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Abstract
A novel form of nutritional ketosis has recently emerged, based on the consumption of a ketone ester, that has the potential to impact upon sports performance. Ketone bodies are not normally present in the diet in more than trace amounts and are only produced in significant quantities physiologically during times of extreme energetic stress, but appear to be a highly efficient fuel source. The presence of elevated levels of ketone bodies in the absence of calorie deficit is highly novel and represents a fascinating metabolic state both for the examination of substrate selection during exercise and for the potential to impact sports performance.

This PhD programme examined the utility of nutritional ketosis in sport by exploring the evidence base for its impact upon exercise performance and examining the translation of this knowledge into interventions in the field during real world sports performance. Study 1 (Chapter 3) established guidelines for dosing by demonstrating that consuming a meal in close proximity to ketone ester dosing (within 30-minutes (F30) compared to 90-minutes (F90)) resulted in a lowering of the area under the curve for ketone body concentration in the 90-minutes post dose (AUC-BHB(0-90), F90 v F30: 260.7 ± 33.6 mmol/l’min v 201.9 ± 33.4 mmol/l’min; P<0.05) and that reducing the carbohydrate content of the ketone ester drink (F4:1) resulted in an increased accumulation of circulating ketone bodies (AUC-BHB(0-90), F90 v F4:1: 260.7 ± 33.6 mmol/l’min v 311.4 ± 49.3 mmol/l’min; P<0.05). Study 2 (Chapter 4) showed that low-dose ketone ester consumption had no effect on long duration cycle performance (20km TT, KET v CHO: 1731.3 ± 113.0s v 1736.9 ± 114.2s; P=N.S.) in contrast to prior evidence for performance impact at higher doses, suggesting that
there may be a threshold of nutritional ketosis required to confer ergogenic benefit. In study 3 (Chapter 5) the hormonal response to repeat sprint cycling performance was characterised with transient increases in both testosterone and the more potent androgen dihydrotestosterone (DHT) occurring in response to sprinting. This served as a backdrop to study 4 (Chapter 6) which examined the impact of nutritional ketosis on sprint performance and showed that ketone ester consumption impaired repeat sprint cycling performance (average sprint power, KET v CHO: 507.3 ± 73.3W v 518.1 ± 72.9W; P<0.01) alongside a dampening of the hormonal response that correlated with the performance deficit (r = 0.538; P = 0.047). Finally study 5 (Chapter 7) explored the translation of these findings into field interventions in sport through a case study series demonstrating that dietary ketone ester consumption is achievable during sports training and competition, leading to sustained nutritional ketosis, but that this comes with a significant side effect profile, poor adherence by athletes (31% withdrew from trialling due to perceived side effects of the drink and 26% withdrew from trialling due to a perceived lack of efficacy) and equivocal effects on performance.

This work provides novel insight into the metabolic effects of nutritional ketosis in highly trained athletes whilst also highlighting the importance of taking a wider psycho-physiological perspective when translating experimental findings into application for real world sports performance. When considering the potential utility of ketone ester consumption during exercise there may be a narrow window between efficacy and intolerance and much to be determined regarding the conditions under which nutritional ketosis can impact sports performance.
Chapter 1: General Introduction

Physiological Limitations of Exercise Performance

In addressing the long-standing question of where the physiological limits of exercise performance might lie, Michael Joyner joined the late Bengt Saltin in 2008 by stating that “the main regulatory and adaptive responses to acute and chronic exercise defy simple reductionist explanations” (Joyner & Saltin, 2008). Saltin had done more than most, in the decades prior, to elaborate a view that whole-body aerobic capacity is a primary limiter of endurance exercise and further that this capacity is limited by the circulation of oxygen to the periphery during whole-body exercise (Boushel, 2017). Endurance exercise performance is now understood at the physiological level to be more fully, if not completely, explained by the integrated functioning of the aerobic capacity, the lactate threshold and movement efficiency (Joyner & Coyle, 2008).

Beyond this energetic approach, much has been done to elaborate a wide array of aspects of integrative physiology, such as temperature regulation, both whole-body and local tissue $O_2$ kinetics and fuel availability, that may be brought to bear on exercise performance in a context dependent manner (Tucker & Noakes, 2009). The recognition that physiological systems mount an integrative response to exercise has also led to increasing attention being paid to the anticipatory regulation of performance through afferent feedback (Atkinson, Peacock, St Clair Gibson, & Tucker, 2007) and the role of effort perception in co-ordinating the physiological response to exercise (Tucker, 2009).
The multiplicity of factors, both known and unknown, that influence the physiological limits of exercise performance has led to many and varied attempts to influence exercise performance by physiological means. Efforts to nutritionally impact the biochemistry of energetic substrate selection and utility have been a mainstay of such approaches and continue to offer new promise (Beneke & Boning, 2008).

The Selection of Energetic Substrate

The regulation of mitochondrial substrate has been the subject of intense speculation ever since the concept of a glucose fatty-acid cycle was first introduced by Sir Phillip Randle and colleagues in 1963 (P.J. Randle, Garland, Hales, & Newsholme, 1963). In its original form the glucose fatty-acid cycle proposed that the beta oxidation of circulating free fatty acids exerts an inhibitory influence on glucose oxidation via the combined effects of inhibition of phosphofructokinase (PFK) by accumulating citrate and inhibition of pyruvate dehydrogenase (PDH) by accumulating AcetylCoA. This model has since been reaffirmed in an updated and revised form to include various aspects of enzymatic and cell signalling control, which were not known at that time (Philip J. Randle, 1998). Despite this, the role of the glucose fatty-acid cycle as a mainstay in the regulation of substrate switching has been challenged, particularly in relation to the insulin resistance of diabetes (Kelley & Mandarino, 2000). However, whilst the complex dynamics of substrate control require further clarification, it is well established that fat is the preferred fuel source for cellular energetics at rest in healthy humans, indicating the default position of mitochondrial substrate selection (Rolfe & Brown, 1997). The higher free energy yield
of fat per carbon unit and the energy density of fat as a storage substrate appear the most likely evolutionary explanations for this preference (Rolls, 2000).

During exercise, there is a clear switch in substrate preference towards reliance upon carbohydrate as energetic demand increases and oxygen abundance diminishes, reflecting the need for an improved P/O ratio (Fontaine & Leverve, 2001). In the fasted condition exercising muscle demonstrates a predictable shift in substrate selection with increasing exercise intensity (JA Romijn, Gastaldelli, Horowitz, Endert, & Wolfe, 1993; Van Loon, Greenhaff, Constantin-Teodosiu, Saris, & Wagenmakers, 2001) such that fat is preferred as a fuel source at low intensity, its oxidation rate peaking at around 65% VO$_{2\text{max}}$, whilst the role of carbohydrate increases continuously as exercise intensity goes up and the rate of demand for high energy phosphates increases. There is now growing evidence to support a predominant role for both local contractile mechanics and local tissue energetics in the signalling cascade that promotes an increase in exercising mitochondrial carbohydrate oxidation (Rose & Richter, 2005). Further to this, it would appear that this increased glycolytic flux exerts an inhibitory effect on beta oxidation through its influence on carnitine shuttling across the mitochondrial membrane, suggesting that the exercising muscle cell is able to reverse the preference for lipolytic substrate seen in resting mitochondria (Clarkson et al., 2002).

It is perhaps surprising then, given the complex regulation of mitochondrial substrate selection, that the oxidation of substrate by active muscle can be significantly altered by acute variation in dietary substrate delivery, exerting modulatory effects on
muscle energetics during exercise (Hargreaves, Hawley, & Jeukendrup, 2004). It has long been known that carbohydrate feeding before (Bergström, Hermansen, Hultman, & Saltin, 1967) or during (Coff, 1983) prolonged exhaustive exercise can promote glycogen sparing and extend muscular work and time to fatigue. Dietary fat feeding has also been shown to exert glycogen sparing effects (Vukovich et al., 1993) with a concomitant impact on endurance performance in exercising rats (Hickson, Rennie, Conlee, Winder, & Holloszy, 1977) although this finding has not been consistently replicated in humans (Hargreaves et al., 2004). Endurance exercise training itself confers a degree of glycogen sparing by increasing reliance upon endogenous fat as an energetic substrate at comparative exercise intensities (Hermansen, Hultman, & Saltin, 1967). This substrate switching appears to be highly adaptable to changes in demand with just 8 weeks of moderate exercise performed 3-4 times/week capable of provoking a profound shift in exercising leg RQ (from 0.91 to 0.81) indicating a near 40% swing from carbohydrate to fat oxidation (Kiens, Essen-Gustavsson, Christensen, & Saltin, 1993).

Despite the ability of dietary carbohydrate to replace stored glycogen as a source of fuel for muscular work, it does not appear to impact upon exercising RER (Coff, 1983), suggesting that carbohydrate feeding does not significantly alter mitochondrial substrate selection during exercise. By contrast, acute high fat feeding can increase total fat oxidation by a third during moderate intensity exercise, even when carbohydrate is the predominant fuel source (Pitsiladis, Smith, & Maughan, 1999). In this study fat feeding resulted in an 8% improvement in time to exhaustion during a ~2hr performance trial, despite a relatively small increase in the contribution of fat
to total oxidation (less than 10% swing in substrate selection) with a modest drop in
RER (<0.02 units) which only significantly differed from a carbohydrate feeding trial
in the early part of this prolonged bout of exercise. However, with lower intensities
of exercise and more prolonged changes in dietary substrate delivery, following a
week long high fat diet, substrate selection has been shown to alter further still, as
evidenced by changes in exercising RER in excess of 0.05 units (Horner et al., 2002).
This pronounced alteration in the carbon source for oxidation towards a greater
reliance on fat exposed a worsening of gross efficiency during exercise in that study,
which was attributed not only to the relatively low P/O stoichiometry of fat oxidation,
but to a larger extent was related to a significant increase in uncoupled respiration
following high fat feeding.

The observation that fat oxidation carries an additional oxygen cost, which is not
coupled to phosphate delivery, has attracted much recent interest (Fontaine &
Leverve, 2001). The degree of uncoupled respiration at rest has been estimated to
be as much as 20% of whole body mitochondrial oxidation (Rolfe & Brown, 1997),
and varies in proportion to the selection of fat as an oxidative substrate. Far less is
currently understood about the dynamics of mitochondrial uncoupling during
exercise, although it is known that exercise training promotes a reduction in
uncoupling protein 3 (UCP3) levels in muscle mitochondria (Fernström, Tonkonogi, &
Sahlin, 2004), with a concomitant improvement in energetic efficiency during
exercise (Schrauwen, Troost, Xia, Ravussin, & Saris, 1999).
The influence of substrate selection on the energetics of rest-exercise transients also remains largely unexplored. Exercise training has been shown to hasten the time course of engagement of mitochondrial respiration, as evidenced by more rapid on-kinetics for pulmonary VO$_2$ (S J Bailey, Vanhatalo, Wilkerson, Dimenna, & Jones, 2009). However, the influence of substrate selection on VO$_2$ kinetics requires some clarification. It is known, for example, that pharmacological activation of the PDH complex by dichloroacetate (DCA) can increase mitochondrial glycolytic flux at the onset of exercise even when oxygen supply is low, suggesting that a lag exists in the engagement of carbohydrate oxidation (Timmons et al., 1998). Despite this apparent glycolytic inertia there is only limited evidence of a concurrent reliance upon fat oxidation in the early exercise transition (Molé & Hoffmann, 1999). Furthermore, it has also been shown that PDH activation by DCA causes an apparent slowing of phase two VO$_2$ kinetics that appears contradictory to the effects of exercise training (Marwood et al., 2010). Crucially, however, this slowing does not appear to be accompanied by an increase in anaerobic work as no net increase in lactate efflux is present following DCA infusion.

**Monocarboxylate Theory of Substrate Supply at High Rates of Aerobic Demand**

The standing of lactic acid as a negative by-product of muscular work in the absence of oxygen was a mainstay of work physiology until the latter part of the 20$^{\text{th}}$ century. However, it is now well established that “lactate is formed and utilised continuously under fully aerobic conditions” (Brooks, 2002). Much work has now been done to establish lactate as a dynamically regulated substrate that responds to energetic need through inter-cell and intra-cellular lactate shuttles to ensure the rapid
availability of oxidative substrate to working muscle, particularly during periods of high demand (Brooks, 2009; Emhoff et al., 2013). Recent estimates suggest that lactate may in fact be the dominant mitochondrial substrate during high intensity exercise and further that lactate may also serve as an important substrate for the brain (Rasmussen, Wyss, & Lundby, 2011; van Hall et al., 2009).

The dynamic interplay between lactate and pyruvate, regulated by lactate dehydrogenase, plays an important role in regulating cellular redox state (G. A. Brooks, Dubouchaud, Brown, Sicurello, & Butz, 1999). The removal of lactate from sites of accumulation such as active type II muscle fibres serves both to stabilise the redox environment for those cells and also to signal this changing redox state by altering redox balance in the cells of lactate uptake such as type I muscle fibres (G A Brooks, 2007).

In addition to serving a role in redox balance for active tissues the presence of high rates of lactate flux serves to ensure that a rapidly combustible energy source is available during high levels of aerobic energy provision. The presence of active transporters for cellular lactate shuttling ensures the management of concentration and redox gradients that optimise the efficiency of working muscle by channelling lactate delivery to sites of need (G A Brooks, 2007). In conditions favouring both a high rate of ATP turnover and optimally efficient P/O ratios monocarboxylates may offer a supply line uniquely adapted to this need (Chatham, 2002). From this perspective the accumulation of lactate with increasing intensity of exercise can be seen as a desirable rather than undesirable response to the demands of the task.
That the adaptation to such work with an exercise training programme relies upon mechanisms that facilitate lactate transport is in support of this view (Bickham, Bentley, Le Rossignol, & Cameron-Smith, 2006).

Given the complex dynamics of substrate selection during exercise, the introduction of a fourth major substrate in the form of nutritional ketosis is an intriguing prospect. Early evidence indicates that ketone bodies may be hierarchically selected over other substrates when available for oxidation by cells with a high energy demand such as cardiac muscle, with this alteration in substrate selection conferring energetic benefits in the form of an increased hydraulic efficiency for the working rat heart (Kashiwaya, King, & Veech, 1997). Whether similar benefits can be conferred to working skeletal muscle is worthy of further investigation.

**Historical view of Ketone Body Metabolism**

In eucaloric states supported by abundant carbohydrate supply this substrate is the preferred fuel source both for cerebral energetics and for high intensity muscular work. In states of severe or prolonged energetic restriction however, declining carbohydrate reserves provoke an increase in hepatic production of ketone bodies from lipid precursors in order to provide an alternate supply of substrate for mitochondrial oxidation that does not depend on the beta-oxidation of fats (Cahill et al., 1966). Further still in uncontrolled diabetes, episodes of profound hypoglycaemia are accompanied by a compensatory ketoacidosis that can raise levels of circulating ketone bodies to potentially lethal levels due to the associated acid load (Kitabchi, Umpierrez, Murphy, & Kreisberg, 2006). As a result the physiology of ketone bodies
has long been associated with the morbidity of extreme energetic restriction and pathological states.

*Emerging Role of Ketone Bodies in a Eucaloric State*

Despite their long held position as a fuel of last resort under energetic compromise, it has long been known that ketone body production is readily inducible through dietary carbohydrate restriction (Koeslag, 1982) in the absence of overall energy deficit. Whilst uncommon in the post-agricultural modern day diet, it appears likely that for much of the evolutionary history of modern man, periods of enforced energetic restriction due to a lack of food availability were interspersed with plentiful food supply, but with seasonal variation in food sources that likely varied in their carbohydrate content such that prolonged periods could be spent achieving sustenance from high fat and protein sources, such as meat and fish (Cordain, 2002). This evolutionary backdrop indicates that ketotic states may have been far more common for historical man than they are today.

Although severe carbohydrate restriction is required to induce ketosis at rest, presumably serving to maintain adequate substrate supply to the brain, it would appear that the heightened energetic demands of exercise are capable of augmenting this response (Koeslag, Noakes, & Sloan, 1980). Given the benefits of a readily available monocarboxylate pool to highly aerobically active muscle cells described previously this may come as no surprise. An upregulation of ketogenesis in the absence of carbohydrate abundance may well serve to allow the maintenance of
a higher level of aerobic ATP turnover for working muscle and other energetically active tissues but this remains unproven.

*Ketone Body Therapeutics*

It has been reported that ketone bodies may be a more efficient energy source than glucose (L. Veech, Britton Chance, Yoshihiro, 2001), provoking significant interest in their potential therapeutic use. A diet inducing mild ketosis, via carbohydrate restriction (ketogenic diet), has been reported to improve movement disorder, within days, in patients with Parkinson’s disease (Jabre & Bejjani, 2006) and to reduce the frequency of seizures in patients with epilepsy (Kossoff, 2004). A ketogenic diet has also shown therapeutic benefit in diabetes, both in rodents (Poplawski et al., 2011) and humans (Yancy et al., 2005). However, a major issue with ketogenic diets is that strict carbohydrate restriction (<20 g/day) must be enforced to raise circulating ketone body concentration. The high fat and low carbohydrate content make the diet unpleasant, with the result that ketogenic diets are poorly tolerated and have been linked to side effects such as increased plasma atherogenic lipoproteins, constipation, kidney stones and gallstones (Kwiterovich, Jr et al., 2003; McNally, Pyzik, Rubenstein, Hamdy, & Kossoff, 2009).

*Butanediol and Medium Chain Triglycerides as Ketogenic Agents*

Given the difficulties associated with ketogenic diets a number of attempts have been made to supplement the diet with metabolic precursors of ketone bodies. Military interest in butanediol stretches back as far as the second world war with a great deal of research in the decades that followed centred on exploring the utility
and practicalities of dietary supplementation with this ketogenic precursor (Schussel, 1953). Several animal studies have shown the efficacy of butanediol as a ketogenic agent and indicated potential for therapeutic gain (Dymsza, 1975). However, difficulty in achieving sufficient dose for sustainable ketosis coupled with potential side effects with high level dosing, particularly with regard to alcohol induced depression of CNS activity (Frye et al., 1981), led to dwindling interest in this approach.

The ketogenic potential of medium chain triglycerides has been examined with reports suggesting that tolerable levels of dietary MCTs are capable of inducing a mild ketosis approaching 1mM BHB. The benefits of this approach are currently the subject of trials in a variety of therapeutic domains.

*Ketone Metabolism*

When ketone bodies are elevated by continuous infusion in the post absorptive state, the ensuing moderate ketosis (beta-hydroxybutyrate: 1.7 mmol/l) exhibits significant and rapid (within 30-minutes) effects on metabolism including a 14 ± 2% reduction in glucose appearance and 37 ± 4% reduction in circulating glycerol with no concomitant changes in insulin or glucagon (Mikkelsen, Seifert, Secher, Grondal, & Van Hall, 2015). Further, this altered metabolic state resulted in the net uptake and oxidation of ketone bodies by both brain and muscle in resting healthy men. Early indications are that exercise can further upregulate the uptake and utilisation of ketone bodies in muscle under similarly ketotic conditions induced by consumption of a ketone ester (Cox et al., 2016).
Development of a Ketone Ester

Recent attempts to induce nutritional ketosis have centred on the use of esterification as a delivery vehicle (Desrochers et al., 1995). A ketone ester formed of acetoacetate and the ketogenic precursor butanediol (R,S-butanediol diacetoacetate) has been shown to incur rapid hydrolysis by tissue and circulating esterases liberating R,S-butanediol and acetoacetate into circulation. R,S-butanediol undergoes hepatic clearance via oxidation to R,S-beta-hydroxybutyrate and acetoacetate is reduced in the liver to form further R-beta-hydroxybutyrate. R,S-butanediol diacetoacetate has been successfully used in the dog to supplant up to 50% of daily caloric requirement resulting in a sustained ketosis (Puchowicz et al., 2000). An R-1,3,-butanediol D-beta-hydroxybutyrate ester fed at 30% of daily caloric intake for 14 days to normal Wistar rats provoked significant alterations in cerebral metabolism and resulted in reductions in voluntary food intake (Kashiwaya et al., 2010). The same ester has also been shown to reduce amyloid plaque and hyperphosphorylated tau deposition and to ameliorate cognitive deficits in a mouse model of Alzheimer’s disease (Kashiwaya et al., 2013). In humans R-1,3,-butanediol D-beta-hydroxybutyrate ester fed at varying doses up to 30% of daily caloric intake for 5 days induced dose dependent increases in circulating ketone bodies with peak dose (beta-hydroxybutyrate: 3.3 mmol/l) occurring 1.5-2.5 hours after an acute bolus (Clarke et al., 2012). However, whilst the ester was generally tolerated well, significant gastrointestinal side effects were reported at the highest dose delivered.
It is now possible to rapidly raise circulating ketone body concentration, in a dose dependent fashion by providing ketone esters in a drink that can be consumed as part of a normal diet. This may offer the same benefits as the ketogenic diet, without the need for severe carbohydrate restriction. Since the ketone ester drink provides an alternative source of energy with potential benefits to metabolism, it is of great interest to investigate its role as an energy drink during exercise.

**Ketone Ester Consumption as a Novel Nutritional Intervention for Sports Performance**

Acute dietary supplementation with a ketone ester drink is capable of inducing a sustained ketosis that has wide ranging effects on substrate selection, exercising energetics and endurance performance (Cox et al., 2016). Nutritional ketosis has further been shown to improve performance in elite rowers performing a 30-minute rowing ergometer time-trial (Cox, 2012) and in well-trained rugby players during a 96-minute rugby match-play simulation protocol (unpublished data from our group). The wider conditions for ergogenic benefit of nutritional ketosis in sport are not yet known. The modes, durations and intensities of exercise where benefit may be seen and the factors influencing efficacy, such as dosing regimens and favourable phenotypical characteristics remain to be determined.

**Behavioural Biology of Pacing for Sports Performance**

Optimal competition performance in many sports requires the behavioural regulation of instantaneous work output to pace the expenditure of resources such that the overall requirements of the task are met with maximal efficiency (Renfree, Martin, Richards, St, & Gibson, 2012). Whether the task requirements are continuous
or intermittent, for all but the shortest and most explosive sports there is performance benefit to be gained from some level of regulation of resource expenditure over attempts to work maximally from task onset to task completion (Abbiss & Laursen, 2008). When pacing is ineffective a catastrophic loss of work output can result prior to task completion, particularly when the disturbance to homeostasis is profound enough to threaten harm if exercise were to be continued at the same intensity (Tatterson, Hahn, Martini, & Febbraio, 2000).

Whilst homeostatic perturbations are highly task specific there is a high degree of confluence in their regulatory control once the task demands are set. It appears that effective resource allocation requires both an accurate estimate of the demands of the task and an effective gauge of ongoing resource expenditure (Tucker, 2009). However, given that exercise is almost always curtailed before actual harm ensues a further key ingredient in pacing strategy is motivation to task. Exemplifying this a number of studies have demonstrated that subversive manipulation of the perceived balance of task demands and resource availability can alter actual work output to impact overall performance either when demands are underestimated (false feedback; Ansley et al. 2004) or resources are overestimated (carbohydrate mouth rinse; Carter et al. 2004).

For sustained endurance tasks a number of studies in a variety of contexts have demonstrated that fuel status and thermal load are key determinants of resource allocation with pacing strategy being determined by a desire to avoid the upper limits of body temperature or lower limits of muscle glycogen storage (Tucker & Noakes, 2009). To this end a great number of attempts have been made to confer ergogenic
gain to exercise performance by dampening heat stress or enhancing fuel supply through the provision of exogenous substrate (Burke, Hawley, Wong, & Jeukendrup, 2011).

Pacing behaviours have also been identified during more intense sustained exercise tasks as short as 30-seconds in duration and are thought to be associated with the need to maintain within tolerable limits homeostatic disturbances to regulatory aspects of physiology such as acid-base status (Cairns, 2006). Amongst the array of training induced adaptations to high intensity exercise, elevations in base excess via the accumulation of intra- and extra-cellular buffering reserves (Gibala et al., 2006) and improvements in mitochondrial lactate handling via the up regulation of monocarboxylate transporters (MCTs; Bickham et al. 2006) are key factors in supporting the maintenance of acid-base status during prolonged high intensity work in order to sustain an elevated pacing strategy for optimal performance.

*The Ecological Dynamics of Novel Nutritional Interventions in Sport*

The ecological validity of utilising laboratory trial data to evidence the ergogenic potential of performance interventions for translation onto the sports field has come under increasing scrutiny given the growing mass of trial data that now influences sporting practice (Atkinson & Nevill, 2001). Whilst laboratory trials have obvious utility in providing a highly controlled environment for the assessment of putative ergogenic interventions, there are a number of aspects of the trial environment and context that are worthy of consideration when translating the value of a given finding into a given sporting scenario. Viewed from the perspective of dynamical systems
theory (Phillips, Davids, Renshaw, & Portus, 2010) each performance ecology is constrained by the shifting dynamics of the performer, the task at hand and the performance environment. The nature of, and interactions between, these constraints being a key consideration in determining the relationship between what emerges in the laboratory and what is possible in the field.

The training status of trial participants is an often overlooked constraint on the ergogenic potential of nutritional interventions for sports performance. By way of example the utility of dietary nitrate, most commonly through the consumption of beetroot juice was well established as having ergogenic potential in untrained or moderately trained populations (Stephen J Bailey et al., 2009; Lansley, Winyard, Bailey, et al., 2011; Lansley, Winyard, Fulford, et al., 2011), and in common usage amongst competitive athletes (Lundberg, Larsen, & Weitzberg, 2011) for some time before evidence started to emerge suggesting that a highly trained athletic population may not benefit from such intervention (Bescós et al., 2012; Christensen, Nyberg, & Bangsbo, 2013; Peacock et al., 2012), most likely as a result of having already optimised through regular training the physiological advantages on offer. Indeed, similar questions have recently been asked of nutritional ketosis given that levels of interest perhaps outweigh the available evidence (Pinckaers, Churchward-Venne, Bailey, & van Loon, 2016).

Likewise, it is common in laboratory trials to adopt exercise protocols that only loosely reflect the actual demands of sport in an effort to provide a controllable and repeatable stimulus for assessment of ergogenic potential (Atkinson & Nevill, 2001).
Whilst this places some limitation on the translation of laboratory findings to a given sporting context, the factor most likely to impact the ecological validity of laboratory assessments of volitional work capacity is the motivational climate surrounding the performance itself (Buch, Nerstad, Aandstad, & Säfvenbom, 2016). Elite athletes are known to exhibit both performance and mastery orientation to achievement goals (Pensgaard & Roberts, 2000) and are likely to adapt differently to a motivational climate that emphasises one or other rather than both of these orientations. If laboratory work is to translate to a high performance sporting context it would appear desirable that the motivational climate should present a level of challenge that addresses these needs (Corbett, Barwood, Ouzounoglou, Thelwell, & Dicks, 2012a) but this is seldom the case for the laboratory assessment of novel nutritional interventions.

In seeking to better understand the complex dynamics of sporting performance and the psycho-physiology that underpins them, the endocrine system may serve as an elegant guide. Hormonal signalling through the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis has been shown to exert a modulatory influence over both functional and adaptive responses to exercise stimuli (Crewther, Cook, Cardinale, Weatherby, & Lowe, 2011). Whilst the majority of work in this area has focussed on the influence of HPA axis activity on strength and power adaptation to exercise training (Hakkinen & Pakarinen, 1995; Kraemer & Ratamess, 2005), a growing body of evidence is converging on a critical role for the interplay of androgen and glucocorticoid signalling in supporting an optimal motivational climate for sports performance (Cook & Crewther, 2012a, 2012b). Early indications are that these effects may be of
particular import for the female athlete (Cook, Crewther, & Smith, 2012) but more
generally much remains to be determined in elucidating the role of androgen and
glucocorticoid signalling in modulating sports performance.

**Thesis Aims**

There is now significant interest in the potential ergogenic benefits of nutritional
ketosis born of some promising but limited early laboratory findings demonstrating
a small positive effect on sustained endurance performance in both rowing (Cox,
2012) and cycling (Cox et al., 2016). This thesis aims to inform the utility of
nutritional ketosis for sports performance by exploring the translation of these
early experimental findings regarding ketone ester consumption into both
laboratory and field work with increasing ecological validity to the sportsperson.
Experimental studies will examine the practical factors impacting the
pharmacokinetic response to ketone ester dosing, such as meal timing and warm up
exercise, as well as the performance impact of lowering the dose of ketone ester
consumed in an attempt to improve gastro-intestinal tolerance of the drink (Clarke
et al., 2012). The impact of nutritional ketosis on repeat sprint performance will be
examined to further the early work that has focussed on sustained endurance
exercise. As a backdrop to this, and in order to explore the behavioural biology
surrounding this novel nutritional intervention, the hormonal response to repeat
sprint exercise will first be characterised in a cohort of well trained athletes. Finally,
efforts to case study ketone ester consumption during real-world sports training
and competition will be described to highlight some of the practical challenges and potential issues to consider in exploring the utility of this novel nutritional state.
Chapter 2: General Methods

Drink formulations and safety

Experimental beverages containing D-ß-hydroxybutyrate-R-1,3-butanediol, a novel ketone monoester (dG: T∆S, Oxford, UK), were developed in collaboration with a nutritional product development specialist, the International Food Network (IFN, Reading, UK). Each beverage formulation contained varying concentrations of ketone ester (6-10mg/min/kgBW) and calorie matched carbohydrate (dextrose and fructose in a 2:1 ratio) as detailed in each study chapter as well as additional colourings (Lutein Yellow; Naturex, Swadlincote, UK), and flavourings (Orange flavouring (650961) & Pineapple flavouring (651757); Symrise, Marlow, UK). Non-ketone ester containing control beverages were prepared following similar procedures with the addition of bitter agents to match the flavour of the ketone ester beverage and with the provision of additional carbohydrate to achieve isocaloric matching. All beverages proceeded through a product development lifecycle involving prototype development, safety and stability assessment and batch production. Following production, every batch was subjected to a strict battery of safety and quality control tests, including detailed microbial analysis and physical and sensory screening, prior to distribution and consumption. The preparation facilities at IFN were examined to ensure compliance with the standards required for Informed Sport certification as a contaminant free production facility and every batch of end-product was screened by a WADA accredited laboratory (HFL, Cambridge, UK) and shown to be free of contamination with substances on the WADA prohibited list.
In order to establish the stability and shelf life of ketone ester beverages selected batches of end-product were subjected to a series of assessments. At regular intervals over a 32-week period beverages stored in both refrigerated (8°C) and ambient (20°C) conditions underwent microbial screening and nutritional, physical and sensory analysis as well as being screened by gas chromatography mass spectrometry (GC-MS) for stability of the ketone ester. All beverages tested demonstrated microbial stability and were safe for consumption following storage in both conditions across the study period and there was no evidence of significant ketone ester degradation. Beverages stored in the ambient condition were observed to change in colour and odour over time and therefore all beverages used in this research programme were stored in refrigerated conditions (~4-8°C) at all times, except on the day of consumption.

The ketone ester was developed by Oxford University (UK) in collaboration with the National Institutes for Health (NIH, Bethesda, USA) and has been FDA (Silver Spring, USA) certified as ‘Generally Recognised as Safe’ (GRAS). This GRAS certification permits human consumption of the ketone ester as a foodstuff within the context of continuing research into its efficacy but does not permit general consumption or commercial sale. Given the novel nature of the ketone ester UK Sport, as the research sponsor, obtained clarification via the UK Anti-Doping Agency (UKAD) from the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) regarding its status in relation to the WADA code. Following the submission of a detailed dossier, including the GRAS documentation, WADA’s responses stated that there was no reason on the available evidence to consider the ketone ester in contravention of the WADA code.
Cycle Ergometry

All cycle ergometry, except for that used in the repeat sprint trial following pre-fatigue, was conducted on an electro-magnetically braked stationary cycle ergometer (SRM Ergometer equipped with a Science PowerMeter, Schoberer Rad Messtechnik, Jülich, Germany). The ergometer was calibrated before and after each trial in a modified version of published guidelines (Wooles, Robinson, & Keen, 2005). Manufacturer reported measurement error for the Science PowerMeter is ± 0.5%. However, a more rigorous calibration standard of less than ± 0.1% can be achieved with further control of the calibration procedure. The method of calibration employed here adopted elements of the published method, such as making multiple measurements at multiple crank angles, but did not employ a precision machined chain ring and measured output from the PowerMeter in situ mounted on the ergometer rather than unmounted and fixed in a bench-top clamp. As a result, it is likely that the achieved accuracy of the method chosen lay somewhere between the two reported values above.

The microprocessor controlled braking system on the SRM ergometer allowed for two modes of operation to be employed. In open mode the ergometer mimicked a regular bicycle with a fixed magnetic resistance complimented by the presence of a standard bicycle cassette that allowed the rider to select gearing and cadence in order to self-regulate power output. In pre-determined mode the gear was fixed and electro-magnetic resistance was constantly adjusted to compensate for variation in cycling cadence in order to maintain a computer regulated pre-set power output as dictated by the software controlling the microprocessor. The SRM software collected
data on every pedal stroke from the ergometer allowing for both real time graphical feedback and post-hoc segmentation and analysis of test data files.

For the repeat sprint study following pre-fatigue the assessment of participants in groups of up to eight riders at a time necessitated the use of an alternate bicycle ergometer. These trials were conducted on air-braked stationary cycle ergometers (WattBike Pro, Nottingham, UK). WattBikes were factory calibrated with manufacturer reported accuracy better than ± 2.0% in the range 100-200W, better than ± 1.5% in the range 200-500W and better than ± 1.0% at power outputs above 500W. Test-retest reliability of an individual 30-second maximal sprint on the WattBike has been examined in trained cyclists with a reported CV of 2.4% for mean power (Driller, Argus, & Shing, 2013). Individual participants were allocated a set ergometer to use repeatedly for all laboratory visits in order to minimise test-retest measurement error.

For both ergometers (SRM Ergometer & WattBike Pro) each participant’s riding position was customised to individual rider preference by adjusting the horizontal and vertical alignment of both the saddle and the handlebars. The preferred setup was recorded on the first visited and replicated for all subsequent visits to the laboratory. Participants were afforded the opportunity to use their own pedals and cycling shoes and if required their own saddle. All rider customisations were recorded and repeated on each laboratory visit.
Respired Gas exchange

At designated time intervals participants were required to breathe through a rubber mouthpiece attached to a two-way non-rebreathing valve (Hans Rudolph, Kansas City, USA) and expired gases were collected using high bore low resistance tubing connected to a Douglas bag via a stopcock that allowed timed collections of expired air. Gas collections were analysed for volume of air expired using a dry gas meter (Harvard Apparatus, Kent, UK), temperature of expired gases via a digital thermometer (model C, Edale Instruments, Cambridge, UK) and fractional concentrations of O₂ and CO₂ using paramagnetic and infrared methods, respectively (Servoflex MiniMP, Servomex, Crowborough, UK). Barometric pressure was recorded at the point in time when gas analysis took place. Analysers were calibrated prior to each test with gases of known composition within the physiological range, as certified by prior gravimetric analysis (British Oxygen Company, UK). Douglas bags were checked for leakage and evacuated under negative pressure prior to each usage. Measured values were used to determine ventilatory rate (Vₑ), the rate of oxygen consumption (VO₂) and the rate of carbon dioxide production (VCO₂) and corrected to standard conditions (standard temperature and pressure, dry; STPD) for comparison across trials.

Blood collection

Capillary blood samples were collected from an earlobe as designated in the trial schedule. Prior to each dermal puncture a site was selected and prepared by thorough abrasion with an alcohol swab (Molnlycke Sterets, Gothenburg, Sweden) to remove surface debris from the puncture site. Dermal puncture was achieved by
rapid insertion and withdrawal of a sterile lancet (Accu-Chek Safe-T-Pro, Roche, Basel, Switzerland) with the earlobe held under tension. Free flowing blood was collected from the puncture site either by capillary action as described below or by passive draw into a 0.5ml EDTA sample bucket (Microvette 500, Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). EDTA samples were refrigerated immediately and centrifuged (Heraeus Biofuge Pico, Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, USA) for 10 minutes as soon as possible after collection. The plasma portion of each sample was extracted using a positive displacement pipette (Biohit Proline Plus Mechanical Pipette, Sartorius, Helsinki, Finland) and redistributed into polypropylene sample tubes (1.5ml Microcentrifuge Safe-Lock Tubes, Fischer Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Following extraction samples were stored at -80°C until analysis.

Venous blood samples were collected either by discrete venepuncture or by insertion of a venous cannula depending on the number of repeated samples required on a given visit to the laboratory. For either procedure a tourniquet was placed on the upper arm and adjusted to a level of tightness that encouraged protrusion of the distal superficial veins without causing loss of sensation or excessive discomfort. A suitable antecubital vein was identified and the puncture site was prepared by thorough abrasion with an alcohol swab (Molnlycke Sterets, Gothenburg, Sweden) to remove surface debris.

For the venepuncture procedure a butterfly needle with a syringe attached (Vacutainer Safety-Lok Blood Collection Set, BD, New Jersey, USA) was advanced through the skin and into the designated vein. Flush back of blood into the butterfly
tubing was used to guide the insertion of the needle. Once the needle was in situ the 
tourniquet was released and with the butterfly held in place against the skin a 5ml 
blood sample was drawn into the syringe. The needle was then immediately 
withdrawn and manual pressure applied to the puncture site for at least two minutes 
or until the puncture had sealed.

For the cannulation procedure a venous cannula needle (Venflon Pro, BD, New 
Jersey, USA) was advanced through the skin and into the designated vein. Flush back 
of blood into the cannula sheath was used to guide the insertion of the needle. Once 
the needle was in situ the cannula was advance into the vein whilst holding the 
needle in place. With the vein manually occluded proximal to the puncture site the 
needle was then withdrawn and a sample line with a 3-way tap (Connecta 3-Way 
Stopcock, BD, New Jersey, USA) was attached to the cannula before the occlusion 
was released. The cannula was secured to the skin with an adhesive (Veca-C, BD, New 
Jersey, USA) and the tourniquet released. A 5ml blood sample was drawn into a 
syringe attached to the 3-way tap and the line was then flushed with 2ml of saline 
(Sodium Chloride BP, B.Braun, Sheffield, UK) from a second syringe. All subsequent 
sample collections from the cannula involved withdrawal and discarding of the ~1ml 
of fluid contained in the tubing immediately prior to collection of a 5ml sample. 
Following every sample collection 2ml of saline was inserted into the line to preserve 
the patency of the cannula.

Venous samples were immediately transferred into either EDTA (EDTA KE/5ml, 
Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany) or serum (Serum Gel/5ml, Sarstedt, Nümbrecht,
Germany) collection tubes. EDTA samples were refrigerated immediately and centrifuged (Heraeus Biofuge Primo R, Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, USA) for 10 minutes as soon as possible after collection. The plasma portion of each sample was extracted using a positive displacement pipette (Biohit Proline Plus Mechanical Pipette, Sartorius, Helsinki, Finland) and redistributed into polypropylene sample tubes (1.5ml Microcentrifuge Safe-Lock Tubes, Fischer Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Serum samples were left at room temperature for 15 minutes and then centrifuged (Heraeus Biofuge Primo R, Thermo-Scientific, Waltham, USA) at 1500g for 10 minutes. The serum portion of each sample was extracted using a positive displacement pipette (Biohit Proline Plus Mechanical Pipette, Sartorius, Helsinki, Finland) and redistributed into polypropylene sample tubes (1.5ml Microcentrifuge Safe-Lock Tubes, Fischer Scientific, Loughborough, UK). Following extraction all samples were stored at -80°C until analysis.

**Instantaneous Blood Ketone Measurement**

For some trials the measurement of blood ketone concentration was made at the point of collection using a handheld monitor employing a microfluidic strip technology (Optium Xceed and β-ketone strips, Abbott Laboratories Ltd, Maidenhead, UK). For capillary earlobe samples, a test strip was inserted into the monitor and the tip of the strip was presented to a blub of fresh blood formed at the base of the earlobe. A small volume of blood (10µl) was drawn into the strip by capillary action and the monitor reported a test result within 10 seconds. For venous samples the tip of the test strip was presented to the tip of the syringe following blood extraction but prior to any processing. This measurement method has
previously been shown to provide accurate (mean difference <0.1mmol/l compared to enzymatic reference method) and reliable (repeat measures coefficient of variation <5%) blood ketone concentrations for both venous and capillary samples across the physiological range up to 5mmol/l (Byrne, Tieszen, Hollis, Dornan, & New, 2000).

*Capillary Blood Gas Analysis*

Capillary samples for blood gas analysis were drawn into pre-treated heparin glass capillaries (Clinitubes, Radiometer, Brønshøj, Denmark) by capillary action from a blub of fresh blood formed at the base of the earlobe. Samples were agitated by hand for 30 seconds prior to being presented to an automated blood gas analyser (ABL 70, Radiometer, Brønshøj, Denmark). With a capillary blood sample presented to the Radiometer sample needle, measurement was initiated and the device aspirated the required sample volume for analysis (65µl). Samples were presented to a series of sensors for analysis of pH, pO₂ and pCO₂ and measured values were used to derive estimates of blood bicarbonate concentration and base excess (Burnett & Noonan 1974). Calibration of the Radiometer ABL 70 involved an automated procedure utilising a calibration cassette pre-filled with standard solutions in the physiological range for each parameter. In addition to instrument calibration a quality control procedure was conducted prior to each test session. Four different quality control solutions across the physiological range (Qualicheck+, Radiometer, Brønshøj, Denmark) were presented to the device and checked against factory-calibrated values to ensure that the device was operating within acceptable limits across its measurement range.
Capillary blood gas measures offer a practical surrogate for arterial blood gas analysis without the need for an invasive and painful procedure, with the earlobe the preferred site of sample collection (Zavorsky, Cao, Mayo, Gabbay, & Murias, 2007). However, the measured values demonstrate a loss of accuracy and reliability compared to the more robust method of arterial blood collection. In order to minimise measurement error only samples that recorded an SaO2 of greater than 94% were included in the analysis.

**Blood biochemistry**

On the day of analysis frozen samples were fully defrosted to room temperature (~20°C) and partitioned for analysis by multiple assays as indicated in the trial schedule. Samples were analysed for concentrations of glucose, lactate, non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA), Glycerol and β-hydroxybutyrate by spectrophotometry (RX Daytona, Randox, Crumlin, UK) and by commercial ELISA kits for concentrations of insulin (Mercodia, Uppsala, Sweden), total testosterone, free testosterone, dihydrotestosterone and cortisol (IBL, Hamburg, Germany). For the repeat sprint trial (Chapter 7) plasma samples were analysed for dihydrotestosterone using an alternate ELISA kit (MyBioSource, USA) due to the incompatibility of the serum analysis kit (IBL) as a result of cross reactivity between the assay enzyme conjugate and the anticoagulant in the sample.

**Training History Questionnaire**

A self-report training history questionnaire (Appendix 1) was deployed with the aim of capturing the broad history of participation in sports and exercise training of study
participants across their lifespan. An extended section on cycling was included given the selection of cycling as a test modality for the majority of the research methods employed in the research programme.

**Subjective Rating Scales**

Validated subjective rating scales were used for the determination of perceived effort (Appendix 2; Borg, 1970) and perceived affect (Appendix 3; Hardy & Rejeski, 1989). A drink pleasantness scale (Appendix 4; modified from Bartoshuk et al., 2004) was used to compare experimental and control drinks for tolerance and a modified gastrointestinal symptom scale (Appendix 5) was used to determine GI comfort following consumption of experimental drinks both before and during exercise (Pfeiffer, Cotterill, Grathwohl, Stellingwerff, & Jeukendrup, 2009).
Chapter 3: The Effects of Exercise and Feeding on the Blood Ketone Body Response to Ketone Ester Consumption

ABSTRACT

Ketone ester consumption has been shown to alter exercise energetics and endurance performance (Cox et al., 2016) but the factors influencing ketone body availability in the real-world conditions of sport are not known. This study aimed to establish the impact of meal timing, drink composition and warm-up style exercise on the availability of circulating ketone bodies following a single dose of a ketone ester and carbohydrate drink (KET: 400mg/kg-body-mass + CHO: 480mg/kg-body-mass). Ten healthy adults (8M, 2F) consumed KET in three different conditions across two trial cohorts (feeding cohort: 90-min pre-meal (F90), 30-min pre-meal (F30), low CHO drink (KET: 400mg/kg + CHO: 120mg/kg) (F4:1); exercise cohort: rest (ER), 30-min exercise 15-min post dose (E15), 30-min exercise 45-min post dose (E45)). Ketone ester consumption resulted in a rapid rise in plasma betahydroxybutyrate (BHB) to $3.4 \pm 0.5$mmol/l within 60-minutes followed by an exponential decay with clearance occurring by 240-minutes post dose. Compared to F90, F4:1 resulted in a greater area under the BHB curve (AUC-BHB) by 90-mins post dose (F90 v F4:1: 260.7 ± 33.6 mmol/l’min v 311.4 ± 49.3 mmol/l’min; P<0.05) and a higher peak BHB (F90 v F4:1: 3.5 ± 0.5 mmol/l v 4.1 ± 0.7 mmol/l; P<0.01) whereas F30 result in a smaller area under the BHB curve (AUC-BHB) by 90-mins post dose (F90 v F30: 260.7 ± 33.6 mmol/l’min v 201.9 ± 33.4 mmol/l’min; P<0.05) and a trend towards lower peak BHB (F90 v F30: 3.5 ± 0.5 mmol/l v 2.8 ± 0.4 mmol/l; P=0.07). Compared to ER, neither E15 nor E45 significantly altered the AUC-BHB during the 30-min exercise bout. In
conclusion, meal timing and drink composition, but not warm-up exercise, are important considerations for dosing regimens aiming to optimise ketone body availability during exercise.
INTRODUCTION

Many sports are characterised by high levels of energetic demand that place a functional limit on exercise tolerance and performance capacity. Amongst the factors that has long been known to influence exercise tolerance for sports performance is the provision of exogenous fuel supply to support optimal cellular energetics (Coff, 1983). Until recently, research in this area has been dominated by exploration of the dietary provision of carbohydrate and fat as exogenous substrate for exercise (Hargreaves et al., 2004) and the dietary provision of protein for post-exercise recovery (Burd, Tang, Moore, & Phillips, 2009). However, the emergence of a novel method for achieving nutritional ketosis through consumption of a ketone ester drink brings into consideration a fourth macronutrient with the potential to influence exercise metabolism (Kashiwaya et al., 2010).

Ketone ester consumption has been shown to be safe and effective at rapidly inducing a moderate ketosis under laboratory conditions (Clarke et al., 2012) and early indications suggest that nutritional ketosis may confer ergogenic benefit for sports performance under certain conditions (Cox & Clarke, 2014). However, little is known about the factors influencing ketone body availability when dosing regimens are employed under the real world conditions of sport. Factors such as timing of pre-feeding and warm-up exercise are known to influence the availability of carbohydrate during exercise (Hawley & Burke, 1997) and could have an equally important impact on ketone body availability.
One of the critical advantages of nutritional ketosis achieved via ketone ester consumption is the avoidance of the carbohydrate restriction employed in the ketogenic diet (Hashim & Vanitallie, 2014). The prospect of co-administering a ketone ester alongside carbohydrate is likely to confer benefit both to the optimisation of exercising substrate supply and to the gastric tolerance of the ketone ester drink. However, the impact of varying levels of co-dosing of ketone ester and carbohydrate on blood ketone body availability is not known.

This methodological study aims to better understand the variation in response to an acute dose of a ketone ester drink by examining the effect of meal and exercise timing and drink content on the blood ketone body response. Such information will help to optimise dosing strategies for future research and practice.

**METHODS**

**Participants**

Ten healthy adults participated in this study (8M, 2F; age 32.3 ± 5.0 y; body mass (BM) 77.0 ± 14.6 kg). The study received approval from the University of Bath Research Ethics Approval Committee for Health and each participant was provided with a written and verbal briefing regarding the nature of the testing, and provided written, informed consent prior to participation. All participants completed a health questionnaire prior to participation.
Experimental Design

Participants were randomly assigned to one of two trial cohorts (Fig. 3.1). Within each trial cohort participants were required to attend the laboratory on three separate occasions 2-7 days apart. On each occasion participants were provided with an acute dose of ketone ester under randomised conditions varying either the feeding strategy in the feeding trial cohort or the ‘warm up’ exercise strategy in the exercise trial cohort. Both trial cohorts contained an identical control arm allowing the characterisation of baseline responses to ketone ester consumption across all study participants.

Figure 3.1. Trial schematic: participants were assigned to one of two trial cohorts. In each cohort participants completed three trials in random order with all participants completing a 90-minute pre-meal trial (F90; n=10). In the feeding cohort (n=5) participants additionally completed a 30-minute pre-meal trial (F30) and an altered dosing trial with a 4:1 ratio of ketone ester to carbohydrate (F4:1). In the exercise cohort (n=5) participants additionally completed 30-minutes of warm up exercise either 15-minutes after the ketone ester dose (E15) or 45-minutes after the ketone ester dose (E45).
Experimental Methods

Trial procedures for all trials

Participants were required to standardise their diet for the 24 hours before each trial by recording their 24-hour diet prior to the first visit and repeating this prior to subsequent visits. A first pass urine sample was collected on each trial morning to determine hydration status. Participants arrived at the laboratory after an overnight fast and were provided with a standardised breakfast at a time determined by the trial schedule.

Upon arrival at the laboratory a trained phlebotomist inserted a venous cannula into an antecubital vein without stasis. Baseline blood samples (~5ml) were drawn into EDTA collection tubes. To determine rates of appearance and disappearance of blood ketone bodies further samples were collected immediately pre ketone ester dose and at the following time points post dose: 15mins, 30mins, 45mins, 60mins, 90mins, 120mins, 150mins, 180mins, 240mins, 300mins. Blood samples were centrifuged and the plasma collected, rendering the samples acellular, and frozen at -80°C until analysis. Plasma samples were analysed for levels of betahydroxybutyrate (BHB) by spectrophotometric methods (RX Daytona, Randox, Crumlin, UK).

Following consumption of the ketone ester drink participants were required to collect all urine passed until the end of the trial into a sample container which was analysed for ketone content (Multistix 10 SG, Siemens Healthcare, Camberley, UK).
Feeding Trial Cohort

In the feeding trial cohort participants completed a different trial protocol on each of three visits (Fig.3.1). Trial protocols were presented in random order.

90-Minute Feeding Trial (F90): Participants were provided with a standardised meal 90-minutes prior to the ketone ester dose. Ketone ester was provided as a single bolus in a drink containing a 1:1 calorie ratio of ketone ester and carbohydrate (400mg/kg-body-mass Ketone ester + 480mg/kg-body-mass carbohydrate). Participants were advised to sit at rest for the ensuing 300-minutes post dose with no exercise undertaken and no further feeding allowed. Water was provided throughout the trial period ad libitum.

30-Minute Feeding Trial (F30): Trial procedures were identical to trial F90 except that the standardised meal was provided 30-minutes prior to the ketone ester dose.

Low Carbohydrate Trial (F4:1): Trial procedures were identical to trial F90 except that the ketone ester was provided as a single bolus in a drink containing a 4:1 calorie ratio of ketone ester and carbohydrate (400mg/kg BW ketone ester + 120mg/kg BW carbohydrate).

Exercise Trial Cohort

In the exercise trial cohort participants completed a different trial protocol on each of three visits (Fig.3.1). Trial protocols were presented in random order.
90-Minute Feeding Trial (F90): Trial procedures were identical to the F90 trial in the feeding trial cohort.

15-Minute Exercise Trial (E15): Trial procedures were identical to trial F90 except that 30-minutes of exercise was conducted commencing 15-minutes after the ketone ester dose. Exercise consisted of 30 minutes of sub-maximal cycle ergometry, at a self-selected intensity based on a guidance rating of perceived exertion of 11 (‘Fairly Light’) interspersed with four 10-second sprints at a guidance rating of perceived exertion of 17 (‘Very Hard’) performed at minutes 10, 15, 20 and 25 of the exercise bout. This exercise was designed to simulate the warm-up before a sports performance and the workload was repeated for the second exercise trial (E15 or E45) based on the loads selected in whichever of the trials was performed first.

45-Minute Exercise Trial (E45): Trial procedures were identical to trial E15 except that the 30-minute bout of exercise commenced 45-minutes after the ketone ester dose.

Pharmacokinetic Modelling

All trial data were subjected to non-compartmental analysis of plasma data after extravascular input in order to determine the pharmacokinetic parameters of plasma ketone body availability for comparison between trials (Zhang, Huo, Zhou, & Xie, 2010).
**Statistical Analysis**

An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to identify differences between treatments for pharmacokinetic parameters, and a two-way (treatment x time) general liner model for repeated measures was used to identify differences over time in outcome variables. The Greenhouse–Geisser correction was used for epsilon <0.75, while the Huynh–Feldt correction was adopted for less severe asphericity. Where significant F values were found, the Holm–Bonferroni stepwise correction was applied to determine the location of variance. All statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics (Release 20.0.0, IBM, USA) and all data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set at the level of P < 0.05.

**RESULTS**

Time series plasma beta-hydroxybutyrate (BHB) responses to each trial are shown in Figure 3.1. The response profile is characterised across all participants in Trial F90 (Fig.3.1A) by a rapid rise to a peak BHB concentration of 3.4 ± 0.5mmol/l within 60-minutes post dose, followed by an exponential decay back towards resting levels by 240-minutes post dose.

In the feeding cohort (Fig.3.1B) there were main effects of treatment (P<0.01), time (P<0.001) and treatment x time (P<0.001). Plasma BHB levels across trial F30 were significantly lower than both trial F90 (P<0.05) and trial F4:1 (P<0.05) and across trials plasma BHB at time-points 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 120-minutes was significantly elevated from baseline (P<0.05). Plasma BHB in trial F30 was significantly lower at
the 30, 45 and 60-minute time-points compared to trial F90 (P<0.05) and at the 15, 30, 45, 60 (P<0.01) and 75-minute (P<0.05) time-points compared to trial F4:1.

Figure 3.2. Time series plots of plasma beta-hydroxybutyrate (BHB) across trials. A (n=10): Trial F90 for all participants; B (n=5): Feeding trial cohort showing Trial F90 (90’ Feed), Trial F30 (30’ Feed) and Trial F4:1 (4:1 K:C Feed) with main effect of treatment (P<0.01), time (P<0.001) and treatment x time (P<0.001); C (n=5): Exercise trial cohort showing Trial F90 (90’ Feed), Trial E15 (15’ Exercise) and Trial E45 (45’ Exercise) with main effect of time (P<0.01) only. All data are normalised to ketone ester dosing at time zero and presented as mean ± SD.

In the exercise cohort (Fig.3.1C) there was a main effect of time only (P<0.01) with time-points 15, 30, 45, 60, 75, 90 and 120-minutes all significantly elevated from baseline (P<0.05).
Table 3.1. Pharmacokinetic parameters (C<sub>max</sub> = peak concentration of BHB; T<sub>max</sub> = time to peak concentration of BHB; t<sub>1/2</sub> = half-life of BHB; AUC = area under the BHB curve across various time-points as indicated) compared by trial. Trial comparisons versus trial F90: * = P=0.07; † = P<0.05; ** = P<0.01.

Analysis of variance of pharmacokinetic data (Table 3.1) indicated a main effect of treatment in the feeding cohort on peak BHB concentration (C<sub>max</sub>; P=0.003) and area under BHB curve in the 90-minutes post dose (AUC: 0-90; P=0.01). Compared to Trial F90, C<sub>max</sub> was significantly higher in Trial F4:1 (P<0.01) and tended to be lower in Trial F30 without reaching significance (P=0.07). AUC: 0-90 in Trial F30 was lower than Trial F90 (P<0.05) and in Trial F4:1 was higher than Trial F90 (P<0.05). There were no significant trial effects on time to peak BHB concentration (T<sub>max</sub>), BHB half life (t<sub>1/2</sub>) or overall area under the BHB curve (AUC: 0-inf). In the exercise cohort there were non-significant trends towards a lower area under the BHB curve during the exercise periods but no overall treatment effect was observed.

Urinalysis conducted at the end of each trial indicated average urinary ketone concentration of 0.28 ± 0.16g/l with average urinary excretion volumes of 893.7 ±
617.6ml, suggesting a negligible impact of urinary ketone disposal over the trial period.

**DISCUSSION**

The availability of circulating ketone bodies following acute consumption of a bolus of dietary ketone ester is influenced by the timing of food intake prior to ketone ester consumption and the ratio of ketone ester to carbohydrate consumed, but does not appear to be significantly impacted by the provision and timing of warm up exercise after ketone ester consumption. These factors are important elements of preparation for sports performance (Hawley & Burke, 1997) and as such warrant careful consideration when adopting nutritional ketosis as an interventional strategy in the field.

The pharmacokinetics of the plasma betahydroxybutyrate (BHB) response to ketone ester consumption are characterised by a predictable rise to peak concentration after around one hour, followed by an exponential decay with clearance occurring after approximately four hours, in the absence of strenuous exercise. This response pattern provides a reliable platform for advice regarding the timing of ketone ester intake for sports performance with a timeframe of ~30-90-minutes post ketone ester dose appearing to provide an optimal window of moderate ketosis (Fig.3.1). Depending upon the utilisation of ketone bodies as an available exercising substrate, it may be necessary during prolonged exercise to provide top-up doses of ketone ester during the exercise period to sustain adequate ketone body supply.
Given the potential for gastric intolerance with exercise feeding strategies, and preliminary evidence that the consumption of ketone esters may result in gastrointestinal (GI) side effects (Clarke et al., 2012), the timing of ketone ester consumption needs to meet the competing demands of minimising gastric upset whilst maximising circulating ketone body availability during exercise. Consuming a meal within 30-minutes of ketone ester consumption in the current study moderately suppressed ketone body availability in the first 90-minutes after dosing (Fig.3.1B). A standardised breakfast was provided to replicate the typical practice of athletes in the field and to mitigate for the know effects of breakfast consumption on post-prandial metabolism (Gonzalez, Veasey, Rumbold, & Stevenson, 2013). Whilst a favourable release profile was seen when ketone ester was delivered 90-minutes after breakfast this may not be practical in all sports settings where a number of factors will influence pre-exercise feeding strategy (Ormsbee, Bach, & Baur, 2014).

Reducing the extent of carbohydrate co-dosing with ketone ester consumption resulted here in a more rapid release profile with a higher peak BHB concentration (Table 3.1). Whilst this may be desirable in some circumstances it is likely that most practical ketone ester dosing strategies will favour an optimal carbohydrate co-dosing regimen to maximise exercising substrate supply (Jeukendrup, 2004). Although not examined in the current study, it also remains possible that the rapid pharmacokinetic profile seen here with low carbohydrate delivery could exaggerate the gastro-intestinal side effects of ketone ester consumption during exercise.
Ketone body availability was not significantly compromised in the current study by a short bout of warm-up exercise typical of the preparation athletes would do before sports performance (Fig.3.1C). Were a significantly more vigorous warm-up employed, or a more prolonged period of exercise supported, it is likely that the dosing regimen described here would need to be revised to sustain the provision of ketogenic substrate.

Conclusion
To provide a viable strategy for athletes seeking to optimise fuel supply during sports performance any feeding strategy must be deployable within the constraints of the competition environment. Such considerations are of critical importance in exploring the translational value of promising ergogenic interventions evidenced in a laboratory context for utility in the field. The effects described here of a slowing of the accumulation of circulating ketone bodies when ketone ester dosing occurs sooner after a meal and a more rapid accumulation of circulating ketone bodies with a drink formulation lower in carbohydrate concentration are critical factors in the selection of dosing regimens for optimal ergogenic benefit of nutritional ketosis.
Chapter 4: The Effect of Low-Dose Ketone Ester Consumption on Exercise Metabolism and Long Duration Cycling Performance

ABSTRACT

A novel ketone ester drink has been developed that shows benefit to exercise energetics and endurance performance in trained athletes (Cox et al., 2016). However, ketone ester consumption comes with a significant side effect profile of gastro-intestinal (GI) symptoms that could inhibit the ergogenic potential of nutritional ketosis. The present study aimed to determine, in twelve well trained men (VO₂max: 64.4 ± 6.1ml/kg/min), whether consumption of ketone ester (KET) at a lower dose than previously described (6mg/min/kg-body-mass) could improve exercising metabolism and cycling performance during a mixed-demand 100-min effort followed by a 20km time trial (TT), when compared to a calorie-matched carbohydrate drink (CHO). KET consumption lowered exercising plasma NEFA (P<0.05) and glycerol (P<0.05) and negated the drop in RER across the 100-min effort seen with CHO (P<0.05), but had no impact on plasma glucose or lactate. Despite a low GI symptom profile KET had no impact upon TT performance (KET v CHO: 1731.3 ± 113.0s v 1736.9 ± 114.2s) although there was a small trial order effect. Altered perceptions of effort (P<0.05) and affect (P<0.05) during the 100-min effort correlated with the change in TT performance. In conclusion, low dose ketone ester consumption did not improve long duration cycling performance despite low GI symptoms and altered indices of fat metabolism.
INTRODUCTION

A novel dietary ketone monoester has recently been developed that can rapidly induce a moderate ketosis and in doing so offer a novel alternate source of energetic substrate that would not normally be available during exercise in a eucaloric feeding state (Clarke et al., 2012). Ketone bodies are excellent fuel sources with rapid availability and excellent mitochondrial efficiency. Acute ingestion of this ketone ester has been shown (unpublished data from our group) to exert a positive impact on athletic performance in high performance athletes, both in rowers during a 30’ rowing ergometer distance trial and in rugby players during an 84’ rugby game simulation test (BURST). However, the prevalence of gastrointestinal side effects during moderate ketone ester intake is high (Clarke et al., 2012), with the potential to dampen any ergogenic benefit that might ensue from consumption. The effect of nutritional ketosis on prolonged endurance performance is currently unknown but significant potential exists for ergogenic benefit if gastrointestinal side effects can be managed within tolerable limits.

The performance of prolonged exercise requires a sustained supply of adequate fuel for muscular work in the face of finite endogenous fuel stores and a limited capacity to uptake and utilise exogenous dietary fuel (Bosch, Dennis, & Noakes, 1994). A large number of studies have shown that long duration exercise performance can be influenced by a variety of acute feeding strategies that alter exogenous substrate supply (e.g. Hargreaves, Hawley, & Jeukendrup, 2004; Mitchell et al., 1989). In particular sustaining high-intensity exercise for durations in excess of 90 minutes has
been shown to benefit from the supply of dietary carbohydrate both prior to and during the exercise bout (Jeukendrup, 2004). However, where exercise is of a shorter duration and/or intermittent in nature the evidence is far more equivocal, particularly for performance in a glycogen replete state (Coombes & Hamilton, 2000). Due to the varied complications of prior feeding, the training status of participants and the nature of the task imposed, the defining features of situation and treatment that lead to benefit remain somewhat elusive.

In the search for explanatory factors in defining this performance variance the concept of pacing for optimal performance has emerged (Tucker & Noakes, 2009). It has been shown that pace selection during an exercise performance task is dynamically regulated to ensure optimal performance output and that this regulation can be influenced by feed forward factors relating to motivational drive and feedback factors relating to available resources. In the context of fuel status, manipulation of muscle glycogen stores can alter pace selection (Rauch, St Clair Gibson, Lambert, & Noakes, 2005) and even the unfulfilled promise of further exogenous carbohydrate delivery, via carbohydrate mouth rinsing, can mobilise the same response (Beaven, Maulder, Pooley, Kilduff, & Cook, 2013; Carter et al., 2004). Major differences in motivational state have been reported for highly trained athletes in comparison to recreationally active people or less well trained competitive athletes indicating that from a pacing perspective observations regarding feeding intervention in one group may not meaningfully translate to another. Whether nutritional ketosis can add a further dimension to this performance dynamic is an intriguing prospect.
This study aimed to determine whether supplemental ketosis via ketone ester feeding, at a lower dose than utilised previously, could improve long duration cycling performance without significant gastrointestinal side effects in highly trained endurance athletes compared to current best practice macronutrient feeding employing multiple transportable carbohydrates (Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008). An intermittent exercise model consisting of a pre-load period followed by a time trial was chosen to simulate the non-steady state nature of a typical cycling endurance event.

METHODS

Participants

Twelve healthy men participated in this study (age 29.8 ± 6.5 yr; height 183.4 ± 5.5 cm; body mass (BM) 74.9 ± 6.9 kg). All participants completed a self-report training questionnaire and were categorised as ‘well-trained’ or ‘top-level competitive’ cyclists or triathletes with a training history of 12.9 ± 7.1 years and an average weekly training volume of 10.3 ± 3.0 hours. The study received approval from the University of Bath Research Ethics Approval Committee for Health and each participant was provided with a written and verbal briefing regarding the nature of the testing, and provided written, informed consent prior to participation.
Experimental Design

This study followed a double-blinded, randomised cross-over design. Participants attended the laboratory on three occasions each separated by 5-8 days. On the first preliminary trial day all participants performed an incremental cycle exercise test followed, after one hour of recovery, by a 20km time trial with the aim of determining participant work capacity and aerobic fitness whilst also achieving familiarisation with the test procedure for subsequent trials. On the following two main trial days a 20km time trial was performed following the completion of a 100-minute submaximal mixed intensity cycling pre-load. Participants were randomly assigned drinks containing either 1.2g/min carbohydrate + 6mg/min/kgBW ketone ester (KET) or 1.2g/min carbohydrate + further carbohydrate at the calorific equivalent of the ketone ester arm (CHO). Carbohydrate was provided in accordance with current sports nutrition guidelines in the form of glucose and fructose in the ratio 2:1 (Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008). Drinks were blinded by colour and flavour matching and were also matched for volume by the addition of water. Further water was provided ad-libitum during the trial and water intake was recorded. All exercise was conducted on an electronically braked, stationary cycle ergometer (Schoberer Rad Messtechnik, SRM, Germany) under controlled laboratory conditions at a moderate ambient heat load of 25°C and 50% humidity.
Preliminary Visit

Incremental Exercise Test

An exhaustive incremental exercise test was performed on the cycle ergometer (SRM) for the determination of maximal oxygen uptake (VO$_{2\max}$) and maximum power output ($W_{\max}$). Expired gases were collected in the final minute of exercise using a Douglas bag and subsequently analysed for volume of air expired using a dry gas meter (Harvard Apparatus, UK), temperature of expired gases via a digital thermometer (model C, Edale Instruments, UK) and fractional concentrations of O$_2$ and CO$_2$ using paramagnetic and infra-red methods, respectively (Servoflex MiniMP, Servomex, UK). These analysers were calibrated prior to each test with gases of known composition and volume within the physiological range, as certified by prior gravimetric analysis (British Oxygen Company, UK), and measured values were used to determine the maximal rate of oxygen consumption (VO$_{2\max}$). Starting load was self-selected based on warm up intensity and the exercise protocol consisted of 30W increments every three minutes for fifteen minutes followed by 20W increments per minute until exhaustion. Power output during the final minute was averaged to represent work capacity ($W_{\max}$). The results of this test were used to determine the workload for the pre-load phase on the main trial visits.

Familiarisation Time Trial

All participants were required to complete a preliminary performance of the 20km time trial, following one hour of recovery from the incremental test, in order to
ensure that they were familiar with the pacing requirements and experimental set up. During the time trial participants were provided with real time feedback only on current distance covered and instructed to cover the race distance as quickly as possible. Standardised phrases of encouragement were provided at set intervals of elapsed distance (5km, 10km, 15km, 19km, 19.5km, 19.9km) during the time trial. No music was played and no intrusive physiological measures were collected until after the trial was completed. Each participant’s chosen bicycle geometry was recorded (vertical and horizontal saddle position and vertical and horizontal handlebar position) and repeated on subsequent visits. Participants provided their own cycling pedals and shoes with the stipulation that the same equipment be used across all trials.

Main Performance Trial Visits

Experimental Protocol

For each main trial visit participants were instructed to consume a standardised meal the evening before and a standardised breakfast on the morning of the trial before arrival at the laboratory. Upon arrival baseline blood samples were collected and participants were fitted with a body sensor network garment (Hidalgo Equivital) for the continuous measurement of heart rate, breathing rate, skin temperature and core temperature (via telemetry from a thermometric pill ingested four hours before the trial; Philips VitalSense). Participants then completed a 100-minute pre-load period, consisting of five continuous 20-minute bouts of cycling that alternated
between blocks of constant load at the power output that elicited 60% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$ on the incremental exercise test and blocks of intermittent load (alternating 150-second work-periods at the power outputs that elicited 40% and 80% of VO$_{2\text{max}}$) followed, after a 5-minute break, by a 20km time trial adopting the same procedures as the familiarisation visit (Figure 4.1). Experimental drinks were separated by volume and consumed as a 40% starting bolus delivered 45 minutes prior to the onset of exercise, followed by six 10% doses delivered at 20 minute intervals during exercise.

Figure 4.1: Main Trial: Exercise protocol, timing of ketone ester dosing, timing of blood sampling and gas exchange, heart rate and body temperature measurement.

**Experimental Measurement Methods**

Capillary blood samples (0.5ml) were collected from an earlobe into EDTA sample buckets (Microvette 500, Sarstedt, Nümbrecht, Germany). Samples were taken at baseline and then immediately prior to and each 20 minutes block throughout the exercise period. A final sample was collected after completion of the time trial. Samples were analysed immediately for blood ketone concentration using a whole blood microfluidic strip method (Optium Xceed) by an experimenter who was not
present at the performance of the time trials. The remaining blood was centrifuged and the plasma fraction retained (thereby rendering the sample acellular) and stored at -80°C for later analysis of glucose, lactate, glycerol and free fatty acids. Blood metabolites were analysed by spectrophotometry using commercially available analysis kits (RX Daytona, Randox, Crumlin, UK).

At baseline, after each block of intermittent load and after the time trial an additional earlobe capillary blood sample (100ul) was collected for blood gas analysis. Capillary samples were drawn into pre-treated heparin glass capillaries (Clinitubes, Radiometer, Brønshøj, Denmark) by capillary action from a blub of fresh blood formed at the base of the earlobe. Samples were agitated by hand for 30 seconds and then immediately presented to an automated blood gas analyser (ABL 70, Radiometer, Brønshøj, Denmark) for the measurement of pH, pO₂ and pCO₂ and the derivation of blood bicarbonate concentration and base excess (Burnett & Noonan, 1974).

Expired gases were collected in a Douglas bag for five-minutes at baseline and then for one minute periods in the 20th, 25th, 40th, 60th, 65th, 80th and 100th minute of the pre-load exercise period. Expired gas samples were analysed, following the procedures described in the incremental exercise test, for the determination of ventilation, oxygen consumption, carbon dioxide production and respiratory exchange ratio. Throughout the trial body temperature was measured by an ingested
core temperature pill (Philips VitalSense) via telemetry to an ambulatory data logger (Hidalgo Equivital), which also recorded axillary skin temperature via a surface thermistor.

During the trial participants were asked to provide regular subjective ratings of their perceived exertion levels, affective state and gastrointestinal comfort (see General Methods), whilst perceptions of drink pleasantness were recorded at each ingestion point. All participants completed an exit questionnaire (Appendix 6) after their final trial to determine whether they could ascertain, whilst still blinded to the trial order, which drink was the ketone ester product and on which trial they had performed better in the time trial.

**Statistical Analysis**

A paired two-tailed t test was used to identify differences between treatments, and a two-way (treatment x time) general liner model for repeated measures was employed for all serial measures, with the Greenhouse-Geisser correction applied where assumptions of sphericity were violated. Main effects were isolated by pairwise multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. Treatment effects on time trial performance were compared to overall time trial performance using Bland Altman plots and compared with treatment effects for independent variables (objective and subjective data) by correlation analysis. All statistical analysis was
RESULTS

Participant Fitness and Training History

Participant fitness (Table 4.1A) was demonstrated by a group average maximum minute power of $400.4 \pm 34.6 \text{W}$ and body weight corrected maximal oxygen consumption of $64.4 \pm 6.1 \text{ml/kg/min}$. All participants were self-rated as either a well-trained ($n=9$) or a top-level/competitive ($n=3$) athlete and all reported being competitively active members of a sports club. Nine participants held a British Cycling racing license (Cat 3: $n=4$; Cat 2: $n=4$; Cat 1: $n=1$) and three participants competed in triathlon. Training history data (Table 4.1B) indicated that the group on average had been in exercise training for $12.9 \pm 7.1 \text{years}$ and currently completed an average weekly training volume of $10.3 \pm 3.0 \text{hours}$. conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics (Release 20.0.0, IBM, USA) and all data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set at the level of $P < 0.05$. 
Table 4.1. Participant characteristics (n=12 male cyclists). A: Participant demographics (age, height and weight), one minute work capacity (1’ W$_{\text{max}}$), aerobic capacity (absolute and relative VO$_{2\text{max}}$) and 20km time trial performance (time to completion, average power output and power output per kg body weight). B: Participant training history (exercise history, weekly overall and cycling sessions and weekly exercise volume). All data are mean ± SD.

**Time Trial Performance**

There was no significant treatment effect on time trial performance for time to completion (KET v CHO: 1731.3 ± 113.0s v 1736.9 ± 114.2s; P=N.S.) or average power output (260.1 ± 45.1W v 258.7 ± 43.5W; P=N.S.). However, a trial order effect was present for time to completion (Trial B v Trial A: 1716.0 ± 113.2s v 1752.2 ± 111.0s; P<0.05; Fig 4.2) and average power output (265.3 ± 45.8W v 253.5 ± 41.8W; P<0.05) with the second trial (Trial B) faster than the first (Trial A).
Figure 4.2. Difference between trials (B-A) in time to completion of the 20km time trial versus time taken to complete the first main trial (Trial A; n=12 male cyclists). Closed symbols represent participants who received the ketone ester (KET) treatment in trial B and open symbols represent participants who received the ketone ester (KET) treatment in trial A. Trial B v Trial A: *P<0.05.

**Blood Metabolite Analysis**

There were no main effects of treatment on plasma glucose or lactate but main treatment effects were seen for plasma NEFA and glycerol (Fig 4.3) with the ketone ester treatment suppressing both responses compared to carbohydrate. Trial order had no significant effect on any blood metabolite parameter.
Figure 4.3: Blood glucose, lactate, NEFA and glycerol responses to the exercise protocol (n=12 male cyclists): Closed symbols represent the ketone ester (KET) trial and open symbols represent the carbohydrate (CHO) trial. Treatment comparisons: * P < 0.05.

**Blood Ketone Bodies and Gas Analysis**

Ketone ester treatment resulted in a rapid and sustained elevation of blood ketone body concentration throughout exercise resulting in average blood ketone body concentration in the ketone ester (KET) trial of 1.29 ± 0.39mmol/l. There were also main effects of treatment for pH and bicarbonate with ketone ester treatment resulting in a lowering of both pH and blood bicarbonate concentration at both mid-exercise timepoints (Fig 4.4). Trial order did not alter blood gas status. Blood SpO2 levels averaged 94-96% indicating good quality signal throughout.
Figure 4.4. Blood ketone body (BHB), oxygen saturation (SpO₂), bicarbonate (HCO₃⁻) and pH responses to the exercise protocol (n=12 male cyclists): Closed symbols represent the ketone ester (KET) trial and open symbols represent the carbohydrate (CHO) trial. Treatment comparisons: * P < 0.05.

**Respired Gas Analysis**

Energy expenditure, as measured by percentage of VO₂max, was on target for the pre-load period averaging 60.3 ± 4.1% and 78.1 ± 4.6% across all trials at the pre-defined workloads. There were no differences in ventilation, VO₂ or VCO₂ with treatment. However, the change in RER across the submaximal exercise period was significantly attenuated by the ketone ester treatment (KET v CHO: 0.00 ± 0.02 v -0.03 ±0.04; P<0.05) indicating a differential shift in substrate selection.

**Body Temperature and Fluid Balance**

Ambient temperature (24.6 ± 0.3 v 24.6 ± 0.4 °C) and relative humidity (48.9 ± 6.1 v 47.8 ± 5.3 %) were similar between trials. Only 7/12 core temperature recordings
were of sufficient quality for inclusion in the study. As a result, no analysis was performed on this data. Several of the unacceptable recordings suffered for temperature fluctuation with fluid intake, indicating that insufficient gastric transit had taken place. However, one pill could not be detected at all, suggesting that it had been evacuated prior to the onset of the study. Such large variations in gastric transit time make reliable data capture unpredictable with the result that a significantly larger study cohort would have been required to sufficiently power a statistical analysis.

Significant rises in skin temperature were observed across the pre-load period in all trials and trended towards being higher in the carbohydrate (CHO) trial compared to the ketone ester (KET) trial but this did not reach significance (KET v CHO: 2.6 ± 0.8°C v 3.2 ±1.0°C; P=0.08). There were no differences in body weight change or calculated sweat loss across the trials either by treatment or order but an order effect was seen for volitional water intake, which was lower in the second trial (Trial B v Trial A: 1002 ± 310ml v 1181 ± 441ml; P<0.05).

Subjective Ratings

Participants rated their exertion during the pre-load period on average as fairly light (@ 60% load) to fairly heavy (@80% load) with ratings of feeling starting on average as good and drifting down to fairly good as the trial progressed. Neither treatment
nor trial order influenced perceived exertion or affect during the pre-load period or following the time trial.

The ketone ester (KET) trial drink was rated as less pleasant (moderately to strongly unpleasant) than the carbohydrate (CHO) trial drink (neutral to moderately unpleasant), although there were a wide range of individual ratings (KET v CHO: 40.4 ± 7.3 v 49.7 ± 6.6; P<0.001).

Mild to moderate GI symptoms presented on both treatments in a minority of participants but with no clear effect of treatment or trial order (Fig 4.5).

Figure 4.5. Prevalence of mild to moderate GI symptoms during the exercise protocol: number of subjects presenting with a given symptom; KET v CHO (n=12 male cyclists).

*Time Trial Performance Correlates*

The difference in time trial performance between treatments correlated with the difference in pre-load affect (P=0.02) and pre-load RPE (P=0.02) and trended towards a relationship with the difference in GI symptoms (P=0.09; Fig 4.6).
Figure 4.6. Scatter plots and statistical correlations for treatment differences (KET-CHO) in average time trial (TT) power versus subjective ratings during pre-load (n=12 male cyclists): A. Average pre-load affect \( (r=0.68; P=0.02) \); B. Average pre-load RPE \( (r=0.66; P=0.02) \); C. Sum of pre-load gastrointestinal symptoms \( (r=0.52; P=0.09) \).
The difference in time trial performance between treatments was also related to differences in water intake with lower water intake rates associated with better time trial performance \( (r=-0.66; P=0.02) \).

Exit Questionnaire

Participants were unable to predict which of the drinks was the active treatment \( (6 \text{ guessed correctly, } 5 \text{ guessed incorrectly and one couldn’t discern a difference}) \) or on which time trial they performed better \( (5 \text{ guessed correctly and } 7 \text{ guessed incorrectly}) \).

**DISCUSSION**

The main finding of this study was that low dose ketone ester consumption had no impact on the performance of a 20km time trial following a 100-minute period of mixed-intensity submaximal cycling. This observation was confounded by a trial order effect on time trial performance that occurred despite the presence of a familiarisation trial. Had a more extensive familiarisation reduced the CV to half of that observed here, three times as many participants would have been required to assess the small treatment effect observed of 0.7% with sufficient statistical power.

A lower dosage of ketone ester was chosen for this study in an attempt to minimise the gastrointestinal side effects associated with ester consumption. Whilst this
dosing regimen was successful in moderating GI symptom occurrence to a level comparable to the carbohydrate fed in the control treatment, this may have come at the expense of an ergogenic effect similar to that seen in previous trials at a higher dose (unpublished data from our group). The dose delivered was sufficient to induce a sustained ketosis but at a lower level (1.29 ± 0.39mmol/l) than that achieved in previous trials with a higher dosing regimen (2-3mmol/l). Future trials should consider whether there is a lower threshold of ketosis required to confer ergogenic benefit in a given exercise model.

The ketosis induced by ketone ester consumption in this study was sufficient to have a significant impact on circulating lipid metabolites during the pre-load exercise period suggesting that exercising substrate selection was altered by ketone ester treatment. The accumulation over time of blood NEFA and Glycerol levels in the carbohydrate (CHO) trial was consistent with previously published observations of a switch in substrate selection towards an increase in fat oxidation and decrease in carbohydrate oxidation over time with prolonged exercise (J a Romijn, Coyle, Sidossis, Rosenblatt, & Wolfe, 2000). This view was further supported by a drop in RER across the pre-load exercise period indicative of such a shift in substrate selection in the carbohydrate (CHO) trial. However, following ketone ester treatment there was a significant attenuation of the rise in both plasma NEFA and glycerol and a concomitant negation of the drop in RER with submaximal exercise, indicating a likely shift away from increasing reliance on lipid oxidation as exercise progressed. Quantitative evaluation of changes in substrate oxidation from respiratory gas exchange data is not possible in the presence of significant levels of ketone bodies.
since they are present in a racemic mix of betahydroxybutyrate and acetoacetate and each of these compounds has an RQ somewhere between that of fat and carbohydrate, rendering such estimation methods invalid. It would be of significant value to quantify rates of substrate oxidation in the presence of ketosis during exercise by conducting isotopic tracer studies.

The low level ketosis seen here was not sufficient to significantly alter blood glucose or lactate concentrations during exercise. This finding is in contrast to previous studies with higher ketone ester doses and may point towards a potential mechanism of ergogenic benefit for ketone ester treatment in those trials (Cox et al., 2016). The exercise protocol chosen here resulted in sustained work output at a lower level to that seen in previous studies resulting in significantly lower levels of circulating lactate. Whilst the lower dose ketosis itself cannot be ruled out as a factor in the lack of an ergogenic effect in the current trial, it remains possible that a higher level of sustained workload demand may be required to expose an impact of ketosis on lactate metabolism that is sufficient to confer functional benefit.

Ketone ester treatment did result in transient changes in blood gas status with more rapid degradation of bicarbonate stores and lowering of pH evident during the pre-load period. These changes most likely relate to the increase in acid load associated with ketone body loading. They were no longer present at peak exercise following the time trial. Whilst an increase in submaximal acid load may appear undesirable during exercise, it could confer an advantage during certain types of work where sufficient buffering capacity exists, since monocarboxylates appear to be preferred as a rapidly available substrate during periods of high energetic demand (George A
Brooks, 2009). Indeed, recently attempts have been made to positively impact exercise performance by raising monocarboxylate levels in the form of the consumption of exogenous lactic acid (Brooks, personal communication). It would be worthwhile to explore further the role of ketone ester consumption in elevating the monocarboxylate pool to provide rapidly available substrate during sustained high intensity exercise.

The trial order effect observed on time trial performance may have resulted from insufficient familiarisation since participants were only familiarised to the time trial, following an incremental exercise test, and not the pre-load section of the protocol. That differences in volitional water intake related to differences in time trial performance across trials may be indicative of this and perhaps point towards an acclimation to the moderate thermal load with repeat trialling as a moderator of performance in the current trial (Guy, Deakin, Edwards, Miller, & Pyne, 2015).

However, there were no differences between the first and second trials in skin temperature, heart rate, blood metabolites or any gas exchange variable. Irrespective of treatment an improvement in time trial performance was associated with lower levels of perceived exertion and higher levels of affect during the pre-load exercise period. Given the highly subjective nature of pacing for volitional performance and the absence of any objective performance feedback during the time trials these subjective relationships are unsurprising but likely indicate some of the common factors which lead to natural variance in repeat performance of this type of exercise task in other settings (Sharma, Elliott, & Bentley, 2015). That the likely changes in substrate selection were not sufficient to impact perceptual
wellbeing may have been a key factor in the failure of the ketone ester to impact performance.

It is possible that perceived differences in the pleasantness of the trial drinks impacted individual participant’s motivation to perform. However, the inability of participants to predict which drink was which and more importantly what their actual level of performance was between the two trials makes this an unlikely prospect. There was a non-significant trend for differences in GI symptoms to predict time trial performance, regardless of which drink provoked more symptoms for a given participant, however there was no overall difference in symptom appearance between treatments. Whilst the lower dosing used in the current trial may therefore have been sufficient to contain the excesses of symptom appearance reported previously, they were also insufficient to induce ergogenic benefit. It appears likely therefore that athletes seeking ergogenic gain from ketone ester consumption either need to be relatively tolerant to the drink or perhaps hardy enough to cope with its effects without derailing performance. There is evidence that more successful athletes in real world endurance events tolerate higher levels of GI symptoms in order to gain the energetic benefits of additional feeding during performance (Jeukendrup & Killer, 2011). This level of motivation may not have been adequately assessed in the laboratory setting of the current study where participants performed in isolation.
Conclusion

Low dose ketone ester consumption was capable of altering substrate metabolism during long duration cycling, by dampening exercise induced elevations in serum NEFA and glycerol, but did not have any impact at the dose delivered on 20km cycling time trial performance following an extensive pre-fatigue protocol. Future studies should examine the dose response to ketone ester consumption in relation to its impact upon substrate selection at different intensities and for different durations of exercise as well as exploring the subjective response to feeding as a potential mitigating factor for performance impact.
Chapter 5: The Androgenic Response to Repeat Sprint Exercise in Healthy Young Men

ABSTRACT

Dihydrotestosterone (DHT) exerts both functional and signalling effects extending beyond the effects of testosterone in rodent skeletal muscle. As a primer for investigating the role of DHT in human skeletal muscle function, this study aimed to determine whether circulating DHT is acutely elevated in men following a bout of repeat sprint exercise and to establish the importance of training status and sprint performance to this response. Fourteen healthy active young men (VO$_{2\text{max}}$ 61.0 ± 8.1 ml·kg·BM$^{-1}$·min$^{-1}$) performed a bout of repeat sprint cycle exercise at a target workload based on an incremental work-rate maximum (10x30s @ 150% W$_{\text{max}}$ with 90-s recovery). Venous blood samples were collected pre-, 5 minutes post- and 60-minutes post-exercise. 5-minutes post-exercise there were significant elevations in total testosterone (TT; P<0.001), free testosterone (FT; P<0.001) and DHT (P=0.004), which returned to baseline after one hour. Changes in DHT with exercise (5’ Post-Pre) correlated significantly with changes in TT (r=0.870; P<0.001) and FT (r=0.914; P<0.001). Sprinting cadence correlated with changes in FT (r=0.697; P=0.006), DHT (r=0.625; P=0.017) and TT (r=0.603; P=0.022) and habitual training volume correlated with the change in TT (r=0.569, P=0.034). In conclusion, our data demonstrates that DHT is acutely elevated following sprint cycle exercise and that this response is influenced by cycling cadence. The importance of DHT in the context of exercise training and sports performance remains to be determined.
INTRODUCTION

Exercise is known to trigger acute elevations in circulating androgens, with responses that are dependent upon historical training status and workout design (Crewther et al 2006; Stokes et al 2012). These hormonal responses have been implicated both in the execution of acute workout performance and in the accrual of adaptive training gains (Crewther et al 2011). A variety of mechanisms have been proposed to support these actions, including the activation of cell signalling pathways promoting the mobilisation of energy reserves via GLUT4 (Sato et al 2008) and the accretion of protein for skeletal muscle hypertrophy (Ferrando et al 2002) via mTor (Wu et al 2010), modulation of the excitability of neuro-motor units (Bonifazi et al 2004) and more complex influences on behavioural motivation (Archer 2006) and cognition (Aleman et al 2004). However, the precise nature of the interaction between hormonal response and functional outcome in a given exercise setting remains poorly understood. In particular the reliance upon testosterone as a ubiquitous marker of the androgen system has come under scrutiny with recent attention widening to include another bioactive androgen, dihydrotestosterone (DHT) (Yarrow, McCoy & Borst 2012).

DHT is considered the terminal active product of androgen biosynthesis, being produced via both testosterone dependent and independent pathways (Yarrow, McCoy & Borst 2012). Compared to testosterone, DHT has greater affinity to the androgenic receptor (Bauer et al 2000). DHT has been described as an autocrine/paracrine hormone since it is formed in local tissue by the irreversible 5-α
reduction of testosterone or androstenedione and is locally regulated in its action by an enzymatically controlled, reduction/oxidation equilibrium with a series of inactive metabolites (Pirog & Collins 1999). As a result, it is typically present in circulation in smaller quantities than other androgens (Luu-The & Laberie 2010; Penning et al 2000). This regulation may serve in part to limit adipogenic actions that have seen chronically elevated DHT levels linked to metabolic and cardiovascular disease risk (Duskova & Pospisilova 2011). Given its heightened androgenic potency, the enzymatic machinery required for bioconversion of androgens to DHT is not universally distributed, allowing tissue specific effects only in selected androgen sensitive tissues (Thigpen et al 1993).

Emerging evidence suggests that exercise can acutely trigger local muscular conversion of testosterone to DHT in rats (Aizawa et al 2010). DHT has further been shown to enhance force production in isolated fast twitch muscle fibre bundles from mice via rapid non-genomic signalling (Hamdi & Mutungi 2011) and to promote a variety of transcriptional events associated with anabolism via classical genomic signalling (Yoshioka et al 2006). Historically, human skeletal muscle was not thought to contain significant levels of the 5α-reductase responsible for conversion of testosterone to DHT (Thigpen et al 1993). However, this view has recently been challenged by the discovery of a third isoform of 5α-reductase that is highly expressed in human skeletal muscle (Godoy et al 2011).
Given that the role of DHT in the acute adaptive response to exercise in humans is not known, it would be prudent to establish whether exercise provokes an increase in circulating DHT prior to any detailed exploration of its potential role in exercising muscle function. The purpose of the current study was therefore to determine whether circulating DHT is acutely elevated in a group of exercise trained men performing repeat sprint exercise that involves sustained recruitment of fast twitch muscle fibres. We further aimed to establish whether this exercise response was related to training status or characteristics of the sprint performance.

METHODS

Participants

Fourteen healthy men participated in this study (age 28.3 ± 4.1 y; body mass (BM) 75.6 ± 8.5 kg; VO\textsubscript{2max} 61.0 ± 8.1 ml·kg\textsuperscript{-1}·min\textsuperscript{-1}). All participants completed a self-report training questionnaire and were categorized as either a recreational (n = 7) or a competitive (n = 7) athlete with a minimum training history of six years. These criteria were established in order to isolate the effects of training and competition status without the confounding effects of years of training history as both have been implicated in the modulation of the hormonal response to exercise (Crewther et al 2006). Sports participation included running, cycling, swimming, triathlon, combat sports, ball sports and team sports. Amongst the reported activities, all competitive athletes participated in cycling or triathlon and all but one of the recreational athletes were engaged in resistance training. The study received approval from the University of Bath Research Ethics Approval Committee for Health and each participant was
provided with a written and verbal briefing regarding the nature of the testing, and provided written, informed consent prior to participation.

**Experimental Protocol**

*Incremental exercise trial:* In order to determine participant fitness and to set workloads for subsequent sprint exercise, all participants undertook an incremental exercise test to exhaustion on a stationary cycle ergometer (Schoberer Rad Messtechnik, SRM, Germany). Expired gases were collected in the final minute of exercise using a Douglas bag and subsequently analysed for volume of air expired using a dry gas meter (Harvard Apparatus, UK), temperature of expired gases via a digital thermometer (model C, Edale Instruments, UK) and fractional concentrations of $O_2$ and $CO_2$ using paramagnetic and infra-red methods, respectively (Servoflex MiniMP, Servomex, UK). These analysers were calibrated prior to each test with gases of known composition and volume within the physiological range, as certified by prior gravimetric analysis (British Oxygen Company, U.K), and measured values were used to determine the maximal rate of oxygen consumption ($VO_{2max}$). Starting load was self-selected based on warm up intensity and the exercise protocol consisted of 30W increments every three minutes for fifteen minutes followed by 20W increments per minute until exhaustion. Power output during the final minute was averaged to represent work capacity ($W_{max}$).
**Repeat sprint exercise trial:** On a subsequent visit, at least 48 hours later, participants completed a bout of sprint interval cycle exercise on the stationary ergometer (Schoberer Rad Messtechnik, SRM, Germany), consisting of 10 repetitions of 30 seconds sprinting at a target load of 150% of the $W_{max}$ determined from the incremental test, interspersed with 90 seconds of low intensity recovery cycling at or below 100W. In order to normalize for circadian variation in hormonal state (Hayes, Bickerstaff & Baker 2010) all sprint testing took place in the morning between 2 and 4 hours after waking. Workload was self-paced and participants were given real-time numerical and graphical feedback on their current power output, cycling cadence and time elapsed. Where participants were unable to sustain the target load they were encouraged to perform maximally during the remaining sprints.

**Blood sampling:** On arrival at the laboratory, a trained phlebotomist collected 5mL of venous blood from a superficial antecubital vein without stasis. Following this pre-exercise sample, further samples were collected 5 minutes post- and 60 minutes post-exercise. Samples were suspended in serum collection tubes (Serum Z/5 ml, Sarstedt, Germany) for 15 minutes before being centrifuged for 10 minutes at 1500g. Supernatant was immediately transferred to polypropylene Eppendorf tubes and frozen at -20°C until analysis.

**Hormonal analysis:** Serum samples were analysed in duplicate for total testosterone, free testosterone, and DHT concentrations, using commercial ELISA kits (IBL,
Hamburg, Germany). All samples for a given participant were analysed on the same assay plate. Pooled intra-assay sample variance (CV) for duplicate samples was 4.5% for total testosterone, 5.6% for free testosterone and 5.3% for DHT and inter-assay sample variance for controls was 6.3% for total testosterone, 6.4% for free testosterone and 8.9% for DHT.

**Statistical Analysis**

Demographic comparisons between athlete groups were conducted by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) and hormonal responses were analysed using a two way (group x time) repeated measures general linear model, with the Greenhouse-Geisser correction applied where assumptions of sphericity were violated. Main effects were isolated by pairwise multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. All hormonal data were normalized by natural log transformation prior to analysis. Hormonal changes from pre to post exercise for different androgens were then examined for correlation to each other and to demographic and sprint performance data by Pearson product moment correlation. All statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics (Release 20.0.0, IBM, USA) and all data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set at the level of P < 0.05.
RESULTS

Participant fitness and training history

There were no significant differences in age or body mass between athlete groups categorized by competition status (Table 5.1). However, both VO$_{2\text{max}}$ ($P = 0.011$) and $W_{\text{max}}$ ($P = 0.002$) calculated during the incremental exercise protocol were significantly higher for competitive compared to recreational athletes. Competitive athletes also reported a significantly higher habitual training volume than recreational athletes (competitive v recreational: 10.5 ± 6.8 hrs.week$^{-1}$ v 3.7 ± 0.9 hrs.week$^{-1}$; $P = 0.022$) but with no difference in years of training history (10.3 ± 5.2 yrs v 11.4 ± 3.0 yrs; $P = 0.616$).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Competitive Athlete</th>
<th>Recreational Athlete</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (yrs)</td>
<td>28.5 ± 5.1</td>
<td>28.0 ± 3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body Mass (kg)</td>
<td>74.3 ± 7.3</td>
<td>76.8 ± 10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO$_{2\text{max}}$ (ml/min/kg)</td>
<td>66.1 ± 4.5</td>
<td>55.9 ± 7.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_{\text{max}}$</td>
<td>375.8 ± 44.5</td>
<td>297.7 ± 26.0**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5.1. Participant characteristics (n=7 competitive, n=7 recreational), aerobic capacity (VO$_{2\text{max}}$) and work capacity ($W_{\text{max}}$). Competitive versus recreational athletes: * $P < 0.05$, ** $P < 0.01$. Values are mean ± SD.

Sprint performance

The competitive group completed the sprint task at the target load of 150% of measured work capacity (average power: 149.4 ± 10.1% $W_{\text{max}}$). However, the
recreational group were less able to sustain the target load for all ten repetitions (average power: $135.3 \pm 15.5\% \ W_{\text{max}}$) although the difference between groups did not reach significance ($P = 0.066$). As a result, competitive athletes performed at a significantly higher average power (competitive v recreational: $560.1 \pm 62.1 \ W$ v $404.1 \pm 68.4 \ W$; $P = 0.001$) resulting in a greater work done during sprinting ($168.0 \pm 18.6 \ kJ$ v $121.2 \pm 20.5 \ kJ$; $P = 0.001$) compared to recreational athletes.

**Hormonal response to sprint exercise**

Significant elevations from pre- to 5’ post-exercise occurred in the levels of total testosterone ($P = 0.002$), free testosterone ($P = 0.001$) and DHT ($P = 0.022$), with all three parameters returning to pre-exercise levels by 60’ post-exercise (Fig 5.1). Pre-exercise levels of free testosterone were correlated with levels of total testosterone ($r = 0.689; P = 0.006$) and DHT (Fig 5.2 Panel A, $r = 0.536; P < 0.05$). Changes in DHT levels with exercise (5’ Post - Pre) correlated significantly with changes in levels of total testosterone ($r = 0.870; P < 0.001$) and free testosterone (Fig. 5.2 Panel B, $r = 0.914; P < 0.001$).
Hormone response, training status and sprint performance

All hormonal responses were compared in relation to competitive status and no group effects or group x time interactions were found for competitive versus recreational athletes. However, the sprinting cadence averaged across all ten sprints...
correlated with changes in FT ($r = 0.574, P = 0.032$) and the highest average sprinting cadence across an individual sprint bout was associated with changes in FT ($r = 0.697, P = 0.006$), DHT ($r = 0.625, P = 0.017$) and TT ($r = 0.603, P = 0.022$). Habitual weekly training volume correlated with the change in TT only ($r = 0.569, P = 0.034$). Hormonal exercise responses did not vary in relation to work capacity during sprinting or fitness status determined during the incremental exercise trial.

Figure 5.2. Correlations between log-transformed serum free testosterone and serum DHT: A - pre-exercise log-levels ($r = 0.536, P<0.05$); B - change in log-levels with exercise (5' post - pre; $r = 0.914, P<0.001$).

**DISCUSSION**

To our knowledge this is the first report of the presence of increased circulating levels of the androgen DHT during the period immediately following an exercise stimulus in humans. Elevations in DHT were short lived, returning to baseline levels within one hour after exercise. This timescale of action is consistent with previous reports for testosterone (Deschenes et al 1991), whilst adding DHT to the array of androgenic factors elevated in the acute phase response to exercise. The presence of elevated
DHT during exercise may be of considerable significance given that DHT has a greater affinity than testosterone at the androgenic receptor and creates a more stable androgen-receptor complex (Bauer et al 2000, Grino, Griffin & Wilson 1990). That these elevations were short lived may be of equal importance in determining their functional relevance.

The short acting effects of androgens during exercise have attracted recent attention (Crewther et al 2011). Elevations in testosterone during exercise are thought to play a role in supporting the maximal expression of powerful muscular force production, linking motivational behaviour with functional output (Cook & Crewther 2012), but this relationship appears to depend upon training status (Crewther et al 2012a). Whether these modulatory effects of training extend to the activation of 5α-reductase in exercising skeletal muscle is not yet known. Modulatory increases in skeletal muscle DHT levels in response to exercise training have recently been shown in rodents (Aizawa et al 2011) and chronic up-regulation of basal circulating DHT levels with training has also been reported in humans (Hawkins et al 2008). However, acute exercise responses were not reported. In the present study a significant increase in circulating DHT was observed following sprint exercise in a group of healthy young men with a history of six or more years of exercise training. Neither performance capacity in the tests administered nor the habitual training volume of these athletes discriminated the degree of DHT response. Whilst these findings are in conflict with previous reports indicating that training exposure can modulate the androgen response to exercise (Crewther et al 2006), this may be unsurprising given
the mixed athletic background of this cohort. A similar divergence has been show in other mixed ability athletic groups, suggesting that androgen responsiveness may only be pertinent to functional output in a subgroup of athletes (Crewther et al 2012a; Crewther et al 2012b). Future studies should examine acute DHT responses to exercise in less well trained or sedentary individuals as well as exploring the modulation of these responses and their relationship to functional gain with the imposition of an exercise training program.

In the trained young men studied here we found an association between the highest volitional cadence maintained for thirty seconds during sprint cycling and the degree of responsiveness to the sprint stimulus of all three of the measured androgens, including DHT. Whilst lacking causation, this correlation points towards the possibility that phenotypical characteristics of athletic ability may play a role in determining the hormonal response to sprinting. In trained cyclists, the proportion of fast twitch fibres by cross-sectional area of vastus lateralis muscle, an aspect of athletic phenotype known to carry a significant degree of heritability (Simoneau & Bouchard 1995), correlates strongly with optimal sprinting cadence and to a lesser degree with maximal sprinting power output (Hautier et al 1996). This relationship holds with the age related decline of muscle function in older men (Pearson et al 2006). At the cellular level DHT has been shown to increase transcriptional signalling of factors involved in ATP production and calcium cycling in mouse skeletal muscle (Yoshioka et al 2006). In the rat, cultured skeletal muscle cells exposed to testosterone or dehydroepiandrosterone (DHEA) exhibit a DHT conversion
dependent enhancement of glucose metabolism by increasing protein expression and translocation of GLUT-4 (Sato et al 2008) and administration of DHT to isolated muscle fibre bundles enhances contractile function, but only in fast twitch fibres (Hamdi & Mutungi 2010). It is possible therefore that the androgenic response to sprint exercise in men may depend to some degree on the morphology of active muscle. Alternatively, sprint cadence and androgen status may be covariates of an underlying driver related to other characteristics of the exercise response, such as changes in contractile function and cellular metabolism resulting from alterations in anisosmotic compartmental fluid dynamics (Cermak et al 2009).

The tight coupling of exercise induced increases in total and free testosterone and DHT in the present study (Fig 5.2) is suggestive of a common pathway of androgenic promotion during repeat sprint exercise, with skeletal muscle a likely candidate in driving this response. In support of this view, preliminary findings from our group indicate that elevations in DHT can also be detected in human muscle dialysate during high intensity exercise (unpublished data). In rodents exercise has been shown to promote active bioconversion of androgens by skeletal muscle resulting in temporary elevations in both DHT and testosterone of a similar magnitude and timeframe to those seen here (Aizawa et al 2010). However, it is currently not known whether human skeletal muscle is capable of local androgenic bioconversion in the face of an exercise stimulus. Until recently, it was widely thought that human skeletal muscle lacked expression of the 5α-reductase responsible for conversion of testosterone to DHT (Stuerenburg & Schoser 1999; Thigpen et al 1993). Empirical
data directly supporting these claims in normal, healthy humans is scarce in the available literature, with inferences regarding 5α-reductase inactivity largely dependent upon observations of normal muscular development in patients with congenital 5α-reductase deficiency (Gormley 1995; Rittmaster 1994), and evidence of sustained muscle hypertrophy during exogenous testosterone administration in the presence of 5α-reductase inhibition in testosterone deficient men (Page et al 2005). Crucially, the provenance of tissue samples from the available studies directly examining 5α-reductase in healthy humans is unclear, leaving open the prospect that expression of 5α-reductase is actively modulated in skeletal muscle by factors such as phenotype, age and exercise training. This view is strengthened by recent reports of a third isoenzyme of 5α-reductase that shows an expression profile in human skeletal muscle (Godoy et al 2011, Yamana, Labrie & Luu-The 2010). Further studies are required to explicitly address this question in humans by conducting muscle biopsies following an exercise stimulus to determine both the changes in local androgen metabolism and the fibre type specificity of this response.

Much debate surrounds the functional role of androgens in the anabolic response to exercise training (Phillips 2012, Rønnestad, Nygaard & Raastad 2012). Whilst beyond the scope of this study, future investigations should consider the potential relevance of DHT to exercise induced anabolism, since administration of DHT to isolated intact muscle fibre bundles from the rat promotes protein accretion (Hamdi & Mutungi 2011), with effects mediated by mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK), and activates a transcriptome signature highly supportive of anabolic pathways in an
equivalent mouse model (Yoshioka et al 2006). If DHT is found to be of relevance to anabolic signalling then consideration should also be given to the resilience of the exercising DHT response under chronic training load. Depletion of circulating androgen levels is a feature of the continued high level stimulation of an intense conditioning exercise program (Hakkinen & Pakarinen 1991). Since 5α-reduction of testosterone to DHT is an irreversible step in androgen metabolism, necessitating the inactivation of DHT following a stimulus (Penning et al 2000), the repeated elevation of DHT in response to the day-to-day demands of an intense conditioning exercise program may place a strain on androgen metabolism with potential implications for maintenance of future training load and subsequent adaptive response. That the habitual training volume of participants in the current study was related to the TT response to repeat sprint exercise could be indicative of an adaptation designed to sustain circulating androgen levels under training load.

This study represents a small staging post in the examination of the role of DHT in exercising muscle function. Extension of the findings presented here is limited by the small cohort studied and the extensive training history of participants. Whether the relationships reported would hold for more diverse groups encompassing sedentary or less well trained individuals and highly trained elite athletes, or for different modes or durations of exercise, was beyond the scope of the current study. A further note of caution is required in comparing the acute responses of this group since there may have been variable adherence to advice regarding the control of training load in the days before the sprint trials took place. It remains possible that residual training
fatigue differentially influenced both the ability of participants to perform optimally and the extent of their hormonal response.

**Conclusion**

Repeat sprint exercise is capable of inducing an increase in circulating DHT in healthy active young men, particularly when sprinting cadence is high. It would be of significant value to determine whether DHT is converted locally from other androgens by active muscle during exercise and what factors might determine the extent of the exercising DHT response, such as age, sex, competitive athletic status, exercise training history and characteristics of the exercise stimulus. The role of DHT in particular, and the HPA axis in general, in modulating muscle function during exercise training and sports performance is worthy of further investigation.
Chapter 6: The Effects of Ketone Ester Consumption on Repeat Sprint Performance Following Pre-Fatigue in Well-Trained Cyclists

ABSTRACT

Sustaining repeated sprint performance under fatigue is an important requirement for many sports and in particular for a number of outdoor endurance sports. Whilst ketone ester consumption has been shown to improve endurance performance during time trial style exercise (Cox et al., 2016) it is not currently known whether nutritional ketosis can benefit more intermittent endurance performance. This study aimed to determine the impact of ketone ester consumption, at the upper end of the recommended dosing range (10mg/min/kg-body-mass) on repeat sprint cycling performance following pre-fatigue. Fourteen well trained men performed three randomised trials of 20-min steady state (SS) cycling at 80% of 20-min work capacity followed immediately by ten 30s sprints with 90s recovery. Trials were performed following consumption of water (H20), a ketone ester drink (KET) or a calorie matched carbohydrate drink (CHO). Compared to CHO, KET resulted in a 2.1% decrement in average sprint power (P<0.01) and attenuated exercise induced increases in plasma lactate (P<0.001), glucose (P<0.001), NEFA (P<0.001), dihydrotestosterone (DHT; P=0.07) and testosterone/cortisol ratio (T/C; P=0.07), whilst elevating plasma cortisol (P<0.05). The difference between KET and CHO in the T/C ratio response to exercise correlated with the difference in average sprint power (P<0.05). In conclusion, nutritional ketosis diminished repeat sprint performance with significant effects on glycolytic and hormonal state.
INTRODUCTION

A ketone ester drink has recently been developed that can raise ketone body concentration in the body, when consumed as part of a normal diet, to those normally only seen following several days of fasting (Clarke et al., 2012). The metabolic milieu following ketone ester consumption represents a novel nutritional state given that ketosis is achieved in the absence of calorie deficit or carbohydrate restriction, and their ensuing complications (Kwiterovich, Jr et al., 2003). As a result, increasing ketone body availability during eucaloric exercise has the potential to profoundly alter exercise metabolism (Cox, 2013; Cox et al., 2016) and initial laboratory performance trials indicate that nutritional ketosis may confer ergogenic benefit to sustained endurance performance of 30-minutes duration or more (Cox, 2013) and to mixed intensity gameplay simulation of 90-minutes duration (unpublished data).

Early work in this research programme has indicated that there may be a lower threshold of ketone ester dosing required for efficacy and a need for exercise to be of sufficiently high intensity to elicit a significant degree of metabolic stress (Chapter 4). Whilst the exercise protocol adopted in chapter 4 was intermittent in nature, it likely failed to fully represent the workload excursions more typical of outdoor endurance sports (Sharma et al., 2015) where large fluctuations in workload are interspersed with sustained sprint style efforts. The degree of ecological validity conferred by such workload selection is a critical factor for translation of laboratory data into the field (Atkinson & Nevill, 2001).
Work conducted with the ketone ester to date has largely involved participants performing in isolation in concurrence with the majority of research exploring novel nutritional interventions. However, such approaches confer a motivational climate that is far removed from the demands of real world sports performance. Viewed from the perspective of achievement goal theory, elite athletes are motivated by both internal mastery and external performance (Pensgaard & Roberts, 2000). Further, achievement goal orientation has been shown to influence the assessment of aerobic capacity in a manner dependent upon the orientation of the motivational climate in the laboratory (Buch et al., 2016), suggesting a critical role not just for motivation but for climactic attendance to motivation in performance assessment. This view is further supported by evidence for a positive impact of head to head competition upon cycling time trial performance when compared to performing in isolation, even when the competition was virtual rather than real (Corbett, Barwood, Ouzounoglou, Thelwell, & Dicks, 2012b).

This study aims to determine whether ketone ester feeding can improve the ability to sustain repeated sprinting under fatigue, a key performance requirement for a number of outdoor endurance sports. Ketone ester will be fed at the upper end of the recommended dosing range (10mg/kg body mass/min of exercise) to address previous concerns regarding a minimal threshold dose for efficacy. In order to go some way to addressing the issue of performance motivation in laboratory trials, the current study will place participants in a group dynamic where they will perform alongside their peers whilst also being incentivised with external rewards for both individual and group performance.
METHODS

Participants

Fourteen healthy men participated in this study (age 31.9 ± 6.8 yrs; height 179.0 ± 7.6 cm; body mass (BM) 77.5 ± 9.3 kg). All participants completed a self-report training questionnaire and were categorised as ‘recreational’ or ‘competitive’ cyclists or triathletes. The study received approval from the University of Bath Research Ethics Approval Committee for Health and each participant was provided with a written and verbal briefing regarding the nature of the testing, and provided written, informed consent prior to participation.

Experimental Design

This study followed a double-blinded, randomised cross-over design. Participants attended the laboratory on six occasions each separated by 7 days. In order to normalize for circadian variation in hormonal state (Hayes, Bickerstaff, & Baker, 2010) all testing took place at the same time of the evening each week. Diet and exercise were recorded for the 24 hours before the first trial and participants were asked to repeat this routine before all subsequent trials. All exercise was conducted on air-braked, stationary cycle ergometers (Wattbike Pro, UK) under controlled laboratory conditions at 20°C and 50% humidity. Wattbike manufacturer reported accuracy is better than ± 1.0% at the power outputs reported here and reliability trials indicate a coefficient of variation of 2.4% for mean power on a single 30-second sprint (see General Methods). Participants were free to use their own cycling pedals.
and shoes with the stipulation that the same equipment be used across all trials. Cycling geometry (vertical and horizontal positioning of both handle bars and saddle) was recorded for each participant on the first visit and replicated on all subsequent trials.

**Experimental Protocol**

*Preliminary Trial*

On the first preliminary trial day all participants performed a 20-minute maximal cycling time trial followed, after a period of recovery, by 10 sprint efforts of 30-seconds duration, with the aim of determining participant work capacity whilst also achieving familiarisation with the test procedure for subsequent trials. For the 20-minute TT workload was self-paced and participants were given real-time numerical feedback on their power output, cycling cadence and time elapsed. Participants were encouraged to complete as much work as possible across the 20-minutes. For the subsequent sprints participants were encouraged to work close to maximum effort in order to become familiar with the pacing of the sprints and the mechanics of the bicycle ergometer.

*Familiarisation Trials*

On two subsequent familiarisation days participants performed 20-minutes of steady-state cycling at 80% of their work capacity from the preliminary trial, designed
to elicit some pre-fatigue (Thomas, Elmeua, Howatson, & Goodall, 2016), followed by 10 sprint efforts of 30-seconds duration with 90-second rest periods between. Sprint workload was self-paced and participants were given real-time numerical feedback on their power output, cycling cadence and time elapsed. Participants were encouraged to complete as much work as possible across the ten sprints.

**Main Trials**

For the three main trials participants followed the same trial procedure as the familiarisation days whilst also being randomly assigned drinks containing either 6.1mg/min/kg-body-mass carbohydrate + 10mg/min/kg-body-mass ketone ester (KET), 6.1mg/min/kg-body-mass + further carbohydrate at the calorific equivalent of the ketone ester arm (CHO) or an equivalent volume of water (H2O). Carbohydrate was provided in accordance with current sports nutrition guidelines in the form of glucose and fructose in the ratio 2:1 (Currell & Jeukendrup, 2008). Drinks were blinded by colour and flavour matching and were also matched for volume by the addition of water. All experimental drinks were consumed in a single bolus 45-minutes before the onset of exercise and further water was provided ad-libitum during all trials.

**Group Dynamics**

On each visit participants exercised in groups of 6-8, making two groups who visited the laboratory on separate days. Ergometers were arranged in a circle so that
participants could see each other as they performed. In addition, both individual and group incentives were provided in the form of financial credits for sports nutrition products. Participants received rewards based on their average power output over the ten sprints relative to their best score from the familiarisation trials. Rewards were provided each week for the best individual and group relative sprint score and an enhanced reward was provided at the end of the study for the best individual and group score over all three trials. Participants were therefore incentivised to deliver maximal performances on each trial visit for the benefit of both themselves and their immediate peers in order to create a motivational climate for performance.

**Blood Sampling and Analysis**

On arrival of each participant at the laboratory, a trained phlebotomist inserted a venous cannula into an antecubital vein without stasis. Blood samples (~5ml) were drawn and inserted into EDTA collection tubes (EDTA 5ml, Sarstedt, Germany) at baseline, prior to the onset of exercise, immediately after the 20-minute bout of cycling and following the 4th and 10th sprint efforts. Blood was then centrifuged and the plasma fraction retained (thereby rendering the sample acellular). Plasma samples were immediately transferred to polypropylene Eppendorf tubes and frozen at -80°C for later analysis of glucose, lactate, glycerol, free fatty acids, ketone bodies and steroid hormones. Plasma metabolites (lactate, glucose, non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA), glycerol and betahydroxybutyrate (BHB)) were analysed by spectrophotometry using commercially available analysis kits (RX Daytona, Randox, Crumlin, UK) and steroid hormones (total testosterone (TT), dihydrotestosterone
(DHT) and cortisol (C)) were analysed in duplicate using commercial ELISA kits (TT+C: IBL, Hamburg, Germany; DHT: MyBioSource, USA).

Subjective Ratings

During all trials participants were asked to provide regular subjective ratings of their perceived exertion levels, affective state and gastrointestinal comfort (see General Methods), whilst perceptions of drink pleasantness were recorded immediately following ingestion of each trial drink.

Statistical Analysis

A paired two-tailed t test was used to identify differences between treatments, and a two-way (treatment x time) general liner model for repeated measures was employed for all serial measures, with the Greenhouse-Geisser correction applied where assumptions of sphericity were violated. Main effects were isolated by pairwise multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. Blood metabolites and hormonal responses were then examined for correlation to each other and to sprint performance data by Pearson product moment correlation. All statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics (Release 20.0.0, IBM, USA) and all data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set at the level of P < 0.05.
RESULTS

Participant fitness and training history

Participant fitness was demonstrated by a group average work capacity on the 20-minute time trial of 271.0 ± 48.4W and a body weight corrected work capacity of 3.5 ± 0.7W/kg. All participants were self-rated as either a recreational athlete or a top-level/competitive athlete with seven participants holding a British Cycling racing license. Training history data indicated that the group on average had been in regular exercise training for 11.9 ± 7.1 years, had been in regular cycling training for 4.4 ± 2.5 years and currently completed an average weekly cycle training volume of 9.3 ± 6.0 hours.

Sprint Performance

During familiarisation trials the best average sprint power for the group was 516.6 ± 73.8W with no significant difference between the first and second familiarisation visits. Average sprint power during the CHO (518.1 ± 72.9W) and H2O (515.8 ± 70.2W) trials was not significantly different from the best familiarisation trial, however, during the KET trial sprint power (507.3 ± 73.3W) was significantly lower than both the best familiarisation trial (P<0.05) and the CHO trial (Fig 6.1: P<0.01).
Figure 6.1. Difference between trials (KET-CHO) in average sprint power versus average sprint power in the CHO trial (n=14 male cyclists). Mean difference = -10.8W, P<0.01.

**Metabolic and Hormonal Responses**

Blood plasma metabolite and hormonal responses are shown in Table 6.1. On all trial limbs there were significant increases in plasma lactate (H2O: P<0.001; KET: P<0.001; CHO: P<0.001), glycerol (H2O: P<0.001; KET: P<0.001; CHO: P<0.001) and testosterone (H2O: P<0.01; KET: P<0.01; CHO: P<0.01) following completion of ten sprints. In addition, during the water and carbohydrate trials plasma glucose (H2O: P<0.01; CHO: P<0.01) and DHT (H2O: P<0.01; CHO: P<0.05) were increased post sprints and during the ketone ester (KET) trial plasma NEFA was decreased (P<0.01) and both BHB (P<0.001) and cortisol (P<0.01) were increased post sprints.
Water (H2O) | Ketone Ester (KET) | Carbohydrate (CHO)
---|---|---
Lactate (mmol/l) | 1.3 ± 0.4 | 1.3 ± 0.6 | 1.2 ± 0.4 | 17.0 ± 2.1
Glucose (mmol/l) | 5.0 ± 0.7 | 4.8 ± 0.7 | 4.9 ± 0.5 | 6.1 ± 1.4
NEFA (mmol/l) | 0.17 ± 0.10 | 0.13 ± 0.09 | 0.15 ± 0.12 | 0.11 ± 0.08
Glycerol (umol/l) | 32.1 ± 15.9 | 27.9 ± 10.3 | 31.9 ± 11.0 | 152.7 ± 53.3
BHB (mmol/l) | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0 | 0.1 ± 0.0
Testosterone (ng/ml) | 2.79 ± 0.91 | 2.69 ± 0.92 | 2.59 ± 0.86 | 3.46 ± 0.93
DHT (pg/ml) | 991.5 ± 444.8 | 1005.6 ± 496.6 | 1096.1 ± 520.0 | 1175.6 ± 574.8
Cortisol (ng/ml) | 57.7 ± 14.5 | 63.9 ± 20.1 | 60.8 ± 17.1 | 74.2 ± 37.9
Testosterone/Cortisol | 0.050 ± 0.018 | 0.047 ± 0.026 | 0.046 ± 0.018 | 0.060 ± 0.044

Table 6.1. Blood plasma metabolite and hormonal responses at baseline and after all ten sprints for water (H2O), ketone ester (KET) and carbohydrate (CHO) trials (n=14 male cyclists). Post sprints v baseline: * = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01, *** = P<0.001; H2O v CHO: † = P<0.05, †† = P<0.01, ††† = P<0.001; KET v CHO: ‡ = P=0.07, ‡‡ = P<0.05, ‡‡‡ = P<0.001.

Post sprints plasma NEFA was higher after the water trial than the carbohydrate trial with no further differences between those trials. Compared to the water trial, in the ketone ester trial post sprints plasma lactate (P<0.05), glucose (P<0.001), NEFA (P<0.01) and testosterone/cortisol ratio (P<0.05) were all decreased and both BHB (P<0.001) and cortisol (P<0.01) were increased. Compared to the carbohydrate trial, in the ketone ester trial post sprints plasma lactate (P<0.001), glucose (P<0.001), NEFA (P<0.001), dihydrotestosterone (P=0.07) and testosterone/cortisol ratio (P=0.07) were all decreased and both BHB (P<0.001) and cortisol (P<0.05) were increased.

**Subjective Ratings**

Subjective ratings of perceived exertion (RPE) and affect are summarised in Table 6.2. Perceived affect declined significantly from baseline in all trials with a trend for a greater fall in the ketone ester trial that did not reach significance. RPE rose
significantly in all trials from ‘fairly hard’ levels after steady state cycling to near maximal values after ten sprints (H2O: P<0.001; KET: P<0.001; CHO: P<0.001). There was a small but significant difference between the water and carbohydrate trials for RPE after sprint ten (P<0.05) but no further differences in Affect or RPE between trials.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Water (H2O)</th>
<th>Ketone Ester (KET)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (CHO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.7 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PreSS</td>
<td>2.8 ± 1.4</td>
<td>2.3 ± 1.6</td>
<td>2.6 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affect PostSS</td>
<td>1.3 ± 1.6”</td>
<td>0.9 ± 1.5”</td>
<td>1.4 ± 1.7”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostSp4</td>
<td>0.1 ± 2.0***</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 1.6***</td>
<td>-0.4 ± 1.7***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostSp10</td>
<td>-2.3 ± 1.8***</td>
<td>-2.9 ± 1.5***</td>
<td>-2.4 ± 1.8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostSS</td>
<td>13.1 ± 2.3</td>
<td>12.9 ± 1.9</td>
<td>12.7 ± 2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPE PostSp4</td>
<td>15.6 ± 1.7***</td>
<td>16.6 ± 1.6***</td>
<td>16.2 ± 1.6***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PostSp10</td>
<td>18.5 ± 1.5***</td>
<td>18.9 ± 1.0***</td>
<td>19.1 ± 1.1***</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.2. Subjective ratings of Affect and RPE at baseline, before steady state cycling (PreSS), after steady state cycling (PostSS), after four sprints (PostSp4) and after ten sprints (PostSp10) for water (H2O), ketone ester (KET) and carbohydrate (CHO) trials (n=14 male cyclists). Affect timepoint v baseline/RPE timepoint v PostSS: * = P<0.05, ** = P<0.01, *** = P<0.001; H2O v CHO: ! = P<0.05.

The sum of self-reported gastrointestinal symptoms across the exercise period was significantly elevated from baseline in all trials as shown in Table 6.3. However, the GI symptom sum during exercise was significantly higher in the ketone ester trial than the water (P<0.05) and carbohydrate (P<0.05) trials. In particular, upper GI symptom sum during exercise was significantly higher in the ketone ester trial than the water (P<0.01) and carbohydrate (P<0.05) trials.
Water was well tolerated by all participants but both the ketone ester and the carbohydrate drink were rated as ‘strongly unpleasant’ to ‘very strongly unpleasant’ with no significant difference between the two. When asked upon exit interview to identify which was the ketone ester drink, 60% of participants guessed correctly.

**Sprint Performance Correlates**

The difference in average sprint power between the carbohydrate and ketone ester trials correlated significantly with the change in T/C ratio from baseline to post sprint ten (Fig 6.2B: \( r = 0.538; P = 0.047 \)) and trended towards correlation, without reaching significance, with cortisol levels after sprint ten (\( r = -0.513; P = 0.061 \)), the T/C ratio after sprint ten (Fig 6.2A: \( r = 0.472; P = 0.088 \)) and the change in testosterone levels from baseline to post sprint ten (\( r = 0.468; P = 0.092 \)). The T/C ratio after sprint ten correlated significantly with self-reported affect after sprint ten (Fig 6.2C: \( r = 0.743; P = 0.002 \)) and with GI symptom sum after sprint ten (Fig 6.2D: \( r = -0.836; P = 0.0002 \)).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GI Sum</th>
<th>Water (H2O)</th>
<th>Ketone Ester (KET)</th>
<th>Carbohydrate (CHO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline</td>
<td>0.3 ± 0.8</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.2 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>3.0 ± 3.5***</td>
<td>8.3 ± 8.5***</td>
<td>3.6 ± 4.8***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper GI Sum</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>0.5 ± 1.3</td>
<td>4.9 ± 5.2***</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lower GI Sum</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>0.1 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.1 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systemic GI Sum</td>
<td>Exercise</td>
<td>2.4 ± 3.5</td>
<td>2.3 ± 5.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.3. Summation of gastrointestinal symptom reports (GI Sum) split by upper (Upper GI Sum), lower (Lower GI Sum) and systemic (Systemic GI Sum) symptoms at baseline and across the exercise period for water (H2O), ketone ester (KET) and carbohydrate (CHO) trials (\( n=14 \) male cyclists). Exercise v baseline: * = \( P<0.05 \), ** = \( P<0.01 \); H2O v KET: † = \( P<0.05 \), †† = \( P<0.01 \); KET v CHO: ‡ = \( P<0.05 \).
Figure 6.2. Correlation plots (n=14 male cyclists): A. difference in average sprint power between CHO and KET trials v difference in T/C ratio after sprint ten (P = 0.088); B. difference in average sprint power between CHO and KET trials v difference in T/C ratio change from baseline to sprint ten (P = 0.047); C. difference in T/C ratio after sprint ten between CHO and KET trials v difference in affect after sprint ten (P = 0.02); D. difference in T/C ratio after sprint ten between CHO and KET trials v difference in GI symptom sum after sprint ten (P = 0.002).

DISCUSSION

The consumption of a ketone ester drink prior to exercise performance in this study resulted in a reduction in repeat sprint cycling performance. Whilst the genesis of this performance impairment remains complex, this finding should sound a general
note of caution regarding the utility of nutritional ketosis for sport performances requiring sustained sprint effort with limited recovery between sprints. This result sits in contrast to recent findings regarding the potential ergogenic utility of nutritional ketosis for longer duration exercise performance (Cox et al., 2016) and points to a potential threshold duration and/or intensity of effort, that has yet to be fully determined, through which ketosis may reverse its effects on performance. Unlike many other nutritional interventions for sports performance, where evidence for benefit may be equivocal but there is minimal evidence for harm (Jeukendrup, 2004), the findings presented here of a significantly detrimental performance effect suggest that particular care should be taken when considering ketogenic interventions in the sports arena.

Compared to the consumption of either water or a carbohydrate drink, consuming a ketone ester drink prior to exercise induced a moderate and sustained ketosis that persisted throughout the exercise period. In support of previous work with the same ketone ester (Cox et al., 2016) this ketotic milieu resulted in the suppression of exercise induced elevations in circulating lactate, glucose and free fatty acids (NEFA). Such changes would indicate that the presence of significant levels of circulating ketone bodies during exercise altered either or both of the mobilisation and oxidative fate of both glycolytic and lipolytic substrate. Whilst fuel selection appears a likely candidate for underpinning the ergogenic potential of nutritional ketosis during longer duration exercise (Cox & Clarke, 2014, Cox et al., 2016), in the present study the suppression of peak exercise plasma lactate levels with ketosis may be of significant import in explaining the decrement seen in power output during repeated
sprint effort. Sustained sprint activity has long been known to be reliant upon peak rates of glycolysis (Jacobs, Tesch, Karlsson, & Dotan, 1983), suggesting that anything that might inhibit glycolytic flux may have the potential to impair sprint performance. The degree of suppression in peak lactate levels seen here in the presence of ketosis did not correlate significantly with differences in average sprint power between trials. However, the hypothesis that nutritional ketosis may act as an inhibitor of peak glycolytic flux during sprint exercise is an attractive one that is deserving of further investigation.

Nutritional ketosis also impacted adversely on HPA axis signalling in the current study with an elevation in cortisol levels and a dampening of both dihydrotestosterone and the testosterone/cortisol ratio at peak exercise compared to carbohydrate feeding. Compared between trials, the change in T/C ratio with exercise correlated significantly with the change in sprint performance indicating that the shifting dynamics of HPA axis activity during exercise were predictive of performance outcome. The HPA axis is a ubiquitous signalling system with an array of modulating inputs and wide ranging output effects during exercise (Crewther et al., 2011), which likely include a degree of modulatory influence over maximal sprinting in athletes and an emerging role for dihydrotestosterone in particular as a potentiator of sprint performance (A. a Smith et al., 2013). Of note, ketosis induced differences in the T/C ratio at peak exercise were also correlated with differences in peak exercise affect and gastro-intestinal symptom reporting, indicating that HPA axis signalling was also significantly related to perceptions of well-being and GI tolerance of the ketone ester drink. Ketone ester feeding resulted in significantly greater GI symptoms, with
increases in upper GI symptoms in particular, and a non-significant trend towards worsening affect during exercise that may have contributed to performance impairment, perhaps in part through the influence of HPA axis signalling (Cook & Crewther, 2012a).

The participants in this study were all regular cyclists with a prolonged history of moderate level cycle training and varying levels of engagement in competitive cycling. However, it is clear from their training history and performance capacity that these were not highly trained, elite athletes. It is tempting to speculate that the detrimental effects of nutritional ketosis on sprint performance seen here may be heightened in a more highly trained population, given the influence of sprint training on rates of peak glycolytic flux (Jacobs, Esbjörnsson, Sylvén, Holm, & Jansson, 1987). However, this would require further experimentation as it may be argued equally by contrast that such effects could be offset by the enhanced metabolic flexibility found with high intensity training (Perry, Heigenhauser, Bonen, & Spriet, 2008).

Significant effort was made in the present study to create a motivational climate that encouraged maximal performance from all participants during all trial efforts. The incentive scheme and group exercise dynamic were designed to increase motivation in contrast to the vast majority of nutritional ergogenic studies that ask participants to perform in isolation with little stimulation or external incentive (Buch et al., 2016). It seems likely therefore that the detrimental effects of nutritional ketosis seen here may have been minimised in comparison to single-participant study methods but should have greater ecological validity to the likely motivational climate found in
competitive sport (Atkinson & Nevill, 2001), where athletes are known to be more tolerant of issues such as GI distress for the benefit of their performance (Pfeiffer et al., 2012).

**Conclusion**

Nutritional ketosis impaired repeat sprint cycling performance following pre-fatiguing exercise in a competitive group dynamic. Performance impairment with ketosis may have resulted from either or a combination of impaired peak exercise glycolytic flux and heightened gastro-intestinal discomfort, with the HPA axis appearing to play an important role in modulating the effects of nutritional ketosis on sprint performance. The utility of ketone ester feeding for sports performances with a significant requirement for repeated sprinting with short recovery is ill advised and the wider use of ketone ester feeding in mixed demand sports should be treated with caution.
Chapter 7: The Utility of Ketone Ester Consumption in Sports Training and Competition: A Cross-Sport Case Study Series

ABSTRACT

Evidence is growing for the ergogenic benefit of nutritional ketosis (Cox 2013; Cox et al., 2016) but little is known about the translation of this research into the sporting arena. This study aimed to describe the utility of ketone ester consumption in a series of sporting case studies and to examine the performance impact of nutritional ketosis in depth for a single sport. Ninety-one athletes across eight sports consumed a ketone ester drink (KET) at rest, during training and in competition, following individually tailored dosing regimens. Levels of ketosis following consumption were at the lower end of the previously published range (blood BHB: 1.8 ± 0.5mmol/l) with 40% of participants reporting mild and 41% reporting moderate gastro-intestinal (GI) symptoms. GI symptoms were more prevalent during training and competition (P<0.01) than at rest and 31% of participants withdrew from trialling due to the perceived side effects of the drink. In one team sport, a randomised cross-over trial comparing KET to a calorie matched carbohydrate drink (CHO) during tournament play demonstrated a reduction in low speed run distance (P<0.05) and an elevated GI symptom profile (P<0.05) with KET compared to CHO, alongside a trend towards an inverse relationship between GI symptom prevalence and high speed run distance independent of treatment (P=0.09). In contrast, subsequent self-selected ketone ester usage in high-level tournament play demonstrated an increase in high speed run distance (P<0.05) for those athletes who chose to maintain ketone ester usage versus no change for those who did not compared to a prior comparable tournament.
In conclusion, nutritional ketosis is achievable during sports training and competition but carries a GI symptom profile that is too problematic to warrant adherence for a significant number of athletes. For those athletes who chose to employ ketone ester consumption the performance impact remains equivocal.
INTRODUCTION

Recently, an edible source of ketosis, in the form of a ketone ester, has been developed in an attempt to provide an alternative to ketogenic diets for Parkinson’s disease and epilepsy (Kashiwaya et al., 2010). It is now possible to raise circulating ketone body concentration, with no acid load, in a dose dependent fashion by providing ketone esters in a drink, which can be consumed as part of a normal diet. This may offer similar benefits to the ketogenic diet, without the need for carbohydrate restriction. Since the ketone ester drink provides an alternative source of energy with potential benefits to metabolism, it is of great interest to investigate its role as an energy drink during exercise.

Early laboratory trials with ketone ester consumption indicate that nutritional ketosis can significantly alter substrate selection and exercise metabolism and may confer ergogenic benefit to highly trained athletes during sustained high intensity exercise of both steady and mixed demand (Cox, 2012; Cox et al., 2016). However, ketone ester dosing and drink tolerance (Chapter 4) are likely to be major mitigating factors in the search for ergogenic gain and there may be modes of exercise that can be adversely affected by ketone ester consumption (Chapter 6) warranting a degree of caution in the translation of laboratory findings into the field.

The practice of inferring the real world value of interventions aimed at improving sports performance, such as nutritional supplementation, by examining their impact on laboratory performance measures or physiological surrogates, has attracted
strong critique (Atkinson & Nevill, 2001). Whilst surrogate performance measures observed in highly controlled settings improve internal validity and increase the likelihood of a clear outcome, their translation to the externally valid world of elite sports performance is often questionable, particularly where a less highly trained population has been studied in an area where training status is likely to be a factor in the measured response. The difficulties inherent in gaining meaningful access to elite athletes has led some researchers to suggest that a more valid approach to establishing the efficacy of a sports performance intervention is to maximise the external validity of the research setting by examining athletes in their normal training or competition environment, whilst concurrently monitoring the many factors known to influence performance in this setting (T. B. Smith & Hopkins, 2011).

Recently, global positioning systems (GPS) have been used to objectively quantify movements in a range of team sports and have been shown to provide accurate and reliable measures of speed and distance covered (~5% coefficient of variation) during team sports performance (Coutts & Duffield, 2010; Jennings, Cormack, Coutts, Boyd, & Aughey, 2010; MacLeod, Morris, Nevill, & Sunderland, 2009; Portas, Harley, Barnes, & Rush, 2010). Furthermore, this technology is considered to be sufficiently valid and reliable to detect altered running across a match, between matches, between levels of competition, and between types of matches (Aughey, 2011).

GPS data from matches has been used previously to assess the efficacy of supplementation or dietary intervention (Minett, Duffield, & Bird, 2010). However, it is important to account for the match-to-match variability in activity profiles when
using GPS data from matches. Averaging match activity variables over multiple matches decreases the sample size required to detect a given effect (Aughey, 2011).

The present study aims to conduct a series of elite athlete case studies, over a wide competition window, to describe the impact of repeated dietary supplementation with a ketone ester on sports performances both in training and in competition. This study will take a two pronged approach utilising both a case study series and a more in depth single sport case study. Within the case study series (A) all participants will be tracked and characterised through a process of familiarisation with and utilisation of the ketone ester and asked to complete subjective ratings both of tolerance to the intervention and of perceived well-being whilst using the intervention during training and competition performances. The single sport case study (B) will employ a mixed methods approach with a combination of randomised, cross-over trialling of ketone ester use in competition and a descriptive comparison of the utility of ketone ester consumption in a normal competition setting, whilst making use of GPS tracking to characterise the impact on performance.

**METHODS A – Descriptive Case Study Series**

**Participants**

Ninety-one athletes from eight different sports participated in this study (n = 48 men: age 25.7 ± 3.9 yr, height 179.9 ± 7.1 cm, body mass (BM) 75.5 ± 8.5 kg; n = 43 women: age 25.1 ± 5.7 yr, height 169.1 ± 6.8 cm, body mass (BM) 59.7 ± 7.5 kg). All participants were active competitors at international level in their sport and the sports represented covered individual endurance sports (case studies A, D, E & G),
individual mixed demand sports (case studies B & H), team mixed demand sports (case study C) and team gameplay sports (case study F). The study received approval from the UK Sport Research Advisory Group and each participant was provided with a written and verbal briefing regarding the nature of the testing, and provided written, informed consent prior to participation.

Experimental Design

This study involved a series of longitudinal, descriptive case studies across a number of both individual and team sports identified as having potential to benefit from nutritional ketosis. For each sporting case study, athletes were supported, in collaboration with appropriately trained personnel in each sport, through a process of experimenting with ketone ester consumption at rest, during training and in competition. Advice regarding ketone ester consumption was based upon emerging evidence for the factors influencing the tolerance and efficacy of nutritional ketosis (Cox, 2013; Chapters 3-6). For each descriptive case study blood ketone responses to treatment were characterised alongside subjective ratings of drink palatability, gastro-intestinal tolerance and affect, affording multiple opportunities to describe the effects of the ketone ester drink.

Experimental Protocol

Drink Formulation

All ketone ester drinks were formulated, produced and secured in tamper proof bottles by an independent food specialist (IFN, Reading, UK) in accordance with
research guidance to provide a 1:1 caloric matching of ketone ester and carbohydrate from multiple sources (Chapter 2). Drinks were produced in shot form (75ml bottles containing a 32% solution of ketone ester and carbohydrate) and upon production were batch-tested for contaminants to ensure compliance with the World Anti-Doping Agency (WADA) code (Vouillamoz et al., 2009) and tracked through delivery to each case study sport where they remained in refrigerated storage until the bottle seal was broken by the athlete at the point of usage.

Preparatory Work

For each case study, preliminary work (2-4 sessions) was conducted at rest and/or in training to familiarise participants to the ketone ester drink, assess individual tolerability and refine the dosing regimen to ensure the delivery of a sustained moderate ketosis during sporting performance. The development of optimal individual dosing always began with the ingestion of ketone ester at 8 mg/kg body mass/min of exercise, the dose shown to be most effective in previous trials for the induction of a sustained moderate ketosis. Dosing regimens were then refined individually on the basis of measured blood ketone concentration with a target range of 1.5-3.0mmol/l. Participants made up a dose from part or whole multiples of the 75ml shots and were encouraged to drink water ad libitum alongside dosing.

During preliminary testing and some further training and competition trials, where agreed with participants, capillary blood samples were collected prior to dosing, pre-exercise, during exercise and post-exercise for the analysis of blood ketone
concentration using portable handheld test meters (Optimum Xceed, Abbott Diabetes Care, Berkshire, UK).

Training and Competition Intervention

For each case study, depending on perceptions of tolerance and efficacy during the preparatory work, participants were then offered repeated interventions with the ketone ester during training and competition performances. Participants were required to record subjective ratings of drink palatability and gastro-intestinal tolerance during all trials and encouraged where possible to record blood ketone concentration across the intervention period. Each case study sport was also encouraged to capture performance analysis data relating to interventional performances alongside any relevant co-factors for that sport (e.g. home advantage; technicality of course/opposition; concurrent nutritional and/or technical interventions) and where possible to complement this with historical performance data for comparison. However, with the exception of the trial data in section B of this study, performance data was not collated for reporting here.

Data Analysis

Data from each sporting case study was characterised using descriptive statistics alongside overall responses across the case study series for comparison. Where appropriate, group differences were compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). All statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics (Release
and all data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set at the level of P < 0.05.

RESULTS A – Descriptive Case Study Series

Usage of the ketone ester drink is summarised across all case studies in Table 7.1. Participants averaged around 4 interventions with the majority (58%) taking place in training and a significant number taking place in competition (36%). Blood ketone responses to ketone ester consumption in training and competition were on average at the lower end of the target range (average Blood BHB: 1.8 ± 0.5mmol/l) and drink pleasantness was consistently rated as moderately (45) or strongly (35) unpleasant (average pleasantness rating: 41 ± 8).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Participants</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Usages</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>257</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>374</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave Usage/Participant</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage at rest</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage in training</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>218</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Usage in competition</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Blood Ketone (mmol/l)</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>1.9 ± 1.0</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.5 ± 1.2</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.7</td>
<td>1.8 ± 1.0</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drink pleasantness</td>
<td>47 ± 3</td>
<td>48 ± 9</td>
<td>44 ± 8</td>
<td>37 ± 10</td>
<td>37 ± 10</td>
<td>33 ± 11</td>
<td>45 ± 8</td>
<td>34 ± 4</td>
<td>41 ± 8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.1. Treatment interventions at rest, in training and in competition characterised by participant and case study sport (A-H; n=91 athletes) alongside average blood ketone concentration and ratings of drink pleasantness (data are mean ± SD).

The presence of gastro-intestinal (GI) symptoms (Table 7.2; Figure 7.1) was high across all trials with 40% of participants describing mild GI symptoms and 41% describing moderate GI symptoms. Whilst considerable variation in symptom
occurrence existed between case study sports, for participants who conducted resting trials there was a significant increase in mild and moderate GI symptoms during training and competition compared to trials at rest (P<0.01).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>E</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>G</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Overall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All Sessions</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% no GI symptoms</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% mild GI symptoms</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% moderate GI symptoms</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>47%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting Trials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% no GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79%</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% mild GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% moderate GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Training Trials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% no GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% mild GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>33%</td>
<td>18%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% moderate GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>39%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competition Trials</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% no GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>44%</td>
<td>46%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% mild GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>41%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% moderate GI symptoms</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>14%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 7.2. Gastro-intestinal symptoms by case study sport at rest, during training and in competition (n=91 athletes; data are percentage of trial occurrences).

Figure 7.1. Gastro-intestinal symptoms across the case study series at rest, during training and in competition (n=91 athletes; data are percentage of trial occurrences).
Adherence

Over the course of the trial period 28 athletes withdrew from trialling due to perceived side effects of the drink, 24 athletes withdrew from trialling due to a perceived lack of efficacy and 17 athletes withdrew from trialling due to lack of availability.

METHODS B – Single Sport Case Study

Experimental Design

Within one team sport case study a sub-cohort of participants (n = 15) engaged in a double-blinded, randomized, cross-over trial during tournament play followed by further unblinded competition trialling. During the cross-over trial each participant performed a minimum of three matches with at least one match following ketone ester consumption and at least one match following consumption of a control drink containing calorie matched carbohydrate. Drinks were consumed prior to warm-up and topped up again at half-time according to dosing regimens developed during preliminary work with this cohort (Methods A). Following the cross-over trial participants were free to choose whether to continue experimenting with the ketone ester drink unblinded in subsequent competitions.

Experimental Protocol

All case study participants followed the guidelines in Methods A to become familiarised with the experimental drinks and develop optimal dosing regimens. Participants were instructed to record their food and fluid intake for 24 hours before the first match and to replicate this as closely as possible in the 24 hours before
subsequent matches. Participants were instructed to arrive for matches in a rested and fully hydrated state and had *ad libitum* access to water during all trials. Matches within tournament play were separated by 24 to 72 h.

*Movement Tracking*

Participants were fitted with a GPS device (SPI Pro X, GPSoports, Fyshwick, Australia) prior to each match and on-field activities were recorded by a single GPS unit for the duration of the game. GPS units were positioned between the scapular planes at T2-T6 of the spinal column and secured in place with a harness. Data from each GPS unit was immediately downloaded to a laptop computer and analysed using commercially available software (GPSoorts team AMS). Player movement variables were captured using GPS data and analysed for distances travelled during game time at different speeds, based on previously established speed zones in use by the case study sport.

*Experimental Drinks*

Participants were randomly assigned drinks, match by match in a cross-over design, containing either a mixture of ketone ester and carbohydrate (in a 1:1 ratio) or a calorie matched carbohydrate only drink. Based upon ketone ester ingestion rates of 8mg/kg body mass/min of exercise, comparison carbohydrate feeding rates in the control drink fell into the range 0.8-1.2g/min of game time, depending on body mass. Drinks were delivered as a two-thirds bolus before the match and a one-third top-up bolus at half-time. Drinks were colour and flavour matched, as well as being matched for volume, with the support of an independent food technology specialist (IFN,
Reading, UK) and all drink formulation adhered to the processes described in Methods A.

*Experimental Measures*

Participants rated the palatability of the both trial drinks based on a drink pleasantness scale (General Methods: Appendix 4) directly after consumption and were asked to rate the presence of any gastro-intestinal symptoms (General Methods: Appendix 5) post-consumption, pre-game and post-game.

Capillary blood samples were collected, where possible, prior to dosing, pre-exercise, during exercise and post-exercise for the analysis of blood ketone concentration using portable handheld test meters (Optimum Xceed, Abbott Diabetes Care, Berkshire, UK). Due to the demands of the competition environment blood sampling was requested rather than enforced.

*Tournament Comparison*

Following the cross-over trial some participants chose to continue experimenting with the ketone ester drink in two subsequent tournaments and a prior tournament was included for comparison in the analysis. Tournaments 1 & 4 were conducted against a similar higher calibre of opposition and were therefore used to compare performance analysis data for participants who took part in both tournaments and completed Tournament 4 either with \( n = 8 \) or without \( n = 7 \) the ketone ester.
Data Analysis

A paired two-tailed t test was used to identify differences between treatments, and a two-way (treatment x time) general liner model for repeated measures was employed for all serial measures, with the Greenhouse-Geisser correction applied where assumptions of sphericity were violated. Main effects were isolated by pairwise multiple comparisons using the Bonferroni method. All statistical analysis was conducted using IBM SPSS Statistics (Release 20.0.0, IBM, USA) and all data are presented as mean ± SD. Statistical significance was set at the level of P < 0.05.

RESULTS B – Single Sport Case Study

The blood ketone response to drink ingestion (Figure 7.2) demonstrated a consistent elevation of ketone body concentration across the exercise period following ketone ester ingestion compared to baseline (P<0.001) and compared to the ingestion of carbohydrate (P<0.001).

Figure 7.2. Blood ketone concentration during training and competition following ingestion of ketone ester (KET) or carbohydrate (CHO) drinks (n=15 athletes). Time-point versus baseline within treatment (* = P<0.001); ketone ester versus carbohydrate within time-point († = P<0.001).
Gastro-intestinal symptom prevalence was high during training and competition following both ketone ester and carbohydrate consumption (Figure 7.3). There were no significant differences between treatments for mild symptom prevalence. However, prevalence of moderate symptoms was significantly higher following ketone ester consumption during both training (P < 0.05) and competition (P < 0.05) compared to carbohydrate consumption.

![Graph showing prevalence of GI symptoms](image)

Figure 7.3. Gastro-intestinal symptom prevalence during training and competition following ingestion of ketone ester (KET) or carbohydrate (CHO) drinks (n=15 athletes). Moderate GI symptom treatment comparisons versus carbohydrate: * P < 0.05.

**Competition Performance Analysis**

There were no significant differences between tournaments for high speed distance run (Figure 7.4) although there were trends for lower high speed distances in Tournaments 2 & 3 compared to Tournaments 1 & 4 that did not reach significance.
Figure 7.4. Average high speed distance run across four periods of tournament play with and without ketone ester (KET) or carbohydrate (CHO) ingestion (n=15 athletes; data are mean ± SD).

Randomised, controlled Trial

For the cross-over trial during Tournament 2 there were no significant differences in low speed run, high speed run or total distance covered between treatments when all participants were compared (Table 7.3). However, when only participants who had a treatment cross-over against the same opposition were compared there was a significantly lower low speed distance run (P < 0.05) and a trend toward lower total distance which didn’t reach significance (P = 0.09) following consumption of ketone ester compared to carbohydrate.

Table 7.3. Low speed run, high speed run and total distance covered following consumption of ketone ester or carbohydrate in all matches (n=15 athletes) and only in matches with paired treatment comparison against the same opposition (n=7 athletes). Ketone ester versus carbohydrate: * P = 0.09, * P < 0.05.
Independent of treatment condition there was a trend for high speed distance run to be lower when GI symptoms were moderate but this did not reach statistical significance (mild GI symptoms: $1114.3 \pm 283.6$ m v moderate GI symptoms: $993.3 \pm 218.6$ m; $P = 0.09$).

Figure 7.5. High speed run distances across two periods of tournament play for participants with ($n=8$ athletes; dark bars and diamonds) and without ($n=7$ athletes; light bars and diamonds) ketone ester (KET) consumption. A: Average high speed distance run at each tournament; Tournament 1 versus Tournament 4 within groups - $^* P < 0.05$. B: Average high speed distance run at Tournament 1 versus difference in distance run between Tournaments; with ketone ester versus without ketone ester - $^* P = 0.09$. 
**Tournament Comparison**

There was a significant increase in high speed distance run from Tournament 1 to Tournament 4 for those participants who consumed ketone ester during Tournament 4 (Figure 7.5A; \( P < 0.05 \)) but no change for those participants who did not consume ketone ester. As a result, the difference between Tournament 4 and Tournament 1 for high speed distance run tended to be higher for ketone ester users versus non-users although this did not reach statistical significance (Figure 7.5B; \( P = 0.09 \)).

**DISCUSSION**

The consumption of ketone ester drinks during real world sports training and competition results in a moderate nutritional ketosis that can be sustained in so far as drink palatability and gastric distress can be tolerated. Ketone ester drinks come with a significant side effect profile that is exaggerated by vigorous exercise and it is likely therefore that this novel nutritional intervention will not meet with widespread adoption in its current form.

Nutritional strategies for sport have long been known to offer somewhat of a poisoned chalice where perhaps fortune favours the brave. It is well established that well trained athletes can learn to tolerate the gastric discomfort associated with optimal carbohydrate ingestion for endurance exercise and in so doing confer the benefits of enhanced substrate supply for performance (Pfeiffer et al., 2012, 2009). However, it would appear here that the GI symptom profile associated with ketone ester consumption was somewhat worse than that for a calorie matched carbohydrate drink (Figure 7.3), suggesting a heightened risk of ketone ester usage.
Indeed, GI symptom prevalence was loosely correlated with performance decrement in a previous laboratory trial of ketone ester usage for repeat sprint performance (Chapter 6) suggesting that at least for some athletes in some contexts it may be foolhardy to bravely plough on through and tolerate worsening GI symptoms.

The optimal dosing of ketone esters for sports performance remains to be established in the majority of situations. Given the symptom profile described here alongside relatively low levels of ketosis relative to recent laboratory guidelines (Cox et al., 2016), and previous reports of increasing GI symptoms at higher doses of ketone ester even at rest (Clarke et al., 2012), it would appear that the promise of nutritional ketosis may be tempered, with the ketone ester in its current form, by a narrow window where efficacy meets tolerability. That three-quarters of participants in the current study withdrew themselves from further opportunities to experiment with the ketone ester suggest that this window may not be seen as viable for the majority of athletes.

The team sport case study reported here highlights both the promise and the perils of adoption of nutritional ketosis as a strategy for improvement of sports performance. Once the case study had progressed to the point where ketone ester adopters had continued by choice, which involved those with greater perceived tolerance issues self-selecting out, there was evidence of performance benefit with an increase in sustained high intensity running under the most demanding of competition settings (Figure 7.5). However, earlier in the study when participants were subject to the rigours of a blinded, randomised trial, ketone ester usage
appeared to reduce lower intensity run distances (Table 7.3), perhaps signalling a demotivating influence of the symptom profile.

Run distances in gameplay team sports do not confer ubiquitous advantage in all competitive contexts (Aughey, 2011). It is possible that, against a lower standard of opponent in Tournament 2 or simply a different kind of tactical challenge, participants simply employed adaptive strategies to win the game in ways other than utilising physical advantage by running faster and further than their opponents. Whether such advantages can be garnered when the opponent is of a higher capability is both unknown in the current context and a major confounding factor in general for attempts to assess the efficacy of discreet interventions during team sports performance. That significant differences were seen in run performance from players on the same team adopting alternate nutritional strategies provides both novel insight and further encouragement in pursuing an ecological approach (Phillips et al., 2010) to the assessment of interventional strategies for sports performance.

**Conclusion**

Consumption of ketone ester drinks during real world sports training and performance resulted in moderate nutritional ketosis. Drinking ketone esters was generally considered unpleasant and resulted in a significant degree of gastric discomfort for the majority of athletes. The performance impact of nutritional ketosis was unclear with only limited evidence for benefit in high speed distance run during team sport where athletes had self-selected their participation based on prior experience with the consumption of the ketone ester. Whether nutritional ketosis can confer ergogenic benefit to athletes in a real-world sporting context remains
equivocal and appears to depend at least in part upon an individual ability to tolerate the drink.
Chapter 8: General Discussion

Stress Physiology and Functional Dynamic Systems

Since the march of early modern humans out of the African Savannah over 50,000 years ago (Stringer Andrews, P., 1988), our genetic heritage has been inextricably linked with the competing demands of exploration and survival. The growing speculation, increasingly supported now by emerging archaeological and genetic evidence (Ingman, Kaessmann, Pääbo, & Gyllensten, 2000; White et al., 2003), that all modern humans can trace their lineage back to Africa, is testament to the enduring spirit of adventure which sits deep within us. It is this adventurous impulse which has driven us to scale improbable peaks, has fed our insatiable thirst for knowledge about the world around us and has led us to live longer, be stronger, travel further and communicate more widely than ever before. That such aspirational behaviour carries with it an inherent risk to our survival is at the very heart of the human condition.

“But Mousie, thou art no thy lane,
In proving foresight may be vain:
The best-laid schemes o’ mice an’ men
Gang aft agley,
An’ lea’e us nought but grief an’ pain
For promis’d joy!

Still thou art blest, compared wi’ me!
The present only toucheth thee:

But och! I backward cast my e’e,

On prospects drear!

An’ forward, tho’ I canna see,

I guess an’ fear!”

To a Mouse

On turning her up in her nest with the plough

(Robert Burns, 1785)

Whilst Burns may be forgiven for failing to foresee the discovery over two centuries later of the cognitive capacity of the murine neocortex to situationally dispose the mouse to exploit the memory of historical experience in the regulation of goal oriented behaviour (Dvorkin, Benjamini, & Golani, 2008), the view that existential awareness may be a uniquely human condition pervades despite significant attempts to identify a level of cognition in non-human primates suggestive of a systemic disposition to perceive beliefs and desires as master regulators of behavioural schema (Call & Tomasello, 2008). Nonetheless the core biological response to situational stress, be it born of plough or pity, remains a mainstay of mammalian physiology to which man is subject no less than mouse. Indeed, the cognitive capacity of humans to ponder existential anxieties, whilst being bound by evolutionary stress responses, may represent a particular point of pressure for human physiology with the potential to contribute in no small way to the maladies of modern life (Robert M.
Sapolsky, 2004). By way of example there is growing evidence that psychosocial stress can moderate the drive to eat and the selection of more palatable, energy dense food choices (Groesz et al., 2012). Such adaptations may have served the needs of our evolutionary forebears well when resources were scarce but have clear implications for the current global trends in weight gain and obesity seen in the presence of the food abundance more typical of modern post-agricultural life.

The concept of stress as a fundamental mediator of function was first introduced by Hans Selye in his “Studies on Adaptation” (Selye, 1937). Selye’s initial postulation was that each individual has a finite stock of ‘adaptation energy’ that can be drawn upon in response to the imposition of a stressor and further that the response to a wide variety of stressors is typified by a number of generic features that can be characterised by a ‘general adaptation reaction’, which proceeds through a temporal sequence of events described as the ‘stage of alarm’, the ‘stage of resilience’ and the ‘stage of exhaustion’. Selye himself furthered this view in the decade that followed by demonstrating that this stock of ‘adaptation energy’, whilst limiting in a dose dependent fashion to the short-term capacity for stress resilience, is in itself adaptable to the chronic effects of repeated stress (Selye, 1946).

A precise definition of stress has proved elusive in the intervening years but much work has been done to elaborate the notion that adaptive resilience can be traded up or down as a result of the manner in which the body is exposed to and deals with increases or decreases in stressful stimuli across the lifespan (McEwen, 2013). That stress can be harmful is well established but increasingly attention is being paid to
the potential for optimal amounts of stress to provoke desirable adaptations such that the relationship between stress and function may be best modelled by an inverted U dose response (Robert M. Sapolsky, 1997). For example, chronic psychosocial stress, in women caring for spouses with dementia, has been shown to predispose these women to oxidative damage during exposure to an acute stressor, whereas the same stressor applied to women with a low background of psychosocial stress results in an increased rather than decreased resilience to oxidative stress (Aschbacher et al., 2013). Such adaptive dynamics are at the heart of every response that the body mounts to a stressor. The response being determined not only by the degree of stimulus and the capacity of the system to act, but also by the concurrent needs of the organism as a whole and therefore willingness to act, the environmental factors at play and the memory of previous stressors, requiring the development of a wider view of adaptive behaviour as part of a dynamic functional system (Sudakov, 1997).

In his early experiments Selye recognised the critical importance of the endocrine system as a dynamic modulator of the functional response to stress exposure (Selye, 1937). The identification of extensive cross-talk between neural, immune and endocrine structures in the years that followed has further solidified the role of endocrine signals as functional regulators of resource deployment that are dynamically responsive to both mental and physical state and temporally responsive to historical experience. This is perhaps best exemplified by the cascade of events during illness that links elevations in inflammatory cytokines, through neuronal activation of the hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal (HPA) axis, to a series of alterations
in systemic function and motivational state that combine to form sickness behaviours (Dantzer, O’Connor, Freund, Johnson, & Kelley, 2008). The glucocorticoids (GC) in particular appear to be of critical importance in regulating both the metabolic and the behavioural response to acute and chronic stress.

Robert Sapolsky has gone far to elaborate, through his glucocorticoid cascade theory (R. M. Sapolsky, Krey, & McEwen, 1986), the many roles of the glucocorticoid signalling system in mobilising systemic resources and regulating behaviour in order to manage risk in the face of stressful stimuli. This extensive review established that acute upregulation of GC signalling is an essential component of the functional response to stress, with failures of the stress response occurring as a result of either an inability to activate glucocorticoid secretion in response to a stressor or an inability to terminate glucocorticoid secretion once the stress has subsided. In particular, prolonged chronic exposure to stress can result in a down-regulation of GC receptor sensitivity, requiring ever-higher increases in GC secretion to achieve the same level of functional response. Whilst acutely adaptive, ultimately this game of trading up can lead to a failure to maintain this upregulated function as energetic resources become compromised by the chronic heightening of demand (Angelier & Wingfield, 2012). Intriguingly, Sapolsky has demonstrated that enrichment of the fuel supply to the brain during such periods of heightened stress can enhance function and sustain stress resilience (R M Sapolsky, 1986). Further, it appears that this cerebral energetic compromise may be compounded by a GC cascade driven inhibition of uptake and utilisation of glucose since ketone ester feeding was more effective than glucose feeding in protecting against neuronal damage. The
behavioural corollary of this energetic cascade lies most pointedly in the sickness
behaviours described above but it seems likely that more subtle perturbations in
energetic load and stress resilience are at the heart of the challenge of coping with
the day-to-day stresses of everyday life (Greenberg, Carr, & Summers, 2002).

A further complication of the adaptive dynamics of the stress response lies in the fact
that the regulatory systems which have evolved to deal with stress are limited in their
range, necessitating a specialisation of function at the local level from a common
signal. Greenberg (Greenberg et al., 2002) has elaborated the view that situational
stressors impinge not only on the processes directly challenged by the disturbance
but also on a wide array of cofactors which are related to the same stress sensing
and response pathways. Within the stress-adaptation cycle we therefore have a
significant degree of feed-forward and feed-back cross talk creating a web of
adaptation.

The myriad complexities of adaptive dynamics at the whole body level and the
emergence of new technologies that allow an ever more powerful microscope to be
fixed on the regulatory processes taking place within the cell have led a great many
researchers to reduce their focus to the controllable dynamics of specific signalling
systems at the cellular level with diminishing reference to the integration of micro
and macro level system responses. In response to this trend a movement was started,
some 20 years ago, which set out to defend the future of physiology against this
march of reductionary thought. The prevailing view reported by the Commission on
Bioengineering in Physiology at Glasgow’s 32nd congress of the International Union
of Physiological Sciences (IUPS) was one of concern for the future of systems physiology. In ‘The Logic of Life’, a collection of essays that accompanied the congress programme, the tone was one of battle readiness (Noble & Boyd, 1993). That the debate rages on proves their foresight may not have been in vain (Greenhaff & Hargreaves, 2011). The establishment and growth of the physiome project, born of the Glasgow battle cry, is an encouraging early sign of the emergence of a maturing view of an internal environment that achieves “integration from proteins to organs” (Hunter, Smith, Fernandez, & Tawhai, 2005). In expanding upon this view of intelligent, integrated functional systems, future physiological research would do well to consider that the brain appears, through the collation of historical experiences, situational stressors and relative risk, to manage the dynamics of functional adaptation in much the same way as it manages the delivery of a single bout of exercise, through an anticipatory model that weighs both risk and opportunity to manage behaviour (Noakes, 2008).

Exercise as a model of stress physiology offers a great many advantages since each acute stressor can be accurately characterised by the regulation of external work and the well-trained athlete provides a highly controllable experimental framework for examining the adaptive characteristics of stress exposure. When examining adaptation to exercise training and sports performance from the perspective of dynamic functional systems (Phillips et al., 2010) it therefore becomes necessary to give due consideration to such factors as the training history of experimental participants, the concurrent training stresses imposed during the experimental condition and the likelihood of a behavioural conflict between the desired outcome
and the resources requiring to be mobilised in order to achieve it. That such information is seldom reported in the literature on exercise training and sports performance is perhaps indicative of the extent to which the debate has become wedded to reductionary thought.

It is becoming increasingly popular to examine the acute cellular environment following a single exercise stimulus, with an ever more sophisticated microscope being applied to the transient perturbations evident in Bernard’s ‘milieu intérieur’ (Holmes, 1986). At this juncture, Bernard himself has sent forward some prophetic words: “It is of the greatest importance to consider the influence of the nervous system on the chemical phenomena of the organs, for it is by this influence that the living being is in contact with everything, and everything can then act upon it. There is the true terrain of the influence of mind over matter.”

*Nutritional Ketosis and Sports Performance*

The work reported here aimed to take a holistic view of the utility of a novel nutritional intervention for sports performance from the perspective of the behavioural biology at play. This work was conducted in conjunction with a parallel research programme that focussed on the energetic mechanisms underpinning the potential ergogenic benefit of nutritional ketosis (Cox, 2012; Cox et al., 2016). As a result, this thesis focusses largely upon the ecological validity of this ongoing research in relation to its translation onto the sports field. There are myriad complexities in the functional response to nutritional ketosis both at the level of physiological effect and in relation to functional impact. What appears superficially to be a simple issue
of substrate supply and energetics is not even half of the picture given the psychodynamics at play when an athlete chooses to adopt a nutritional interventional in the cauldron of the sporting arena.

The findings presented here sound a largely cautionary note and go some way to describing the bounds of efficacy for utility of the ketone ester drink. That nutritional ketosis can significantly alter exercising substrate selection and energetics and in so doing may confer a small but significant positive impact on endurance exercise performance in well trained athletes (Cox et al., 2016) is of interest for sports performance. The focus of the work reported here was to begin exploring the limits of this nutritional state and the practicalities of ketotic sports performance in order that athletes may make better informed choices regarding the adoption of this novel nutritional intervention.

In the field of sports nutrition it is all too common for limited laboratory findings to be translated well beyond their limits and used to promote commercial and competitive interests without full consideration of the ecological validity of the research findings (Atkinson & Nevill, 2001; Lundberg et al., 2011). With public interest in nutritional ketosis accelerating more rapidly than the available evidence, the basis for employing ketone ester consumption for sports performance has been questioned (Pinckaers et al., 2016). In consideration of these concerns and in order to address the ecological dynamics at play, the findings presented here highlight the practical factors influencing ketone ester dosing (Chapters 3 & 7), the importance of optimal dosing within tolerable constraints (Chapters 4, 6 & 7), the modes and
contexts of exercise helped by nutritional ketosis and perhaps more importantly those with potential to be harmed (Chapters 4, 6 & 7) and the psycho-physiological dynamics modulating the behavioural response to ketotic exercise performance (Chapters 5 & 6).

Whilst fraught with practical challenges the field based case studies utilised here (Chapter 7) were a critical aspect of elucidating the real world impact of ketone ester consumption. That athletes were able to adopt practical dosing strategies (Chapter 3) leading to sustained ketosis during competitive sports performance (Chapter 7: Figure 7.2) and that, for some, this may have resulted in performance benefit (Chapter 7: Figure 7.5A) is of great significance. However, this must be tempered with equivocal evidence for wider performance impact (Chapter 7: Table 7.3) alongside the realisation that gastro-intestinal symptoms were highly prevalent in the competitive arena (Chapter 7: Figure 7.3) and for a large number of athletes (31%) were too much to bear. It is possible that athletes can build up a tolerance to GI symptoms in order to sustain the benefits of nutritional intervention (Pfeiffer et al., 2012) but it seems likely that the symptom profile of ketone ester consumption will remain a deterrent to usage for some.

Given the high individual variation in symptom reporting, it would be of significant interest to explore the factors underpinning symptom prevalence. It is not currently known whether symptom prevalence may be altered by alternate vehicles for nutritional ketosis. Whilst it appears likely that ketone salts (Plecko et al., 2002) and other ketogenic agents, such as medium chain triglycerides (Jeukendrup, Thielen,
Wagenmakers, Brouns, & Saris, 1998), will fail to deliver an adequate ketotic stimulus in tolerable doses, it remains possible that the development of alternate ketone esters may dampen the symptom profile.

Work presented here indicates that there may be a lower threshold of benefit for nutritional ketosis given the absence of impact of low dose ketoneester consumption (6mg/min/kg-body-mass) on either markers of glycolytic metabolism (Chapter 4: Figure 4.3) or cycling time trial performance, in contrast to work presented elsewhere under similar conditions but at a higher ketone ester dose (Cox et al., 2016). Given the GI symptom profile associated with higher levels of dosing (Chapter 6) and the aggravated symptom profile seen for ketone ester usage in the field (Chapter 7: Figure 7.3) it would appear that there may be a narrow window of efficacy regarding optimal ketone ester dosing for sports performance. GI symptoms were significantly elevated following the higher dose of ketone ester (10mg/min/kg-body-mass) employed in the repeat sprint cycling trial reported here (Chapter 6: Table 6.3). The extent to which the symptom profile was responsible for the decrement in sprint performance with ketosis is unclear, but this level of symptom prevalence points to a heightened risk of employing higher levels of dosing during high intensity exercise.

Perhaps the most important finding reported here was the negative impact of ketone ester consumption on repeat sprint cycling performance following a pre-fatiguing bout of exercise (Chapter 6: Figure 6.1). This clearly defines not only the bounds of efficacy of nutritional ketosis but highlights the fact that in the absence of ergogenic benefit ketone ester consumption may be far from inert in its effects. Whilst the
prevalence of GI symptoms may have played a role in this response, it appears likely that the very metabolic effects that may confer benefit during more sustained endurance exercise (Cox et al., 2016) may cause harm during more intensive levels of demand. In particular, the intramuscular suppression of glycolysis and enhancement of lipolysis seen by Cox et al. following ketone ester consumption was hypothesised to offer a favourable energetic state supporting the observed improvement in 30-minute cycling time trial performance following 60 minutes of pre-fatiguing exercise. By contrast the suppression of peak plasma lactate in the data reported here (Chapter 6: Table 6.1) during repeat sprint exercise may have negated the ability of participants to optimise the energetic backdrop for sprint performance. Performance impairment occurred in a task requiring the maintenance of intermittent sprinting which accumulated five minutes of high intensity effort within a twenty-minute period following twenty minutes of moderate intensity pre-fatiguing work. This brings into question the benefit of nutritional ketosis for shorter endurance events that involve regular excursions into sustained high intensity effort (Sharma et al., 2015).

Another key finding reported here was the relationship between the dynamics of HPA axis activity and the performance outcome of the repeat sprint trial (Chapter 6: Figure 6.2). Emerging awareness of the role of androgenic and glucocorticoid signalling in the regulation of competitive behaviour represents a new frontier in behavioural biology (McEwen, 2013) that could go some way to bridging the gap between holistic and mechanistic approaches to understanding the dynamics of the stress response. In updating Bernard’s earlier conception of ‘mind over matter’ it
would appear that a more appropriate frame of reference for exploring the dynamics of human behaviour would be to take a psycho-neuro-endocrinological perspective on emergent behaviour framed by an awareness of the ecological dynamics at play in influencing both the short and long term sequence of events (Phillips et al., 2010). That a modest correlation was found here between performance outcome and testosterone/cortisol ratio signalling is not in and of itself of particular note. However, that this relationship emerged against the backdrop of a competitive motivational climate (Corbett et al., 2012b) which encouraged both performance and mastery goals (Pensgaard & Roberts, 2000) goes some small way towards highlighting the inherently complex dynamics influencing the behaviour of study participants invited to give of their best in the hope that their efforts will confer some wider meaning beyond their own circumstances.

Future Recommendations for Research on Novel Nutritional Interventions

In the rush to seek benefit, both competitive and commercial, from ergogenic novelty there is often an unholy collusion between provider and recipient of new research findings, with the ensuing promise of a future bestowed that most often betrays the deeper subtleties at play. Whilst it will never be possible to research all possible eventualities of the application of scientific research, in the field of sports performance ergogenics it would appear that much could be done to bridge the gap between research and practice.

There is a great deal that could be done within the laboratory setting to more clearly identify and attend to the array of factors that influence both trial performance and
its translation into the field. Moving beyond a cursory distinction between trained and untrained participants, and towards a more nuanced understanding of participant characteristics would be welcome. Efforts in this direction should consider more fully the training and performance history of experimental participants as well as their motivational orientation in relation to the task at hand. Perhaps most importantly, laboratory performance trials would do well to seek creative ways of enhancing the motivational climate for performance trialling in ways that more effectively reflect the motivation climate of sports performance.

The advantages of field based case study approaches are significant, particularly where more direct access to the target population can be sought. Greater efforts to conduct experimental work on NNIs in the field would likely hasten the journey to impact and highlight issues of translation that could improve both the quality and communication of work across domains. Whilst there are aspects of due diligence in preparing a case for NNI adoption based on sound laboratory science, it is likely that future innovations will move towards a rapid prototyping approach that shortens the distance between research and practice. Such initiatives will require the development of novel experimental approaches in order to be effective.

The future of nutritional ketosis for sports performance is clearly a promising one. This novel nutritional state imparts profound effects on exercising metabolism and profoundly challenges our historical views on substrate selection and energetics. However, care should be taken not to rush to broad brush conclusions regarding the benefits of performing in a ketotic state. Much remains to be elucidated in
elaborating the situations within which ketosis may confer favourable advantage to function. As things stand there is room to be cautiously optimistic whilst perhaps avoiding the promise of ‘best laid plans’. 
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APPENDICES

Appendix 1

Training History Questionnaire

This questionnaire is designed to gather information on your training history to give us more information on the volume and intensity of your training throughout your lifetime. All data collected will be treated confidentially.

________________________

Name  ...  

These next questions refer to all of your sporting activities. If you were previously more highly trained, please fill out questions for both your current and former athletic status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>How would you describe your athletic status?</th>
<th>CURRENT</th>
<th>FORMER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>☐ Non Sporting</td>
<td>☐ Non Sporting</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Recreational athlete</td>
<td>☐ Recreational athlete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>☐ Top Level/Competitive athlete</td>
<td>☐ Top Level/Competitive athlete</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| How long have you been exercising on a regular basis? | ☐ Since Childhood | ☐ Do not exercise regularly |
|------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| ☐ Years                                              |                   |

If you were previously more highly trained, how long ago was this? And for how long did you train at this level?

How many times do you/did you exercise per week?  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Are you/were you an active member of a sports team/club?</th>
<th>☐ Yes</th>
<th>☐ Yes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>☐ No</td>
<td>☐ No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

...
Which sports currently form/previously formed a regular part of your training? (tick all that apply)

☐ Ball/Team Games
☐ Resistance training
☐ Running
☐ Cycling
☐ Martial Arts
☐ Fitness classes (please provide details)
☐ Swimming
☐ Triathlon
☐ Gymnastics/Dance
☐ Walking
☐ Other (please provide details)

If you regularly take part/took part in resistance training, which forms of training do/did you do?

☐ Strength training
☐ Power training
☐ Circuits

How many times per week do you/did you take part in resistance training?

... ...

These questions relate to your main sport/activity

What is your main sport? ...

On average over the last year:

During a typical year when you were most highly trained
How often do you/did you train per week? ...

How long does/did one training session last? (minutes) (minutes)

What is your typical training load in hours or km’s per week? (km/week) (km/week) (hrs/week) (hrs/week)

How often do you/did you push yourself to your physical limits during training?
☐ Never ☐ Never
☐ Rarely ☐ Rarely
☐ Occasionally ☐ Occasionally
☐ Once a week ☐ Once a week
☐ Several times/week ☐ Several times/week

These questions just relate to cycling. Ignore if you are not a regular cyclist.

CURRENT FORMER

What kind of cycling do you currently/did you previously take part in most regularly?
☐ Mountain biking ☐ Mountain biking
☐ Road cycling ☐ Road cycling
☐ Cycle Touring ☐ Cycle Touring
☐ Cyclo-Cross ☐ Cyclo-Cross
☐ Triathlon/Duathlon ☐ Triathlon/Duathlon
☐ Time Trials ☐ Time Trials
☐ Other (please provide details) ☐ Other (please provide details)

When did you start training regularly for cycling? (Year)

Are you/were you actively participating in races?
☐ Yes ☐ Yes
☐ No ☐ No
If so, what kind of races do you/did you primarily take part in? (tick all that apply)

☐ XC Mountain Biking
☐ Downhill MTBiking
☐ Road Racing
☐ Cyclo-Cross
☐ Triathlon/Duathlon (Sprint/Olympic Distance)
☐ Half Ironman/Ironman
☐ Time Trialling
☐ Other (please provide details)

Do you currently/did you previously hold a British Cycling Racing License?

☐ Yes Category 1/Elite
☐ Yes Category 2
☐ Yes Category 3
☐ Yes Category 4
☐ Yes Other (please provide details)

☐ No

If you have raced in the last 5 years what is your best competitive result?
Appendix 2

Rating of Perceived Exertion

6
7 Very Very Light
8
9 Very Light
10
11 Fairly Light
12
13 Fairly Hard
14
15 Hard
16
17 Very Hard
18
19 Very Very Hard
20 Maximum

Please rate your level of exertion at this particular moment
Appendix 3

Feeling Scale  Please rate how you feel at this particular moment

5  Very Good
4
3  Good
2
1  Fairly Good
0  Neutral
-1  Fairly Bad
-2
-3  Bad
-4
-5  Very Bad

While participating in exercise, it is quite common to experience changes in mood. Some individuals find exercise pleasurable, whereas others find it to be unpleasurable. Additionally, feelings may fluctuate across time. That is, one might feel good and bad a number of times during exercise. This scale measures such responses.
Appendix 4

Drink Pleasantness Rating Scale

*Please rate how pleasant you find the drink at this particular moment*

- 100: Strongest pleasant sensation imaginable
- 90:
- 80: Very strongly pleasant
- 70: Strongly pleasant
- 60: Moderately pleasant
- 50: Neutral
- 40: Moderately unpleasant
- 30:
- 20: Very strongly unpleasant
- 10:
- 0: Strongest unpleasant sensation imaginable
Appendix 5

Gastrointestinal Symptoms Questionnaire

*Please select the number on the scale (for each item) that represents the severity of your gastrointestinal symptoms at this particular moment in time*

### Upper abdominal problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Heartburn</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bloating</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Nausea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vomiting</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Lower abdominal problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal cramps</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flatulence</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diarrhea</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Systemic problems

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dizziness</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Headache</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Muscle cramp</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>None</th>
<th>Mild</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Severe</th>
<th>Unbearable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Urge to urinate</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 6

Exit Questionnaire

1. On which of the two trials do you think you were given the experimental drink?
   
   A. Trial 1
   
   B. Trial 2

2. Did you think your time trial performance was different between the two trials?
   
   A. Trial 1 was a lot better
   
   B. Trial 1 was a little better
   
   C. Both trials were about the same
   
   D. Trial 2 was a little better
   
   E. Trial 2 was a lot better