

*Citation for published version:*

Francisco, JS & Williams, I 1989, 'New perspectives on the effect of halocarbon degradation in ozone formation and destruction in stratospheric chemistry: thermochemical consideration of oxidation processes for trihalomethyl radicals', *Proceedings of the Annual Meeting of the National Organization of Black Chemists and Chemical Engineers*, vol. 16, pp. 59-72.

*Publication date:*

1989

*Document Version*

Early version, also known as pre-print

[Link to publication](#)

## University of Bath

### Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[openaccess@bath.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@bath.ac.uk)

#### General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

#### Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

NEW PERSPECTIVES ON THE EFFECT OF HALOCARBON DEGRADATION  
IN OZONE FORMATION AND DESTRUCTION IN STRATOSPHERIC CHEMISTRY:  
THERMOCHEMICAL CONSIDERATION OF OXIDATION  
PROCESSES FOR TRIHALOMETHYL RADICALS

J.S. Francisco

(Department of Chemistry, Wayne State University  
Detroit, Michigan 48202, U.S.A.)

and

I.H. Williams

(School of Chemistry, University of Bristol  
Cantock's Close, Bristol, BS8 ITS, U.K.)

*Proceedings of the 16<sup>th</sup> Annual Meeting of the National  
Organization of Black Chemists and Chemical Engineers,  
Chicago, IL. (1989)*

Abstract

The fate of stratospheric trihalomethyl radicals resulting from the photo-dissociation of chlorofluoromethane, has been explored in relation to their role in reactions leading to ozone depletion. Oxidation reactions involving  $CX_3O_x$  radicals ( $X = F$  or  $Cl$ ) are discussed from a thermo-chemical viewpoint in regards to their potential significance to atmospheric processes.

## INTRODUCTION

Atmospheric chlorofluoromethanes undergo UV photodissociation in the stratosphere to yield atomic chlorine (which participates in the  $\text{ClO}_x$  catalytic cycle for ozone depletion [1]) and a trihalomethyl radical  $\text{CX}_3^\bullet$  which is subsequently oxidised to  $\text{CX}_2\text{O}$  with the further release of a halogen atom [2]. Understanding of the mechanism of this oxidation requires knowledge of the thermodynamics and kinetics of processes involving oxygenated species  $\text{CX}_3\text{O}_x^\bullet$  ( $x = 1, 2$  or  $3$ ). The thermochemistry of polyoxy radicals  $\text{CF}_3\text{O}_x^\bullet$  was discussed in a previous paper [3] on the basis of group additivity, supported by semiempirical molecular-orbital (MO) calculations. In this paper we consider similarly the thermochemistry of  $\text{CCl}_3\text{O}_x^\bullet$  radicals, and discuss the thermochemical feasibilities of various processes of potential atmospheric significance. In particular, the possible involvement of  $\text{CX}_3\text{O}_x^\bullet$  species themselves in catalytic cycles for stratospheric ozone depletion is considered.

## METHODS AND RESULTS

Semiempirical self-consistent-field MO calculations were carried out by the MNDO method [4] using the MOPAC and AMPAC programs [5] including parameters for chlorine [6]. Full geometry optimization was performed for each species, and open-shell systems were treated by the half-electron method [7]. Table I contains MNDO calculated heats of formation for oxygenated trihalomethyl radicals.

Thermochemical estimates for heats of formation were obtained by the group additivity method [8] using the group contribution

TABLE I

Heats of formation ( $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) for  
trihalomethyl polyoxy radicals calculated by the MNDO method

Compound	R = $\text{CF}_3$	R = $\text{CF}_2\text{Cl}$	R = $\text{CFCl}_2$	R = $\text{CCl}_3$
$\text{R}^\bullet$	-574	-370	-176	2
$\text{RO}^\bullet$	-637	-404	-203	-33
$\text{RO}_2^\bullet$	-657	-436	-221	-38
$\text{RO}_3^\bullet$	-608	-388	-176	2

$\Delta H_f^\circ [O-(O)_2] = 55 \pm 6 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  as derived previously [3]. The activation energy for dissociation of  $CCl_3O_2^\bullet$  to  $CCl_3^\bullet$  and molecular oxygen has been determined [9] as  $E_a = 94.6 \pm 13 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  which, assuming a negligible barrier to recombination [10], leads to  $D(CCl_3 - O_2) = 97.1 \pm 13 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  at 298K. Using the experimental [11] heat of formation for  $CCl_3^\bullet$  then yields:

$$\Delta H_f^\circ (CCl_3O_2^\bullet) = \Delta H_f^\circ (CCl_3^\bullet) - D(CCl_3 - O_2) = -17.6 \pm 15 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$$

Comparison of this thermochemical estimate with the MNDO result for  $\Delta H_f^\circ (CCl_3O_2^\bullet) = -38 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  (Table I) suggests that the latter is underestimated by about  $21 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . Correcting the MNDO heat of formation for the (unknown) trichloromethoxy radical by this amount leads to  $\Delta H_f^\circ (CCl_3O_2^\bullet) = -11.7 \pm 21 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . A similar procedure was used in the previous work [3] to correct the MNDO heat formation for  $CF_3O_2^\bullet$ , based upon a comparison of calculated and experimental values for  $\Delta H_f^\circ (CF_3O^\bullet)$ , and the resulting estimate for  $\Delta H_f^\circ (CF_3O_2^\bullet)$  was found to be consistent with experimental data. This success for the trifluoromethyl species lends support to the present method for estimation of the trichloro-methoxy heat of formation. Use of the group contribution  $\Delta H_f^\circ [O-(O)_2]$ , as before [3], then leads to a thermochemical estimate for  $\Delta H_f^\circ (CCl_3O_3^\bullet) = 37.4 \pm 15 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ ; this is preferred to the MNDO value of  $2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , which seems to be an underestimate.

Thermochemical heats of formation for the  $CCl_3O_x^\bullet$  radicals considered in this work are presented in Table II along with values for other species mentioned in the subsequent discussion.

TABLE II

Thermochemical heats of  
formation ( $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$ ) for some chlorine containing compounds

Compound	$\Delta H_f^\circ, 298$	Compound	$\Delta H_f^\circ, 298$
$\text{CCl}_3^\circ$	$79.5 \pm 4.2^a$	$\text{ClO}_2^\circ$ (asym.)	$89 \pm 5^d$
$\text{CCl}_3\text{O}^\circ$	$-11.7 \pm 21^b$	$^\circ\text{ClO}_2$ (sym.)	$102 \pm 3^d$
$\text{CCl}_3\text{O}_2^\circ$	$-17.6 \pm 15^b$	$\text{ClO}_3^\circ$ (asym.)	$144 \pm 9^b$
$\text{CCl}_3\text{O}_3^\circ$	$37.4 \pm 15^b$	$^\circ\text{ClO}_3$ (sym.)	$155^e$
$\text{Cl}^\circ$	$121.3 \pm 0^c$	$\text{ClNO}_2$	$12.5 \pm 1.7^a$
$\text{ClO}^\circ$	$102.0 \pm 0.8^d$	$\text{CCl}_2\text{O}$	$-220.1 \pm 3.3^a$

a. Ref. [11].    b. This work

c. CODATA Recommended Key Values for Thermodynamics, 1977.  
*J. Chem. Thermodyn.*, 10, 903 (1978).




d. M.A.A. Clyne, D.J. McKenney and R.T. Watson, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans. 1*, 71, 322 (1975).

e. D.D. Wagman, W.H. Evans, V.B. Parker, I. Halow, S.M. Bailey, and R.H. Schumm, *NBS Technical Note 270-3*, Washington, DC, 1968.

## DISCUSSION

### Catalytic cycle for ozone destruction

Figure 1 illustrates cycles for catalytic removal of odd-oxygen species by radicals  $RO_x^\bullet$ , the simplest of which may be written as eq. (1).



The net effect of 1a and 1b, when  $R = H, NO$  or  $Cl$ , is the destruction of ozone at a rate exceeding that of the uncatalysed reaction 1c [12]; these equations correspond to cycle 1 of Figure 1 which is entered from vertex  $R^\bullet$  of the large triangle. Entry to cycle 2 of Figure 1 from vertex  $RO^\bullet$  is well known [12] for  $RO = HO$  and in principle would also be possible for  $RO = NO_2$  or  $ClO$ . Figure 1 depicts both cycles involving a species  $RO_3^\bullet$ ; this is not necessarily an intermediate and may be an unbound species. Addition of  $O$  to  $HO_2^\bullet$  (cf. cycle 2) has been shown to proceed via a bound  $HO_3^\bullet$  intermediate [13], but dissociation to  $HO^\bullet + O_2$  is exothermic and may involve a very low barrier (cf. ref. [3]). The existence of the chlorotrioxo radical,  $ClO_3^\bullet$  (asymmetrical), has been suggested [14], but not demonstrated [15]. The newly derived [3] value for  $\Delta H_f^\circ [O-(O)_2]$  allows the heat of formation for chlorotrioxo to be estimated as  $144 \pm 9 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , which suggests that this species may be of lower energy than the isomeric chlorate radical,  $ClO_3^\bullet$  (symmetrical), although kinetically it may be extremely liable towards exothermic dissociation to  $ClO^\bullet$  and  $O_2^\bullet$ .




Figure 1. Catalytic cycles for odd-oxygen removal: general scheme.



## $CX_3O_x^\bullet$ Catalytic cycles

The possible involvement of trihalomethyl radicals in the catalytic cycles shown in Figure 1 ( $R = CF_3, CF_2Cl, CFC1_2$  or  $CCl_3$ ) does not appear to have been previously considered. The requirements for catalysis are that each step of a cycle should be exothermic and should proceed at a rate greater than that of the uncatalysed process. The following discussion is restricted to thermochemical considerations of possible catalytic cycles involving trihalomethyl species and is presented as a general survey for the purpose of identifying reaction steps of interest for future detailed kinetic studies.

The feasibility of a potentially catalytic cycle depends also upon the availability of alternative reaction channels which may serve to remove catalytically active species. Figure 2 illustrates the possible catalytic cycles for ozone destruction involving  $CX_3O_x^\bullet$ ; the numbers beside each reaction step are heats of reaction in  $\text{kJ mol}^{-1}$  for the cases  $X = F$  and (in parentheses)  $X = Cl$ . Figure 2 therefore summarizes the thermochemical data relating to the catalytic cycles of Figure 1 for the specific cases of  $R = CF_3$  and  $CCl_3$ , but also shows possible channels for removal of the key radicals  $R^\bullet, RO^\bullet$  and  $RO_2^\bullet$ . The carbonyl dihalide  $CX_2O$  is here regarded as an atmospheric sink (cf. the recent detection of stratospheric  $CF_2O$  [16]); actually, it is liable to photodissociation itself, leading ultimately to the production of either  $CO$  or  $CO_2$  [17]. Reactions of  $CX_3^\bullet, CX_3O^\bullet$  and  $CX_3O_2^\bullet$  are now considered in turn.

### $CX_3^\bullet$

The high exothermicities for ozonation of  $CX_3^\bullet$  (Figure 2,  $X = F$  or  $Cl$ ) almost certainly preclude the existence of  $CX_3O_3^\bullet$  as a distinct intermediate, since its dissociation to  $CX_3O^\bullet$  and  $O_2$  is also exothermic






Figure 2. Heats of reaction (kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>) for possible atmospheric processes involving  $CX_3O_x$  radicals, where X = F and (in parentheses) X = Cl.


in each case, and probably involves only a low barrier. The heat of reaction (1a) for  $R = CF_3$  is calculated as  $-331 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$  by the MNDO method in agreement with the thermochemical value of  $-328 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ . The MNDO calculated heats of reaction (1a) for  $R = CF_2Cl$ ,  $CFC1_2$  and  $CCl_3$  are, respectively,  $-301$ ,  $-294$  and  $-263 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ , i.e. ozonation becomes less exothermic with increasing chlorination. Addition (2) of molecular oxygen to  $CX_3^\bullet$  also becomes less exothermic with increasing chlorination. Conversely, the sink reaction (3) of atomic oxygen with trihalomethyl is more exothermic for  $X = Cl$  than for  $X = F$ .



The halogen atoms released by this process may themselves enter cycles for catalytic destruction of ozone. However, it is generally considered [18] that F-atom chain reactions are much shorter than Cl-atom chains, since HF generated by abstraction of hydrogen -- from, e.g., methane -- is a sink for fluorine [18] whereas HCl serves merely as a reservoir for chlorine [12].




Regeneration of  $CX_3^\bullet$  by reaction of atomic oxygen with  $CX_3O^\bullet$  -- the second step of cycle 1 -- is in competition with a more exothermic channel, halogen abstraction yielding  $CX_2O$  and  $XO^\bullet$ . The relative rates of these processes are unknown. Hypohalite radicals  $XO^\bullet$  are the conjugate species with halogen atoms in  $XO^\bullet$  catalytic cycles. An alternative step (5) for regeneration of  $CX_3^\bullet$  radicals, by self-recombination of  $CX_3O^\bullet$  and loss of  $O_2$ , is an endothermic reaction for



X = F and Cl and cannot be a part of a catalytic cycle. Halogen abstraction from  $CX_3O^\bullet$  by molecular oxygen, yielding  $CX_2O$  and  $XO_2^\bullet$ , is endothermic for X = F but endothermic for X = Cl. Similarly, dissociation of  $CX_3O^\bullet$  to  $CX_2O$  and  $X^\bullet$  is endothermic for X = F but exothermic for X = Cl (Figure 2). MNDO [19] and *ab initio* MO [20] results for  $CX_3O^\bullet$  dissociation concur that loss of Cl from a mixed chlorofluoromethoxy radical is always favourable whereas loss of F is always unfavourable.

Finally, ozonation of  $CX_3O^\bullet$  to give  $CX_3O_2^\bullet$  and  $O_2$  is an exothermic process for X = F and Cl; the MNDO results suggest that the heat of reaction is almost a constant for mixed chlorofluoro-species. This reaction is the first step of catalytic cycle 2 (Figure 1).



Atomic oxygen addition to  $CX_3O_2^\bullet$ , the second step of cycle 2, yields  $CX_3O^\bullet$  and  $O_2$  exothermically (Figure 2) in a process formally involving  $CX_3O_3^\bullet$  but which, as mentioned above, does not necessitate the existence of the latter as a distinct intermediate. Collisions with molecular oxygen may serve to stabilize  $CX_3O_2^\bullet$ , the dissociation of which to  $CX_2O$  and  $XO^\bullet$  is, again, endothermic for X = F, but exothermic for X = Cl. Other channels for removal of  $CX_3O_2^\bullet$  involve reactions with NO. Oxygen-atom abstraction yielding  $CX_3O^\bullet$  and  $NO_2$  is exothermic, but in each case  $XO^\bullet$  abstraction to give  $CX_2O$  and  $XNO_2$  as sinks is much more exothermic. The kinetics of the former process have been determined [21], whereas evidence for the latter has been obtained in low-temperature matrix studies [22].

Addition of ozone to  $CX_3O_2^\bullet$  would generate  $CX_3O_3^\bullet$  and  $O_2$  exothermically. The lifetime of the trihalomethyltrioxy radical is likely to be too short to allow collisions with oxygen atoms to occur. Thus, the possibility of a third catalytic cycle, initiated by  $CX_3O_2^\bullet$  may be discounted.

### CONCLUSION

Thermochemical analysis of reactions of trihalomethyl species  $CX_3O_x^\bullet$  ( $X = F$  or  $Cl$ ,  $x = 0-3$ ) suggest that, in principle, they could be involved in catalytic cycles for stratospheric ozone depletion. Trioxy radicals  $CX_3O_3^\bullet$  implicated in these cycles are expected to be very transient (and possibly unbound) species. Trichloro-species  $CCl_3O_x^\bullet$  tend to dissociate readily to phosgene and  $Cl^\bullet$  or  $ClO^\bullet$  which enter the  $ClO_x$  catalytic cycle. Trifluoro-species  $CF_3O_x^\bullet$  tend to be more stable. Catalytic cycles whose first steps are ozonation of  $CF_3^\bullet$  or  $CF_3O^\bullet$  are potentially feasible whereas a cycle similarly initiated by  $CF_3O_2^\bullet$  is not feasible. Reactions of  $CF_3O^\bullet$  and  $CF_3O_2^\bullet$  respectively with atomic oxygen would complete these catalytic cycles and are, therefore, potentially significant processes which warrant studies of their kinetics. The competition of these reactions with other channels for removal of  $CF_3O^\bullet$  and  $CF_3O_2^\bullet$  will determine the viability of the potential  $CF_3O_x^\bullet$  catalytic cycles.

## REFERENCES

1. R.J. Cicerone, *Science*, 237, 35 (1987).
2. R. Simonaitis, in Proceedings of the NATO ASI on Atmospheric Ozone. Rep. FAA-EE-80-20, ed. A.C. Aikin, Washington, D.C. (1980).
3. J.S. Francisco and I.H. Williams, *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.*, 20, 455 (1988).
4. M.J.S. Dewar and W. Thiel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 99, 4899 (1977).
5. MOPAC: A General Molecular Orbital Package (QCPE 455). J.J.P. Stewart, *QCPE Bull.*, 3, 43 (1983).  
AMPAC: Austin Method 1 Package (QCPE 506). M.J.S. Dewar and J.J.P. Stewart, *QCPE Bull.*, 6, 24 (1986).
6. M.J.S. Dewar, M.L. McKee and H.S. Rzepa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 100, 3607 (1978); M.J.S. Dewar and H.S. Rzepa, *J. Comput. Chem.*, 4, 158 (1983).
7. M.J.S. Dewar, J.A. Hashmall and C.G. Venier, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 90, 1953 (1968).
8. S.W. Benson, *Thermochemical Kinetics*, 2nd. edn., Wiley, New York, 1976.
9. J. Olbregts, *J. Photochem.*, 14, 19 (1980).
10. Z.B. Alfassi and S. Mosseri, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 88, 3296 (1984).
11. D.R. Stull and H. Prophet, eds., *JANAF Thermochemical Tables*, 2nd. edn., NSRDS - NBS37, Washington, DC, 1971.
12. R.P. Wayne, *Chemistry of Atmospheres*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985.
13. U.C. Sridharan, F.S. Klein and J. Kaufman, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 82, 592 (1985).
14. R.W. Davidson and D.G. Williams, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 77, 2515 (1973); R. Simonaitis and J. Heicklen, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 23, 1567 (1975); S.S. Prasad, *Nature*, 285, 152 (1980).
15. S.C. Farantos and J.N. Murrell, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 14, 659 (1978); R.O. Carter and L. Andrews, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 85, 2351 (1981).
16. C.P. Rinsland, R. Zander, L.R. Brown, C.B. Farmer, J.H. Park, R.H. Norton, J.M. Russel, and O.F. Raper, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 13, 769 (1986).
17. J.S. Francisco, A.N. Goldstein, and I.H. Williams, submitted to *J. Chem. Phys.*; J.S. Francisco and A.N. Goldstein, submitted to *J. Chem. Phys.*

18. M.J. Molina and F.S. Rowland, *Nature*, **249**, 810 (1974).
19. J.C. Rayez, M.T. Rayez, P. Halvick, B. Duguay, R. Lesclaux, and J.J. Dannenberg, *Chem. Phys.*, **116**, 203 (1987); J.C. Rayez, M.T. Rayez, P. Halvick, B. Duguay, and J.J. Dannenberg, *Chem. Phys.*, **118**, 265 (1987).
20. J.S. Francisco, Z. Li, and I.H. Williams, *Chem. Phys. Lett.*, **140**, 531 (1987); J.S. Francisco, Z. Li, and I.H. Williams, unpublished results.
21. A.M. Dognan, F. Caralp, and R. Lesclaux, *J. Chem. Phys.*, **82**, 349 (1985).
22. K.C. Clemitshaw and J.R. Sodeau, *J. Phys. Chem.*, **91**, 3650 (1987).