



Citation for published version:

van der Kemp, J, Dorresteyn, M, Ten Brink, AF, Nijboer, TCW & Visser-Meily, JMA 2017, 'Pharmacological Treatment of Visuospatial Neglect', *Journal of Stroke and Cerebrovascular Diseases*, vol. 26, no. 4, pp. 686-700. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.02.012>

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.02.012](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jstrokecerebrovasdis.2017.02.012)

Publication date:

2017

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights

CC BY-NC-ND

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Running title: Pharmacological Treatment of Neglect**

2 **Pharmacological Treatment of Visuospatial Neglect: A Systematic Review**

3

4 *Jet van der Kemp^{1*#} BSc, Marit Dorresteijn^{2*} BSc, Antonia F. Ten Brink³ MSc, Tanja C. W.*
5 *Nijboer^{2,3} PhD, Johanna M. A. Visser-Meily³ MD*

6

7 *¹ Department of Rehabilitation Medicine Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands*

8 *² Department of Experimental Psychology, Helmholtz Institute, Utrecht University, Utrecht,*
9 *Netherlands*

10 *³ Brain Centre Rudolf Magnus, Centre of Excellence for Rehabilitation Medicine, University*
11 *Medical Center Utrecht, and De Hoogstraat Rehabilitation, Utrecht, Netherlands*

12

13 ** These authors contributed equally to this work and are regarded both first-authors*

14 *# Medical student, participating in the Honours program of the Faculty of Medicine, UMC*
15 *Utrecht*

16

17 **Corresponding author:**

18 Tanja C.W. Nijboer, Brain Centre Rudolf Magnus, Centre of Excellence for Rehabilitation
19 Medicine, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Department of Rehabilitation Medicine
20 Heidelberglaan 1, 3584 CS Utrecht, 0031302533572, t.c.w.nijboer@uu.nl

21

22 **Keywords:** stroke, visuospatial neglect, pharmacological treatment, rehabilitation

23

24 **Acknowledgements**

25 Funded by a grant from NWO (Netherlands organization for Scientific Research; grant 451-
26 10-013) to TCWN and a grant by the ‘Revalidatiefonds’ (R2012134) to TCWN and JMAVM.
27 None of the funders had any role in study design, in the collection, analysis and interpretation
28 of data, in the writing of the report, nor in the decision to submit the article for publication.
29 With special regards to GH Visser, neurologist, Stichting Epilepsie Instellingen Nederland.

30

31 Abstract

32 **Objectives:** The aims of the current review are (1) to give an overview of human studies
33 investigating pharmacotherapy to ameliorate visuospatial neglect, and (2) to evaluate the
34 quality of those studies.

35 **Methods:** A systematic literature search using PubMed, Scopus, and ResearchGate was
36 conducted in regard to studies that evaluated pharmacological interventions aiming to
37 ameliorate post-stroke visuospatial neglect. The search was limited in the following features:
38 species (human), adults (≥ 18 years of age), language (English), and type of neglect
39 (visuospatial). Two independent authors extracted data on study content and effectiveness and
40 evaluated the quality of studies and methods.

41 **Results:** A total of 11 studies were identified. Three studies were considered to be of moderate
42 quality, the others of low quality. Seven studies represent dopaminergic, three cholinergic, and
43 one noradrenergic treatment. Three dopaminergic studies showed primarily positive effects of
44 dopaminergic stimulation on visuospatial neglect, whereas three others showed adverse effects.
45 All three cholinergic studies found positive effects in some outcome measures concerning
46 visuospatial neglect. The noradrenergic stimulation improved maintaining attention when
47 exploring space.

48 **Conclusions:** Currently, cholinergic therapy might be the best option for future research.
49 However, we must emphasize the explorative nature and limited quality of the reviewed
50 studies.

51

52 **Introduction**

53 Visuospatial neglect (VSN) is a common disorder post-stroke (1). Patients with VSN fail to
54 report, orient toward, or respond to visual stimuli in the contralesional hemispace (1). VSN can
55 result from left or right hemispheric lesions, but is most profound and persistent following right
56 hemispheric lesions (2). Nearly half of all stroke patients are affected by VSN in the (sub)acute
57 phase post-stroke (3). Estimations are that 40% (3) to 75% (3,4) of these patients develop
58 chronic symptoms up to one year post-stroke in at least a mild form. In addition to motor
59 impairments (5), stroke has many adverse behavioural consequences on the cognitive level,
60 hampering participation in a wide range of everyday activities (6,7).

61

62 Due to the high prevalence of post-stroke VSN and its negative consequences, effective
63 remediation techniques are needed. Promising remediation techniques have emerged over the
64 last decades, including prism adaptation (8–10), virtual reality training (6,11), visuospatial and
65 scanning training (12), galvanic vestibular stimulation (13,14), transcutaneous electrical nerve
66 stimulation (15), motivational manipulations (16), optokinetic stimulation (17), video-game
67 based remediation (10,18), and upcoming non-invasive brain stimulation techniques such as
68 transcranial magnetic stimulation (19,20) and transcranial direct current stimulation (21–23).
69 However, the effectiveness of almost all techniques has not been investigated thoroughly
70 enough to allow firm conclusions (6,24). Pharmacological techniques represent another
71 promising remediation approach. As pharmacotherapy affects the whole brain, it addresses the

72 factors causing VSN, instead of using compensational techniques to conceal deficits.
73 Therefore, pharmacotherapy will be the topic of the current review.

74

75 **Pharmacotherapy in VSN: a brief history**

76 Several animal studies on the effectiveness of pharmacotherapy have been published over the
77 last three decades (25–29), and have generally focussed on dopaminergic agonists and
78 progesterone. VSN symptoms have been assessed by regular (25,26) and Morris water mazes
79 (26,27), adhesive removal tests (27,28), and simple observations of turn direction to stimuli
80 (29) in a variety of induced-stroke models (25–29). In general, results showed positive effects
81 of progesterone (26–28) and apomorphine (29) on VSN, and of amphetamine on cognitive
82 functioning (25). Similarly, human studies on the topic emerged about three decades ago (30).
83 Yet, in human studies pharmacotherapy does not get as much attention as the other techniques
84 in treating VSN.

85

86 **How pharmacotherapy might work**

87 Neuronal functioning depends on network structures, the balance between excitation and
88 inhibition of neurons, and the resulting impulse transmission between connected neurons.
89 About 50 neurotransmitters have been identified, either excitatory or inhibitory (31). If a
90 neurological condition is caused by an imbalance in excitation and inhibition while neuronal
91 connections are functionally preserved, manipulating these electrochemical processes may
92 improve neuronal function. Neurological ‘hypofunction’ like VSN may improve by decreasing
93 inhibition and/or increasing excitation. In this manner, pharmacological agents can have
94 positive therapeutic effects.

95

96 As the core symptoms of VSN comprise attention deficits, it is evident to focus on those
97 neurotransmitters that exert their effects on attention networks. Three networks of attention can
98 be distinguished, namely the alerting, orienting, and executive network. VSN has been
99 associated with all of them (32–34). The alerting network is modulated by noradrenaline
100 (33,35,36). The inhibitory or excitatory effects are complex, but in general terms noradrenaline
101 activates the brain and body for action, which is reflected in functions like increased alertness,
102 focus and attention. The orienting network has been linked to acetylcholine (32,33).
103 Acetylcholine is the major neurotransmitter in the peripheral nervous system at the
104 neuromuscular junction, but also in the autonomic nervous system. In the brain it has a
105 modulating effect on information processing, including plasticity, arousal and sustained
106 attention. It usually has an excitatory effect. Acetylcholine agonists can directly act on
107 receptors and increase receptor activation. The executive network of attention is modulated by
108 dopamine (33,35), and believed to affect the spatial bias in VSN (37). Dopamine is a
109 neurotransmitter found in distinct dopamine pathways, with a modulating role in specific
110 functional networks (i.e. involving reward-motivated behavior). The inhibitory and excitatory
111 effects have effect on ion channels via a second messenger system and depend on the
112 postsynaptic type of dopamine receptor. So, patients with VSN could benefit from
113 pharmacological intervention through modulation of surviving neuronal networks by targeting
114 specific neurotransmitters (38).

115

116 Despite the appealing advocacy of pharmacotherapy as a means to ameliorate VSN symptoms,
117 it appears to be largely overlooked when it comes to human treatment phase I, II, or intervention
118 studies (i.e. evaluation of (side) effects and comparison with placebo or standard treatment).

119

120 **Objectives and distinctiveness**

121 The aims of the current review are (1) to give an overview of human studies investigating
122 pharmacotherapy to ameliorate VSN symptoms, and (2) to evaluate the quality of those studies.
123 These aims parallel those made in a Cochrane review (39) on the pharmacological treatment of
124 VSN, published shortly before we completed the current review. However, several differences
125 positively distinguish the current review from the Cochrane review. First, strict inclusion
126 criteria for a Cochrane review limited the number of reviewed studies: only (quasi-)RCTs were
127 included, resulting in a total of two studies. 'Lower-quality' studies however should also be
128 reported, as they may add important knowledge for future studies, especially given potential
129 shortcomings in their designs. Additionally, we applied more criteria for assessment compared
130 to the Cochrane review, such as the allocation of patients and blinding treatment officers, which
131 gives a more extensive overview of the current state of art of the field. In sum, the current
132 review provides a more comprehensive overview of available studies on pharmacological
133 treatment of VSN and may therefore give a broader overview of the current state of art of the
134 field.

135

136 **Methods**

137 **Search methods and article selection**

138 Initially, the systematic literature search was performed using Pubmed, Scopus, and
139 ResearchGate for studies published between January 2000 and July 2016 using the terms:
140 Neglect, Visual Neglect, Spatial Hemineglect, Hemispatial Neglect, Unilateral neglect,
141 Unilateral Spatial Neglect, Unilateral Hemispatial Neglect, Visuospatial Neglect, Visuospatial
142 Hemi-Neglect, Spatial Neglect, Visual Inattention, Spatial Inattention, Visual Hemispatial
143 Inattention, Hemispatial Inattention, Visual Hemineglect, Visual Hemi-Neglect, Sensory
144 Neglect, Personal Neglect, Behavioral Neglect, Behavioural Neglect, Motor Neglect, Hemi-
145 inattention, Peri-Personal Neglect, Peripersonal Neglect, Pharmacotherapy, Remediation,

146 Rehabilitation, Medication, Therapy, (Nor)Epinephrine, (Nor)Adrenaline, Dopamine, and
147 Choline. Note that ‘unilateral neglect’, ‘hemispatial neglect’, or any other inconsistent labels
148 are under the same overall VSN syndrome, which is why those terms were included in the
149 current search. The search was limited in the following features: species (human), adults (≥ 18
150 years of age), language (English), and type of neglect (visuospatial). Intervention studies
151 aiming at enhancing attention and/or decreasing VSN symptoms post-stroke were selected
152 when they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) study population described patients
153 experiencing visuospatial attention deficits resulting from stroke, and (2) the study reported
154 outcome measures aimed directly at the VSN syndrome, or aimed at general attention, which
155 included subtests aimed at the VSN syndrome. Due to limited search results (seven studies),
156 we additionally released our time span criterion to include studies published in any year, and
157 found four additional studies published prior to 2000. These studies were subsequently
158 included for review.

159

160 Two authors (J.v.d.K. and M.D.) independently conducted the search and screened the articles.
161 Duplicates were excluded. Full-text articles were collected or requested. In case of doubt
162 concerning inclusion, the other authors were consulted.

163

164 **Data extraction**

165 J.v.d.K. and M.D. independently performed the data extraction. Extracted data was compared
166 and discrepancies were discussed amongst J.v.d.K. and M.D. The following study
167 characteristics were extracted from the articles: study design, number of patients, outcome
168 measures, p-value, effect size (calculated when possible given the reported data in the original
169 papers), and timing of measurements. The following intervention characteristics were
170 extracted: aim of the intervention, type of intervention, duration (minutes to weeks), and

171 intensity (micro- to milligrams). The following patient characteristics were extracted:
172 diagnostic criteria, age, sex, time post-stroke, stroke type, and lesion site.

173

174 **Quality assessment**

175 J.v.d.K. and M.D. independently evaluated the characteristics and the quality of the selected
176 studies. A third author (A.F.T.B.) was consulted in case of dual doubt on scoring. The
177 methodological quality was based on the following criteria: (1) randomization of intervention
178 or different conditions, (2) blinded allocation of the intervention, (3) blinding of patients, (4)
179 blinding of treatment officer, (5) blinding of researchers, (6) comparability of groups at the
180 start of the study, (7) reporting of effect size, (8) reporting completeness of follow-up, (9) equal
181 treatment of groups, aside from intervention (40). We added three relevant elements to evaluate
182 methodological quality: (10) comparison of an experimental and control group that received
183 either an alternative form of treatment or no intervention, (11) group size (≥ 10 per group), and
184 (12) time post-stroke. This 12-point checklist yielded a total score between 0 and 12 for each
185 study, creating a natural 4-point demarcation for three groups. Studies were subsequently
186 divided into high (total score ≥ 9), moderate (5-8), and low (≤ 4) quality studies.

187

188

189 **Results**

190 Initially, 38 articles were identified, 11 of which met the inclusion criteria (see Figure 1 for a
191 flowchart of the article selection process). Of these studies, seven studies investigated
192 dopaminergic therapy, three studies considered cholinergic therapy, and one study targeted
193 (nor)adrenergic therapy. Findings of the methodological quality of the studies, based on the
194 elements mentioned above, are presented in Table 1. There was an initial 95% agreement
195 between J.v.d.K and M.D. regarding quality assessment, which was 100% after consultation.

196 None of the studies were qualified to be of high quality according to our criteria, three studies
197 were considered to be of moderate quality (41–43) and the other eight were considered low
198 quality studies (4,30,44–49). An overview of study and participant characteristics is listed in
199 Table 2. Only one study (42) included a true patient control group, while the remaining ten
200 studies (4,30,41,43–49) used an A-B(-A) design in which all individual patients served as their
201 own control. We considered four studies in the chronic phase (4,42,45,49), one study in the
202 sub-acute phase (47), and one study in the acute phase post-stroke (48). Time post-stroke was
203 variable in the remaining five studies, in which both patients in the sub-acute phase and patients
204 in the chronic phase were included (30,41,43,44,46). Only five studies (43,45–47,49) focussed
205 primarily on VSN by using exclusively VSN tests (e.g. bisection, cancellation, visual search
206 and detection tests) as outcome measures, while six studies (4,30,41,42,44,48) focussed more
207 generally on recovery or enhancing attention, and additionally included VSN tests in their
208 outcome measures. None of the 11 studies reported effect sizes. Six studies reported enough
209 data to calculate effect sizes ourselves (41–43,45–47), these are presented in Tables 3-5.

210

211 Discrepancies were also observed regarding patients characteristics, such as diagnostic criteria,
212 stroke type, and lesion site. Diagnostic criteria regarding VSN were highly variable amongst
213 all studies and many different assessments were used in each study. Eight (4,41–44,46,47,49)
214 out of 11 studies reported assessing VSN prior to the study. Five of these studies
215 (42,43,46,47,49) reported patients' scores on these assessments. Stroke type was unaccounted
216 for in three (4,44,45) out of 11 studies. Overall, ischemic stroke was reported more frequently
217 compared to haemorrhagic stroke. Concerning lesion site, all dopaminergic studies reported on
218 hemispheric lesion location, which were all right-sided (4,30,41,44,46–48). The cholinergic
219 studies all included right hemispheric patients too, with one patient in two studies showing
220 additional left-hemispheric lesions (42,43). Additional information on affected arteries was

221 provided in two studies (42,43). Three studies analysed patients' lesion sites more thoroughly
222 by means of CT and/or MRI data (41,43,49), and the effect of specific lesion location on
223 therapy effectiveness was evaluated in two additional studies (44,47). Moreover, discrepancies
224 were observed concerning the nature, duration, frequency, and dosage of the medicaments
225 used.

226

227 Overall, we must emphasize the explorative nature of the reviewed studies. Comprehensive
228 characteristics of the studies are presented per class of medicaments in Tables 3-5.

229

230 **Dopaminergic therapy**

231 Seven studies investigated dopaminergic therapy (4,30,41,44,46–48). One study was
232 considered to be of moderate quality (41). The remaining six studies were considered to be of
233 low quality (4,30,44,46–48) .

234

235 First, Gorgoraptis et al. (2012) used a double-blind, placebo-controlled A-B-A design to study
236 the effects of rotigotine on VSN, spatial working memory, selective and sustained attention
237 and motor control. Outcome measures included an extensive battery of pen-and-paper and
238 computerized tests (see Table 3 for a more detailed description). 16 patients received a 9.0 mg
239 rotigotine patch on a daily basis in the B-phase for 7 to 11 days. When compared to baseline
240 and placebo conditions, VSN performance improved on the Mesulam shape cancellation test.
241 All other tests (assessing VSN, spatial working memory, selective and sustained attention, and
242 motor control) failed to show improvements of function (41).

243

244 Second, Fleet et al. (1987) conducted a small-sample, open-label study. Two patients were
245 given 15 mg of bromocriptine orally for 3 to 4 weeks on a daily basis and were tested prior to,

246 during, and after treatment. Outcome measures included basic reaction tests (e.g. shoulder
247 tapping and arm raising on command) and pen-and-paper neglect tests (letter, line and shape
248 cancellation, and line bisection). One patient showed a positive result on all measures compared
249 to both baseline performance and performance after discontinuing treatment, the other patient
250 (this patient had a frozen shoulder and could not reliably perform two of the eight tests) showed
251 positive results on six tests compared to baseline performance, but only on four tests compared
252 to performance after treatment discontinuation (30).

253

254 Third, Grujic et al. (1998) investigated the effect of bromocriptine on VSN. Seven patients
255 received a single 2.5 mg dose of bromocriptine. The main outcome measure was a
256 computerized target search paradigm. Patients were tested prior to, and after receiving their
257 dose. Results indicated an increase of the rightward bias: bromocriptine caused six out of seven
258 subjects to spend more time exploring the ipsilesional space and therefore the relative VSN of
259 the contralesional left hemispace increased. Target detection accuracy and reaction time did
260 not change in either hemispace after administration of bromocriptine compared to baseline
261 (44).

262

263 Fourth, a single case report by Barrett et al. (1999) presented an absolute adverse effect of
264 dopaminergic stimulation. The patient received an oral dose of bromocriptine during 4 weeks,
265 which was gradually increased until a peak dose of 20 mg was reached after 2 weeks. Forth,
266 the dose was gradually decreased. Performance on a line bisection task worsened while taking
267 bromocriptine, and improved when bromocriptine was terminated (47).

268

269 Fifth, Geminiani et al. (1998) conducted a placebo-controlled, open-label trial in which four
270 patients received a single subcutaneous dose of 2 mg of apomorphine on the first day of the

271 study, followed by a placebo injection 24 hours later. Outcome measures included a pen-and-
272 paper circle cancellation, counting, and pointing test, which were administered prior to and
273 after apomorphine and placebo administration. Performance at a circle cancellation test was
274 positively modified by apomorphine: all patients crossed more targets after taking apomorphine
275 compared to baseline and placebo control. Post-apomorphine results of the counting and
276 pointing tests did not differ significantly when compared to performance at baseline and after
277 placebo control (46).

278

279 Sixth, Mukand et al. (2001) used a case series design and included four patients to evaluate the
280 efficacy of carbidopa L-dopa (Sinemet) on reducing left-sided VSN symptomatology. Patients
281 received half a tablet of 25/100 mg of Sinemet 3 times daily for 2 days, followed by one tablet
282 3 times daily for the rest of the week. Patients were tested with a shortened version of the
283 Behavioural Inattention Test (BIT) and Functional Independent Measure (FIM) test prior to,
284 and after this week. Three patients showed enhanced BIT scores, and all four patients showed
285 enhanced FIM scores after Sinemet intake. Results were presented as being significant, but p-
286 values were not mentioned (48).

287

288 Last, a double-blind, placebo-controlled, within-subject study was performed by Buxbaum et
289 al. (2007), using an A-B-A design. The effect of a 100 mg amantadine injection, given twice a
290 day, on VSN was studied in four patients. In total, 13 tests were administered, including pen-
291 and-paper tests (e.g. letter cancellation and line bisection; see Table 3 for a more detailed
292 description) and computerized tests (i.e. Dual-Task test and the lateralized target and lateralized
293 response test), as well as functional independency tests (Naturalistic Action Test) and
294 questionnaires (e.g. Family Burden and Anosognosia). Reaction times on the Sustained
295 Attention to Response Test (SART) improved significantly in two patients (patient 2 and 3), as

296 well as the percentage of correct responses (patient 2), and mean response times in the
297 lateralized tests (patient 4). However, negative effects were seen on lateralized mean response
298 times (patient 4), the Dual-Task test (patient 2), and on the number of correct responses on the
299 SART (patient 2). All other measures showed no significant effect (4).

300

301 To summarize, only one study was found to be of moderate quality. This study found a positive
302 effect of dopaminergic therapy on VSN (41). Of the remaining (low-quality) studies, two
303 studies found a positive effect (30,46), yet three studies found negative effects (4,44,47). The
304 positive effects were exclusively found on one out of four (41), on six out of eight (30), and on
305 one out of three tests (46), which were used to measure VSN. One study found a positive effect
306 of dopaminergic therapy on measures of behavioural inattention and functional independence
307 (48).

308

309 **Cholinergic therapy**

310 Three studies investigated cholinergic therapy (see Table 4). Two studies were considered to
311 be of moderate quality (42,43), and one study was considered to be of low quality (49).

312

313 Lucas et al. (2013) described the effects of nicotine on spatial attention in a small sample
314 (n=10), double-blind, placebo-controlled within-subject study. Outcome measures included
315 pen-and-paper Bells, letter, and shape cancellation tests, a line bisection test, and a compound-
316 word reading test, as well as computerized cued (Posner's paradigm) and lateralized detection
317 tests. A single, 10 mg dose of nicotine was administered through a transdermal patch. The
318 average search performance of patients with VSN improved on all cancellation tests and in
319 lateralized visual detection, as the number of target omissions reduced significantly and search
320 time increased relative to placebo and baseline conditions. No significant improvement of the

321 attentional bias was found on line bisection, compound-word reading, and cued detection tests
322 (43).

323

324 Furthermore, an open-label, randomized, and slightly larger sampled (n=20) study was
325 conducted by Paolucci et al. (2010) to evaluate efficacy of rivastigmine. All subjects received
326 cognitive rehabilitation and half of the group received add-on-pharmacotherapy. This last
327 group received 1.5 mg of rivastigmine twice a day for the first week. Thereafter, the dose was
328 increased to 3 mg twice a day for seven more weeks. Outcome measures included a letter
329 cancellation test, the Barrage test, a sentence-reading test, and the Wundt-Jastrow Area Illusion
330 Test, as well functional data scores at discharge (Barthel Index and Rivermead Mobility Index).
331 Patients who received rivastigmine showed significantly improved letter cancellation and
332 Wundt-Jastrow scores at discharge compared to the control group. No significant differences
333 were found at follow-up, as the non-rivastigmine group further improved, and achieved the
334 same results as the rivastigmine group. In fact, the former group reached their maximum
335 performance before the latter group (42).

336

337 The study by Vossel et al. (2010) applied a small sample (n=9), double-blind, placebo-
338 controlled within-subject design to investigate whether cholinergic stimulation by nicotine
339 facilitated attentional reorienting. The main measure of outcome was reaction time on a Posner
340 cueing task. A Nicorette gum consisting of 2 mg of nicotine was chewed on for half an hour.
341 Patients' reaction times were lower for both valid and invalid trials after nicotine, without any
342 differences in the magnitude of the left validity effect in the whole patient group. Responses
343 were comparable in neutrally and uncued trials (49).

344

345 To summarize, all three studies found cholinergic therapy to significantly improve function on
346 attentional reorienting (49), spatial attention (42,43), and functional measures (42), but only on
347 three out of six (43), and two out of six outcome measures used in these studies (42). Most
348 importantly, the observed positive effect in the Paolucci et al. (2010) study disappeared at
349 follow-up, therefore rivastigmine was merely found to accelerate early-phase cognitive
350 recovery in this study.

351

352 **(Nor)adrenergic therapy**

353 The only identified study on noradrenergic therapy by Malhotra et al. (2006) was considered
354 to be of low quality (45). Three right-hemispheric patients with chronic VSN received a single
355 placebo injection and a 29 µg/kg guanfacine injection one week apart in a counterbalanced and
356 double-blind manner (see Table 5). Outcome measures included pen-and-paper tests (line
357 bisection and Bells cancellation), computerized tests for measures of space exploration, single
358 target visual search, and naming objects, as well as a sustained attention and a spatial working
359 memory test. One patient performed significantly better after guanfacine compared to placebo
360 on the space exploration test, as total search time increased. None of the patients performed
361 significantly better on pencil-and-paper VSN tests after guanfacine compared to placebo (45).

362

363 To summarize, even though two out of three patients cancelled more stars post-guanfacine
364 administration, line bisection deviations increased in one of these subjects and overall
365 performance on pen-and-paper VSN tests did not improve significantly after (nor)adrenergic
366 therapy.

367

368 **Discussion**

369 The aims of this review were (1) to give an overview of human studies investigating
370 pharmacotherapy to ameliorate VSN, and (2) to evaluate the quality of those studies. We found
371 11 studies, evaluating three pharmacological approaches: seven studies on dopaminergic
372 therapy, three studies on cholinergic therapy, and one study on (nor)adrenergic therapy. Quality
373 assessment showed that none of the reviewed studies were of high quality, only three recent
374 studies were of moderate quality, and the eight remaining studies were of low quality,
375 according to our criteria. None of the studies completed all full requirements of a randomized
376 controlled trial.

377

378 The results of the dopaminergic studies (one of moderate quality, six of low quality) were not
379 consistent to draw firm conclusions: both promising effects (i.e. decrease of VSN) and increase
380 of VSN were observed. Cholinergic treatment (two studies of moderate quality, one of low
381 quality) was found to be effective in ameliorating VSN symptoms in all three studies. However,
382 positive effects were measured on some, yet not all tests. Although the only (nor)adrenergic
383 study showed some positive effects, the quality of this study was considered low, so no firm
384 conclusions can be drawn. Moreover, none of the studies reported effect sizes, which hampers
385 interpreting the study outcomes. Effect sizes are needed to evaluate clinical significance, while
386 p-values only represent the randomness of the obtained effects (52). Overall, methodological
387 limitations render us in drawing clear conclusions on the effectiveness of pharmacological
388 treatment of VSN.

389

390 Our statements are comparable to those made in a recently published Cochrane review on the
391 pharmacological treatment of VSN, in which two identical cholinergic studies have been
392 reviewed (39). However, the Cochrane review included a smaller number of studies and

393 applied limited quality assessment criteria. The current review therefore provides a more
394 complete overview of available studies on pharmacological treatment of VSN.

395

396 Several cognitive processes appeared to be of importance regarding the potential mechanisms
397 underlying pharmacological treatment of VSN. Cholinergic treatment seemed to be the most
398 effective in ameliorating VSN symptoms, which suggests the orienting, perhaps most
399 mouldable, network of attention to play a role in VSN (32–34). Dopaminergic and
400 (nor)adrenergic stimulation decreased VSN symptoms in some cases. Hence, the alerting
401 (noradrenaline) and executive (dopamine) networks might influence VSN as well (32–34).

402

403 In the current review, it is clear that none of the studies, no matter what class of medicaments,
404 showed a clear-cut improvement of VSN post-stroke. Additionally, the methods of the 11
405 reviewed studies differed too much to compare them properly on inclusion criteria, outcome
406 measures, medicaments used, their administration and timing. This, combined with the overall
407 moderate to low quality of the studies, renders us in recommending a specific pharmacological
408 approach to treat VSN. Therefore, we feel that a good starting point for future pharmacological
409 studies targeting cognitive functional improvement in general, or the amelioration of VSN in
410 particular, should be comparability. Below, we will discuss how to target this comparability.

411

412 First of all, varying diagnostic criteria and many different tests were used to assess VSN in the
413 11 reviewed studies. This variability turns out problematic when trying to compare studies. As
414 described in this review, positive effects of pharmacotherapy on VSN symptoms were observed
415 on some but not all tests. In this light, a consensus on, and implementation of, a more or less
416 standard battery of tests could help future researchers to overcome these problems of
417 comparison. At the level of function, the most widely used tests are cancellation tests, line

418 bisection tests, copying and drawing. With respect to rehabilitation, tests at the level of
419 activities of daily living should also be included. The Catharine Bergego Scale may be the
420 solution, as this observation scale measures VSN in basic activities of daily living (53).

421

422 Additionally, the more standard pen-and-paper tests (including the abovementioned
423 cancellation tests, line bisection tests, copying and drawing) are generally regarded not
424 sensitive enough to capture mild VSN, especially in the late sub-acute or chronic phase. The
425 use of (tablet) computers and computerized tests would greatly improve the level of test
426 specificity. For example, more accurate and precise reaction times can be recorded (54,55),
427 search strategies during cancellation tests can be evaluated (56), and stimuli can be presented
428 in a dynamic way (e.g. during cueing tests) (57). As a result, these tests are able to identify
429 more subtle deficits that standard pen-and-paper tests might miss (54,58–60), which enables to
430 detect VSN at the immediate moment of occurrence. Furthermore, common clinical tests might
431 lose accuracy in the chronic phase of VSN (54). Computerized tests, on the other hand, are
432 found to maintain accuracy, even in the chronic phase of VSN (54). Furthermore, tests in a
433 virtual reality environment may be an effective tool to assess VSN. Virtual reality allows
434 patients to interact with an environment similar to real-life experience, but in a safe and
435 controlled manner (58,61). Hence, a more dynamic test is created, which provides better insight
436 into the impairments of daily life.

437

438 One more issue of future interest could lie in the promising field of neuroimaging. New
439 techniques could help to map neural networks, thereby visualising changes induced by the
440 medicament. Although costly, these techniques could help tackle the problems of inter-assessor
441 variability in the assessment of clinical observations and problems related to the standardized
442 testing of cognitive skills.

443

444 With respect to the pharmacological treatments, many different substances and subsequent
445 doses were used in the reviewed studies. However, none of the reviewed studies analysed the
446 variability in dose-responses. In our opinion, future research should aim to make inferences on
447 the effects of medicaments based on a spectrum of doses within the analysis of a medicament.
448 In this way, information could be gathered on the strength and duration of the pharmaceutical
449 effect. This should allow future researchers to make clearer recommendations in the
450 remediation of VSN.

451

452 Importantly, time post-stroke was highly variable in the reviewed studies. Studies were
453 conducted with patients in both sub-acute and chronic phase post-stroke. However, only results
454 of studies with patients in the chronic phase post-stroke can be reliably taken into account, as
455 up to 3 months spontaneous recovery could have been achieved (3,62). In case patients in the
456 sub-acute phase post-stroke are included, a control group is necessary for future research to
457 monitor the effects of spontaneous recovery. Only one of our 11 reviewed studies included a
458 control group (42), all the others lacked this important feature.

459

460 The addition of a follow-up phase would also positively add to the level of information
461 gathering. Only one of the reviewed studies included a follow-up phase in which participants
462 were assessed one month post-treatment. Thus, in the other 10 studies, no information was
463 given regarding the duration of the beneficial influence of pharmaceutical treatment. Future
464 research should be able to tackle this problem and include one or more follow-up
465 measurements, ideally up to 3 months post-treatment. However, shorter timeframes are the
466 primary concern, as they will possibly reduce outcome variation due to events unrelated to the

467 study and therefore allow for the accurate assessment of functional outcomes and drug safety
468 (63).

469

470 **Study limitations**

471 The aim of the current review was to give an overview of human studies investigating
472 pharmacotherapy to ameliorate VSN, thereby leaving out a substantial amount of data/results
473 from animal studies targeting disorders of attention and/or VSN with pharmacological
474 treatment. Although human studies are most relevant for rehabilitation purposes, results on
475 timing of treatment, timing of drug administration, dose-response interactions, and lesion site
476 differences from animal studies might have given better insight into how to set up better human
477 studies.

478

479 Another limitation could lie in our selection process. We excluded studies in which attention
480 deficits were treated, based on the lack of outcome measures aimed at the VSN syndrome. In
481 fact, several human studies used pharmacotherapy as a treatment of attention and cognitive
482 impairments after stroke (64–70). For example, both Jorge et al. (2010) and Adams et al. (2012)
483 found that anti-depressives may enhance motor and cognitive recovery after stroke (68,69).
484 Perhaps, anti-depressives could also beneficially influence recovery from VSN post-stroke.
485 Therefore, these animal and human studies should be kept in mind regarding future
486 investigation.

487

488

489 **Conclusions**

490 In conclusion, due to the methodologically weak quality of nearly all reviewed studies, we
491 cannot make any clear-cut inferences on the effectiveness of pharmacotherapy on VSN post-

492 stroke. Nevertheless, regarding the three substances, we cautiously consider cholinergic
493 therapy to be the most promising in treating VSN. Therefore, we believe future research should
494 focus on cholinergic therapy.

495

496 **Declaration of Interest**

497 The authors report no declarations of interest.

498

499

500 **References**

- 501 1. Buxbaum LJ, Ferraro MK, Veramonti T, Farne A, Whyte J, Ladavas E. Hemispatial
502 neglect: Subtypes, neuroanatomy, and disability. *Neurology*. 2004;62:749–56.
- 503 2. Bowen A, McKenna K, Tallis RC. Reasons for variability in the reported rate of
504 occurrence of unilateral spatial neglect after stroke. *Stroke*. 1999;30:1196–202.
- 505 3. Nijboer TCW, Kollen BJ, Kwakkel G. Time course of visuospatial neglect early after
506 stroke: a longitudinal cohort study. *Cortex*. 2013 Sep;49(8):2021–7.
- 507 4. Buxbaum LJ, Ferraro M, Whyte J, Gershkoff A, Coslett HB. Amantadine treatment of
508 hemispatial neglect: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Am J Phys Med*
509 *Rehabil*. 2007;86(7):527–37.
- 510 5. Nijboer TCW, Kollen BJ, Kwakkel G. The impact of recovery of visuo-spatial neglect
511 on motor recovery of the upper paretic limb after stroke. *PLoS One*. Public Library of
512 Science; 2014 Jan 20;9(6):1–8.
- 513 6. Fasotti L, van Kessel M. Novel insights in the rehabilitation of neglect. *Front Hum*
514 *Neurosci*. *Frontiers*; 2013 Jan 15;7:780.
- 515 7. Nijboer T, van de Port I, Schepers V, Post M, Visser-Meily A. Predicting functional

- 516 outcome after stroke: the influence of neglect on basic activities in daily living. *Front*
517 *Hum Neurosci. Frontiers*; 2013 Jan 9;7:182.
- 518 8. Rossetti Y, Rode G, Pisella L, Farné A, Li L, Boisson D, et al. Prism adaptation to a
519 rightward optical deviation rehabilitates left hemispatial neglect. *Nature. Nature*
520 *Publishing Group*; 1998 Sep 10;395(6698):166–9.
- 521 9. Smit M, Van der Stigchel S, Visser-Meily JMA, Kouwenhoven M, Eijsackers ALH,
522 Nijboer TCW. The feasibility of computer-based prism adaptation to ameliorate
523 neglect in sub-acute stroke patients admitted to a rehabilitation center. *Front Hum*
524 *Neurosci. Frontiers*; 2013 Jan 24;7:353.
- 525 10. Van der Stigchel S, Nijboer TCW. Introduction to the research topic novel insights in
526 rehabilitation of neglect. *Front Hum Neurosci. Frontiers*; 2014 Jan 14;8:233.
- 527 11. Kim YM, Chun MH, Yun GJ, Song YJ, Young HE. The effect of virtual reality
528 training on unilateral spatial neglect in stroke patients. *Ann Rehabil Med. 2011*
529 *Jun*;35(3):309–15.
- 530 12. van Kessel ME, Geurts ACH, Brouwer WH, Fasotti L. Visual Scanning Training for
531 Neglect after Stroke with and without a Computerized Lane Tracking Dual Task. *Front*
532 *Hum Neurosci. Frontiers*; 2013 Jan 10;7:358.
- 533 13. Schmidt L, Keller I, Utz KS, Artinger F, Stumpf O, Kerkhoff G. Galvanic vestibular
534 stimulation improves arm position sense in spatial neglect: a sham-stimulation-
535 controlled study. *Neurorehabil Neural Repair. 2013 Jan 1*;27(6):497–506.
- 536 14. Nakamura J, Kita Y, Ikuno K, Kojima K, Okada Y, Shomoto K. Influence of the
537 stimulus parameters of galvanic vestibular stimulation on unilateral spatial neglect.
538 *Neuroreport. 2015 May 27*;26(8):462–6.
- 539 15. Pitzalis S, Spinelli D, Vallar G, Di Russo F. Transcutaneous electrical nerve

- 540 stimulation effects on neglect: a visual-evoked potential study. *Front Hum Neurosci.*
541 *Frontiers*; 2013 Jan 19;7:111.
- 542 16. Russell C, Li K, Malhotra PA. Harnessing motivation to alleviate neglect. *Front Hum*
543 *Neurosci.* 2013 Jan;7:230.
- 544 17. Daini R, Albonico A, Malaspina M, Martelli M, Primativo S, Arduino LS.
545 Dissociation in Optokinetic Stimulation Sensitivity between Omission and Substitution
546 Reading Errors in Neglect Dyslexia. *Front Hum Neurosci.* *Frontiers*; 2013 Jan
547 17;7:581.
- 548 18. Borghese NA, Bottini G, Sedda A. Videogame based neglect rehabilitation: a role for
549 spatial remapping and multisensory integration? *Front Hum Neurosci.* 2013 Jan;7:116.
- 550 19. Kim BR, Chun MH, Kim D-Y, Lee SJ. Effect of high- and low-frequency repetitive
551 transcranial magnetic stimulation on visuospatial neglect in patients with acute stroke:
552 a double-blind, sham-controlled trial. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil.* 2013 May;94(5):803–7.
- 553 20. Müri RM, Cazzoli D, Nef T, Mosimann UP, Hopfner S, Nyffeler T. Non-invasive
554 brain stimulation in neglect rehabilitation: an update. *Front Hum Neurosci.*
555 2013;7(June):248.
- 556 21. Wright JM, Krekelberg B. Transcranial direct current stimulation over posterior
557 parietal cortex modulates visuospatial localization. *J Vis.* 2014 Jan;14(9):1–15.
- 558 22. Gomez Palacio Schjetnan A, Faraji J, Metz GA, Tatsuno M, Luczak A. Transcranial
559 direct current stimulation in stroke rehabilitation: a review of recent advancements.
560 *Stroke Res Treat.* 2013 Jan;2013:1–14.
- 561 23. Smit M, Schutter DJLG, Nijboer TCW, Visser-Meily JMA, Kappelle LJ, Kant N, et al.
562 Transcranial direct current stimulation to the parietal cortex in hemispatial neglect: A
563 feasibility study. *Neuropsychologia.* 2015 May 2;74:152–61.

- 564 24. Gillen G, Nilsen DM, Attridge J, Banakos E, Morgan M, Winterbottom L, et al.
565 Effectiveness of interventions to improve occupational performance of people with
566 cognitive impairments after stroke: an evidence-based review. *Am J Occup Ther.* 2015
567 Jan;69(1):1–9.
- 568 25. Rasmussen RS, Overgaard K, Hildebrandt-Eriksen ES, Boysen G. D-Amphetamine
569 improves cognitive deficits and physical therapy promotes fine motor rehabilitation in
570 a rat embolic stroke model. *Acta Neurol Scand.* 2006;113(3):189–98.
- 571 26. Goss CW, Hoffman SW, Stein DG. Behavioral effects and anatomic correlates after
572 brain injury: A progesterone dose-response study. *Pharmacol Biochem Behav.*
573 2003;76(2):231–42.
- 574 27. Yousuf S, Atif F, Sayeed I, Tang H, Stein DG. Progesterone in transient ischemic
575 stroke: a dose-response study. *Psychopharmacology (Berl).* 2014 Sep;231(17):3313–
576 23.
- 577 28. Wali B, Ishrat T, Won S, Stein DG, Sayeed I. Progesterone in experimental permanent
578 stroke: a dose-response and therapeutic time-window study. *Brain.* 2014 Feb;137(Pt
579 2):486–502.
- 580 29. Corwin J V, Kanter S, Watson RT, Heilman KM, Valenstein E, Hashimoto A.
581 Apomorphine has a therapeutic effect on neglect produced by unilateral dorsomedial
582 prefrontal cortex lesions in rats. *Exp Neurol.* 1986 Dec;94(3):683–98.
- 583 30. Fleet WS, Valenstein E, Watson RT, Heilman KM. Dopamine agonist therapy for
584 neglect in humans. *Neurology.* 1987;37(11):1765–70.
- 585 31. Bauer PR, Kalitzin S, Zijlmans M, Sander JW, Visser GH. Cortical excitability as a
586 potential clinical marker of epilepsy: a review of the clinical application of
587 transcranial magnetic stimulation. *Int J Neural Syst.* World Scientific Publishing Co.;

- 588 2014 Nov 28;24(2):1430001.
- 589 32. Robertson IH. Cognitive rehabilitation: Attention and neglect. *Trends Cogn Sci.*
590 1999;3(10):385–93.
- 591 33. Raz A. Anatomy of attentional networks. *Anat Rec - Part B New Anat.*
592 2004;281(1):21–36.
- 593 34. Kinsbourne M. Orientational Bias Model of Unilateral Neglect: Evidence from
594 Attentional Gradients Within Hemispace. *Unilateral Neglect: Clinical and*
595 *Experimental Studies.* 1993. p. 63–86.
- 596 35. Sivan M, Neumann V, Kent R, Stroud A, Bhakta BB. Pharmacotherapy for treatment
597 of attention deficits after non-progressive acquired brain injury. A systematic review.
598 *Clin Rehabil.* 2010;24(2):110–21.
- 599 36. Flanagan SR, Kane L, Rhoades D. Pharmacological Modification of Recovery
600 Following. *J Neurol Phys Ther.* 2003;3:129–37.
- 601 37. Newman DP, Cummins TDR, Tong JHS, Johnson BP, Pickering H, Fanning P, et al.
602 Dopamine Transporter Genotype Is Associated with a Lateralized Resistance to
603 Distraction during Attention Selection. *J Neurosci.* 2014;34(47):15743–50.
- 604 38. Parton A, Coulthard E, Husain M. Neuropharmacological modulation of cognitive
605 deficits after brain damage. *Curr Opin Neurol.* 2005 Dec;18(6):675–80.
- 606 39. Luvizutto G, Bazan R, Braga G, Resende L, Bazan S, El Dib R. Pharmacological
607 interventions for unilateral spatial neglect for stroke. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.*
608 2015;6:1–38.
- 609 40. Tijssen JGP, Assendelft WJJ. Beoordeling van een gerandomiseerd effectonderzoek.
610 In: Offringa M, Assendelft WJJ, Scholten RJPM, editors. *Inleiding in Evidence-Based-*
611 *Medicine.* Houten: Bohn Stafleu van Loghum; 2003. p. 56–70.

- 612 41. Gorgoraptis N, Mah Y-H, Machner B, Singh-Curry V, Malhotra P, Hadji-Michael M,
613 et al. The effects of the dopamine agonist rotigotine on hemispatial neglect following
614 stroke. *Brain*. 2012 Aug;135(Pt 8):2478–91.
- 615 42. Paolucci S, Bureca I, Multari M, Nocentini U, Matano A. An open-label pilot study of
616 the use of rivastigmine to promote functional recovery in patients with unilateral
617 spatial neglect due to first ischemic stroke. *Funct Neurol*. 2010 Jan;25(4):195–200.
- 618 43. Lucas N, Saj A, Schwartz S, Ptak R, Schnider A, Thomas C, et al. Effects of pro-
619 cholinergic treatment in patients suffering from spatial neglect. *Front Hum Neurosci*.
620 *Frontiers*; 2013 Jan 12;7:574.
- 621 44. Grujic Z, Mapstone M, Gitelman DR, Johnson N, Weintraub S, Hays a, et al.
622 Dopamine agonists reorient visual exploration away from the neglected hemispace.
623 *Neurology*. 1998;51(5):1395–8.
- 624 45. Malhotra P a., Parton AD, Greenwood R, Husain M. Noradrenergic modulation of
625 space exploration in visual neglect. *Ann Neurol*. 2006;59(1):186–90.
- 626 46. Geminiani G, Bottini G, Sterzi R. Dopaminergic stimulation in unilateral neglect. *J*
627 *Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry*. 1998;65(3):344–7.
- 628 47. Barrett a M, Crucian GP, Schwartz RL, Heilman KM. Adverse effect of dopamine
629 agonist therapy in a patient with motor-intentional neglect. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*.
630 1999;80(5):600–3.
- 631 48. Mukand JA, Guilmette TJ, Allen DG, Brown LK, Brown SL, Tober KL, et al.
632 Dopaminergic therapy with carbidopa L-dopa for left neglect after stroke: a case
633 series. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2001 Sep;82(9):1279–82.
- 634 49. Vossel S, Kukulja J, Thimm M, Thiel CM, Fink GR. The effect of nicotine on
635 visuospatial attention in chronic spatial neglect depends upon lesion location. *J*

- 636 Psychopharmacol. 2010;24(9):1357–65.
- 637 50. Kwakkel G, Kollen B, Lindeman E. Understanding the pattern of functional recovery
638 after stroke: Facts and theories. Vol. 22, Restorative Neurology and Neuroscience. IOS
639 Press; 2004. p. 281–99.
- 640 51. Kwakkel G, Kollen B, Twisk J. Impact of time on improvement of outcome after
641 stroke. *Stroke*. 2006 Sep 1;37(9):2348–53.
- 642 52. Kraemer HC, Kupfer DJ. Size of Treatment Effects and Their Importance to Clinical
643 Research and Practice. *Biol Psychiatry*. 2006;59(11):990–6.
- 644 53. Chen P, Hreha K, Fortis P, Goedert KM, Barrett AM. Functional assessment of spatial
645 neglect: a review of the Catherine Bergego scale and an introduction of the Kessler
646 foundation neglect assessment process. *Top Stroke Rehabil*. Jan;19(5):423–35.
- 647 54. Rengachary J, D’Avossa G, Sapir A, Shulman GL, Corbetta M. Is the Posner Reaction
648 Time Test More Accurate Than Clinical Tests in Detecting Left Neglect in Acute and
649 Chronic Stroke? *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2009 Dec;90(12):2081–8.
- 650 55. Rabuffetti M, Ferrarin M, Spadone R, Pellegatta D, Gentileschi V, Vallar G, et al.
651 Touch-screen system for assessing visuo-motor exploratory skills in
652 neuropsychological disorders of spatial cognition. *Med Biol Eng Comput*. 2002
653 Nov;40(6):675–86.
- 654 56. Ten Brink AF, Van der Stigchel S, Visser-Meily JMA, Nijboer TCW. You never know
655 where you are going until you know where you have been: Disorganized search after
656 stroke. *J Neuropsychol*. 2015 Mar 11;
- 657 57. Posner M. Orienting of attention. *Q J Exp Psychol*. 1980;32(1):3–25.
- 658 58. Pedroli E, Serino S, Cipresso P, Pallavicini F, Riva G. Assessment and rehabilitation
659 of neglect using virtual reality: a systematic review. *Front Behav Neurosci*. *Frontiers*;

- 660 2015 Jan 25;9:226.
- 661 59. Bonato M, Priftis K, Marenzi R, Umiltà C, Zorzi M. Deficits of Contralesional
662 Awareness: A Case Study on What Paper-and-Pencil Tests Neglect. *Neuropsychology*.
663 2012;26(1):20–36.
- 664 60. Anton HA, Hershler C, Lloyd P, Murray D. Visual neglect and extinction: a new test.
665 *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 1988 Dec;69(12):1013–6.
- 666 61. Tsirlin I, Duppierix E, Chokron S, Coquillart S, Ohlmann T. Uses of Virtual Reality
667 for Diagnosis, Rehabilitation and Study of Unilateral Spatial Neglect: Review and
668 Analysis. *Cyber Psychol Behav*. 2009;12(2):175–81.
- 669 62. Zocolotti P, Antonucci G, Judica A, Montenero P, Pizzamiglio L, Razzano C.
670 Incidence and evolution of the hemineglect disorder in chronic patients with unilateral
671 right brain damage. *Int J Neurosci*. 1989 Aug;47(3–4):209–16.
- 672 63. Fisher M, STAIR-II. Recommendations for Clinical Trial Evaluation of Acute Stroke
673 Therapies. *Stroke*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins; 2001 Jul;32(7):1598–606.
- 674 64. Alvarez-Sabín J, Ortega G, Jacas C, Santamarina E, Maisterra O, Ribo M, et al. Long-
675 term treatment with citicoline may improve poststroke vascular cognitive impairment.
676 *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2013;35(2):146–54.
- 677 65. Chang WH, Park YH, Ohn SH, Park C-H, Lee PKW, Kim Y-H. Neural correlates of
678 donepezil-induced cognitive improvement in patients with right hemisphere stroke: A
679 pilot study. *Neuropsychol Rehabil*. 2011;21(4):502–14.
- 680 66. Whyte EM, Lenze EJ, Butters M, Skidmore E, Koenig K, Dew MA, et al. An open-
681 label pilot study of acetylcholinesterase inhibitors to promote functional recovery in
682 elderly cognitively impaired stroke patients. *Cerebrovasc Dis*. 2008;26(3):317–21.
- 683 67. Goldstein LB. Effects of amphetamines and small related molecules on recovery after

- 684 stroke in animals and man. *Neuropharmacology*. 2000;39(5):852–9.
- 685 68. Adams HP, Robinson RG. Improving recovery after stroke: A role for antidepressant
686 medications? *Stroke*. 2012;43(10):2829–32.
- 687 69. Jorge RE, Acion L, Moser D, Adams HP, Robinson RG. Escitalopram and
688 enhancement of cognitive recovery following stroke. *Arch Gen Psychiatry*.
689 2010;67(2):187–96.
- 690 70. Lees KR, Bornstein N, Diener HC, Gorelick PB, Rosenberg G, Shuaib A. Results of
691 membrane-activated chelator stroke intervention randomized trial of DP-b99 in acute
692 ischemic stroke. *Stroke*. 2013;44(3):580–4.
- 693
- 694

695 **Figures legends**

696

697 Figure 1. Flowchart of the article selection process

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

