



*Citation for published version:*

Smith, H, Hengist, A, Johnson-Bonson, D, Walhin, J-P, Jones, R, Tsintzas, K, Afman, G, Gonzalez, J & Betts, J 2021, 'Muscle Glycogen Utilization during Exercise after Ingestion of Alcohol', *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*, vol. 53, no. 1, pp. 211-217. <https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002458>

*DOI:*

[10.1249/MSS.0000000000002458](https://doi.org/10.1249/MSS.0000000000002458)

*Publication date:*

2021

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Copyright © 2020, © 2020 American College of Sports Medicine

Smith, Harry A.1; Hengist, Aaron1; Bonson, Drusus Johnson1; Walhin, Jean-Philippe1; Jones, Robert2; Tsintzas, Kostas2; Afman, Gregg H.3; Gonzalez, Javier T.1; Betts, James A.1 Muscle Glycogen Utilization during Exercise following Ingestion of Alcohol, *Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise*: July 15, 2020 - Volume Publish Ahead of Print - Issue - doi: 10.1249/MSS.0000000000002458

**University of Bath**

## **Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[openaccess@bath.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@bath.ac.uk)

### **General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

### **Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **Muscle glycogen utilization during exercise following ingestion of alcohol**

2 Harry A. Smith<sup>1</sup>, Aaron Hengist<sup>1</sup>, Drusus Johnson Bonson<sup>1</sup>, Jean-Philippe  
3 Walhin<sup>1</sup>, Robert Jones<sup>2</sup>, Kostas Tsintzas<sup>2</sup>, Gregg H. Afman<sup>3</sup>, Javier T. Gonzalez<sup>1</sup>,  
4 James A. Betts<sup>1</sup>.

5

6 <sup>1</sup> Department for Health, University of Bath, BA2 7AY, United Kingdom

7 <sup>2</sup> School of Life Sciences, Queens Medical Centre, University of Nottingham, NG7  
8 2UH, United Kingdom

9 <sup>3</sup> Department of Kinesiology, Westmont College, CA

10

11 **Corresponding Author:** Prof. James A. Betts

12 Department for Health, University of Bath, Bath, BA2 7AY United Kingdom

13 Tel: +44 (0) 1225 38 3448

14 Email: j.betts@bath.ac.uk

15

16 **Keywords:** Ethanol, Fat, Carbohydrate, Lactate, Metabolism

17

18 **Abstract**

19 **PURPOSE:** Ingested ethanol (EtOH) is metabolized gastrically and hepatically,

20 which may influence resting and exercise metabolism. Previous exercise studies

21 have provided EtOH via intravenous infusion rather than oral ingestion, which

22 alters the metabolic effects of EtOH. No studies to date have investigated the

23 effects of EtOH *ingestion* on systemic and peripheral (e.g. skeletal muscle)

24 exercise metabolism.

25 **METHODS:** Eight men (Mean  $\pm$  SD, Age:  $24 \pm 5$  y; Body Mass:  $76.7 \pm 5.6$  kg;

26 Height:  $1.80 \pm 0.04$  m;  $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ :  $4.1 \pm 0.2$  L $\cdot$ min<sup>-1</sup>) performed two bouts of fasted

27 cycling exercise at 55%  $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$  for 2-h, with (EtOH) and without (Control) prior

28 ingestion of EtOH 1-h and immediately before exercise (total dose: 0.1 g $\cdot$ kg lean

29 body mass<sup>-1</sup> $\cdot$ h<sup>-1</sup>;  $30.2 \pm 1.1$  g 40% ABV Vodka; fed in 2 equal boluses) in a

30 randomized order, separated by 7-10 days.

31 **RESULTS:** Muscle glycogen breakdown during exercise was not different  
32 between conditions (Control: -257.7 [-330.8, 184.6] vs EtOH: -221.4 [-287.6, 141.4]  
33 mmol·kg dm<sup>-1</sup>; means with normalized 95% confident intervals). Mean plasma  
34 glucose concentrations during exercise were similar (Control: 5.26 [5.17,  
35 5.34] vs EtOH: 5.26 [5.18, 5.34] mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>; *p* = 0.04). EtOH ingestion resulted in  
36 similar plasma non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) concentrations compared to rest  
37 (Control: 0.43 [0.31,0.55] vs EtOH: 0.30 [0.21,0.40] mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>) and during exercise.  
38 Mean plasma lactate concentration was higher during the first 30-min of rest  
39 following EtOH consumption (mean concentration: Control: 0.83 [0.77, 0.90]  
40 vs EtOH 1.00 [0.93, 1.07] mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>) but the response during exercise was similar  
41 between conditions.

42 **CONCLUSIONS:** Ingesting a small dose of EtOH transiently altered resting  
43 concentrations of systemic lactate, but not during exercise. Muscle glycogen  
44 utilization was similar during exercise with or without prior alcohol ingestion,  
45 reflected in similar total whole-body carbohydrate oxidation rates observed.

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

## 55 **Introduction**

56 Ethanol (EtOH) is the relatively energy-dense ( $\sim 7.1 \text{ kcal}\cdot\text{g}^{-1}$ ) ingestible form of  
57 alcohol and can be preferentially oxidized over other nutrients [1-3]. Low doses  
58 are primarily metabolized through the action of alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH)  
59 and aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) in the gut and the liver. The resultant  
60 reduction in the cellular  $\text{NAD}^+:\text{NADH}$  redox ratio disturbs metabolic pathways in  
61 the liver that either require  $\text{NAD}^+$  or are inhibited by  $\text{NADH}$  [4]. Specifically, this  
62 includes pathways vital for energy turnover (i.e. glycolysis, citric acid cycle,  
63 pyruvate dehydrogenase, fatty acid oxidation, and gluconeogenesis). At the  
64 whole-body level, maximal rates of EtOH oxidation ( $0.1 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  lean body mass)  
65 have been suggested to transiently spare the oxidation of other substrates (i.e.  
66 carbohydrate and fat) up to a maximum level of half an individual's resting  
67 metabolic rate [5, 6]. However, it remains unclear whether substrate sparing  
68 manifests during times where the requirement for energy turnover is high (i.e.  
69 during exercise).

70 Necessarily, any alterations in circulating concentrations of metabolic  
71 substrates as a result of EtOH ingestion could influence metabolic fuel selection  
72 during exercise [7]. Specifically, as muscle glycogen depletion is a largely  
73 dictated by the total amount of carbohydrate available to the system [8],  
74 preferential oxidation of EtOH over carbohydrate may spare carbohydrate at the  
75 systemic level during moderate intensity exercise; resulting in sparing of muscle  
76 glycogen concentrations during a bout of exercise. However, the influence of  
77 EtOH on circulating glucose and non-esterified fatty acids (NEFA) during exercise  
78 remains indistinct [9-12]. Discrepancy in findings between studies may be

79 explained by inconsistencies in dose of EtOH, with a greater effect being  
80 observed following larger relative doses (~20-40 g) [9, 12]. Similarly, EtOH blunts  
81 the typical blood glucose response to exercise during moderate (>50%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ )  
82 [9, 13], but not lower intensity exercise (30%  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$ ) [10].

83         Importantly, the majority of exercise studies to date have investigated the  
84 influence of EtOH on carbohydrate and fat metabolism following infusion, rather  
85 than ingestion, which could alter the extent of displacement of hepatic, and  
86 therefore skeletal muscle carbohydrate and fat metabolism during exercise [14-  
87 18]. Furthermore, whilst it has been generally accepted that exercise *per se* will  
88 not increase the rate of EtOH metabolism [19], the 2-fold elevation in hepatic  $\dot{V}O_2$   
89 during exercise (~60 mL.min<sup>-1</sup> at rest vs ~135 mL.min<sup>-1</sup> during exercise),  
90 suggests the increase in liver metabolic rate could augment EtOH metabolism  
91 especially when fed a dose within the liver's capacity to oxidize EtOH [20-22]. No  
92 studies to date have investigated the effects of EtOH *ingestion* on systemic and  
93 peripheral (e.g. skeletal muscle) metabolism during exercise.

94         Based on previous work it was expected that a low dose of orally ingested  
95 EtOH would alter circulating metabolites thereby meaningfully displacing  
96 carbohydrate and fat oxidation at rest and during exercise, sparing skeletal  
97 muscle glycogen utilization. Therefore, the objective of the current study was to  
98 investigate the whole body metabolic and skeletal muscle glycogen responses to  
99 acute ingestion of a dose of EtOH estimated to maximally stimulate hepatic  
100 oxidation, prior to a bout of prolonged, moderate-intensity exercise in young,  
101 healthy men.

102

## 103 **Materials and Methods**

### 104 ***Experimental design***

105 Participants performed two bouts of cycle ergometry in a randomized  
106 cross-over design, interspersed by an interval of 7-10 days. A dietary record was  
107 collected during the 48-hour period prior to the first experimental trial and was  
108 subsequently replicated with exact types and amounts of foods before the final  
109 trial ( $2726 \pm 490$  kcal·day<sup>-1</sup>,  $47 \pm 3$  % carbohydrate,  $33 \pm 8$  % fat,  $21 \pm 9$  % protein).  
110 Furthermore, participants were asked not to perform vigorous physical activity,  
111 consume alcohol, or caffeine 24-h prior to testing, confirmed by questionnaire  
112 upon entering the laboratory. Main trials involved ingestion of EtOH beverages or  
113 volume-matched water as a control, followed by 1-hour of rest and then 2-hours  
114 cycling at 55% of individual  $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ . The study randomisation plan was created  
115 using <https://www.random.org/>.

### 116 ***Participants***

117 Seven healthy recreationally active men (**Table 1**) were recruited to  
118 participate in the study. Participants were informed of potential risks and  
119 discomfort involved in the study prior to providing written informed consent. The  
120 study was approved by the National Health Service Research Ethics Committee:  
121 Bristol (17/SW/0219), the Research Ethics Approval Committee for Health at the  
122 University of Bath (EP 17/18 090) and was registered at [clinicaltrials.gov](https://clinicaltrials.gov)  
123 (NCT03404947). All procedures were performed in accordance with the  
124 Declaration of Helsinki.

### 125 ***Preliminary measurements***

126 Prior to experimental sessions participants visited the human performance  
127 laboratory at the University of Bath for fitness and body composition analysis.  
128 Body mass was assessed to the nearest 0.1 kg using electronic weighing scales  
129 (BC543 Monitor; Tanita, Netherlands) and height was measured to the nearest  
130 0.1 cm using a stadiometer (Seca Ltd, Germany), before lean and fat mass were  
131 determined using dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DEXA; Discovery, Hologic,  
132 Bedford, UK). An incremental cycling test was then completed on an electronically  
133 braked ergometer, at a self-selected cadence, to assess maximum oxygen  
134 uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ ) (Excalibur Sport; Lode<sup>®</sup>, Netherlands). Participants were  
135 permitted to adjust the handlebar and saddle heights to their preference. Power  
136 output was initially set at 50 Watts (W), increasing in 50 W increments every 4  
137 minutes for four stages. Thereafter, power output increased in 20-W increments  
138 every 1-minute until volitional exhaustion was achieved. Heart rate was monitored  
139 throughout (Polar H7; Polar Electro, Finland) and breath-by-breath assessment  
140 of  $\dot{V}O_2$  was made using an online gas analysis system (TrueOne2400;  
141 Parvomedics, USA). Volume and gas analysers were calibrated with a 3-litre  
142 calibration syringe (Hans Rudolph, USA) and known concentrations of a  
143 calibration gas (15.99% O<sub>2</sub>; 5.08% CO<sub>2</sub>). Peak oxygen uptake ( $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$ ) was  
144 recorded as the highest average  $\dot{V}O_2$  (L.min<sup>-1</sup>) over a consecutive 30-s period.  
145 Peak power output ( $W_{peak}$ ) was calculated using the following equation [23].

146 
$$W_{peak} = W_{final} + \left(\frac{t}{T} \cdot W_{increment}\right)$$

147 Where  $W_{peak}$  is peak power output (Watts),  $W_{final}$  is the power output of the final  
148 completed stage (Watts),  $t$  is time completed of the final stage (s),  $T$  is total stage

149 time (s), and  $W_{increment}$  is the power increment between stages at exhaustion  
150 (Watts).

### 151 ***Experimental protocol***

152 Participants arrived at the laboratory in an overnight fasted state (~10 h).  
153 Body mass was assessed (Sliding Beam Column Scale, Weylux, UK) before  
154 participants were instructed to rest in a semi-supine position (~60°), prior to the  
155 assessment of resting metabolic rate (RMR) via the Douglas bag technique.  
156 Collection of expired gas through the Douglas bag technique allows for  
157 calculation of oxygen consumption ( $\dot{V}O_2$ ) and carbon dioxide production ( $\dot{V}CO_2$ ),  
158 thereby allowing for calculation of whole-body carbohydrate and fat oxidation  
159 [24]. An intravenous cannula was placed into an antecubital vein and a baseline  
160 sample of 5 mL venous blood was collected (BD Venflon Pro; BD, Switzerland).  
161 Cannulae were kept patent by flushing with 0.9% sodium chloride infusion (B.  
162 Braun; UK). Participants then ingested 50% of the total EtOH (Absolut Raspberri,  
163 40% ABV; Absolut, Sweden) or volume matched water before a 60-minute rest  
164 period during which venous blood samples were collected at 15, 30, 45 and 60  
165 minutes, alongside a 10-min gas sample between 50-60 minutes. Participants  
166 then remained in a semi-supine position while muscle was sampled from the  
167 *vastus lateralis* under local anaesthetic (1% lidocaine; Hameln Pharmaceuticals  
168 Ltd., Brockworth, UK). Samples were taken immediately prior to the second bolus  
169 of EtOH, from a 3-5 mm incision in the anterior aspect of the thigh using a  
170 Bergstrom needle adapted for suction and were snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen for  
171 subsequent storage at -80°C [25]. A second incision was also made and  
172 temporarily dressed for immediate post-exercise muscle sampling. Thereafter,



173 participants were asked to ingest the remaining 50% of the EtOH or control  
174 beverage, immediately prior to the initiation of exercise. Participants were then  
175 asked to cycle for 2-hours at 55% of their pre-determined  $\dot{V}O_{2peak}$  on a cycle  
176 ergometer (Monark 894E; Monark, Vansbro, Sweden). One-minute expired  
177 breath, and 5-ml venous blood samples were collected, alongside heart rate  
178 (Polar H1; Polar Electro, Kempele, Finland), every 15-minutes for the first hour  
179 and every 30-minutes during the second hour (Figure 1). In both trials, throughout  
180 exercise participants ingested water at a rate of  $0.5 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  every 10-minutes  
181 (Total:  $376 \pm 26 \text{ ml}$ ). Immediately post exercise, participants were transferred from  
182 the ergometer to the bed, where the post-exercise muscle sample was collected.

### 183 ***Test beverage composition***

184 The rate of EtOH ingestion in the EtOH trial was  $0.1 \text{ g}\cdot\text{kg LBM}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  [6],  
185 which aimed to provide sufficient EtOH to contribute meaningfully as a metabolic  
186 substrate but without intending to exceed the maximum rate of EtOH metabolism  
187 and unnecessarily overspill into systemic circulation [6]. As such, total EtOH  
188 provided was  $12.1 \pm 0.4 \text{ g}$  ingested as a 15% solution in water ( $30.2 \pm 1.1 \text{ g}$  40%  
189 ABV Vodka;  $\sim 67 \text{ kcal}$ ). In the control trial, participants ingested a volume matched  
190 water beverage.

### 191 ***Blood analysis***

192 Blood samples were immediately transferred into tubes treated with  
193 ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA) prior to being centrifuged at  $3466 \text{ g}$   
194 ( $5000 \text{ rpm}$ ) for 10 minutes at  $4^\circ\text{C}$  (Heraeus Primo R; Thermo Fisher Scientific,  
195 UK) and frozen on dry ice for storage. All samples were later analyzed for plasma  
196 glucose (colormetric), non-esterified fatty acids (colormetric), lactate

197 (colormetric), and a subset of samples for EtOH (colormetric) using a  
198 spectrophotometric analyser (RX, Daytona, Randox Laboratories Ltd., UK). Inter-  
199 assay CV was < 3% for glucose, < 7% for NEFA, and < 3% for lactate. Intra-  
200 assay CV was < 2% for glucose, < 5% for NEFA, and < 3% for lactate.

### 201 ***Muscle analysis***

202 Frozen muscle samples were placed in a freeze dryer (Mechatech  
203 Systems, UK) for ~16 hours at -55°C. Following removal of visible connective  
204 tissue, freeze-dried muscle samples were reduced to a fine powder using a pestle  
205 and mortar and then used to determine muscle glycogen concentrations. Briefly,  
206 the muscle powder was digested in 0.1 mM NaOH and neutralized with HCl-  
207 citrate buffer, pH = 5.0. The glycogen present in the supernatant was hydrolyzed  
208 with  $\alpha$ -amylglucosidase and analyzed for glucosyl units in duplicate by an  
209 enzymatic method [26]. Relative concentrations of muscle glycogen were  
210 assessed in duplicate using a spectrophotometric plate reader (SpectraMax 190,  
211 Molecular Devices, USA). To account for possible measurement error associated  
212 with fluid shift during exercise, glycogen concentrations are reported as mmol  
213 glucosyl units per kilogram of dry mass ( $\text{mmol}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}\text{dm}^{-1}$ ). Total rates of muscle  
214 glycogen utilization in relation to whole-body carbohydrate oxidation were then  
215 calculated as the change in glycogen content of the *vastus lateralis* ( $\text{g}\cdot\text{kg}^{-1}$  dry  
216 mass $\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ) multiplied by the estimated active muscle mass during exercise,  
217 which is almost exclusively the thigh muscles for seated cycling and can be  
218 estimated as ~10 kg wet mass in young men [27].

219

220

221 ***Expired gas analysis***

222           Inspired air concentrations were assessed during Douglas bag collection  
223 to correct for changes in atmospheric O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations [28]. Expired  
224 gas concentrations of O<sub>2</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> were analysed in a known volume of sample,  
225 using paramagnetic and infrared analysers, respectively (Mini HF 5200;  
226 Servomex Group Ltd, Crowborough, UK). Total volumes of expired gas were  
227 determined using a dry gas meter (Harvard Apparatus, Holliston, USA) and  
228 temperature measured using a digital thermometer (Edale Instruments,  
229 Longstanton, UK). Substrate utilization during exercise was determined using the  
230 equations of Jeukendrup and Wallis (2005) [29] (where  $\dot{V}O_2$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$  are  
231 expressed in L·min<sup>-1</sup>). EtOH oxidation was assumed to be complete, based on  
232 feeding at the maximal hepatic oxidation rate reported in Schutz [6]. Measured  
233 RER was adjusted for EtOH oxidation to give Non-EtOH RER. This was  
234 calculated by subtracting the  $\dot{V}O_2$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$  associated with complete oxidation  
235 of ingested EtOH (i.e. 3O<sub>2</sub> & 2CO<sub>2</sub> per mol EtOH respectively) from measured  
236 values of  $\dot{V}O_2$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$ .

237 ***Statistical analysis***

238           All data in the text are reported as means [normalized 95% confidence  
239 interval] unless otherwise stated. Normality of data was assessed using the  
240 Shapiro-Wilk test, with a paired t test or Wilcoxon's test employed to analyse  
241 parametric data and non-parametric data respectively. A mixed model ANOVA  
242 (condition, time, and condition x time) was used to examine differences in plasma  
243 metabolite in data, with post-hoc Bonferroni corrections applied in GraphPad  
244 Prism (GraphPad Software Inc., California, USA). Effect sizes (Cohen's *d*) were

245 calculated and interpreted in accordance with [30]. Error bars shown on figures  
246 are confidence intervals (CI) corrected for inter-individual variation using the  
247 specific error term from the pairwise contrast at each time-point [31]. Rather than  
248 describing the variability of individual values around the mean in each condition,  
249 the magnitude of these confidence intervals provides a visual representation of  
250 the contrast between means such that, in general, plotted means whose  
251 confidence intervals overlap by no more than half one side of an interval would  
252 typically generate a  $p$ -value less than 0.05 if using a paired t-test at that time-  
253 point [32]. There was no evidence of trial order effects for any variable, which was  
254 verified using 2-way ANOVA of Sequence x Condition interactions (all  $p = 0.2$ -  
255 0.9). Based on differences in arterial glucose concentrations observed in Juhlin-  
256 Dannfelt *et al* [11] a sample size of 10 participants was deemed sufficient to  
257 provide an 80% chance of detecting such a difference at an alpha level of 0.05  
258 (G Power 3.1). Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS Statistics v.24  
259 (IBM Corp., Armonk, NY, USA) and figures were created using GraphPad Prism  
260 v.7 (GraphPad Software, San Diego, CA, USA).

261

## 262 **Results**

### 263 ***Muscle glycogen and substrate metabolism***

264 Pre-exercise muscle glycogen content was not different between EtOH  
265 and Control conditions (471 [387, 555] vs 469 [385, 553] mmol·kg dm<sup>-1</sup>  
266 <sup>1</sup> respectively;  $p = 0.86$ ) and muscle glycogen used during exercise was also not  
267 clearly different between trials (EtOH: 229 [156, 302] vs CONTROL: 258 [185,  
268 331] mmol·kg dm<sup>-1</sup>;  $p = 0.67$ ) (Figure 2A).

269 ANOVA revealed main effects for time (both  $p < 0.01$ ) but not condition ( $p$   
270 = 0.85 & 0.35), or time x condition ( $p = 0.30$  & 0.30) for  $\dot{V}O_2$  and  $\dot{V}CO_2$   
271 respectively (Figure 3A & 3B). No effect was seen for time ( $p = 0.30$ ), condition  
272 ( $p = 0.94$ ), or time x condition ( $p = 0.24$ ) for raw respiratory exchange ratio (RER)  
273 (Figure 3C). When adjusted for complete EtOH oxidation, resting RER increased  
274 following EtOH consumption but was not different from the control condition (1.03  
275 [0.92, 1.15] vs 0.91 [0.79, 1.02]), with no effects of time ( $p = 0.22$ ), condition ( $p =$   
276 0.21), or time x condition ( $p = 0.24$ ) (Figure 3D).

277 Extra-muscular carbohydrate, and therefore total whole-body  
278 carbohydrate oxidation was similar following EtOH ingestion when compared to  
279 the Control condition ( $P = 0.56$ ) (Figure 2B). Total fat oxidation during exercise  
280 was also similar following EtOH ingestion compared to the control trial (1.42 [1.12,  
281 1.72] vs 1.71 [1.41, 2.01] mJ, respectively;  $p = 0.33$ ).

## 282 **Systemic metabolites**

283 *Plasma Glucose Concentrations* - Pre-ingestion plasma glucose  
284 concentrations were similar between EtOH and Control conditions (5.38 [5.29,  
285 5.46] mmol·L<sup>-1</sup> and 5.31 [5.22, 5.39] mmol·L<sup>-1</sup>, respectively). No effect of time ( $p =$   
286 0.13), condition ( $p = 0.47$ ), or time x condition ( $p = 0.63$ ) for plasma glucose  
287 response was revealed.

288 *Plasma NEFA Concentrations* - Baseline (resting) plasma NEFA  
289 concentrations were similar between conditions (Figure 4). ANOVA revealed  
290 main effects for time ( $p < 0.01$ ), but not condition ( $p = 0.40$ ), or time x condition  
291 ( $p = 0.34$ ) for plasma NEFA responses.

292            *Plasma lactate Concentrations* – Main effects of time ( $p < 0.01$ ), but not  
293 condition ( $p = 0.15$ ), or time x condition ( $p = 0.40$ ) were revealed for plasma  
294 lactate responses (Figure 4). However, across the first 30-mins of the rest period  
295 plasma lactate was higher following EtOH ingestion relative to the control  
296 condition (mean concentration: Control: 0.83 [0.77, 0.90] vs EtOH 1.00 [0.93, 1.07]  
297  $\text{mmol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ;  $p = 0.04$ ,  $d = 0.77$ ).

298            *Plasma EtOH Concentrations* - Plasma EtOH remained below the lower  
299 detectable limit of the assay ( $0.72 \text{ mmol}\cdot\text{L}^{-1}$ ; EtOH, Randox Laboratories Ltd., UK)  
300 throughout the entire protocol.

### 301 ***Exercise intensity***

302            Average power output (Mean  $\pm$  SD) for the cycling exercise was  $156 \pm 14$   
303 W at a self-selected cadence of  $80 \pm 9$  rpm. Average heart rate during exercise  
304 was 154 [150, 157] bpm in the EtOH trial and 157 [154, 161] bpm in the control  
305 trial.

306

### 307 **Discussion**

308            This is the first study to investigate exercise metabolism following oral  
309 alcohol ingestion, with measurements made at the level of skeletal muscle,  
310 systemic metabolites and whole-body substrate oxidation. Accordingly, we report  
311 the novel observations that prior alcohol ingestion does not alter the utilization of  
312 either muscle glycogen or extra-muscular carbohydrate sources during exercise.  
313 However, at rest the ingestion of alcohol did increase plasma lactate  
314 concentrations. During the subsequent exercise, whole-body fat oxidation was  
315 then lower following EtOH ingestion. These metabolic effects were apparent with

316 a relatively low dose of vodka within the capacity for alcohol metabolism and thus  
317 without any measurable appearance of EtOH in the systemic circulation.

318 Interestingly, ingestion of  $0.1 \text{ g EtOH}\cdot\text{kg LBM}^{-1}\cdot\text{h}^{-1}$  ( $12.1 \pm 0.4 \text{ g}$ ) did not  
319 result in differing muscle glycogen use relative to water ingestion in the current  
320 study. This is consistent with the one previous study to have quantified muscle  
321 glycogen use during exercise under conditions where EtOH is present in the  
322 circulation [11]. Despite demonstrating a reduction in muscle glycogen at rest  
323 following EtOH infusion, the latter study by Juhlin-Dannfelt and colleagues [11]  
324 found infusion of EtOH did not result in differing muscle glycogen utilisation during  
325 exercise. The relatively low dose of EtOH ingested in the current study (~30 mL  
326 of 40% ABV vodka) was intended not to exceed the capacity for gastric/hepatic  
327 metabolism such that EtOH would not appear systemically, so it remains a  
328 possibility that a higher dose may stimulate hepatic lipogenesis and/or suppress  
329 hepatic glucose production sufficient to modify the balance of skeletal muscle  
330 fatty acid, glucose and thus glycogen utilization [6]. Notably, one participant's  
331 glycogen use was near zero, so caution must be taken in interpreting absolute  
332 values which depend on assumed constants, albeit the relative pattern would not  
333 be systematically altered between treatments.

334 Notably, the current study was the first to assess substrate metabolism  
335 using indirect calorimetry during both rest and exercise following EtOH ingestion.  
336 Previous studies propose that acute ingestion of EtOH at rest transiently spares  
337 the oxidation of carbohydrate and fat up to a maximum level of half an individual's  
338 resting metabolic rate (~15-43% sparing of carbohydrate and ~30% sparing of fat  
339 oxidation) [5]. RER adjusted for EtOH oxidation was similar between conditions

340 with initial decreases over rest likely reflective of a shift towards the RER for EtOH  
341 (~0.66) in the EtOH trial, and greater lipid oxidation in the Control condition [33].  
342 Likewise, when adjusted for assumed complete EtOH oxidation, resting RER was  
343 not different between conditions during rest or exercise, reflected in similar whole  
344 body extra-muscular carbohydrate, and fat oxidation (Figure 2A).

345       Following an approximate 10-hour overnight fast, plasma glucose  
346 concentrations were similar at rest between conditions in the current study.  
347 Previous research has demonstrated that at rest, EtOH results in either a  
348 reduction, or no change in blood glucose concentration, with conflicting results  
349 primarily explained by the nutritional status of participants. The current study  
350 therefore agrees with previous literature demonstrating no influence of EtOH on  
351 resting blood glucose concentrations in humans following an overnight fast of  
352 ~12-hours [34-36]. However, ingestion of EtOH lead to higher plasma lactate  
353 concentrations during the first 30-mins of the rest period relative to the control  
354 group, consistent with the possibility that EtOH can inhibit the conversion of  
355 lactate to glucose, and further research should seek to measure this [34, 37].  
356 Whilst blood glucose homeostasis may have been maintained through a  
357 compensatory rise in hepatic glycogenolysis in this scenario [34], such a rise in  
358 lactate is still well within the normal physiological range, such that any  
359 compensatory increase in hepatic glycogenolysis is likely to be minimal.

360       Plasma concentrations of NEFA were also similar between conditions in the  
361 current study, which is likely due to the relatively small dose of EtOH ingested by  
362 participants [38, 39]. Notably, the area under the curve response of acetate to  
363 EtOH is dose-dependent and whilst the current study did not assess the plasma



364 acetate responses, it is likely that EtOH ingestion at the dose fed in the current  
365 study was not sufficient to elevate plasma acetate concentration and therefore  
366 inhibit adipose tissue lipolysis [39, 40].

367         During bouts of exercise performed in the fasted state, elevation in hepatic  
368 gluconeogenesis maintains delivery of glucose to the working muscles [7].  
369 Following ingestion of a small dose of EtOH fed in two boli, blood glucose  
370 concentration during exercise did not differ between conditions in the current  
371 study. Moreover, both plasma lactate and NEFA concentrations did not differ  
372 between conditions during the 2-h exercise protocol. Interestingly, the responses  
373 of circulating metabolites during exercise were remarkably similar, suggesting  
374 that any observed effect of acute EtOH ingestion at rest is not present during  
375 exercise. Bearing in mind that hepatic  $\dot{V}O_2$  increases over two-fold during exercise  
376 ( $\sim 60 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1} \rightarrow \sim 135 \text{ mL}\cdot\text{min}^{-1}$ ), and that disposition and first pass metabolism  
377 of EtOH is affected by liver function, the increase in liver metabolic rate *may* have  
378 augmented EtOH metabolism in the current study [20, 21].

### 379 **Conclusion**

380         A low pre-exercise dose of alcohol within the capacity for complete  
381 oxidation does not meaningfully alter oxidation of fat or carbohydrate during  
382 subsequent exercise.

383

### 384 **Acknowledgements**

385 Thank you to the participants for volunteering their time and effort into the study.  
386 Further thanks to Rob Edinburgh for helping to get the study up and running.

387

388 **Conflict of Interest**

389 The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare. The results of the present  
390 study results of the study are presented clearly, honestly, and without fabrication,  
391 falsification, or inappropriate data manipulation and do not constitute  
392 endorsement by the American College of Sports Medicine (ACSM).

393

394 **References**

- 395 1. Lands, W.E.M., *Alcohol and energy intake*. American Journal of Clinical  
396 Nutrition, 1995. **62**(5): p. 1101-1106.
- 397 2. Lieber, C.S., *Persepectives - Do alcohol calories count?* American Journal  
398 of Clinical Nutrition, 1991. **54**(6): p. 976-982.
- 399 3. Morgan, M.Y. and J.A. Levine, *Alcohol and Nutrition*. Proceedings of the  
400 Nutrition Society, 1988. **47**(2): p. 85-98.
- 401 4. Veech, R.L., R. Guynn, and D. Veloso, *The time-course of the effects of*  
402 *ethanol on the redox and phosphorylation states of rat liver*. Biochem J,  
403 1972. **127**(2): p. 387-97.
- 404 5. Sonko, B.J., et al., *Effect of alcohol on postmeal fat storage*. Am J Clin  
405 Nutr, 1994. **59**(3): p. 619-25.
- 406 6. Schutz, Y., *Role of substrate utilization and thermogenesis on body-*  
407 *weight control with particular reference to alcohol*. Proceedings of the  
408 Nutrition Society, 2000. **59**(4): p. 511-517.
- 409 7. Ahlborg, G., et al., *Substrate turnover during prolonged exercise in man-*  
410 *splanchnic and leg metabolism of glucose, free fatty-acids, and amino*  
411 *acids*. Journal of Clinical Investigation, 1974. **53**(4): p. 1080-1090.
- 412 8. Bergstrom, J., et al., *Diet muscle glycogen and physical performance*.  
413 Acta Physiologica Scandinavica, 1967. **71**(2-3): p. 140-+.
- 414 9. Jorfeldt, L. and A. Juhlin-Dannfelt, *Influence of ethanol on human*  
415 *splanchnic and skeletal-muscle metabolism during exercise*.  
416 Scandinavian Journal of Clinical & Laboratory Investigation, 1977. **37**(7):  
417 p. 609-618.

- 418 10. Juhlin-Dannfelt, A., et al., *Influence of ethanol on splanchnic and*  
419 *skeletal-muscle substrate turnover during prolonged exercise in man.*  
420 *American Journal of Physiology*, 1977. **233**(3): p. E195-E202.
- 421 11. Juhlin-Dannfelt, A., et al., *Influence of ethanol on non-esterified fatty-acid*  
422 *and carbohydrate-metabolism during exercise in man.* *Clinical Science*  
423 *and Molecular Medicine*, 1977. **53**(3): p. 205-214.
- 424 12. Kendrick, Z.V., M.B. Affrime, and D.T. Lowenthal, *Effect of ethanol on*  
425 *metabolic responses to treadmill running in well-trained men.* *Journal of*  
426 *Clinical Pharmacology*, 1993. **33**(2): p. 136-139.
- 427 13. Heikkonen, E., et al., *Effect of alcohol on exercise-induced changes in*  
428 *serum glucose and serum free fatty acids.* *Alcoholism-Clinical and*  
429 *Experimental Research*, 1998. **22**(2): p. 437-443.
- 430 14. Julkunen, R.J., C. Di Padova, and C.S. Lieber, *First pass metabolism of*  
431 *ethanol--a gastrointestinal barrier against the systemic toxicity of ethanol.*  
432 *Life Sci*, 1985. **37**(6): p. 567-73.
- 433 15. Julkunen, R.J., et al., *First pass metabolism of ethanol: an important*  
434 *determinant of blood levels after alcohol consumption.* *Alcohol*, 1985.  
435 **2**(3): p. 437-41.
- 436 16. Caballeria, J., et al., *Gastric origin of the first-pass metabolism of ethanol*  
437 *in humans: effect of gastrectomy.* *Gastroenterology*, 1989. **97**(5): p.  
438 1205-9.
- 439 17. DiPadova, C., et al., *Effects of fasting and chronic alcohol consumption*  
440 *on the first-pass metabolism of ethanol.* *Gastroenterology*, 1987. **92**(5 Pt  
441 1): p. 1169-73.
- 442 18. Parlesak, A., et al., *First-pass metabolism of ethanol in human beings:*  
443 *effect of intravenous infusion of fructose.* *Alcohol*, 2004. **34**(2-3): p. 121-  
444 5.
- 445 19. Suter, P.M. and Y. Schutz, *The effect of exercise, alcohol or both*  
446 *combined on health and physical performance.* *Int J Obes (Lond)*, 2008.  
447 **32 Suppl 6**: p. S48-52.

- 448 20. Enevoldsen, L.H., et al., *The combined effects of exercise and food*  
449 *intake on adipose tissue and splanchnic metabolism*. J Physiol, 2004.  
450 **561**(Pt 3): p. 871-82.
- 451 21. Nielsen, H.B., et al., *Hepatic lactate uptake versus leg lactate output*  
452 *during exercise in humans*. J Appl Physiol (1985), 2007. **103**(4): p. 1227-  
453 33.
- 454 22. Wahren, J., et al., *Glucose metabolism during leg exercise in man*.  
455 Journal of Clinical Investigation, 1971. **50**(12): p. 2715-&.
- 456 23. Kuipers, H., et al., *Variability of aerobic performance in the laboratory*  
457 *and its physiologic correlates*. International Journal of Sports Medicine,  
458 1985. **6**(4): p. 197-201.
- 459 24. Gladden, L.B., J.W. Yates, and E.T. Howley, *Who needs a bag?* Med Sci  
460 Sports Exerc, 2012. **44**(2): p. 288-9.
- 461 25. Tarnopolsky, M.A., et al., *Suction-modified Bergstrom muscle biopsy*  
462 *technique: experience with 13,500 procedures*. Muscle Nerve, 2011.  
463 **43**(5): p. 717-25.
- 464 26. Harris, R.C., E. Hultman, and L.O. Nordesjo, *Glycogen, glycolytic*  
465 *intermediates and high-energy phosphates determined in biopsy*  
466 *samples of musculus quadriceps femoris of man at rest. Methods and*  
467 *variance of values*. Scand J Clin Lab Invest, 1974. **33**(2): p. 109-20.
- 468 27. Janssen, I., et al., *Skeletal muscle mass and distribution in 468 men and*  
469 *women aged 18-88 yr*. J Appl Physiol (1985), 2000. **89**(1): p. 81-8.
- 470 28. Betts, J.A. and D. Thompson, *Thinking outside the bag (not necessarily*  
471 *outside the lab)*. Medicine & Science in Sport and Exercise, 2012.  
472 **44**(10): p. 2040.
- 473 29. Jeukendrup, A.E. and G.A. Wallis, *Measurement of substrate oxidation*  
474 *during exercise by means of gas exchange measurements*. International  
475 Journal of Sports Medicine, 2005. **26**: p. S28-S37.
- 476 30. Cohen, J., *Statistical Power Analysis for the Behavioral Sciences 1977*,  
477 New York: Academic Press.

- 478 31. Betts, J.A., et al., *PRESENT 2020: Text Expanding on the Checklist for*  
479 *Proper Reporting of Evidence in Sport and Exercise Nutrition Trials*. Int J  
480 Sport Nutr Exerc Metab, 2020: p. 1-12.
- 481 32. Masson, M.E., *Using confidence intervals for graphically based data*  
482 *interpretation*. Can J Exp Psychol, 2003. **57**(3): p. 203-20.
- 483 33. Suter, P.M., E. Jequier, and Y. Schutz, *Effect of ethanol on energy*  
484 *expenditure*. Am J Physiol, 1994. **266**(4 Pt 2): p. R1204-12.
- 485 34. Siler, S.Q., et al., *The inhibition of gluconeogenesis following alcohol in*  
486 *humans*. American Journal of Physiology-Endocrinology and Metabolism,  
487 1998. **275**(5): p. E897-E907.
- 488 35. Yki-Jarvinen, H., et al., *Acute effects of ethanol and acetate on glucose*  
489 *kinetics in normal subjects*. Am J Physiol, 1988. **254**(2 Pt 1): p. E175-80.
- 490 36. Yki-Jarvinen, H. and E.A. Nikkila, *Ethanol decreases glucose utilization*  
491 *in healthy man*. J Clin Endocrinol Metab, 1985. **61**(5): p. 941-5.
- 492 37. Kreisberg, R.A., A.M. Siegal, and W.C. Owen, *Glucose-lactate*  
493 *interrelationships - effect of ethanol*. Journal of Clinical Investigation,  
494 1971. **50**(1): p. 175-+.
- 495 38. Frayn, K.N., et al., *Metabolic responses of forearm and adipose tissues*  
496 *to acute ethanol ingestion*. Metabolism, 1990. **39**(9): p. 958-66.
- 497 39. Siler, S.Q., R.A. Neese, and M.K. Hellerstein, *De novo lipogenesis, lipid*  
498 *kinetics, and whole-body lipid balances in humans after acute alcohol*  
499 *consumption*. American Journal of Clinical Nutrition, 1999. **70**(5): p. 928-  
500 936.
- 501 40. Bruno, R., et al., *Evolution of plasma acetate concentration during*  
502 *ethanol metabolism in man*. Forensic Sci Int, 1983. **21**(3): p. 215-21.  
503  
504  
505  
506  
507  
508

509 **Figure 1.** Schematic representation of the experimental protocol. EtOH =  
510 Ethanol.  $\dot{V}O_{2max}$  = maximal oxygen uptake. n=7.

511

512 **Figure 2.** Mean  $\pm$  normalised 95% confidence interval A. Substrate contribution  
513 to energy expenditure (left) and individual muscle glycogen responses (right).  
514 EtOH was assumed fully oxidised, lipid oxidation was calculated from non-EtOH  
515 RER, Extra-muscular carbohydrate oxidation was calculated as the difference  
516 between whole-body carbohydrate oxidation and measured muscle glycogen  
517 utilisation. B. Pre and post exercise muscle glycogen content following EtOH  
518 ingestion and volume matched, non-caloric Control. n=6.

519

520 **Figure 3.** Mean  $\pm$  normalised 95% confidence interval **A.** Raw  $\dot{V}O_2$  **B.** Raw  $\dot{V}CO_2$   
521 **C.** Raw respiratory exchange ratio **D.** Non-EtOH adjusted respiratory exchange  
522 ratio at rest and during exercise following EtOH ingestion and volume matched,  
523 non-caloric Control. n=7.

524

525 **Figure 4.** Mean  $\pm$  normalised 95% confidence interval Plasma Glucose, Lactate,  
526 and Non-esterified fatty acid (NEFA) responses to EtOH ingestion at rest and  
527 during exercise compared to a volume matched, non-caloric Control. \* $p < 0.05$ .  
528 n=7.









