



Citation for published version:

Davidson, B & Ellis, D 2019, 'Social media addiction: technological déjà vu', *BMJ*, vol. 365, l4277.
<https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4277>

DOI:

[10.1136/bmj.l4277](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4277)

Publication date:

2019

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Copyright © 2019 BMJ. The final publication is available at The BMJ via <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.l4277>

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Zendle and Bowen-Jones are correct when they point towards methodological shortcomings in research that aims to understand the impact of social media [1]. However, these observations also apply to related research, which considers the impact of technology use more generally. Designs typically involve asking people to consider their personal experience with technology [2] and this reflects a general shift away from behavioral measurement in psychology [3,4]. In a recent article, we tested the predictive ability of popular assessment inventories used to quantify smartphone usage. These inventories did not align well with even the most basic measures of objective behavior, including those associated with compulsive use (e.g., rapid checking) [1,5]. It remains unclear exactly what these assessment inventories are measuring. Interestingly, survey items are conceptually very similar to depression and anxiety scales. This alone may explain small negative associations between technology use and mood.

Alongside these limitations, narratives surrounding the mass adoption of new technologies are almost always negative. In response, researchers might want to start asking themselves exactly why or how the use of social communication technology would cause harm and develop more suitable measures accordingly. Social media is certainly not the first technology to be associated with potentially ‘addictive’ or negative societal impacts [6,7], nor will it be the last. Moral panics concerning new technology (e.g., the printing press, the telephone, microwaves, the internet, social media) are, historically speaking, either overblown or demonstrably false.

Understanding the impact of technology on people and society remains crucial, but clinicians and researchers might also want to consider what drives ‘technophobia’ in the first place.

1. Ellis DA, Davidson BI, Shaw H, Geyer K. (2019). Do smartphone usage scales predict behavior? *International Journal of Human-Computer Studies*, Special Issue on Human Accuracy. DOI: 10.1016/j.ijhcs.2019.05.004
2. Ellis DA. Are smartphones really that bad? Improving the psychological measurement of technology-related behaviors. (2019). *Computers in Human Behavior*. DOI: 10.1016/j.chb.2019.03.006
3. Doliński D. (2018). Is Psychology Still a Science of Behaviour? *Social Psychological Bulletin*. 13. e25025
4. Sassenberg K, Ditrich L. (2019). Research in Social Psychology Changed Between 2011 and 2016: Larger Sample Sizes, More Self-Report Measures, and More Online Studies. *Advances in Methods and Practices in Psychological Science*. DOI: 10.1177/2515245919838781
5. Andrews S, Ellis DA, Shaw H, Piwek L. (2015). Beyond self-report: Tools to compare estimated and real-world smartphone use. *PLoS One*. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0139004
6. Parker S. *Science Discoveries: Alexander Graham Bell*. Philadelphia, USA: Chelsea House Publishers; 1995.
7. Edgerton D. (1995). Technophobia then and now. *Nature*. 376(6542):653–4.