



Citation for published version:

Campbell, J & Turner, J 2019, 'There is limited existing evidence to support the common assumption that strenuous endurance exercise bouts impair immune competency', *Expert review of clinical immunology*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 105-109. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1744666X.2019.1548933>

DOI:

[10.1080/1744666X.2019.1548933](https://doi.org/10.1080/1744666X.2019.1548933)

Publication date:

2019

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

This is an Accepted Manuscript of an article published by Taylor & Francis in Expert Review of Clinical Immunology on 3 December 2018, available online:
<http://www.tandfonline.com/10.1080/1744666X.2019.1548933>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **There is limited existing evidence to support the common assumption that strenuous**
2 **endurance exercise bouts impair immune competency**

3

4 John P. Campbell*[†] and James E. Turner*[‡]

5

6 *Joint corresponding authors

7

8 Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, United Kingdom.

9

10 [†]Email: j.campbell@bath.ac.uk Telephone 01225 38 5495

11

12 [‡]Email: j.e.turner@bath.ac.uk Telephone 01225 38 3566

13

14

15 **Key words:** Exercise, Physical activity, Endurance sports, Over-training, Upper respiratory
16 tract infections, Open window hypothesis, Infection susceptibility, Immune competency,
17 Immuno-suppression, Immuno-depression

18

19 **Introduction**

20

21 Research from the 1980s and 1990s led to three principles of exercise immunology being
22 formed which imply that an acute bout of moderate-to-vigorous intensity endurance exercise
23 can induce a short-term period of immuno-suppression. This prevailing dogma has been
24 challenged recently [1]. Following acute bouts of exercise, particularly endurance activities,
25 such as running for several hours, it has generally been accepted that; (i) infection risk is
26 increased; (ii) salivary IgA temporarily declines; and (iii) transient decreases in the number
27 and function of immune cells in blood represents immuno-suppression. These observations led
28 to the ‘open-window hypothesis’ which purports that the immune system can be transiently
29 compromised after some forms of acute exercise. In this editorial, we briefly summarise key
30 messages from a recent narrative review that challenges these conclusions [1]. Our focus herein
31 is on the immunological effects of moderate-to-vigorous intensity endurance exercise bouts.
32 Other forms of exercise, such as strengthening or resistance exercise, are beyond the scope of
33 this editorial. Overall, we state that there is limited robust evidence to support an immuno-

34 suppressive effect of any exercise form. We highlight that further research is required to fully
35 understand the immunological effects of endurance exercise training that is particularly
36 prolonged (i.e. at least several hours) regular (i.e. once or twice a day) demanding (i.e.
37 moderate-to-vigorous intensity) and chronic (i.e. performed over weeks or months). In
38 summary, the belief that forms of exercise can be immuno-suppressive is counterproductive
39 for encouraging exercise as a preventative and therapeutic strategy for chronic disease. Indeed,
40 we advocate research that examines the *benefits* of exercise for immune competency, and
41 briefly highlight areas that could be prioritised.

42

43 **Exercise and infections**

44

45 Well known studies from the 1980s and 1990s reported that infectious episodes are increased
46 after taking part in mass participation endurance sport events. For example, one third of
47 participants in the 1982 Two Oceans 56 km ultra-marathon in Cape Town South Africa, self-
48 reported symptoms of upper respiratory tract infections within two weeks of the race [2]. The
49 age-matched control group, who shared a home with another of the race competitors, reported
50 half the symptoms in the same period [2]. It should be considered however, that attending any
51 mass participation event – whether exercising or not – is likely to increase the risk of
52 encountering pathogens due to crowds of people. For example, a study has shown that around
53 one third of people attending a mass-participation religious gathering reported infections, and
54 symptom reporting was most common among individuals with the greatest exposure to crowds
55 [3]. Similarly, it is often claimed that athlete populations exhibit a high frequency of illness
56 symptoms. However, evidence shows that athletes exhibit a similar number of illness episodes
57 as the general population annually, but their symptoms often cluster around winter months,
58 sometimes during concentrated periods of training or when attending competition events [1].

59

60 A limitation of most studies interpreting self-reported illness symptoms is that potential
61 infections were not confirmed by laboratory analysis. Indeed, a study of athletes reporting
62 illness symptoms over five-months, used nasopharyngeal and throat swabs to show that only
63 one third of self-diagnoses represented genuine infections [4]. Thus, most symptoms reported
64 by athletes are likely to be caused by allergy, asthma or non-specific mucosal inflammation
65 rather than pathogens and exercise-induced immuno-suppression [5]. Among the few genuine
66 infections, if there is an immunological component of risk, then non-exercise factors most
67 likely contribute. These can include long-haul air travel crossing multiple time-zones, exposure

68 to hypobaric hypoxia, radiation, temperature changes, sleep disruption, altered diet,
69 dehydration and psychological stress [5, 6].

70

71 **Exercise and salivary IgA**

72

73 Studies are often cited showing that salivary IgA, measured as absolute concentration (mg/mL)
74 or as secretion rate (IgA protein concentration multiplied by saliva flow rate; mg/mL/min) can
75 decline by 20-25% following exercise bouts [1]. Yet, other studies, which are cited less, have
76 shown the opposite effect [7]. Although resting levels of salivary IgA have been linked to self-
77 reported illness symptoms, transient fluctuations and inter-individual differences could be
78 driven by factors such as circadian rhythm, psychological stress, dehydration, diet, ethnicity,
79 medications, biological sex, and phase of the menstrual cycle. Perhaps most importantly, given
80 that periodontal disease is common among athletes [8], oral health status results in profound
81 between-person salivary IgA variation [9] but is rarely considered. Measuring salivary IgA in
82 isolation provides an incomplete and potentially confusing assessment of immune competency.

83

84 Recent studies have expanded salivary analyses to include several other anti-microbial proteins
85 or peptides (e.g. alpha-amylase, human neutrophil peptides 1-3, human defensins 5-6,
86 lactoferrin, LL-37, and lysozyme) characterising fluctuations in response to exercise [10].
87 However, many more proteins require exploration and validation as predictors of infection risk.
88 For example, 151 differentially expressed proteins were identified when examining nasal
89 mucosal washes from people infected with influenza compared to uninfected controls using a
90 proteomic approach that quantified around 1000 proteins [11]. Many aspects of both cellular
91 and humoral mucosal immunity have been examined as predictors of infections. Although
92 salivary IgA has received most attention, it is likely that relationships between illness
93 symptoms and most measurements of mucosal immunity are influenced by other factors [12].
94 Between-person differences in infection susceptibility – aside from the influence of pathogen
95 exposure, environmental and behavioural factors – are most likely explained by single
96 nucleotide polymorphisms in key genes leading to individual idiosyncrasies in multiple aspects
97 of immune function.

98

99 **Exercise and changes to immune cell frequency and functional capacity**

100

101 During exercise, immune cell frequency in blood is increased [13]. Some cells detach from the
102 endothelium and recirculate due to changes in shear forces, blood pressure, and sympathetic
103 nervous system activity, whereas other cells mobilise from tissues such as the spleen. Upon
104 exercise cessation, and most prominently among lymphocytes, cell frequency falls below
105 resting levels to a nadir 1 or 2 hours later, usually returning to baseline within 24 hours. These
106 post-exercise changes are partly due to the functional properties of the mobilised cells but also
107 due to hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal axis activation. Coinciding with changes in cell
108 frequency, parallel alterations to cell function have been reported (e.g. cytokine production,
109 proliferation, migration capability, cytotoxicity) typically characterised by increases during
110 exercise, and decreases after, leading to speculation that immune function is transiently
111 compromised [14].

112

113 A more contemporary viewpoint is that these observations, particularly among lymphocytes,
114 are part of a well-orchestrated immune-surveillance response. Exercise redeploys highly
115 functional sub-populations of T cells, B cells and Natural Killer cells to peripheral tissues (e.g.
116 mucosal surfaces) to identify and eradicate infected cells and damaged or malignant cells;
117 termed the acute-stress/exercise immune-enhancement hypothesis [15]. Pivotal research by
118 Kruger and colleagues, using fluorescent cell tracking in rodents, showed that T cells are
119 redeployed to the gut, lungs, and bone marrow following exercise [16] reflecting heightened
120 immune-surveillance at sites where pathogens are likely to be encountered (gut, lungs) and
121 heightened immuno-regulatory activities (in bone marrow). In addition, 24 hours after exercise,
122 a small number of apoptotic lymphocytes accumulate in bone marrow and blood coinciding
123 with a mobilisation of haematopoietic stem cells [17]. Further, injecting apoptotic lymphocytes
124 (or their supernatant) into the bloodstream stimulates haematopoietic stem cell mobilisation
125 within 2 hours [17]. These observations support the proposal that exercise reverses T cell
126 immunosenescence by “making immunological space” [18]. In this hypothesis, it is proposed
127 that exercise mobilises senescent T cells into blood, which home to tissues where some undergo
128 apoptosis. Naïve T cells refill the “immunological space” that has been created, due to exercise-
129 induced thymopoiesis or extrathymic development, perhaps in response to IL-7 released from
130 contracting skeletal muscle [18, 19].

131

132 Although cell frequency in blood is informative, cell function is arguably more clinically
133 relevant, but is strongly influenced by the number and type of cells assessed. During exercise,
134 blood is predominantly occupied by cells capable of responding strongly to *in vitro* stimuli,

135 and therefore many studies have reported “improved” function of cells close to an exercise
136 stimulus. In the hours following exercise, due to redeployment of highly functional cells to
137 tissues, blood has fewer cells capable of responding to *in vitro* stimuli, explaining the
138 commonly reported “decrease” in cell function post-exercise. Lancaster and colleagues
139 demonstrated these effects in 2005, reporting that interferon-gamma production by stimulated
140 CD8+ T cells is reduced 2 hours after moderate-intensity cycling for 2.5 hours [20].
141 Importantly, the reduced capacity to produce interferon-gamma was due to fewer interferon-
142 gamma positive CD8+ T cells in blood at the time of sampling [20]. Adequate resolution can
143 only be achieved by examining cell function on a per-cell and per-phenotype basis while
144 considering the kinetics of cell sub-populations and their proportions in the samples assessed.
145 For example, it has been shown that the frequency of CD8+ T cells producing cytokines is
146 dependent on the proportion of naïve and memory cell sub-populations within the T cell pool,
147 differentiated by CD27 and CD45RA [21].

148

149 These principles, although less widely investigated, also likely apply to cells of the innate
150 immune system. For example, changes to neutrophil function with exercise might represent a
151 shift in the proportion of immature and mature cells, and the concomitant migratory or homing
152 capability of cells might therefore explain differences in cell function especially if reported
153 between different biological fluids and tissues [22]. There has been an over-generalisation that
154 “impaired” function of adaptive immune cells following exercise – which, as explained above,
155 is influenced by individual cell properties and their proportions present in samples assayed –
156 also applies to cells of the innate immune system. Indeed, some reports are often overlooked,
157 showing that innate immune cells, such as macrophages and neutrophils, exhibit *increased* cell
158 functions (e.g. chemotaxis, phagocytosis and microbicidal capacity) following exercise [23,
159 24]. Thus, results of studies examining exercise-induced changes to cell frequency and function
160 must be interpreted carefully considering differential effects on innate or adaptive immune
161 cells, their sub-populations, and the time-dependent changes in the cellular composition of
162 blood.

163

164 **Neuroendocrine regulation of immune cell function**

165

166 The catecholamines adrenaline and noradrenaline – a focus for some mechanistic
167 investigations of exercise and immune cell function – are often labelled as “immuno-
168 suppressors”, which is an oversimplification [23, 24]. Conclusions have predominantly been

169 made with unfractionated lymphocytes, or CD4+ T cells isolated at rest, manipulating
170 catecholamine exposure during proliferative stimulation using mitogens such as
171 phytohaemagglutinin (PHA). There are, however, important nuances when interpreting *in vitro*
172 experiments and extrapolating findings to *in vivo* processes, even at rest. First, the anti-
173 proliferative effects of noradrenaline reported at high concentrations do not always occur with
174 lower levels of noradrenaline, especially in the presence of glucocorticoids [23]. Instead,
175 reports show these conditions can *stimulate*, rather than suppress, lymphocyte proliferation and
176 are perhaps more representative of *in vivo* settings [23]. Indeed, although glucocorticoids are
177 considered immunosuppressive, the exercise-induced cortisol response stimulates innate and
178 adaptive immune cells to migrate out of the circulation for tissue immune-surveillance [25,
179 26]. Second, the suppressive effect that catecholamines can have on lymphocyte proliferation
180 do not generalise to all cells. For example, catecholamines can *stimulate* macrophage and
181 neutrophil function [23, 24] and there is further complexity when examining cell sub-
182 populations. Experiments assessing adrenergic stimulation of Natural Killer cells, CD4+ and
183 CD8+ T cells, and antigen presenting cells support a suppressive effect [27] but more resolution
184 is provided by separating CD8+ T cells into naïve and memory sub-populations [28]. These
185 results show differential effects of noradrenaline, which *stimulates* inflammatory cytokine
186 production and *tempers* activation-induced proliferation in memory cells, but exerts minimal
187 effects in naïve cells [28]. The complexities of *in vitro* results are amplified with *in vivo* studies,
188 especially in an exercise context, and further investigations are required. However, we
189 emphasise it is an oversimplification to conclude that forms of exercise, which stimulate a
190 neuroendocrine response, suppress immune cell function and impair overall immune
191 competency.

192

193 **Questions that remain unanswered: future research for examining exercise, immune** 194 **function and infection susceptibility**

195

196 Epidemiological associations between exercise volume and infection risk – usually assessed
197 via self-reported illness symptoms – are often described as a ‘J-shaped curve’ [29]. This
198 relationship infers that people accumulating large volumes of exercise exhibit a greater
199 infection risk than those who do not exercise, and compared to individuals who exercise at
200 moderate levels, which is protective, the risk of infections is even greater [29]. However, the
201 immunological effects of undertaking exceptionally large volumes of long-duration endurance
202 exercise training, particularly when accumulated over weeks and months, are not well

203 understood. Circadian secretion profiles and overall exposure to various biochemical and
204 neuroendocrine factors may be altered, and these changes could in principle, affect aspects of
205 immune function [30]. In addition, given the rapidly developing field of immuno-metabolism,
206 we anticipate metabolic investigations of immune cell function in an exercise context, and a
207 renewed search for factors that are depleted (or accumulate) following individual or
208 accumulated bouts of exercise. Although some factors have previously been discounted (e.g.
209 the amino acid glutamine; [31]), and, extreme metabolic disruption via starvation has relatively
210 modest effects on immune function [32], improvements in technology and immuno-metabolic
211 understanding may yield further insights, especially in studies that tease apart complex
212 interactions between exercise, nutrition, and immune competency. However, as with
213 assessments of immune cell function, it is critical that immuno-metabolic measurements are
214 examined on a per-cell and per-phenotype basis, considering the time-dependent influence
215 exercise has on the cellular composition of blood. Potential biomarkers of immune
216 competency, or processes that appear to be affected by exercise, should subsequently be
217 validated against infections that have been confirmed with laboratory diagnostics.

218

219 Exercise-induced leukocytosis is one of the most reproduced findings in exercise physiology,
220 and we anticipate future studies will continue to replicate classical findings with emerging
221 forms of popular exercise. A recent example is sprint-interval exercise, also referred to as High
222 Intensity Interval Exercise (HIIE), which, as might be expected, stimulates T cell and Natural
223 Killer cell trafficking, but responses are larger following a continuous and sustained exercise
224 stimulus [33]. Other studies have shown that frequent HIIE (or High Intensity Interval
225 Training; HIIT) does not compromise mucosal immunity [34] and that both HIIT and
226 continuous training similarly improve innate immune cell function at rest [35]. Exploring the
227 immunological effects of different exercise modes has merit for improving understanding of
228 immune function, especially if the optimal mode of exercise is yet to be established for
229 particular groups (e.g. patients with chronic disease).

230

231 **Conclusion: exercise in general is beneficial for immune competency across the lifespan**
232 **in health and disease**

233

234 In summary, based on current evidence, it is misleading to state that *any* form of exercise is
235 immuno-suppressive. This belief is counterproductive for encouraging exercise as a
236 preventative and therapeutic strategy for chronic disease. Indeed, we encourage research that

237 examines exercise-induced *enhancement* of immune competency, which could be particularly
238 beneficial for elderly people and patients with diseases that have an immunological aetiology.
239 For example, the acute immune response to single exercise bouts, and chronic adaptation with
240 regular endurance exercise training, both bolster immune responses to vaccination in younger
241 and older people [36]. When forms of exercise are prescribed to reduce cancer risk or to
242 facilitate cancer therapy, there are likely to be multiple mechanisms, and some are probably
243 immunological. These mechanisms might elicit their effects via the transitory responses to
244 acute exercise bouts, the cumulative effects of repeated transitory responses, or the long-term
245 chronic adaptation with exercise training. However, in settings where tumour cells have
246 developed – or are perhaps developing – a strong emphasis has been placed on the effects that
247 individual bouts of exercise can have. For example, acute moderate-to-vigorous intensity
248 endurance exercise stimulates Natural Killer cells to detect and eliminate tumours (or pre-
249 cancer cells) [37]. In addition, serum collected immediately after acute endurance exercise
250 bouts has been shown to impair breast cancer cell viability, but serum collected at rest after
251 long-term endurance training had no effects [38]. Finally, being regularly active, partly by
252 engaging in forms of exercise, might limit or delay ageing of the immune system, potentially
253 reducing the chance of developing infections and cancer [39, 40].

254

255 **References**

256 Papers of special note have been highlighted as either of interest (*) or considerable interest
257 (**) to readers.

258

259 1. Campbell JP, Turner JE. Debunking the myth of exercise-induced immune suppression:
260 redefining the impact of exercise on immunological health across the lifespan. *Front*
261 *Immunol.* 2018;9:648.

262

263 **** A recent comprehensive review article challenging some of the principles of exercise**
264 **immunology, in particular, the ‘open window hypothesis’ and the ‘J-shaped curve’.**

265

266 2. Peters EM, Bateman ED. Ultramarathon running and upper respiratory tract infections.
267 An epidemiological survey. *S Afr Med J.* 1983;64(15):582-4.

268

269 *** One of the first papers demonstrating increased illness symptom reporting following**
270 **endurance exercise.**

271

272 3. Choudhry AJ, Al-Mudaimigh KS, Turkistani AM, Al-Hamdan NA. Hajj-associated
273 acute respiratory infection among hajjis from Riyadh. *East Mediterr Health J.*
274 2006;12(3-4):300-9.

275

- 276 4. Spence L, Brown WJ, Pyne DB, Nissen MD, Sloots TP, McCormack JG, et al.
277 Incidence, etiology, and symptomatology of upper respiratory illness in elite athletes.
278 Med Sci Sports Exerc. 2007;39(4):577-86.
279
- 280 5. Gleeson M. Immunological aspects of sport nutrition. Immunol Cell Biol.
281 2016;94(2):117-23.
282
- 283 6. Svendsen IS, Taylor IM, Tonnessen E, Bahr R, Gleeson M. Training-related and
284 competition-related risk factors for respiratory tract and gastrointestinal infections in
285 elite cross-country skiers. Br J Sports Med. 2016.
286
- 287 *** A paper demonstrating that long-haul air travel is one of the strongest predictors of**
288 **self-reported illness symptoms among competitive athletes.**
289
- 290 7. Blannin AK, Robson PJ, Walsh NP, Clark AM, Glennon L, Gleeson M. The effect of
291 exercising to exhaustion at different intensities on saliva immunoglobulin A, protein
292 and electrolyte secretion. Int J Sports Med. 1998;19(8):547-52.
293
- 294 *** This paper shows that although saliva flow rate can decrease in response to exercise,**
295 **IgA secretion rate can increase, leading to the authors' conclusion that "exercise has an**
296 **effect on the quantity of saliva, but not the quality of saliva".**
297
- 298 8. Needleman I, Ashley P, Petrie A, Fortune F, Turner W, Jones J, et al. Oral health and
299 impact on performance of athletes participating in the London 2012 Olympic Games: a
300 cross-sectional study. Br J Sports Med. 2013;47(16):1054-8.
301
- 302 9. Marcotte H, Lavoie MC. Oral microbial ecology and the role of salivary
303 immunoglobulin A. Microbiol Mol Biol Rev. 1998;62(1):71-109.
304
- 305 10. Kunz H, Bishop NC, Spielmann G, Pistillo M, Reed J, Ograjsek T, et al. Fitness level
306 impacts salivary antimicrobial protein responses to a single bout of cycling exercise.
307 Eur J Appl Physiol. 2015;115:1015-1027.
308
- 309 11. Marion T, Elbahesh H, Thomas PG, DeVincenzo JP, Webby R, Schughart K.
310 Respiratory mucosal proteome quantification in human influenza infections. PLOS one.
311 2016;11(4):e0153674
312
- 313 12. Colbey C, Cox AJ, Pyne DB, Zhang P, Cripps AW, West NP. Upper respiratory
314 symptoms, gut health and mucosal immunity in athletes. Sports Med. 2018;48:S65-S77.
315
- 316 *** A recent review article highlighting factors that should be considered when examining**
317 **relationships between mucosal immunity and illness symptoms among athletes.**
318
- 319 13. Shephard RJ. Adhesion molecules, catecholamines and leucocyte redistribution during
320 and following exercise. Sports Med. 2003;33(4): 261-284.
321
- 322 14. Shek PN, Sabiston BH, Buguet A, Radomski MW. Strenuous exercise and
323 immunological changes: a multiple-time-point analysis of leukocyte subsets, CD4/CD8
324 ratio, immunoglobulin production and NK cell response. 1995. J Sports Med.
325 16(7):466-474.

- 326
327 15. Dhabhar FS. Effects of stress on immune function: the good, the bad, and the beautiful.
328 Immunol Res. 2014;8(2-3):193-210.
329
330 **** A comprehensive review article describing the acute-stress/exercise immune-**
331 **enhancement hypothesis which should be considered when interpreting the effects that**
332 **exercise has on immune function.**
333
- 334 16. Krüger K, Lechtermann A, Fobker M, Völker K, Mooren FC. Exercise-induced
335 redistribution of T lymphocytes is regulated by adrenergic mechanisms. Brain Behav
336 Immun. 2008;22(3):324-38.
337
338 **** Using fluorescent cell tracking in rodents, this paper shows that T cells are**
339 **redeployed to the gut, lungs, and bone marrow following exercise, reflecting heightened**
340 **immune-surveillance and immuno-regulatory activities.**
341
- 342 17. Mooren FC, Kruger K. Apoptotic lymphocytes induce progenitor cell mobilization after
343 exercise. J Appl Physiol (1985). 2015;119(2):135-9.
344
345 *** This paper shows that the small number of apoptotic lymphocytes in blood and bone**
346 **marrow after exercise stimulates haematopoietic stem cell mobilisation which might**
347 **help to regenerate an ageing immune system.**
348
- 349 18. Simpson RJ. Aging, persistent viral infections, and immunosenescence: can exercise
350 "make space"? Exerc Sport Sci Rev. 2011;39(1): 23-33.
351
352 *** Assuming the "size" of the immune system is "fixed" (and other considerations**
353 **outlined in reference 19) this article proposes that exercise might counter**
354 **immunological ageing by facilitating apoptosis of senescent and dysfunctional memory**
355 **T cells "making space" that is filled with newly formed naïve T cells.**
356
- 357 19. Turner JE. Is immunosenescence influenced by our lifetime "dose" of exercise?
358 Biogerontology. 2016;17(3): 581-602.
359
- 360 20. Lancaster GI, Khan Q, Drysdale PT, Wallace F, Jeukendrup AE, Drayson MT, et al.
361 Effect of prolonged exercise and carbohydrate ingestion on type 1 and type 2 T
362 lymphocyte distribution and intracellular cytokine production in humans. J Appl
363 Physiol (1985). 2005;98(2):565-71.
364
- 365 21. Lavoy EC, Bosch JA, Lowder TW, Simpson RJ. Acute aerobic exercise in humans
366 increases cytokine expression in CD27(-) but not CD27(+) CD8(+) T-cells. Brain
367 Behav Immun. 2013;27(1):54-62.
368
369 *** This paper is one of the only published exercise investigations to have examined the**
370 **functional characteristics of T cells on a per-cell and per-phenotype basis (with**
371 **resolution to the sub-population level, differentiated with CD27 and CD45RA) and**
372 **should be considered gold-standard methodology.**
373

- 374 22. Davison G, Jones AW. Oral neutrophil responses to acute prolonged exercise may not
375 be representative of blood neutrophil responses. *Appl Physiol Nutr Metab.*
376 2015;40(3):298-301.
377
- 378 23. Ortega E. Neuroendocrine mediators in the modulation of phagocytosis by exercise:
379 physiological implications. *Exerc Immunol Rev.* 2003;9:70-93.
380
- 381 24. Ortega E, Giraldo E, Hincahda MD, Martín L, Garcia JJ, De la Fuente M.
382 Neuroimmunomodulation during exercise: role of catecholamines as ‘stress mediator’
383 and/or ‘Danger Signal’ for the innate immune response. *Neuroimmunomodulation.*
384 2007;14:206-212.
385
- 386 25. Okutsu M, Ishii K, Niu KJ, Nagatomi R. Cortisol-induced CXCR4 augmentation
387 mobilizes T lymphocytes after acute physical stress. *Am J Physiol Regul Integr Comp*
388 *Physiol.* 2005;288:R591-R599.
389
- 390 26. Okutsu M, Suzuki K, Ishijima T, Peake J, Higuchi M. The effects of acute exercise-
391 induced cortisol on CCR2 expression on human monocytes. *Brain Behav Immun.*
392 2008;22(7):1066-1071.
393
- 394 27. *Zalli A, Bosch JA, Goodyear O, Riddell N, McGettrick HM, Moss P, et al. Targeting
395 β 2 adrenergic receptors regulate human T cell function directly and indirectly. *Brain*
396 *Behav Immun.* 2015;45:211-218
397
- 398 *** A paper that advances our understanding of how adrenergic activity affects aspects of**
399 **immune cell function by examining multiple cell types, including antigen-presenting**
400 **cells, and using virus antigens rather than non-specific stimulation.**
401
- 402 28. * Slota C, Shi A, Chen G, Bevans M, Weng N. Norepinephrine preferentially
403 modulates memory CD8 T cell function inducing inflammatory cytokine productivte
404 and reducing proliferation in response to activation. *Brain Behav Immun.* 2015;46:168-
405 179
406
- 407 *** A paper that emphasises the importance of separating T cells into naïve and memory**
408 **populations for functional assays, especially if examining the effects of neuroendocrine**
409 **stimulation.**
410
- 411 29. Nieman DC. Exercise, infection, and immunity. *Int J Sports Med.* 1994;15 Suppl
412 3:S131-41.
413
- 414 *** The founding paper of the ‘J-shaped curve’ describing relationships between exercise**
415 **and infection risk.**
416
- 417 30. Meeusen R, Duclos M, Foster C, Fry A, Gleeson M, Nieman D, et al. Prevention,
418 diagnosis and treatment of the overtraining syndrome: joint consensus statement of the
419 European College of Sport Science (ECSS) and the American College of Sports
420 Medicine (ACSM). *Eur J Sport Sci.* 2013;13(1):1-24.
421

- 422 31. Hiscock N, Pedersen BK. Exercise-induced immunodepression- plasma glutamine is
423 not the link. *J Appl Physiol* (1985). 2002;93(3):813-22.
424
- 425 32. Neuvonen PT, Salo M. Effects of short-term starvation on the immune response. *Nutr*
426 *Res.* 1984;4:771-6.
427
- 428 33. Turner JE, Wadley AJ, Aldred S, Fisher JP, Bosch JA, Campbell JP. Intensive exercise
429 does not preferentially mobilize skin-homing T cells and NK cells. *Med Sci Sports*
430 *Exerc.* 2016;48(7):1285-1293.
431
- 432 34. Born D, Zinner C, Sperlich B. The mucosal immune function is not compromised
433 during a period of high-intensity interval training. Is it time to reconsider an old
434 assumption? *Front Physiol.* 2017;8:485
435
- 436 35. Bartlett DB, Shepherd SO, Wilson OJ, Adlan AM, Wagenmakers, AJM, Shaw CS, et
437 al. Neutrophil and monocyte bactericidal responses to 10 weeks of low-volume high-
438 intensity interval or moderate-intensity continuous training in sedentary adults. *Oxid*
439 *Med Cell Longev.* 2017;8:148742.
440
- 441 36. Pascoe AR, Fiatarone Singh MA, Edwards KM. The effects of exercise on vaccination
442 responses: a review of chronic and acute exercise interventions in humans. *Brain Behav*
443 *Immun.* 2014;39:33-41.
444
- 445 37. Pedersen L, Idorn M, Olofsson GH, Lauenborg B, Nookaew I, Hansen RH, et al.
446 Voluntary running suppresses tumor growth through epinephrine- and IL-6-dependent
447 NK cell mobilization and redistribution. *Cell Metab.* 2016;23(3):554-62.
448
- 449 **** Seminal work across a variety of rodent cancer models, showing that exercise**
450 **stimulates a mobilization and redistribution of natural killer cells into tumours via**
451 **epinephrine and interleukin-6, which limits tumour growth and improves overall**
452 **survival.**
453
- 454 38. Dethlefsen C, Lillielund C, Midtgaard J, Andersen C, Pedersen BK, Christensen JF, et
455 al. Exercise regulates breast cancer cell viability: systemic training adaptations versus
456 acute exercise responses. *Breast Cancer Res Treat.* 2016;159(3):469-479.
457
- 458 39. Duggal NA, Pollock RD, Lazarus NR, Harridge S, Lord JM. Major features of
459 immunesenescence, including reduced thymic output, are ameliorated by high levels of
460 physical activity in adulthood. *Aging Cell.* 2018;17(2).
461
- 462 *** This paper provides robust evidence that regular physical activity undertaken across**
463 **the life-course limits ageing of the immune system.**
464
- 465 40. Turner JE, Brum PC. Does regular exercise counter T cell immunosenescence reducing
466 the risk of developing cancer and promoting successful treatment of malignancies?
467 *Oxid Med Cell Longev.* 2017;2017:4234765.
468
- 469 **** A comprehensive review article which explores whether exercise reduces the risk of**
470 **developing cancer by limiting ageing of the immune system.**
471