 ms pulse is reduced, the amplitude of the action potentials is not affected. Likewise, no use-dependent reduction in spike amplitude is evident.

During the application of lamotrigine (20 µM), $I_{bg}$ increased steadily from a control value of $5.3 \pm 2.0$ nS to $13.2 \pm 2.6$ nS after 15 minutes of drug perfusion. $E_{bg}$ was not significantly affected (Fig 7.6A). This led to a marked change in I:E ratio. From a control value of $4.0 \pm 0.7$, the I:E ratio shifted to $17.5 \pm 5.0$ nS after 15 minutes perfusion with lamotrigine. The changes in both $I_{bg}$ ($P=0.008$) and I:E ratio ($P=0.04$) at 15 minutes were found to be significantly different to control (ANOVA). When SD
was measured, lamotrigine had no significant effect on the SD of either $E_{Bg}$ or $I_{Bg}$, despite causing a sharp drop in both levels after only 5 minutes of perfusion (Fig. 7.6B).

Cellular excitability was markedly affected by lamotrigine. Spike threshold increased steadily throughout, from $25.3 \pm 3.3$ mV to $29.1 \pm 3.8$ mV after 15 minutes ($P=0.014$). Similarly, the number of spikes generated by a 250 ms depolarising step fell from $5.3 \pm 0.8$ to $1.3 \pm 0.6$ after 15 minutes ($P=0.011$). The amplitude of action potentials was unaffected (Fig. 7.6D), and the relative amplitudes of the first and last spikes in a train was also unchanged (Fig. 7.7)

![Figure 7.8: Effect of lamotrigine on BMO. A: $I_{Bg}$ is reduced, and $E_{Bg}$ increased, during oscillations. Lamotrigine restores control levels and shifts I:E ratio in favour of inhibition, stopping BMO activity. B: SDs are not affected.](image-url)
Lamotrigine was applied to a separate group of cells exhibiting BMO activity (see Chapter 6). The addition of lamotrigine (20 µM) caused the abolition of BMO activity in all cells. Control values were: $I_{Bg} = 4.1 \pm 0.4$ nS, $E_{Bg} = 0.9 \pm 0.1$ nS and I:E ratio = 4.5 ± 0.3. During BMO, the level of $I_{Bg}$ fell to 1.23 ± 0.07 nS ($P=0.03$), whilst $E_{Bg}$ increased slightly to 1.4 ± 0.3 nS ($P>0.05$). This gave an I:E ratio of 1.0 ± 0.3 ($P=0.001$). During BMO, the SDs of $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ were not significantly changed. The addition of lamotrigine caused a sizable increase in $I_{Bg}$ without affecting $E_{Bg}$ compared to control levels: $I_{Bg}$ was 6.0 ± 0.8 nS and $E_{Bg}$ was 0.9 ± 0.4 nS (Fig. 7.8).

**Discussion**

The conclusion from my experiments is that anticonvulsants increase the ratio of $I_{Bg}$ to $E_{Bg}$, lowering cellular excitability and reducing the probability of action potentials firing in the postsynaptic neurone. The VmD estimates of background activity (particularly I:E ratio) appear to be good indicators of cellular excitability. All of the tested anticonvulsant drugs had significantly shifted the I:E ratio in favour of inhibition after 15 minutes. Likewise, cellular excitability was significantly reduced by all 6 drugs. Even when changes in $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ individually are not significant, as with ethosuximide, the combined effect of these changes is the significant shift in I:E ratio, and subsequently a significant reduction in cellular excitability. However, it is clear from other studies, and differences in the background conductance effects seen in this Chapter, that the mechanism of action of these drugs varies considerably. It may be that, regardless of its effects at the synapse or within the cell, the overall efficacy of a drug as an anticonvulsant lies in the changes it makes to network activity as a whole.

As stated in the introduction, many studies have suggested that the anticonvulsant effects of phenytoin are mediated through use- and voltage-dependent blockade of VGSCs (McLean and MacDonald, 1983; Matsuki *et al.*, 1984; Yaari *et al.*, 1986;
Tomaselli et al., 1989; Ragsdale et al., 1991; Rogawski and Loscher, 2004; White et al., 2007)). However, whole-cell patch clamp studies from our laboratory (Cunningham et al., 2000) show that release of both glutamate and GABA is modulated by phenytoin by a mechanism that is independent of VGSC activity.

The VmD estimates in phenytoin show an increase in $I_{Bg}$ and a decrease in $E_{Bg}$ relative to control values, although the change in $E_{Bg}$ is not significant. Nevertheless, I:E ratio is significantly shifted in favour of inhibition. This is in agreement with the reciprocal modulation of glutamate and GABA release by phenytoin seen by Cunningham et al. (2000). Additionally, at 20 µM, single AP amplitude, and spike train amplitude, are unaffected. This suggests that use- and voltage-dependent blockade of VGSCs is not a significant factor in phenytoin activity at this concentration. The basis of release modulation, and its effects on $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$, is unclear. Dendrotoxin-sensitive K$^+$/channels are unlikely to be involved (Cunningham and Jones, 2001), however one possibility may be direct interaction with presynaptic GABA$_B$ receptors, or their associated K$^+$/channels (Cunningham and Jones, unpublished observations). Certainly, as release is modulated in the presence of TTX in our previous patch clamp studies, a significant proportion of the change in background activity will be independent of network function. However, since my experiments were performed in an intact network, emergent network responses cannot be ruled out.

Ethosuximide is a good example of small, insignificant changes in $I_{Bg}$ and $E_{Bg}$ combining to cause a significant change in both I:E ratio and cellular excitability. Conductance and SD estimates were seemingly unaffected by the addition of ethosuximide. However, since $I_{Bg}$ was slightly increased, and $E_{Bg}$ was slightly decreased, these changes combined to lower cellular excitability.
The consensus on the mode of action of ethosuximide is as a blocker of open T-type Ca\(^{2+}\)-channels (Coulter et al., 1989a, b; Gomora et al., 2001). Given that the VmD method is reliant upon the activity of AMPAr and GABA\(_{\alpha}r\) for its estimates of E\(_{Bg}\) and I\(_{Bg}\) respectively, any observed effects of ethosuximide are likely to be network-mediated. The action of ethosuximide on VGCC has been suggested to be more pronounced at hyperpolarised potentials (Coulter et al., 1989b). In our preparation, principal cells generally display a more hyperpolarised resting potential compared to interneurones. Thus, ethosuximide may have a more marked effect at excitatory terminals than at inhibitory ones, favouring reduction in glutamate release over a reduction in GABA release. However, this does not explain the observed increase in I\(_{Bg}\) which causes most of the I:E ratio shift. One possible explanation may come from ethosuximide-mediated changes in input resistance and tonic firing rates observed by Leresche et al. (1998). This study showed that, at membrane potentials less negative than -60 mV, ethosuximide causes an increase in tonic firing in rat and cat thalamocortical neurones, possibly due to effects on persistent Na\(^+\) currents and Ca\(^{2+}\)-activated K\(^+\) channels. In Chapter 3, it was shown that I\(_{Bg}\) is more dependent upon action potential activity than E\(_{Bg}\). It may be that the higher levels of tonic firing in interneurones are potentiated by ethosuximide, with little effect on principal cells.

Work from our laboratory, and others, has suggested that the primary action of gabapentin is the inhibition of glutamate release through direct interaction with presynaptic voltage gated calcium channels, with a possible downstream effect that reduces the frequency of miniature glutamate-mediated events in the presence of the VGSC blocker TTX (Maneuf et al., 2003; Cunningham et al., 2004). There is a wealth of evidence to suggest that gabapentin reduces Ca\(^{2+}\) influx through blockade both of N- and P/Q-type VGCC, but it may preferentially target P/Q-type channels (Dooley et al., 2002; van Hooft et al., 2002; Cunningham et al., 2004). However, some studies have failed to show any effect of gabapentin subsets of VGCCs (Schumacher et al., 1998; van Hooft et al., 2002). Gabapentin is rapidly taken into
neurones by the actions of the L-amino acid transporter, leading to an intracellular concentration 10-fold higher than that found extracellularly (Welty et al., 1993; Su et al., 1995). This suggests that much of its effects on background excitation may be mediated by inhibition of release through intracellular mechanisms.

Binding and microdialysis studies have suggested that gabapentin has little effect on GABA transmission. Gabapentin is unable to displace GABA from either GABA$_A$ or GABA$_B$ receptors (e.g. see Taylor et al., 1998). Timmerman et al (2000) found that gabapentin did not alter extracellular GABA levels in rat substantia nigra. There is, however, evidence that gabapentin blocks presynaptic NMDAr, which would reduce the release of both glutamate and GABA (Suarez et al., 2005; Hara and Sata, 2007).

My results show no appreciable change in I$_{Bg}$ with gabapentin, with a reduction in E$_{Bg}$ that is not significant. Taken together, conductance values in gabapentin cause the I:E ratio to shift significantly in favour of inhibition, decreasing cellular excitability. Although the individual changes are not significant, the VmD results suggest that there must be some modulation of release by gabapentin. Considering the evidence provided by other studies, the shift in I:E ratio is most likely mediated by a reduction of glutamate release.

The action of felbamate is largely mediated through blockade of NMDAr (Harty and Rogawski, 2000; Kuo et al., 2004; Yang et al., 2007). Since E$_{Bg}$ estimates are based on the actions of AMPAr, any effects seen on background excitation estimates will most likely be indirect, although some evidence exists to suggest that, at high concentrations, felbamate can reduce AMPAr-mediated excitatory events (Pugliese and Corradetti, 1996). Recent work from this laboratory has shown that felbamate reduces the frequency of sEPSCs through blockade of presynaptic NMDAr (Yang et al., 2007). There is evidence that felbamate may be weakly specific for NR2B-containing NMDAr (Harty and Rogawski, 2000). These have been found on
excitatory terminals (Woodhall et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2006) and on interneurones (Chen and Reiner, 1996; Szinyei et al., 2003), suggesting that felbamate could reduce both glutamate and GABA transmission, through inhibition of glutamate release and reduction of NMDAr activity at interneurones, a major driver of their activity (Jones and Buhl, 1993; Maccaferri and Dingledine, 2002). However, any reduction of GABA release onto principal cells could be mitigated by the benzodiazepine-like action of felbamate at GABA\textsubscript{A} receptors (Rho et al., 1994; Kume et al., 1996; Rho et al., 1997).

The VmD results obtained for felbamate are in agreement with data from other studies. The change in E\textsubscript{Bg}, whilst not significant, was appreciably larger than that seen in I\textsubscript{Bg} which, uniquely in this chapter, was slightly lower in the presence of the drug compared to control. This change in E\textsubscript{Bg} led to a significant change in I:E ratio, lowering cellular excitability. The reduction in E\textsubscript{Bg} is most likely due lower glutamate release caused by blockade of presynaptic NMDAr, as shown in Yang et al (2007).

Valproate has been suggested to act as an enhancer of GABA transmission through increased synthesis or reduced breakdown (Lösch, 1993; Wikinski et al., 1996). However, whole-cell patch clamp studies from this laboratory have shown that valproate, most probably through antagonism of VGSCs, reduces the release of both glutamate and GABA in the mEC (Cunningham et al., 2003). Additionally, valproate has been suggested as an antagonist of AMPAr and NMDAr (Wamil and McLean, 1991; Zeise et al., 1991; Gean et al., 1994; Kunig et al., 1998).

VmD estimates in valproate show slightly reduced E\textsubscript{Bg} with significantly increased I\textsubscript{Bg} leading, as with all other anticonvulsants, to an inhibition-favouring I:E ratio and a reduction in cellular excitability. The reduction in E\textsubscript{Bg} is likely due to the VGSC-dependent decrease in glutamate release seen in our previous whole-cell studies, with a possible contribution from AMPAr blockade and/or further reduction of glutamate release due to blockade of presynaptic NMDAr. Although sIPSCs are reduced in
frequency by valproate, their decay time is increased, with no change in amplitude (Cunningham et al., 2003). Thus, the overall inhibitory conductance is increased, despite the frequency reduction. Additionally, the increase in $I_{Bg}$ may lead to further reduction of excitatory activity due to network effects.

An interesting point to note is the biphasic effect of valproate in the time-course studies. $I_{Bg}$ initially decreases, before showing a significant increase. This pattern is supported by other studies, which suggest that GABA release is reduced by valproate at low concentrations, and enhanced at higher concentrations (Wolf et al., 1988; Biggs et al., 1992).

Lamotrigine and phenytoin have similar proposed modes of action (Cunningham and Jones, 2000), in that sEPSC frequency is decreased, whilst sIPSC frequency is increased, by a VGSC-independent mechanism. The VmD results show a very slight reduction in $E_{Bg}$, and a significant increase in $I_{Bg}$. Again, the I:E ratio shifts in favour of inhibition in lamotrigine, and cellular excitability is decreased. The lack of change in single AP amplitude, or that of spikes on the evoked trains, suggests that VGSCs are not significantly blocked by lamotrigine at this concentration. The actions of presynaptic VGSCs cannot be ruled out using the VmD method, although Cunningham and Jones (2000) suggested the observed effects of lamotrigine are largely VGSC independent.
CHAPTER 8
GENERAL DISCUSSION
This thesis has validated the VmD method as a means for estimating background activity in relation to cellular excitability in the mEC. By using a range of pharmacological tools and supporting my pharmacological data with whole-cell patch clamp recordings and physiological studies such as layer comparisons, insight into the nature of background release and how changes in $I_{Bg}$, $E_{Bg}$ and I:E ratio affect the excitability of principal neurones has been gained.

Initially, it was important to properly characterise and validate the VmD method in our experimental setup. To that end, the first two data chapters focus on the use of well-established pharmacological tools to determine how changes in receptor activity, the availability of glutamate and GABA at the synapse and VGC blockade affect levels of background activity, population synchrony and cellular excitability. The VmD calculation incorporates the actions of only AMPA and GABA$_A$ receptors, but the rich reciprocal connections present within the EC, and the presence of autoreceptors that modulate release at the presynapse (e.g. NMDA, KA$_r$) means that, within our slices, the addition of a drug is not a straightforward change of activity at the postsynaptic membrane.

Encouragingly, the results obtained with receptor antagonists such as NBQX, GYKI-53665 and bicuculline were as predicted, with most change seen in the conductance component that was directly affected (e.g. $E_{Bg}$ for NBQX and GYKI) and consequent effects on the other component due to, for example, a reduction in excitatory drive on to interneurones. The use of drugs that have little or no direct effect on receptors at the postsynapse, but alter network activity in some way (e.g. 4-AP, PDC, tiagabine) produces more complex effects. However, the VmD data obtained concurred well with previous work from our laboratory and others, especially when taken in conjunction with whole-cell patch clamp data, as with PDC and tiagabine. Ideally, future studies would utilise patch clamp experiments alongside all VmD experiments. A useful avenue for investigation would be simultaneous whole-cell and intracellular recording
from two different principal neurones in the same layer of the same slice, so that VmD data (and cellular excitability measurements) could be gathered side-by-side with a direct measure of EPSC or IPSC activity. This would allow the time-course of \( I_{Bg} \) and \( E_{Bg} \) changes to be directly correlated with shifts in the amplitude and frequency of post-synaptic events.

Some very interesting data came from the comparison of the VmD and patch clamp data obtained with tiagabine. Although \( I_{Bg} \) increased, the frequency of sIPSCs was reduced. However, there was a significant increase in sIPSC amplitude and duration seen in the patch clamp experiments, suggesting that the VmD estimations depend on the total charge transfer, not just the frequency, of the GABA\(_A\) (and most likely AMPA) receptors. Thus, the VmD method is a true measure of integrated conductance in these cells.

Another point of note is the notion of specific GluR5 receptor antagonists as possible anticonvulsants. If \( I_{Bg} \) and \( E_{Bg} \) SD can be taken as an indication of the synchrony of the inhibitory and excitatory populations, reductions in SD could indicate a decreased propensity for seizure generation. Addition of UBP-302 does not significantly affect \( I_{Bg} \), \( E_{Bg} \) or I:E ratio, and does not change cellular excitability. However, there is a significant reduction in the SD of \( I_{Bg} \), and the SD of \( E_{Bg} \) exhibits a clear downward trend. Thus, it may be that UBP-302, or similar drugs, are ideal anticonvulsants, reducing synchrony without compromising other network functions.

The VmD method was further validated by the use of ion channel blockers such as Cs\(^+\), QX-314 and 4-AP. The Destexhe group suggest that estimations of \( I_{Bg} \) and \( E_{Bg} \) are not significantly distorted by the presence of active currents in the dendrites and soma. The lack of change in conductance estimates seen after internal dialysis with Cs/QX-314 supports this assertion. The increase in conductance levels seen with 4-AP is probably not a result of blockade of A-type K-channels in the soma, but is more
likely due to prolonged depolarisation of the presynaptic terminals, resulting in increased transmitter release, due to block of K-channels in the terminals. To further test the robustness of the VmD method, other channel blockers could be investigated (e.g. $I_H$ antagonist ZD-7288).

Our laboratory has long been focussed on lamina-specific differences in the EC, using both intracellular and whole-cell recordings to quantify significant differences in the frequency and characteristics of inhibitory, excitatory and epileptiform events. The bicuculline time-course data obtained in Chapter 5 provides two sets of data, that of the normal resting values in our cells, and the lamina-specific differences in sensitivity to bicuculline. The baseline values of conductance and SD differ noticeably between layers, especially when layers II and III are compared to layer V. Given that previous work from this lab has indicated a predominance of inhibition in layer II, and greater levels of excitation in layer V, the indication that the I:E ratio in layers II and III is approximately 1.5 times greater (i.e. more in favour of inhibition) than layer V. Additionally, in layer II the onset of epileptiform activity is delayed compared to the deeper layers, and such events are smaller and shorter than those in layer III and layer V.

The data obtained with bicuculline support previous assertions from our laboratory that layer II is “seizure resistant”, whilst layer V is “seizure sensitive”. An interesting further study would be to make simultaneous intracellular recordings from layers II, III and V in the presence and absence of bicuculline, so that the onset of epileptiform activity could be monitored in terms of synchrony and background activity across the layers. Furthermore, the generation and propagation of seizure activity could be tracked in real time around the slice. A further alternative would be to use the VmD method in one or two simultaneous intracellular recordings, combined with a multi-electrode array for field potential measurement across the whole slice. This would
trace the propagation of epileptiform activity more accurately, whilst still providing a measure of background activity in key layers (e.g. II and V).

The two different conductance profiles seen for up-states and BMO suggest that they are two discrete phenomena. The up-states seem to be periods of vastly increased conductance, with similar I:E ratios to baseline conditions. Conversely, BMO are not associated with overall increases in conductance, but show a shift in the I:E ratio in favour of excitation. It may be that these events are related, BMO may be precursor events to up-states, or “failed” up-states that have not developed properly. The use of lamotrigine to abolish BMO suggests that they can be affected in a similar manner to epileptiform activity. Further useful investigation could come from patch clamp studies of BMO activity. It may be that simultaneous patch clamp and intracellular recordings will offer more information about the network basis of these events. Multi-layer intracellular recordings would provide some information about the laminar basis of BMO generation and propagation.

The actions of the anticonvulsants examined in this thesis are thought to vary considerably, from reciprocal modulation of glutamate and GABA release to blockade of sodium or calcium VG channels. However, their overall effects on transmission and excitability are all somewhat similar. $I_{Bg}$ is generally increased, and $E_{Bg}$ is generally decreased. Even if these changes are not significant, they combine to cause a significant change in the I:E ratio in favour of inhibition. Furthermore, cellular excitability is reduced, to a degree which reflects the change seen in I:E ratio. Thus, it may be that the overall network effects of anticonvulsant drugs are far more important than their effects at the synapse.

In conclusion, I have validated the VmD method, a novel way to obtain estimates of background activity from intracellular recordings, in quiescent slices from rat brain. The method has proved to be a useful and powerful way of extracting information
from relatively simple electrophysiological recordings to provide insight into the functions of cortical networks, and the impact changes in low-level neurotransmitter release have on cellular excitability.
References


Jones RSG & Lambert JD (1990b). The role of excitatory amino acid receptors in the propagation of epileptiform discharges from the entorhinal cortex to the dentate gyrus in vitro. *Exp Brain Res* **80**, 310-322


MacDonald RL & Kelly KM (1994). Mechanisms of action of currently prescribed and newly developed antiepileptic drugs. *Epilepsia* **35** S41-50


Rudolph M, Pelletier JG, Pare D & Destexhe A (2005). Characterisation of synaptic conductances and integrative properties during electrically induced EEG-activated states in neocortical neurons *in vivo* *J Neurophysiol* 94, 2805-2821


Publications

Conference Abstracts:


Chamberlain, S.E.L., Greenhill, S.D. and Jones, R.S.G. (2007) Increased activation of presynaptic GluR5 kainate receptors may contribute to generation of slow wave oscillations in entorhinal cortical neurones *in vitro*. Presented at the BPS Meeting, Brighton, December 2007

Papers:


Papers in Preparation:

Greenhill, S.D., Chamberlain, S.E.L. and Jones, R.S.G. Effects of GABA and glutamate uptake blockers on global background synaptic activity and excitability in entorhinal cortical neurones *in vitro*. (To be submitted to *Neuroscience*)

Greenhill, S.D. and Jones, R.S.G. Effects of anticonvulsants on background synaptic activity and cellular excitability in the rat entorhinal cortex *in vitro*. (To be submitted to the *British Journal of Pharmacology*)