Title: Reversal and prevention of the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin by the novel μ opioid receptor antagonist methocinnamox in rhesus monkeys

Authors: Lisa R. Gerak, David R. Maguire, James H. Woods, Stephen M. Husbands, Alex Disney, Charles P. France

Affiliations: Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA (LRG, DRM, JHW, CPF); Department of Psychiatry, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA (CPF); Addiction Research, Treatment & Training Center of Excellence, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, Texas, USA (LRG, DRM, JHW, CPF); Department of Pharmacy and Pharmacology, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom (SMH, AD)

Disclosure/conflict of interest: The authors have no conflict of interest.
Running title: Methocinnamox and heroin respiratory depression

Corresponding Author: Charles P France, Department of Pharmacology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, 7703 Floyd Curl Drive, Mail Code 7764, San Antonio, TX, 78229, USA. E-mail: france@uthscsa.edu; Tel: 210-567-6969; Fax: 210-567-0104

Number of text pages: 13
Number of tables: 0
Number of figures: 5
Number of references: 27
Number of words:
  Abstract: 242
  Introduction: 751
  Discussion: 1463

Standard abbreviations: subcutaneous, s.c.
Nonstandard abbreviations: methocinnamox, MCAM

Recommended Section Assignment: Behavioral Pharmacology
Abstract

One consequence of the ongoing opioid epidemic is a large number of overdose deaths. Naloxone reverses opioid-induced respiratory depression; however, its short duration of action limits the protection it can provide. Methocinnamox (MCAM) is a novel opioid receptor antagonist with a long duration of action. This study examined the ability of MCAM to prevent and reverse the respiratory-depressant effects (minute volume [$V_E$]) of heroin in 5 monkeys. MCAM (0.32 mg/kg) was given before heroin to determine whether it prevents respiratory depression; heroin dose-effect curves were generated 1, 2, 4, and 8 days later, and these effects were compared to those of naltrexone (0.032 mg/kg). Heroin dose dependently decreased $V_E$. MCAM and naltrexone prevented respiratory depression, shifting the heroin dose-effect curve at least 10-fold rightward. MCAM, but not naltrexone, attenuated these effects of heroin for 4 days. MCAM (0.1-0.32 mg/kg) was given 30 min after heroin to determine whether it reverses respiratory depression; heroin dose-effect curves were generated 1, 2, 4, 8, and 16 days later, and these effects were compared to those of naloxone (0.0032-0.1 mg/kg). MCAM and naloxone reversed respiratory depression within 30 min, although only MCAM antagonized heroin on subsequent days. Thus, MCAM prevents and reverses respiratory depression, the potentially lethal effect of heroin, longer than currently used opioid receptor antagonists. Because of its sustained effects, MCAM might provide more effective rescue from and protection against the fatal respiratory-depressant effects of opioids, thereby improving treatment of opioid overdose.
Opioid overdose remains a serious public health problem with the US Centers for Disease Control reporting that more than 100 Americans die every day from opioid overdose (CDC 2017). In response to this growing epidemic, the opioid receptor antagonist naloxone (Narcan®) is increasingly available to reverse respiratory depression, the fatal effect of opioids, and remains the only pharmacotherapy for treating opioid overdose (McDonald and Strang 2016). Naloxone is a reversible antagonist at opioid receptors, which attenuates agonist effects, including respiratory depression; however, its therapeutic utility is limited by its short duration of action. For example, the antagonist effects of naloxone can wane before those of the opioid that produced the overdose, resulting in a reemergence of respiratory depression after rescue with an acute injection of naloxone (Dahan et al. 2010). In addition, the antagonist effects of naloxone are surmountable, which means that fatal respiratory depression can occur, even in the presence of naloxone, if patients continue to take opioids. While naloxone has saved lives, the availability of an antagonist that is longer lasting and insurmountable could save many more.

Methocinnamox (MCAM) is an opioid receptor antagonist. The interaction between MCAM and µ opioid receptors has been termed pseudoirreversible because binding of [3H]DAMGO, a ligand selective for µ opioid receptors, is markedly decreased in washed membranes obtained from mice 1 hr after MCAM administration (Broadbear et al. 2000); this insurmountable binding suggests an irreversible interaction between MCAM and µ opioid receptors, which occurs despite the fact that cinnamoylamidomorphinans, the chemical class that includes MCAM, do not alkylate µ opioid receptors (McLaughlin et al. 1999; Zernig et al. 1995). MCAM attenuates the antinociceptive effects of morphine in rodents, shifting the morphine dose-effect curve up to 100-fold to the right (Peckham et al. 2005) with antagonist effects still evident 2 days after administration (Broadbear et al. 2000). Other drugs, including buprenorphine and methoclocinnamox, have been shown to provide long-lasting antagonism of the antinociceptive as well as the respiratory-depressant effects of µ opioid receptor agonists in monkeys (Butelman et al. 1996; Kishioka et al. 2000b; Walker et al. 1995); however, they have
agonist effects at µ opioid receptors, serving as positive reinforcers, producing antinociceptive effects, and decreasing respiration when given alone (Briscoe et al. 2000; Butelman et al. 1996; Walker et al. 1995; Winger et al. 1992). In contrast, MCAM does not appear to have agonist actions at opioid receptors, and this pharmacological profile of persistent antagonist effects without agonist actions could be exploited to improve treatment of opioid overdose.

In addition to its potential utility for reversing overdose, MCAM could also be useful for treating opioid abuse. Among currently available pharmacotherapies, methadone and buprenorphine are µ opioid receptor agonists, sharing some effects with and producing similar adverse effects as abused opioids, including respiratory depression, which can be exacerbated when opioids are combined with other drugs such as ethanol or benzodiazepines (e.g. Hall et al. 2008; Jones et al. 2012; Jones et al. 2014; Jones and McAninch 2015). Naltrexone, the only opioid receptor antagonist used to treat opioid abuse, avoids those adverse effects and drug interactions; however, the pharmacological profile of naltrexone is similar to that of naloxone in terms of its short duration of action as well as the surmountability of antagonist effects. While novel formulations are available to reduce some of the limitations of these treatments (e.g. buprenorphine and naloxone [Suboxone®] to decrease abuse liability, slow-release naltrexone [Vivitrol®] to extend duration of action), the effects of currently available antagonists are still surmountable, suggesting that the insurmountability of MCAM could provide an important advantage.

This study examined the ability of MCAM to prevent and reverse the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin, which decreases respiration in monkeys, as evidenced by dose-dependent decreases in minute volume ($V_E$; e.g., Vivian et al. 1998). Opioid receptor antagonists can prevent and reverse the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin and other µ opioid receptor agonists (e.g. Gerak et al. 1998; Vivian et al. 1998). In the current study, MCAM was given before heroin to determine whether it prevents respiratory depression, and its effects were compared to those of naltrexone, the only opioid receptor antagonist currently used to
treat opioid abuse. In another experiment, MCAM was given after respiration was decreased with heroin to determine whether it reverses respiratory depression, and its effects were compared to those of naloxone, the only drug currently used to reverse opioid overdose. The duration of action of each antagonist was assessed by generating heroin dose-effect curves at various times (up to 16 days) after antagonist administration.

**Materials and Methods**

**Subjects.** Five adult rhesus monkeys (4 female: ME, OL, SC and CE; 1 male: GI) participated in this study. They were housed individually in a temperature- and humidity-controlled room that was illuminated from 0600-2000 hr. Water was available continuously in the home cage. Body weights (6.7-10.5 kg) were maintained with daily food rations of either Teklad 20% Protein Monkey Diet (monkeys ME, OL, CE and GI) or Teklad High Fiber Monkey Diet (monkey SC; Harlan Teklad, Madison, WI, USA); fresh fruit and peanuts were also provided daily. These monkeys received drugs in previous behavioral experiments, including opioids (e.g. Weed et al. 2018). Animals used in these studies were maintained in accordance with the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee, The University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, and the *2011 Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals* (Institute of Laboratory Animal Resources on Life Sciences, National Research Council, National Academy of Sciences, USA).

**Apparatus.** Experimental sessions were conducted in sound-attenuating cubicles that contained house lights and an exhaust fan (model ENV-600A; Med Associates Inc, Fairfax, VT, USA); monkeys could be monitored continuously during experiments by cameras in the cubicles. Monkeys were seated in chairs (model R001; Primate Products, Miami, FL, USA). A customized Lexan mounting platform and a latex neck dam were placed over the head of the monkey followed by a helmet (Plas-Labs, Lansing, MI, USA), which created a closed chamber. Air was drawn into the helmet by a large animal bias flow supply (Data Sciences International,
a constant flow rate of 10 l/min was monitored using an air flow meter (model 4140F, TSI Inc., Shoreview, MN, USA). With each inspiration of air, a pneumotachometer measured the pressure changes inside the helmet; that analog signal was transformed to digital using a carrier signal conditioner card connected to an acquisition module (Model ACQ-770, Data Sciences International). Those digital signals were then converted to respiratory rate (f) and tidal volume (V_T) using Ponemah software (version 4.01; Data Sciences International), and minute volume (V_E) was calculated by multiplying f and V_T.

**General procedure.** For all sessions, V_E, V_T, and f were measured continuously and collected every minute. No injections were given during the control period comprising the first 30 (monkeys ME, OL, CE, and GI) or 45 min (monkey SC) of the session; monkey SC needed additional time at the beginning of each experiment for breathing to stabilize (see criteria below). Thereafter, the session was divided into 15-min cycles, with an injection (or sham injection) administered during the first min of each cycle. Although respiratory parameters were collected continuously, values of V_E obtained during the last 5 min of each interval were averaged to calculate V_E for that interval (i.e. for the control period as well as for each cycle). Before drugs were administered and periodically thereafter, baseline sessions were conducted during which saline or sham injections were given during each cycle. Drug testing began when 2 criteria were satisfied for 3 consecutive sessions, as follows: 1) within a session, V_E for each cycle was at least 80% of V_E obtained during the control period, and 2) across 3 sessions, the V_E obtained during the control periods for each session did not vary by more than 20% from the mean of those 3 sessions.

**Prevention of respiratory depression.** Heroin dose-effect curves were generated in monkeys OL, ME, GI and CE using a cumulative-dosing procedure, whereby the cumulative dose increased by 0.25 log units per cycle. Dosing stopped at the smallest dose that decreased V_E to <70% of the mean of the last 5 min of the control period. For some tests, saline was administered immediately after the control period (i.e. beginning of the first cycle) with the first
dose of heroin administered during the second cycle. To examine the ability of MCAM to prevent the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin, a single dose of MCAM (0.32 mg/kg) was administered in the home cage with heroin dose-effect curves determined 1, 2, 4 and 8 days after MCAM administration; each of these sessions began at the same time of day. On a separate occasion, a single dose of naltrexone (0.032 mg/kg) was given before redetermination of heroin dose-effect curves; instead of saline, naltrexone was administered during the first cycle with the first dose of heroin administered during the second cycle. A heroin dose-effect curve was also determined 24 hr later. Because of its long duration of action, a minimum of 17 days separated injections of MCAM.

**Reversal of respiratory depression.** To assess the ability of MCAM and naloxone to reverse the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin, an acute dose of heroin that decreased $V_E$ to <70% of control was administered immediately after the control period in monkeys OL, ME, GI and SC; monkey SC replaced monkey CE because the stability criteria described above were no longer reliably satisfied by monkey CE. Because the potency of heroin varied across monkeys, different doses of heroin were used among monkeys for the reversal studies; however, an individual monkey received the same dose of heroin for all studies. Time course for the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin was determined by administering the smallest dose of heroin followed by only saline or sham injections every 15 min for the remainder of the 2-hr session. A heroin dose-effect curve was generated the next day, as described above for the prevention experiment. Reversal tests with antagonists were conducted on separate occasions with injections of MCAM (0.1-0.32 mg/kg) or naloxone (0.0032-0.1 mg/kg) given 30 min after heroin. Thereafter, heroin dose-effect curves were redetermined on different days until they were no longer shifted to the right of the curve obtained in the absence of antagonist. For MCAM, heroin dose-effect curves were determined 1, 2, 4, 8 and 16 days and for naloxone 1 day after a reversal test.

**Drugs.** Heroin HCl, naltrexone HCl and naloxone HCl (Drug Supply Program, National
Institute on Drug Abuse, Rockville, MD, USA) were dissolved in sterile water. MCAM was synthesized as described previously (Broadbear et al. 2000) and dissolved in a vehicle of 10% w/v β-cyclodextrin in saline. Drugs were administered subcutaneously.

**Data Analyses.** Data were collected continuously and transformed to respiration rate (inspirations/min, f) and tidal volume (ml/inspiration, V_T); minute volume (ml/min, V_E) was determined by multiplying f and V_T. The control V_E for each session was obtained by averaging V_E obtained during each of the last 5 min of the control period. Thereafter, data were averaged across the last 5 min of each cycle, expressed as a percentage of control, and plotted as a function of heroin dose or time. Because of the difference in potency of heroin across monkeys, doses were normalized across monkeys such that, in the absence of antagonist, a dose X was the smallest dose that decreased V_E to <70% of control; the value for X was determined at the beginning of the studies. For each monkey, dosing of heroin stopped once V_E was decreased to <70% of control and each data point plotted in the figures represents 4 monkeys; in the event that dosing stopped in a monkey before the group mean was decreased to <70% of control, then V_E was conservatively estimated for all subsequent data points as the value obtained with the largest dose studied in that individual.

The ability of antagonists to reverse heroin-induced respiratory depression was determined by first giving heroin to decrease V_E to <70% of control followed 30 min later with an injection of antagonist. The results obtained with each antagonist were analyzed separately using two-way repeated measures ANOVA with antagonist dose as one factor and time since antagonist administration as the second factor. When significant differences were found, post hoc analyses were conducted using Dunnett’s multiple comparisons tests. Data analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism (version 6.07, GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Effects were considered significant when P<0.05.

Changes in dose-effect curves were analyzed by first calculating the doses of heroin needed to decrease V_E to 75% (ED75). For each monkey, effective doses were obtained by
interpolation of data on the descending limb of the dose-effect curve using one data point directly above and one data point directly below that particular effect level. The values were then averaged across monkeys to obtain a mean ED$_{75}$ value for each dose-effect curve, and time-effect curves were generated for each effect level by plotting the mean values as a function of time since antagonist administration. Results obtained for each antagonist were analyzed separately using a one-way ANOVA with time since antagonist administration as the factor (MCAM and naltrexone) or using a paired t-test (naloxone). Data analyses were performed using NCSS (version 10, NCSS, LLC, Kaysville, UT, USA) and GraphPad Prism (version 6.07, GraphPad, La Jolla, CA, USA). Effects were considered significant when $P<0.05$.

Results

The control mean ($\pm$ 1 SEM) V$_E$ was 1586 ± 101, 1392 ± 98, 1895 ± 51, 1367 ± 81, and 1751.5 ± 141 ml/min for subjects OL, ME, SC, CE, and GI, respectively (points above C, left panel, figure 1). Heroin dose dependently decreased V$_E$ in all monkeys (left panel, figure 1), although its potency to decrease respiration to <70% of control varied markedly across monkeys. The potency difference is particularly evident when V$_E$ is expressed as a percentage of control (right panel, figure 1) with heroin being much more potent in monkey OL (circles, figure 1) compared with the other 4 monkeys.

Prevention of respiratory depression. On separate occasions, MCAM or naltrexone was administered before determination of a heroin dose-effect curve. When 0.32 mg/kg MCAM was given 1 day earlier, the heroin dose-effect curve was shifted more than 10-fold to the right (compare upright triangles and filled circles, upper panel, figure 2). MCAM continued to attenuate the effects of heroin for up to 4 days (diamonds, upper panel, figure 2) with the heroin dose-effect curve returning to control 8 days after MCAM administration (inverted triangles, figure 2). A dose of 0.032 mg/kg naltrexone also antagonized the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin, shifting the dose-effect curve 10-fold rightward on the day naltrexone was
administered; the heroin dose-effect curve determined 1 day after naltrexone administration was similar to the heroin dose-effect curve determined in the absence of naltrexone (compare triangles and filled circles, bottom panel, figure 2).

**Reversal of respiratory depression.** Heroin decreased $V_E$ to <70% of control within 30 min (filled circles above 0, both panels, figure 3) with respiratory depression remaining suppressed for the next 60 min. MCAM (0.1 and 0.32 mg/kg) reversed the respiratory depressant effects of heroin. There was a significant interaction between dose of MCAM and time since administration of MCAM ($F=[8,24]=2.81; P=.02$) as well as significant main effects of dose ($F[2,6]=6.54; P=.03$) and time since administration ($F[4,12]=13.14; P=.0002$). $V_E$ was significantly increased 30 min after 0.32 mg/kg MCAM and 45 min after 0.1 mg/kg MCAM, compared with $V_E$ obtained after administration of heroin alone, and remained significantly increased for the remainder of the session (diamonds and open circles, left panel, figure 3). Naloxone also reversed the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin with a significant interaction between dose of naloxone and time since administration of naloxone ($F=[16,48]=2.50; P=.007$) as well as significant main effects of dose ($F[4,12]=5.71; P=.008$) and time since administration ($F[4,12]=5.03; P=.013$). The smallest dose of naloxone (0.0032 mg/kg) did not change $V_E$, as compared with $V_E$ obtained after administration of heroin alone; the three larger doses of naloxone significantly increased $V_E$ 15 min after administration, although the increase was no longer evident 30 min after administration of 0.01 and 0.032 mg/kg and 45 min after administration of 0.1 mg/kg (right panel, figure 3).

Heroin dose-effect curves were determined on days after reversal tests. The respiratory-depressant effects of heroin were antagonized the day after each dose of MCAM, with greater antagonism observed after 0.32 mg/kg compared with 0.1 mg/kg (left panels, figure 4). The heroin dose-effect curve returned to control in a dose- and time-dependent manner after administration of MCAM, with the dose-effect curves being similar to control 4 days after administration of 0.1 mg/kg MCAM and 16 days after administration of 0.32 mg/kg MCAM.
(upper and lower left panels, respectively; figure 4). In contrast, while the largest dose of
naloxone (0.1 mg/kg) completely reversed the effects of heroin on the day that it was
administered (diamonds, right panel, figure 3), that dose did not alter the heroin dose-effect
curve 1 day later (right panel, figure 4).

For each heroin dose-effect curve, doses needed to decrease respiration to 75% of
control (ED$_{75}$) were calculated and plotted as a function of time since antagonist administration.
MCAM (0.1 mg/kg: F[5,23]=6.50; $P=.002$; 0.32 mg/kg: F[5,23]=6.36; $P=.002$) and naltrexone
(F[2,11]=11.73; $P=.008$) significantly increased the ED$_{75}$ value of heroin. Although the
antagonist effects of naltrexone and naloxone (0.1 mg/kg: $t=0.072$; $P=.95$) were not statistically
significant within 1 day of administration, those of MCAM were significantly changed when
MCAM was given before (prevention, open triangles, upper panel, figure 5) or after heroin
administration (reversal, open triangles, lower panel, figure 5); the ED$_{75}$ remained significantly
increased 4 days after MCAM prevented the effects of heroin and not 4 days after the MCAM
was given to reverse the effects of heroin.

Discussion

One devastating consequence of the current opioid epidemic is the overwhelming
number of fatal overdoses, despite the availability of an effective antidote (McDonald and Strang 2016). Naloxone, the only antagonist used to treat opioid overdose, and naltrexone, the only
antagonist used to treat opioid abuse, are both competitive, reversible antagonists at µ opioid
receptors; however, their therapeutic utility (for overdose and abuse, respectively) is limited by
their pharmacological properties. For example, because of a short duration of action, the
antagonist effects of naloxone can wane before the respiratory-depressant effects of some
opioid receptor agonists, potentially resulting in a reemergence of respiratory depression after
rescue (e.g., Dahan et al. 2010; Gatewood et al. 2016; Tomassoni et al. 2017). In addition, the
effects of these antagonists are surmountable, so that fatal respiratory depression can occur, even in the presence of an antagonist, if patients continue to take opioids. MCAM is an opioid receptor antagonist that binds pseudoirreversibly to \( \mu \) opioid receptors (Broadbear et al. 2000); consequently, it should provide sustained and insurmountable protection against respiratory depression and overdose. The current studies determined the effectiveness and duration of action of MCAM, comparing its ability to prevent respiratory depression to that of naltrexone and its ability to reverse respiratory depression to that of naloxone.

MCAM attenuated completely the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin. When given before heroin, 0.32 mg/kg MCAM and 0.032 mg/kg naltrexone antagonized heroin in a similar manner, shifting the heroin dose-effect curve at least a 10-fold rightward. Larger doses of each antagonist would be expected to shift the heroin dose-response curve further to the right or upward. Because the presence of other drugs acting at \( \mu \) opioid receptors might reduce binding of MCAM, heroin was not administered on the same day as MCAM during prevention studies, and MCAM antagonism of the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin was determined the day after administration of MCAM. Under those conditions, the magnitude of shift of the heroin dose-effect curve was comparable to that produced by 0.032 mg/kg of naltrexone given 15 min before heroin. One striking difference between MCAM and naltrexone was duration of action. MCAM attenuated the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin for at least 4 days whereas the antagonist effects of naltrexone were gone in 1 day. The persistent effects of MCAM, which are likely due to its pseudoirreversible binding to \( \mu \) opioid receptors, could protect overdose patients from respiratory depression caused by reemergence of effects of an agonist with a longer duration of action than naloxone or in the event that the patient uses opioids after rescue from overdose.

Like naloxone, MCAM reversed the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin, although these drugs differed slightly in the time course of these antagonist effects. Naloxone restored \( V_E \) to control values within 15 min. MCAM partially reversed heroin-induced respiratory depression
within 15 min, although $V_E$ was not restored fully to control values until 30 min after MCAM administration. The partial reversal obtained 15 min after MCAM administration might be sufficient to protect individuals from the lethal effects of opioids, even though breathing had not returned to control; however, the much longer duration of action of MCAM, compared with naloxone, could provide a distinct clinical advantage. $V_E$ remained above 100% of control levels for at least 60 min after MCAM administration whereas $V_E$ returned to below control values within 45 min after administration of the largest dose of naloxone. This observation is consistent with a major limitation of naloxone when it is used clinically with an opioid that causes overdose lasting longer than naloxone (e.g., Dahan et al. 2010; Gatewood et al. 2016; Tomassoni et al. 2017). Moreover, one day after a reversal test, when the effects of the acute dose of heroin were no longer evident, the heroin dose-effect curve was shifted to the right in monkeys that received MCAM the preceding day (i.e., during the reversal test) but not in monkeys that received 0.032 mg/kg naloxone or saline the preceding day. Thus, MCAM protects against the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin on the day that MCAM is administered as well as on subsequent days when additional doses of heroin are given. Collectively, these results demonstrate that a single injection of MCAM reverses heroin-induced respiratory depression and protects against subsequent drug-induced respiratory depression for a much longer period than naloxone, which is the only drug available currently for treating overdose.

Differences across monkeys in control $V_E$ and in the potency of heroin (figure 1) have been shown previously with monkey OL being the most sensitive and monkey GI being the least sensitive to the effects of opioids (Weed et al. 2018). Despite these well-documented differences, the effects of heroin and the antagonists were remarkably consistent throughout these studies with little variation in heroin dose-effect curves determined in the absence of antagonist (figure 1) and those determined after the effects of the antagonist were no longer evident (e.g., 1 day after either naltrexone or naloxone). Moreover, in monkeys, 0.032 mg/kg naltrexone reliably shifts the opioid agonist dose-effect curve 10-fold to the right (e.g., current
The effects of a single dose of heroin are also quite consistent with decreased $V_E$ not changing significantly within session (solid circles, figure 3) or across different determinations (points above 0, figure 3). The time course of recovery of the heroin dose-effect curve after administration of 0.32 mg/kg MCAM was determined twice, separated by at least 2 months. During the prevention experiment, the heroin dose-effect curve returned to control within 8 days of MCAM administration, whereas during the reversal study, the heroin dose-effect curve did not return fully to control values within 8 days. While this study was not designed to determine the effects of repeated administration of MCAM, these results suggest that such studies are warranted.

During the reversal studies, respiration ($V_E$) increased to above control values (i.e., exceeding 140% of control) after the administration of naloxone or MCAM. The maximum increase in $V_E$ occurred 15 min after administration of naloxone and 45 min after administration of MCAM, consistent with the slower onset of action for MCAM in reversing respiratory depression compared with naloxone. This overshoot in respiration is likely related to withdrawal signs that emerge in patients who receive naloxone as an antidote for opioid overdose (Avetian et al. 2018). That this effect occurred in monkeys that were not physically dependent on an opioid is consistent with a well-characterized phenomenon known as acute opioid dependence, whereby many characteristic opioid withdrawal signs can be produced by administering a single dose of opioid antagonist in a subject treated with a single dose of opioid agonist (e.g., Kishioka et al. 2000a; Walker and Sterious 2005). Thus, like naloxone, MCAM would be expected to precipitate withdrawal in an opioid-intoxicated individual, even if that individual is not physically dependent on opioids. Patients taking a dose of an opioid large enough to warrant rescue with an antagonist would likely experience withdrawal symptoms regardless of whether they receive MCAM or naloxone. Moreover, because of the long duration of action of MCAM, precipitated withdrawal could be prolonged, compared with that of naloxone. However, there is emerging evidence that MCAM could have a distinct advantage over naloxone because it has been
suggested that withdrawal symptoms might be reduced by a slower onset of recovery from overdose (Wermeling 2015). Additional studies are needed to compare the severity and duration of withdrawal precipitated by MCAM and naloxone.

In summary, acute administration of MCAM prevents and reverses the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin and might be superior to naloxone for reversing overdose because a single injection of MCAM protects against opioid-induced respiratory depression for days. Patients receiving MCAM instead of naloxone could be released from the hospital sooner without concerns about the reemergence of opioid agonist effects or subsequent overdose if additional opioids are taken. Overall, these studies indicate that MCAM could be useful for treating opioid overdose; however, before this drug is tested in humans, additional preclinical studies are warranted. One factor that needs to be examined in more detail is how the effects of MCAM might change with repeated or extended administration; these effects could be compared with Vivitrol®, the slow release formulation of naltrexone. Another factor that might affect the clinical use of MCAM is its onset of action of MCAM, and additional studies are needed to determine conditions under which it reverses respiratory depression rapidly enough to rescue patients from the potentially lethal effect of opioids. For example, it is possible that MCAM has a faster onset by intramuscular or intranasal administration, the routes by which naloxone is given to human patients, or that particular formulations of MCAM have a more rapid onset of action. Although naloxone had a faster onset of action, MCAM partially reversed heroin-induced respiratory depression within 15 min of administration. If reversal of overdose is too slow with all formulations of MCAM alone by all possible routes of administration, it could potentially be combined with naloxone to provide both rapid reversal of and sustained protection from opioid overdose.
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Legends for Figures

**Figure 1.** Potency for heroin to decrease respiration across monkeys. The average of two heroin dose-effect curves determined in the absence of antagonist are shown with each symbol representing data from a different monkey. Because the potency of heroin varied across monkeys, the smallest dose of heroin that decreased $V_E$ to $<70\%$ of control was designated dose X and used to normalize dose-effect curves to compare results of antagonism studies (figures 2 and 4). Abscissae: heroin dose in mg/kg body weight. Ordinates: minute volume ($V_E$) in ml/min (left) or expressed as a percentage of control, which was obtained from the last 5 min of the control period for that session (right).

**Figure 2.** Prevention of the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin in 4 monkeys. Heroin dose-effect curves were determined at various times after the administration of MCAM (upper) or naltrexone (lower). Data from OL, ME, CE and GI are shown in the upper panel whereas data from OL, ME, SC, and GI are shown in the bottom panel. Heroin doses were normalized across animals such that dose X is the smallest dose of heroin that decreased $V_E$ to $<70\%$ of control (see figure 1 for individual doses). Abscissae: normalized heroin dose. Ordinates: minute volume ($V_E$) expressed as a percentage of control.

**Figure 3.** Reversal of the respiratory-depressant effects of heroin in 4 monkeys. A dose of heroin that decreased $V_E$ to $<70\%$ of control when given as a bolus injection was administered with a second injection of either saline, MCAM, or naloxone given 30 min later. The dose of heroin needed to produce this effect varied across monkeys (OL: 0.1 mg/kg, ME: 0.32 mg/kg, SC: 0.56 mg/kg, and GI: 1 mg/kg). Asterisks indicate that data points obtained following administration of an antagonist are significantly greater those obtained with heroin alone. Abscissae: time since administration of the second injection with time since heroin administration shown in parentheses. Ordinates: minute volume ($V_E$) expressed as a
percentage of control.

**Figure 4.** Heroin dose-effect curves determined on various days after the MCAM or naloxone reversal tests shown in figure 3. Only the largest dose of naloxone is shown 1 day after the reversal test. Abscissae: normalized heroin dose. Ordinates: minute volume ($V_E$) expressed as a percentage of control.

**Figure 5.** Doses of heroin that decrease respiration to 75% at various times after administration of an antagonist. The upper panel, which shows results from the prevention experiments, summarizes dose-effect curves from figure 2, and the lower panel, which shows results from the reversal experiments, summarizes data from figure 4. Points above C indicate the ED$_{75}$ value obtained from heroin dose-effect curves in the absence of antagonist. Asterisks indicate that ED$_{75}$ values for heroin obtained after administration of an antagonist are significantly different from those obtained with heroin alone. Abscissae: days since antagonist administration. Ordinates: dose (mg/kg) of heroin needed to decrease $V_E$ to 75% of control.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
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<tbody>
<tr>
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</tbody>
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