



Citation for published version:

del Pino, M, Musso, M & Wei, J 2021, 'Geometry driven type II higher dimensional blow-up for the critical heat equation', *Journal of Functional Analysis*, vol. 280, no. 1, 108788. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108788>

DOI:

[10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108788](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2020.108788)

Publication date:

2021

Document Version

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

Publisher Rights

CC BY-NC-ND

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

GEOMETRY DRIVEN TYPE II HIGHER DIMENSIONAL BLOW-UP FOR THE CRITICAL HEAT EQUATION

MANUEL DEL PINO, MONICA MUSSO, AND JUNCHENG WEI

ABSTRACT. We consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \Delta v + |v|^{p-1}v \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ v &> 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{aligned}$$

In a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, $d \geq 7$ enjoying special symmetries, we find the first example of a solution with type II blow-up for a power p less than the Joseph-Lundgren exponent

$$p_{JL}(d) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } 3 \leq d \leq 10, \\ 1 + \frac{4}{d-4-2\sqrt{d-1}}, & \text{if } d \geq 11. \end{cases}$$

No type II radial blow-up is present for $p < p_{JL}(d)$. We take $p = \frac{d+1}{d-3}$, the Sobolev critical exponent in one dimension less. The solution blows up on circle contained in a negatively curved part of the boundary in the form of a sharply scaled Aubin-Talenti bubble, approaching its energy density a Dirac measure for the curve. This is a completely new phenomenon for a diffusion setting.

1. INTRODUCTION

Perhaps the most studied model of singularity formation or blow-up in nonlinear parabolic problems is the semilinear heat equation

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \Delta v + |v|^{p-1}v \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ v &> 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where $p > 1$. Here Ω be a smooth domain in \mathbb{R}^d (or entire space) and $0 < T \leq +\infty$. A smooth solution $u(x, t)$ of Problem (1.1) is said to blow-up at time T if

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = +\infty.$$

The key issue in the study of blow-up phenomena is to understand how and where explosion can take place. The blow-up is said to be of type I if we have that

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} < +\infty$$

and of type II if

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow T} (T-t)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} = +\infty.$$

Type I means that the blow-up takes place like that of the ODE $v_t = v^p$, so that in the explosion mechanism the nonlinearity plays the dominant role. In a

related interpretation, the blow-up “respects” the natural scalings of the problem. The second alternative is rare and far less understood. The delicate interplay of diffusion, nonlinearity and geometry of the domain is responsible for that scenario.

The role of the Sobolev critical exponent

$$p_S(d) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } 1 \leq d \leq 2, \\ \frac{d+2}{d-2}, & \text{if } d \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

is well-known to be central in the possible types of blow-up for (1.1).

When $1 < p < p_S(d)$ solutions can only have type I blow-up, as it was first established by Giga and Kohn [20] for the case of Ω convex, and in [32] in for a general domain. This is also the case for $p = p_S(d)$ and radial solutions of (1.1) [14], or if Ω is star-shaped [2]. Type II blow-up radial sign-changing solutions exists for $p = p_S(4)$ [14, 35].

Refined asymptotics of Type I blow-up together with constructions and classification results have been obtained in many works, we refer the reader to [6, 21, 22, 28, 30, 33, 37] and references therein.

Type I is expected to be in any reasonable sense the “generic” way in which blow-up takes place for any $p > 1$, see [5, 14, 28, 27].

Type II blow-up solutions are much harder to be detected. The only examples known are for $d \geq 11$ and $p > p_{JL}(d)$ where $p_{JL}(d)$ is the *Joseph-Lundgren exponent* [26] defined as

$$p_{JL}(d) = \begin{cases} \infty, & \text{if } 3 \leq d \leq 10, \\ 1 + \frac{4}{d-4-2\sqrt{d-1}}, & \text{if } d \geq 11. \end{cases}$$

Herrero and Velázquez [24, 25] found a radial solution that blows-up with type II rate. The local profile locally resembles a time-dependent, asymptotically singular scaling of a positive radial solution of

$$\Delta w + w^p = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (1.2)$$

See also [31] for the case of a ball, and [3] for an arbitrary domain with the same profile profile when p is in addition an odd integer. A main ingredient in the constructions is the stability of radial solutions of (1.2) whenever $p > p_{JL}(d)$ [23]. No positive solution (radial or not) is stable for $p \leq p_{JL}(d)$ [13].

In [27] Matano and Merle proved that in the radially symmetric case no Type II blow-up can take place if $p_S < p \leq p_{JL}(d)$, a result that precisely complements that for the Herrero-Velazquez range. Recently in [5] an entire finite energy, axially symmetric type II blow-up solution was built for $d \geq 12$ and $p > p_{JL}(d-1) > p_{JL}(d)$.

A question that has remained conspicuously open for many years is whether or not type II blow-up solutions of (1.1) can exist in the Matano-Merle range $p_S(d) < p < p_{JL}(d)$. Such solutions must of course be non-radial. In this paper we prove that the answer is **yes** in dimension $d \geq 7$ and $p = \frac{d+1}{d-3} = p_S(d-1)$ in a class of domains with axial symmetry.

Let us identify $\mathbb{R}^d \simeq \mathbb{C} \times \mathbb{R}^{d-2}$ and consider the orthogonal transformations

$$Q_\alpha(z) = (e^{i\alpha}(z_1 + iz_2), z), \quad \pi_i(z, x') = (z_1 + iz_2, z_3, \dots, -z_i, \dots, z_d). \quad (1.3)$$

We assume that Ω is a smooth, bounded domain with $0 \notin \Omega$, that is invariant under this transformations:

$$Q_\alpha(\Omega) = \Omega, \quad \pi_i(\Omega) = \Omega \quad \text{for all } \alpha \in \mathbb{R}, \quad i = 3, \dots, d.$$

In other words Ω is a radial domain in the first two coordinates, even in the remaining ones which does not contain the origin. In Ω we consider the problem

$$\begin{aligned} v_t &= \Delta v + v^{\frac{d+1}{d-3}} \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T), \\ v &= 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0, T), \\ v &> 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Let $m = \inf\{|z_1 + iz_2| / (z_1 + iz_2, 0) \in \Omega\} > 0$ so that the curve

$$\Gamma := \{(z_1 + iz_2, 0) / |z_1 + iz_2| = m\}$$

is a circle contained in $\partial\Omega$.

Theorem 1. *Let $d \geq 7$ and Ω a domain as described above. For any sufficiently small $T > 0$, there exists a smooth solution $v(z, t)$ of problem (1.4) that remains uniformly bounded outside any neighborhood of the curve Γ while*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow T} (T - t)^\gamma \|v(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)} > 0, \quad \gamma = \frac{(d-3)(d-4)}{2(d-5)}.$$

We notice that for $p = \frac{d+1}{d-3}$ we have $\frac{1}{p-1} = \frac{d-3}{4} < \gamma$ so that v exhibits type II blow-up.

The construction provides very accurate information on the solution. The principle is very simple We let $n = d - 1$ and consider the standard Aubin-Talenti function [36]

$$U(y) = \alpha_n \left(\frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} \right)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}, \quad \alpha_n = (n(n-2))^{\frac{1}{n-2}}, \tag{1.5}$$

which is a positive solution of $\Delta U + U^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0$. The solution has the form

$$v(z, t) \sim \frac{1}{\lambda(t)^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} U \left(\frac{x}{\lambda(t)} \right)$$

where x is the vector joining z and its closest point to a circle of the form $(1+d(t))\Gamma$ contained in the domain, with $\lambda(t) \rightarrow 0$ and $d(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow T$. We have that the energy density $|\nabla u(z, t)|^2$ concentrates in the form of a Dirac mass for the curve Γ , a phenomenon usually called bubbling. Bubbling at points triggered by criticality is a feature known in several different contexts, including dispersive equations and geometric flows. There is a broad literature on that matter. We refer the reader for instance to [1, 7, 4, 8, 9, 12, 15, 17, 18, 19, 29, 34] and their references.

The phenomenon of higher dimensional boundary bubbling here discovered is definitely triggered by geometry and is entirely new in the diffusion setting. It is worth mentioning that similar blow-up triggered by geometry of the boundary under axial symmetry has been numerically conjectured to hold for the three dimensional Euler equation in [16].

In an elliptic context, a result with resemblance to the current one was found in [11], methodologically connected with [10]. In fact we conjecture that a construction like the one here should be possible along a negatively curved closed geodesic of the

boundary. We believe that geometry is essential and that in a convex domain the Matano-Merle range of exponents may still lead to non-existence of type II blow-up.

The 2-variable radial symmetry of the domain leads us to look for a solution with the same symmetry of a problem in a domain with one dimension less at the critical exponent where point bubbling is obtained. This problem is methodologically challenging. For instance the method in the construction in [35] of point type II blow up in the radial sign-changing context in dimension 4, based on the pioneering work by Merle, Raphael, Rodnianski [29], later applied to various blow-up problems, does not seem to apply here. See [3] for a difficult adaptation of that technique for a related problem in a non-radial setting, yet only valid for odd integer powers, which is never our case.

We close this introduction by mentioning that our proof applies equally well to an exterior domain of the same nature. Besides, within the symmetry class the phenomenon we obtain is codimension 1-stable (presumably highly unstable outside symmetry). We shall not elaborate in that issue, which is a rather direct consequence of our construction.

We devote the rest of this paper to the proof of Theorem 1.

Notation. We use the symbol " \lesssim " to indicate " $\leq C$ ", for a positive constant C , whose value may change from line to line, and also inside the same line, and which is independent of t and T .

2. SCHEME OF THE PROOF

Let $d = n + 1$, and consider the change of variables

$$v(z_1, \dots, z_d, t) = u(\sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}, z_3, \dots, z_d, t)$$

for some $u = u(x_1, \dots, x_n, t)$. In terms of u , solving (1.4) in the class of functions v that are invariant under the orthogonal transformations (1.3) translates into solving

$$\begin{aligned} u_t &= \Delta u + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + u^p \text{ in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \quad p = \frac{n+2}{n-2} \\ u &> 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \end{aligned} \quad (2.1)$$

with $u(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) = u(x_1, \dots, -x_j, \dots, x_n)$ for any $j = 2, \dots, n$. Here \mathcal{D} is the smooth bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n defined as

$$\Omega = \{(z_1, \dots, z_d) \in \mathbb{R}^d : (\sqrt{z_1^2 + z_2^2}, z_3, \dots, z_d) \in \mathcal{D}\},$$

with the properties

$$(x_1, \dots, x_j, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{D} \iff (x_1, \dots, -x_j, \dots, x_n) \in \mathcal{D}, \quad j = 2, \dots, n,$$

and

$$\mathcal{D} \cup \{(x_1, \bar{x}) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{n-1} : \bar{x} = \bar{0}\} \neq \emptyset.$$

With no loss of generality, we assume that

$$\inf\{r > 0 : (r, \bar{0}) \in \mathcal{D}\} = 1.$$

The result contained in Theorem 1 is expressed in terms of Problem (2.1) in the following

Theorem 2. *For any T small enough, there exists a finite time blow-up solution to Problem (2.1) of the form*

$$u(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}(t) \left[U \left(\frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) - U \left(\frac{x - \hat{\xi}(t)}{\lambda(t)} \right) \right] (1 + o(1)) + O(1)$$

where $O(1)$ is uniformly bounded and $o(1) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly as $t \rightarrow T$. Here U is defined in (1.5), and

$$\xi(t) = (1 + d(t)) \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \hat{\xi}(t) = (1 - d(t)) \mathbf{e}_1, \quad \text{where } \mathbf{e}_1 = (1, \bar{0}) \quad (2.2)$$

with

$$d(t) = (T - t) (1 + o(1)), \quad \lambda = (T - t)^{\frac{n-3}{n-4}} (1 + o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T$$

We find the solution to Problem (2.1) as predicted by Theorem 2 by constructing a sufficiently accurate approximation, and then an actual solution to the Problem as a *small* perturbation which is subtle to use in particular by the structure instability of the problem. Our solution has the form

$$u(x, t) = W_2(x, t) + \mathbf{w}(x, t) \quad (2.3)$$

where W_2 is an explicit approximation whose expression encodes the predicted asymptotic behavior as $t \rightarrow T$. Here \mathbf{w} is a small correction in some appropriate topology.

In the rest of this section we describe $W_2(x, t)$ and the method of construction of an actual solution near W_2 which we call *the inner-outer gluing method*.

Construction of the approximation $W_2(x, t)$. We introduce two scalar functions $d, \lambda : (0, T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, expressed respectively as

$$d(t) = d_0(t) + d_1(t), \quad \lambda(t) = \lambda_0(t) + \lambda_1(t), \quad (2.4)$$

where d_0 and λ_0 are explicitly given by

$$d_0(t) = (T - t), \quad \lambda_0(t) = \ell(T - t)^{1 + \frac{1}{n-4}} \quad (2.5)$$

with ℓ a positive constant that we will define later. The functions λ_1 and d_1 are thought as parameter functions to be determined. For the moment, we assume that λ_1 and d_1 are controlled by λ_0 and d_0 in the whole interval $(0, T)$, in the following sense. For any scalar function $h(t)$, $t \in (0, T)$, and any real number δ , $\|h\|_\delta$ stands for the weighted L^∞ -norm defined as

$$\|h\|_\delta = \|(T - t)^{-\delta} h(t)\|_{L^\infty(0, T)}. \quad (2.6)$$

We assume that

$$\lambda_1(t) := \int_t^T \dot{\lambda}_1(s) ds, \quad d_1(t) := \int_t^T \dot{d}_1(s) ds, \quad \text{with} \quad (2.7)$$

$$\|\dot{d}_1\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} + \|\dot{\lambda}_1\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \lesssim 1,$$

where σ is fixed in the range

$$\sigma \in \left(\frac{1}{2}, 1\right). \quad (2.8)$$

In fact, we can think at σ as close to 1. The final time $T > 0$ will be chosen to be small enough so that $d(t) > 0$, and $\lambda(t) > 0$, in the whole interval $t \in (0, T)$.

As in the statement of Theorem 2, we proceed with the first step in the construction of W_2 in (2.3) and we introduce

$$W_1[\lambda_1, d_1](x, t) = W_0(x, t) - \bar{W}_0(x, t) \quad (2.9)$$

where

$$W_0(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}(t)U\left(\frac{x - \xi(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right), \quad \bar{W}_0(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}(t)U\left(\frac{x - \hat{\xi}(t)}{\lambda(t)}\right),$$

with U given by (1.5), and the points $\xi, \hat{\xi}$ described in (2.2). We recall that U solves

$$\Delta u + u^{\frac{n+2}{n-2}} = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n. \quad (2.10)$$

Since $d(t) > 0$ for all $t \in (0, T)$, we see that $\xi(t) \in \mathcal{D}$, $\hat{\xi}(t) \notin \mathcal{D}$, for any $t \in (0, T)$. In fact, since $(1, \bar{0}) \in \partial\mathcal{D}$, the point $\hat{\xi}$ is the reflection of ξ through the boundary. In other words, $\frac{\xi(t) + \hat{\xi}(t)}{2} = (1, \bar{0})$. The radial symmetry of U implies that $W[\lambda_1, d_1](1, \bar{0}) = 0$, for any possible election of λ_1 and d_1 .

The way to establish whether W_1 is a good approximation is to measure the size of the error $S[W_1](x, t)$, where

$$S[u](x, t) = -u_t + \Delta u + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + u^p.$$

Formally, one sees that, locally around a small neighborhood of ξ , the error $S[W_1]$ looks like, in the expanded variable $y = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}$,

$$\begin{aligned} S[W_1](\xi + \lambda y, t) &\sim \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left[\dot{d} + \frac{1}{1 + d + \lambda y_1} \right] Z_1(y) \\ &+ \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \dot{\lambda} Z_0(y) - \frac{p\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2} U^{p-1}(y). \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

We refer to (1.5) for the definition of the constant α_n and to (3.1) for the precise expression of $S[W_1]$.

The functions Z_1 and Z_0 that appear in (2.11) are

$$Z_0(y) = \frac{n-2}{2}U(y) + \nabla U(y) \cdot y, \quad Z_1(y) = \frac{\partial U}{\partial y_1}(y), \quad (2.12)$$

and they are the only bounded solutions to the linearized equation of (2.10) around U

$$L_0(\phi) := \Delta \phi + pU^{p-1}\phi = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \quad (2.13)$$

in the class of functions that are even in the variable y_j , for $j = 2, \dots, n$.

The definition of $d_0 = d_0(t)$ in (2.4)-(2.5) makes the biggest part of the function inside brackets in the first term in (2.11) at the point $y = 0$ equals to zero, since

$$\dot{d}_0(t) + 1 = 0, \quad t \in (0, T), \quad d_0(T) = 0.$$

With this choice of d_0 , the definition of λ_0 in (2.4)-(2.5) makes the integration of the second and third terms in (2.11) against Z_0 in \mathbb{R}^n equals to zero. Indeed, λ_0 is the solution to the ordinary differential equation

$$\lambda_0 \dot{\lambda}_0 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_0^2(y) dy \right) - \frac{p\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1}(y) Z_0(y) dy \right) = 0, \quad (2.14)$$

with $\lambda_0(T) = 0$, provided the number ℓ in (2.5) is given by

$$\ell = \left[\frac{n-3}{n-4} \frac{2^{n-1}}{\alpha_n(n-2)} \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_0^2(y) dy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^p(y) dy} \right]^{\frac{1}{n-4}}, \quad (2.15)$$

since $-p \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1}(y) Z_0(y) dy = \frac{n-2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^p(y) dy$.

A rigorous description of the error $S[W_1]$ in a region close to ξ is contained in Lemma 3.1 in Section 3. The main part of $S[W_1]$ turns out to be an explicit function of (x, t) , independent of d_1 and λ_1 . It is thus easy to correct W_1 to cancel the biggest part of the error, so we end up with a final approximation we called $W_2 = W_2[\lambda_1, d_1]$. The description of the second error $S[W_2]$ in a region close to the point ξ is contained in Lemma 3.2, while the description of part of the error $S[W_2]$ far from ξ is estimated in Lemma 3.3. In Lemma 3.3, we also provide a description of W_2 on the boundary $\partial\Omega$, which unfortunately is not identically zero. The correction of the boundary term and the construction of an actual solution to the equation is done in the second step of our argument, through the *inner-outer gluing method*.

Inner-outer gluing method. This method is a procedure to find the function \mathbf{w} in (2.3). We expect that the function \mathbf{w} corrects the approximation W_2 in a region far from the point ξ , adjusting of course the boundary conditions, and at the same time in a region close to ξ .

To organize this double role for \mathbf{w} , we introduce a smooth cut-off function η with $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s < 1$ and $= 0$ for $s > 2$, and we define

$$\eta_R(x, t) = \eta\left(\frac{|x - \xi|}{R\lambda}\right). \quad (2.16)$$

We will take the radius R a large number, independent of t , but dependent on T . We write

$$\mathbf{w}(x, t) = \psi(x, t) + \eta_R(x, t)\Phi(x, t). \quad (2.17)$$

In this decomposition, the term ψ is mainly influenced from the region far from ξ , while Φ reflects what is going on close to ξ .

In order that $u = u(x, t)$ defined in (2.3) to be an actual solution to problem (2.1), the function \mathbf{w} has to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{w}_t &= \Delta \mathbf{w} + pW_2^{p-1}\mathbf{w} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial x_1} + S[W_2](x, t) + N(\mathbf{w}), \quad (x, t) \in \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \\ \mathbf{w} &= -W_2, \quad (x, t) \in \partial\mathcal{D} \times (0, T). \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$N(\mathbf{w}) = (W_2 + \mathbf{w})^p - W_2^p - pW_2^{p-1}\mathbf{w}. \quad (2.19)$$

Thanks to (2.17), we proceed to decompose problem (2.18) into an *outer* and a *inner* problem.

Let $R' > R$ so that $\eta_{R'}\eta_R = \eta_R$. The equation in (2.18) is written explicitly in terms of ψ and Φ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_t + \underline{\eta_R \Phi_t} + (\eta_R)_t \Phi &= \Delta \psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} + \underline{\eta_R (\Delta \Phi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_1})} \\
&+ 2\nabla \eta_R \nabla \Phi + \Delta \eta_R \Phi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \eta_R}{\partial x_1} \Phi \\
&+ p \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} \underline{\eta_{R'} \eta_R (\psi + \Phi)} \\
&+ p \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} \eta_{R'} (1 - \eta_R) \psi \\
&+ p \left[W_2^{p-1} - \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} (\psi + \eta_R \Phi) \\
&+ p W_2^{p-1} (1 - \eta_{R'}) (\psi + \eta_R \Phi) + N[\mathbf{w}] + \underbrace{\bar{E}_2 + E_2 \eta_R}_{:=S[W_2]}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have decomposed the error $S[W_2]$ into its principal part E_2 multiplied by the cut off η_R ,

$$S[W_2] = E_2 \eta_R + \bar{E}_2 \quad (2.20)$$

leaving all the rest into a term named \bar{E}_2 . Observe that the terms which are underlined all go with the cut off function η_R in front. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
V(x, t) &= p \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} \eta_{R'} (1 - \eta_R) \\
&+ p \left[W_2^{p-1} - \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} \\
&+ p W_2^{p-1} (1 - \eta_{R'}).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Then we observe that \mathbf{w} defined in (2.17) solves (2.18) if the pair (ψ, Φ) solve the following system of coupled equations

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi_t &= \Delta \psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} + V \psi + \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \eta_R \Phi + 2\nabla \Phi \nabla \eta_R + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \eta_R}{\partial x_1} \Phi \\
&+ p \left[W_2^{p-1} - \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} \eta_R \Phi \\
&+ N[\mathbf{w}] + \bar{E}_2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \\
\psi &= -W_2, \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{D} \times (0, T),
\end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_t &= \Delta \Phi + p \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} (\Phi + \psi) + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x_1} \\
&+ E_2 \quad \text{in } B(\xi, 2R\lambda) \times (0, T).
\end{aligned} \quad (2.23)$$

Problem (2.22) is referred to as the *outer problem*: ψ adjusts the boundary conditions, and takes care of the part of the error far from the concentration point ξ .

Problem (2.23) is referred to as the *inner problem*: Φ adjusts the error close to ξ .

To solve the outer and inner problems (2.22) and (2.23), we proceed as follows. For given parameters λ, d and functions Φ fixed in a suitable range, we solve for ψ Problem (2.22), for any small and smooth initial condition $\psi_0(x)$, in the form of a (nonlocal) operator $\psi = \Psi(\lambda, d, \Phi)$, provided the radius R in (2.16) is large enough and the final time T is small enough. We solve it developing a linear theory for an operator which resembles the characteristics of the heat equation. This is done in full details in Section 4.

We then replace the ψ we found into the inner problem (2.23). In order to get a cleaner expression for problem (2.23), it is convenient to perform two changes of variable for the function Φ . First, we perform a change of variable in the *space* variable, by setting

$$\Phi(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \phi\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}, t\right). \quad (2.24)$$

In terms of ϕ , equation (2.23) gets the form

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^2 \phi_t &= L_0(\phi) + p\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} U^{p-1} \psi(\lambda y + \xi, t) + \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} E_2(\lambda_0 y + \xi, t) \\ &+ B[\phi] \quad \text{in } B(0, 2R) \times (0, T), \end{aligned} \quad (2.25)$$

where L_0 is the linearized equation associated to the bubble U , introduced in (2.13), that we recall $L_0(\phi) = \Delta\phi + pU^{p-1}\phi$, and

$$B[\phi] = \lambda \dot{\lambda} \left[\frac{n-2}{2} \phi(y, t) + \nabla\phi(y, t) \cdot y \right] + \left[\lambda \dot{d} + \frac{\lambda}{\lambda y_1 + \xi} \right] \frac{\partial\phi}{\partial y_1}(y, t) \quad (2.26)$$

A second change of variable, in the *time* variable, is to define

$$\frac{dt}{d\tau} = \lambda^2(t), \quad \tau(t) = \frac{n-4}{(n-2)\ell} (T-t)^{-1-\frac{2}{n-4}} (1+o(1)), \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T \quad (2.27)$$

where ℓ is the constant defined in (2.15). With this change in the time variable, equation (2.25) becomes

$$\phi_\tau = \Delta\phi + pU^{p-1}\phi + H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi](y, \tau) \quad \text{in } B(0, 2R) \times (\tau_0, \infty), \quad (2.28)$$

for $\tau_0 = \tau(0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi](y, \tau) &= p\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} U^{p-1} \psi(\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau)) + \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} E_2(\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau)) \\ &+ B[\phi] \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

Let us discuss how we treat Problem (2.28). The linear operator $L_1(\phi) := -\phi_\tau + L_0(\phi)$ is certainly not invertible, being all τ -independent elements of the kernel of L_0 also elements of the kernel of L_1 . Thus, for solvability, one expects some orthogonality conditions to hold. Not only this. The solution ϕ we look for cannot grow exponentially in time. Recall that L_0 has a positive radially symmetric bounded eigenfunction Z associated to the only negative eigenvalue μ_0 to the problem

$$L_0(\phi) + \mu\phi = 0, \quad \phi \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n). \quad (2.30)$$

It is known that μ_0 is a simple eigenvalue and that Z decays like

$$Z(y) \sim |y|^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} e^{-\sqrt{|\mu_0|}|y|} \quad \text{as } |y| \rightarrow \infty.$$

To avoid exponential grow in time due to this instability, we construct a solution to (2.28) in the class of functions that are parallel to Z in the initial time τ_0 .

To be more precise, we can construct a solution to the initial value problem

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_\tau &= \Delta\phi + pU^{p-1}\phi + H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi](y, \tau) \quad \text{in } B(0, 2R) \times (\tau_0, \infty), \\ \phi(y, \tau_0) &= e_0 Z(y) \quad \text{in } B(0, 2R), \end{aligned} \quad (2.31)$$

for some constant e_0 . While no boundary conditions are specified, we shall request suitable time-space decay rates and, as already mentioned, some orthogonality conditions on the right-hand side $H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi]$. In other words, one has solvability for (2.31) provided that the following orthogonality conditions

$$\int H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi] Z_i(y) dy = 0, \quad i = 0, 1 \quad \forall t \quad (2.32)$$

are fulfilled. It is at this point that we choose the parameters λ and d (as functions of the given ϕ) in such a way that these orthogonality conditions are satisfied. This is done in Section 5, for any R (see (2.16)) large enough, and any final time T small enough.

In Sections 6 we solve the inner problem (2.31): it is at this point that we find that there exists R sufficiently large for that, for any final time T small enough (or equivalently τ_0 large enough), the inner problem is solvable. We remark that the (small) initial condition required for ϕ should lie on a certain manifold locally described as a translation of the hyperplane orthogonal to $Z(y)$. This constraint defines a *codimension 1 manifold* of initial conditions which describes those for which the expected asymptotic bubbling behavior is possible.

In summary, the inner-outer gluing procedure allows us to show that: for any small and smooth initial condition ψ_0 for Problem (2.22), we find a solution ψ to (2.22), λ, d solutions to (2.32), and ϕ solution to (2.31), with initial condition belonging to a 1-codimensional space, so that $W_2(x, t) + \mathbf{w}(x, t)$ defined in (2.3)-(2.17) is a solution to (2.1) with the expected asymptotic bubbling behavior.

The rest of the paper is devoted to prove rigorously what we have described so far.

3. CONSTRUCTION OF A FIRST APPROXIMATION

We start with the description of the error function associated to the first approximation W_1 , introduced in (2.9). We recall the definition of the error function

$$S[u](x, t) = -u_t + \Delta u + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1} + u^p.$$

A direct computation gives

$$\begin{aligned}
S[W_1](x, t) &= \underbrace{\lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left[\dot{d} + \frac{1}{x_1} \right] Z_1 \left(\frac{x - \xi}{\lambda} \right)}_{:=e_1(x, t)} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \dot{\lambda} Z_0 \left(\frac{x - \xi}{\lambda} \right) - pW_0^{p-1} \bar{W}_0}_{:=e_2(x, t)} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left[\dot{d} - \frac{1}{x_1} \right] Z_1 \left(\frac{x - \hat{\xi}}{\lambda} \right) - \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \dot{\lambda} Z_0 \left(\frac{x - \hat{\xi}}{\lambda} \right)}_{:=e_3(x, t)} \\
&\quad + \underbrace{\bar{W}_0^p + (W_0 - \bar{W}_0)^p - W_0^p + pW_0^{p-1} \bar{W}_0}_{:=e_4(x, t)}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

We shall see that the main parts of the error function $S[W_1](x, t)$ are contained in the terms e_1 and e_2 . Observe also that the term e_4 depends only on λ_1 and d_1 , but it does not depend on $\dot{\lambda}_1$, nor on \dot{d}_1 , while the term e_3 depends on all parameter functions λ_1 , d_1 , $\dot{\lambda}_1$ and \dot{d}_1 .

Next Lemma contains a description of the error function $S[W_1](x, t)$ in a region close to ξ .

Lemma 3.1. *Assume the functions λ_1 and d_1 satisfy (2.7), and that T is small. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small fixed number and $y = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}$. In the region $|x - \xi| < \delta d$, the error of approximation $S[W_1](x, t)$ can be described as follows*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} S[W_1](x, t) &= E_0(y, t) + E_\lambda[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1](y, t) \\
&\quad + E_d[d_1, \dot{d}_1, \lambda_1](y, t) + E[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1, \dot{d}_1](y, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0(y, t) &= \lambda_0 \dot{\lambda}_0 Z_0(y) - \frac{p \alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} U^{p-1}(y), \\
E_\lambda[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1](y, t) &= (\lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 + \dot{\lambda}_0 \lambda_1) Z_0(y) \\
&\quad - \frac{p(n-2) \alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right] \left[1 + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right) \right] U^{p-1}(y) \\
E_d[d_1, \dot{d}_1, \lambda_1](y, t) &= \lambda \left[\dot{d}_1 - \frac{d_0 + d_1 + \lambda y_1}{1 + d + \lambda y_1} \right] Z_1(y) \\
&\quad + \frac{p(n-2) \alpha_n}{2^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-1} U^{p-1}(y) y_1 \\
E[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1, \dot{d}_1](y, t) &= \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right) - \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n+2} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $f = f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$ denotes a generic function, which is smooth and bounded for y in the considered region, and for λ_1 and d_1 satisfying (2.7), whose expression changes from line to line. With q_1 we denote a generic smooth real function, with the property that $q_1(0,0) = 0$, and $\nabla q_1(0,0) \neq 0$.

Remark 3.1. A close look at the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that the three functions E_0 , E_λ and E_d originate from the terms e_1 and e_2 in (3.1), which, as already mentioned, are the main terms of $S[W_1]$.

Proof. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small fixed number. To analyze $S[W_1](x, t)$ in the region $|x - \xi| < \delta d$, we introduce the variable $y = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}$ and we define

$$E_1(y, t) = \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} S[W_1](\xi + \lambda y, t).$$

With abuse of notation, we will write $e_j(y, t) = e_j(\xi + \lambda y, t)$. The definition of d_0 in (2.5) gives that $\dot{d}_0 + 1 = 0$ in $(0, T)$, which simplifies the first term e_1 as follows

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_1(y, t) = \lambda \left[\dot{d}_1 - \frac{d_0 + d_1 + \lambda y_1}{1 + d + \lambda y_1} \right] Z_1(y). \quad (3.3)$$

We refer to (2.12) for the definition of $Z_1(y)$. Let us now describe e_2 . In the region we are considering, $|y| < \delta \frac{d}{\lambda}$, we observe that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \bar{W}_0(\xi + \lambda y) = \frac{\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2} \left[1 - \frac{n-2}{2} y_1 \frac{\lambda}{d} + O(1 + |y|^2) q_2 \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right) \right]$$

where q_2 denotes a smooth function with the properties that $q_2(0) = q_2'(0) = 0$, $q_2''(0) \neq 0$. With this in mind, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_2(y, t) &= \lambda \dot{\lambda} Z_0(y) - \frac{p \alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2} U^{p-1}(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{p(n-2) \alpha_n}{2^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-1} U^{p-1}(y) y_1 + R[\lambda, d](y, t) \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^n \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $R[\lambda, d](y, t)$ depends smoothly on λ and d , it does not depend on $\dot{\lambda}$, nor on \dot{d} , and satisfies the uniform estimates

$$|R[\lambda, d](y, t)| \leq \frac{C}{1 + |y|^2}, \quad (3.5)$$

for some constant C , independent of t and T . Replacing (2.14) in (3.4), we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_2(y, t) &= \lambda_0 \dot{\lambda}_0 Z_0(y) - \frac{p \alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} U^{p-1}(y) \\ &\quad + (\lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 + \dot{\lambda}_0 \lambda_1) Z_0(y) \\ &\quad - \frac{p(n-2) \alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right] \left[1 + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} \right) + q_1 \left(\frac{d_1}{d_0} \right) \right] U^{p-1}(y) \\ &\quad + \frac{p(n-2) \alpha_n}{2^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-1} U^{p-1}(y) y_1 + \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^n R[\lambda, d](y, t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

where R depends smoothly on λ and d , it does not depend on $\dot{\lambda}$, nor on \dot{d} , and satisfies the uniform estimate (3.5). In order to describe $\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_3(y, t)$, we observe that in the region we are considering, we have

$$Z_1(y + 2\frac{d}{\lambda}\mathbf{e}_1) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-1} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$$

and

$$Z_0(y + 2\frac{d}{\lambda}\mathbf{e}_1) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$$

where $f = f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$ denotes a generic function, which is smooth and bounded, whose expression changes from line to line. So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_3(y, t) &= \lambda \left[\dot{d}_1 - 2 - \frac{d + \lambda y_1}{1 + d + \lambda y_1} \right] \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-1} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) \\ &\quad - \lambda[\dot{\lambda}_0 + \dot{\lambda}_1] \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) \\ &= \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-1} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) - \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{2(n-2)} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}). \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

We finally observe that, for $|x - \xi| < \delta d$, we have

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \bar{W}_0^p(\xi + \lambda y, t) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n+2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$$

and, for $n = 6$

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left[(W_0 - \bar{W}_0)^p - W_0^p + pW_0^{p-1}\bar{W}_0 \right] (\xi + \lambda y, t) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{2(n-2)} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$$

while for $n \geq 7$

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left[(W_0 - \bar{W}_0)^p - W_0^p + pW_0^{p-1}\bar{W}_0 \right] (\xi + \lambda y, t) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n+2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}).$$

We thus conclude that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_4(y, t) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n+2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) \tag{3.8}$$

where $f = f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$ is a smooth bounded function. Putting together (3.3)-(3.4)-(3.6)-(3.7)-(3.8), and using the fact that $n \geq 6$, we obtain (3.2). \square

Observe that the function E_0 in (3.2) is an explicit function of x and t , and it does not depend on the parameter functions λ_1 , and d_1 . It is convenient to slightly modify the approximate solution W_1 , adding a correction that will eliminate the term E_0 in the error. To this purpose, we write

$$E_0(y, t) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \pi(y), \quad \pi(y) = \frac{p\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left[\frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1}(y) Z_0(y) dy}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_0^2(y) dy} Z_0(y) - U^{p-1}(y) \right].$$

Let $h = h(y)$ be the radially symmetric, fast decaying solution to

$$\Delta h + pU^{p-1}h = \pi, \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n,$$

defined by the variation of parameters formula as follows. We denote by \tilde{Z} a radial solution to $\Delta\tilde{Z} + pU^{p-1}\tilde{Z} = 0$ which is linearly independent to Z_0 . One has that $\tilde{Z}(r) \sim r^{2-n}$ as $r \rightarrow 0$, while $\tilde{Z}(r) \sim 1$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. Then h is given by

$$h(r) = cZ_0(r) \int_0^r \tilde{Z}(s)\pi(s)s^{n-1} ds - c\tilde{Z}(r) \int_r^\infty Z_0(s)\pi(s)s^{n-1} ds, \quad r = |y|,$$

for some constant c . One sees that

$$h(|y|) = O(|y|^{-2}), \quad \text{as } |y| \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.9)$$

Define

$$w(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} h\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right), \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{w}(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} h\left(\frac{x-\hat{\xi}}{\lambda}\right).$$

Observe that

$$\Delta w + p \left(\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right)^{p-1} w = \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \pi\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right). \quad (3.10)$$

A new approximate solution is defined to be

$$W_2[\lambda_1, d_1](x, t) = W_1[\lambda_1, d_1](x, t) - \underbrace{\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} [w(x, t) - \bar{w}(x, t)] \eta\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{bd_0}\right)}_{:=W(x, t)}, \quad (3.11)$$

where W_1 is the function defined in (2.9), and η is the smooth cut-off function we see in (2.16), with $\eta(s) = 1$ for $s < 1$ and $= 0$ for $s > 2$. The number $b > 0$ is chosen to be small and fixed in such a way that $\eta\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{bd_0}\right) \equiv 0$ for any $x \in \partial\mathcal{D}$, for any $t \in [0, T)$. Such a choice is possible thanks to (2.7), for any T small.

A direct computation gives that the new error function $S[W_2](x, t)$ is given by

$$S[W_2](x, t) = S[W_1](x, t) - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta w + pW_0^{p-1}w \right] \eta\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{bd_0}\right) + e_5(x, t) + e_6(x, t) \quad (3.12)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
e_5(x, t) &= \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} W_t, \\
e_6(x, t) &= \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta \bar{w} + p W_0^{p-1} \bar{w} \right] \eta\left(\frac{|x - \xi|}{b d_0}\right) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[2 \nabla(w - \bar{w}) \nabla \left(\eta\left(\frac{|x - \xi|}{b d_0}\right) \right) + (w - \bar{w}) \Delta \left(\eta\left(\frac{|x - \xi|}{b d_0}\right) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \right] W - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_1} \\
&\quad + \left(W_1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} W \right)^p - W_1^p + p \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} W_1^{p-1} W \\
&\quad + p \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} (W_0^{p-1} - W_1^{p-1}) W.
\end{aligned}$$

Observe that the function e_6 depends only on λ_1 and d_1 , but it does not depend on $\dot{\lambda}_1$, nor on \dot{d}_1 . On the other hand, e_5 depends on all λ_1 , d_1 , $\dot{\lambda}_1$ and \dot{d}_1 .

Next Lemma contains a description of the error function $S[W_2](x, t)$ in a region close to ξ . An immediate comparison between the expression of $S[W_1]$ in (3.2) and the one of $S[W_2]$ in (3.13) shows that the new approximate solution W_2 corrects the error E_0 in this region.

Lemma 3.2. *Assume the functions λ_1 and d_1 satisfy (2.7), and that T is small. Let $\delta > 0$ be a small fixed number and $y = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}$. In the region $|x - \xi| < \delta d$, the error of approximation $S[W_2](x, t)$ is as follows*

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} S[W_2](x, t) &= E_{2,\lambda}[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1](y, t) \\
&\quad + E_{2,d}[d_1, \dot{d}_1, \lambda_1](y, t) + E[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1, \dot{d}_1](y, t)
\end{aligned} \tag{3.13}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_{2,\lambda}[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1](y, t) &= (\lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 + \dot{\lambda}_0 \lambda_1) Z_0(y) \\
&\quad - (\lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 + \dot{\lambda}_0 \lambda_1) \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} h(y) + \nabla h(y) \cdot y \right) \\
&\quad - \frac{p(n-2) \alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right] \left[1 + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right) \right] U^{p-1}(y) \\
E_{2,d}[d_1, \dot{d}_1, \lambda_1](y, t) &= \lambda \left[\dot{d}_1 - \frac{d_0 + d_1 + \lambda y_1}{1 + d + \lambda y_1} \right] Z_1(y) \\
&\quad - \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1}(y) \\
&\quad + \frac{p(n-2) \alpha_n}{2^{n-1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-1} U^{p-1}(y) y_1 \\
E[\lambda_1, \dot{\lambda}_1, d_1, \dot{d}_1](y, t) &= \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) - \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n+2} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}).
\end{aligned}$$

Here $f = f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$ denotes a generic function, which is smooth and bounded for y in the considered region, and for λ_1 and d_1 satisfying (2.7), whose expression changes from line to line. With q_1 we denote a generic smooth real function, with the property that $q_1(0, 0) = 0$, and $\nabla q_1(0, 0) \neq 0$.

Proof. Let $y = \frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$ and consider the region $|y| < \delta \frac{d}{\lambda}$, for some fixed number δ . We take δ to be small enough so that $\eta \left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{b d_0} \right)$ is identically equal to 1 in the region we are considering, at any time t . This is possible thanks to (2.7), taking T smaller if necessary. The function $e_5(x, t)$ defined in (3.12), is explicitly given by

$$\begin{aligned}
e_5(x, t) &= - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left[\dot{\lambda} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} h(y) + \nabla h(y) \cdot y \right) + \dot{d} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1}(y) \right] \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left[\dot{\lambda} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} h(y + 2 \frac{d}{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_1) - \nabla h(+2 \frac{d}{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_1) \cdot (y + 2 \frac{d}{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_1) \right) \right] \\
&\quad + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \dot{d} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1}(y + 2 \frac{d}{\lambda} \mathbf{e}_1).
\end{aligned}$$

Taking advantage of the estimate (3.9), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_5(x, t) &= -\lambda \dot{\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} h(y) + \nabla h(y) \cdot y \right) \\
&\quad - \lambda \dot{d} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1}(y) \\
&\quad + \lambda \dot{\lambda} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^n f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}) + \lambda \dot{d} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n+1} f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})
\end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $f = f(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0})$ denotes a generic function, which is smooth and bounded for y in the considered region, and for λ_1 and d_1 satisfying (2.7).

Next, we claim that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} e_6(x, t) = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n+2} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right), \quad (3.15)$$

for some f as before. To check the validity of (3.15), we start with the observation that

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta \bar{w} + p W_0^{p-1} \bar{w} \right] &= \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} p \left[W_0^{p-1} - \bar{W}_0^{p-1} \right] \bar{w} \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \pi \left(\frac{x - \hat{\xi}}{\lambda} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using again estimate (3.9), and a Taylor expansion, we get that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta \bar{w} + p W_0^{p-1} \bar{w} \right] = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n+2} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right).$$

Observe now that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \right] W = \lambda^2 (T - t)^{\frac{2}{n-4}} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right),$$

while

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_1} = \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right).$$

A direct computation thus gives that both terms

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \frac{d}{dt} \left[\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \right] W \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial W}{\partial x_1}$$

can be described as $\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n+2} f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right)$, for $f = f\left(y, \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right)$ smooth and bounded for y in the considered region, and for λ_1 and d_1 satisfying (2.7). Taylor expanding in $\left(W_1 - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} W\right)^p - W_1^p + p \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} W_1^{p-1} W$, and using again (3.9), one gets a similar expression also for this term. The last term in the definition of e_6 can be estimated in a similar way. Putting all the above information together, (3.15) is proven.

The proof of expansion (3.12) thus directly follows from (3.14), (3.15) combined with expansion (3.1) in Lemma 3.1 and the definition of $W(x, t)$ in (3.11), together with (3.10). \square

At this point we write the error function $S[W_2]$ as in (2.20)

$$\begin{aligned} S[W_2](x, t) &= \left[\underbrace{e_1 + e_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta w + p W_0^{p-1} w \right] \eta \left(\frac{|x - \xi|}{b d_0} \right)}_{:= E_2} \right] \eta_R(x, t) \\ &+ \bar{E}_2(x, t), \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

where η_R is the cut off function introduced in (2.16). We also fix the radius R in terms of T . We assume that

$$R = T^{-\kappa}, \quad \text{with } 0 < \kappa < \frac{1}{n-4}. \quad (3.17)$$

Under this assumption, we have that $R \lesssim \frac{d}{\lambda}(t)$, for all $t \in (0, T)$.

The term \bar{E}_2 in (3.16) encodes the information of the error $S[W_2]$ regarding the lower order terms and the part of the main terms far away from the concentrating point ξ . In the remaining part of the Section we describe the error function \bar{E}_2 , and also the approximation $W_2(x, t)$ itself when evaluated in the boundary of \mathcal{D} .

For later purpose, we need to estimate this part of the error, \bar{E}_2 , in certain weighted L^∞ norm. Let α be a fixed number with $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ and let σ be the number fixed in (2.8). For any smooth function $f = f(x, t)$, $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and $t \in (0, T)$, we define the norm

$$\|f\|_{**, \alpha, \sigma} := \inf \left\{ M > 0 : \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |f(x, t)| \leq M \left(\omega_{**, 1}(y, t) + \omega_{**, 2}(y, t) \right) \right\}, \quad (3.18)$$

where, for $y = \frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{**, 1}(y, t) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^\sigma \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n-2} + |y|^{n-2}} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{2+\alpha}} \\ \omega_{**, 2}(y, t) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{1}{(1 + |y|)^{n-3}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.19)$$

For any smooth function $g = g(x, t)$ defined in $\partial\mathcal{D} \times (0, T)$, we introduce the norm on the boundary

$$\|g\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}} := \|\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}(t) \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}(t)\right)^{-n+2-\sigma} (T-t)^{-\frac{\alpha}{n-4}} g(x, t)\|_{L^\infty(\partial\mathcal{D} \times (0, T))}. \quad (3.20)$$

In the next Lemma, we describe the part of the error we called \bar{E}_2 in the whole $\mathcal{D} \times (0, T)$, and its Lipschitz dependence on λ_1 and d_1 . When needed, to emphasize the dependence of \bar{E}_2 on the parameter functions λ_1 and d_1 we use the notation

$$\bar{E}_2(x, t) = \bar{E}_2[\lambda_1, d_1](x, t).$$

Lemma 3.3. *Assume the functions λ_1 and d_1 satisfy (2.7). Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$ be small and fixed, and σ as in (2.8). Let T be small and R satisfy (3.17). Then there exists $\varepsilon > 0$, which depends only on n ,*

$$\|\bar{E}_2\|_{**, \alpha, \sigma} \lesssim T^\varepsilon, \quad \|W_2\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \lesssim T^{1-\frac{\sigma+\alpha}{n-4}}. \quad (3.21)$$

Moreover, there exist a positive number ε_1 , which depends only on n , such that, for any parameter functions d_1 , and λ_1^1, λ_1^2 satisfying (2.7), one has

$$\|\bar{E}_2[\lambda_1^1, d_1] - \bar{E}_2[\lambda_1^2, d_1]\|_{**, \alpha, \sigma} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon_1} \|\dot{\lambda}_1^1 - \dot{\lambda}_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}}, \quad (3.22)$$

and

$$\|W_2[\lambda_1^1, d_1] - W_2[\lambda_1^2, d_1]\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon_1} \|\dot{\lambda}_1^1 - \dot{\lambda}_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}}, \quad (3.23)$$

Also: for any parameter functions λ_1 , and d_1^1, d_1^2 satisfying (2.7), one has

$$\|\bar{E}_2[\lambda_1, d_1^1] - \bar{E}_2[\lambda_1, d_1^2]\|_{**, \alpha, \sigma} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon_1} \|d_1^1 - d_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \quad (3.24)$$

and

$$\|W_2[\lambda_1, d_1^1] - W_2[\lambda_1, d_1^2]\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \lesssim T^{\varepsilon_1} \|d_1^1 - d_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \quad (3.25)$$

Proof. We start the analysis of the second estimate in (3.21). If $x \in \partial\mathcal{D}$, then $\eta\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{bd_0}\right) \equiv 0$, thanks to the choice of $b > 0$, see (3.11). A Taylor expansion gives

$$W_2(x, t) = \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} g(x, t) (1 + O(\lambda^2)), \quad g(x, t) := \frac{1}{|x-\xi|^{n-2}} - \frac{1}{|x-\hat{\xi}|^{n-2}},$$

uniformly for $x \in \partial\mathcal{D}$. We claim that

$$g(x, t) = O\left(\frac{1}{d^{n-3}}\right), \quad \text{uniformly on } \partial\mathcal{D}.$$

This is certainly true if x is a point of the boundary, far from $p := (1, \bar{0})$, say if $d(x, p) > r_0\sqrt{d}$, for some constant r_0 . Observe now that if $x \in \partial\mathcal{D}$ is such that $d(x, (1, \bar{0})) \leq \sqrt{d}$, then we can assume that $x = (\phi(\bar{x}), \bar{x})$, with ϕ a smooth function so that $\phi(\bar{0}) = 1$, $\nabla\phi(\bar{0}) = 0$, and $D^2\phi(\bar{0}) \neq 0$. Thus, for x in this region, a simple Taylor expansion gives the existence of a constant c so that $|g(x, t)| \leq c\frac{d^2}{|x-\xi|^n}$, for $x \neq p$, $g(p, t) = 0$. We can conclude that, for any $x \in \partial\mathcal{D}$, one has

$$|W_2(x, t)| \leq c\frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2} d,$$

so that $\|W_2\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \lesssim T^{\frac{n-4-\alpha-\sigma}{n-4}}$. The second estimate in (3.21) readily follows.

Let us check (3.23). Let d_1 , and λ_1^1, λ_1^2 satisfy (2.7). For any $x \in \partial\mathcal{D}$, a Taylor expansion gives

$$|W_2[\lambda_1^1, d_1](x, t) - W_2[\lambda_1^2, d_1](x, t)| \leq \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left| Z_0\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) - Z_0\left(\frac{x-\hat{\xi}}{\lambda}\right) \right| |\lambda_1^1 - \lambda_1^2| \quad (3.26)$$

for some $\lambda = \lambda_0 + \bar{\lambda}$, with $\bar{\lambda}$ satisfying (2.7). Arguing as before, and using (2.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left| Z_0\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) - Z_0\left(\frac{x-\hat{\xi}}{\lambda}\right) \right| |\lambda_1^1 - \lambda_1^2| &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} d(T-t)^{1+\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \|\dot{\lambda}_1^1 - \dot{\lambda}_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} T^{\frac{n-4-\alpha-\sigma}{n-4}} \|\dot{\lambda}_1^1 - \dot{\lambda}_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}}. \end{aligned}$$

This proves (3.23). In a similar way, one can show the validity of (3.25).

Let us show the validity of the first estimate in (3.21). We write \bar{E}_2 explicitly

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{E}_2 = &\underbrace{\left[e_1 + e_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta w + pW_0^{p-1}w \right] \eta\left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{bd_0}\right) \right]}_{:=e_{out}} (1 - \eta_R(x, t)) \\ &+ \sum_{j=3}^6 e_j(x, t). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

We refer to formulas (3.1) and (3.12) for the definition of e_j , $j = 1, \dots, 6$.

We start analyzing e_{out} . From Lemma 3.2 and (3.10), we get that for $R \lesssim |\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}| \lesssim \frac{d}{\lambda}$, for some $a > 0$,

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |e_{out}(x, t)| \leq T^a (\omega_{**,1} + \omega_{**,2}).$$

Let us then consider the region $\frac{d}{\lambda} \lesssim |\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}|$. Using that $\dot{d}_0 + 1 = 0$, we have

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |e_1|(1 - \eta_R) \lesssim \frac{\lambda \dot{d}_1}{1 + |y|^{n-1}} (1 - \eta_R) \lesssim \omega_{**,2}(y, t) R^{-2}.$$

Writing $e_2 = \lambda^{-\frac{n}{2}} \dot{\lambda} Z_0 \left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda} \right) - p W_0^{p-1} \bar{W}_0 = e_{21} + e_{22}$, we have for $\frac{d}{\lambda} \lesssim |\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}|$, and some $a > 0$,

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |e_{21}|(1 - \eta_R) \lesssim \frac{\lambda \dot{\lambda}}{1 + |y|^{n-2}} (1 - \eta_R) \lesssim \omega_{**,2}(y, t) T^a$$

and

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |e_{22}|(1 - \eta_R) \lesssim \frac{1}{1 + |y|^4} \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n-2} + |y|^{n-2}} \lesssim \omega_{**,1}(y, t) T^a.$$

Moreover

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left| \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta w + p W_0^{p-1} w \right] \eta \left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{b d_0} \right) \right| (1 - \eta_R) \lesssim \omega_{**,1}(y, t) R^{-1}.$$

We thus conclude that, for some $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\|e_{out}\|_{**} \lesssim T^\varepsilon.$$

Referring to formula (3.1) for their definitions, we proceed with the estimate of e_3 and e_4 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |e_3| &\lesssim \frac{\lambda}{1 + \left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n-2} + |y|^{n-2}} \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{n-3}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^2 (T-t)^{-\frac{1}{4}} \\ &\lesssim \omega_{**,2}(y, t) T^{1 + \frac{3}{n-4}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|e_4| \lesssim |\bar{W}_0|^p \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \frac{d}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n+2} + |y|^{n+2}} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \omega_{**,1}(y, t) T^\varepsilon, \quad \varepsilon > 0.$$

Referring to (3.12), we next estimate e_5 and e_6 . We have

$$|e_5| \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{2(n-2)} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^2} \eta \left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{b d_0} \right) \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \omega_{**,2}(y, t) T^3,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |e_6| &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2} \frac{d}{1 + |y|^4} \eta \left(\frac{|x-\xi|}{b d_0} \right) + \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2} \frac{\lambda^2}{1 + |y|^{n-2}} + |W|^p \\ &\lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n+2}{2}} (\omega_{**,1}(y, t) + \omega_{**,2}(y, t)) T. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that

$$\left\| \sum_{j=3}^6 e_j \right\|_{**, \alpha, \sigma} \lesssim T^\varepsilon.$$

The Lipschitz dependence of \bar{E}_2 with respect to the topology of the set to which λ_1 and d_1 belong, as stated in (3.22) and (3.24), follows from the analysis of each

one of the terms of \bar{E}_2 in (3.27). One has to study them both in a region relative close to ξ , where one takes advantage of the results contained in Lemma 3.2, and in a region far from ξ , where the explicit expressions collected in (3.1) and (3.12) are of use. \square

4. SOLVING THE OUTER PROBLEM

This section is devoted to solve in $\psi = \psi(x, t)$ the *outer problem* (2.22) in the form of a non linear non local operator

$$\psi(x, t) = \Psi[\lambda_1, d_1, \phi](x, t)$$

of the parameter functions λ_1 and d_1 satisfying the bounds (2.7), and of the function ϕ defined in (2.17)-(2.24) and chosen in the following range.

Let a be a fixed number with $a \in (0, 1)$ and $a > \alpha$, where α has been fixed in the definitions of the norms $\|\cdot\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}}$ in (3.18) and (3.20) respectively, with σ defined in (2.8). Let β be a positive number, which depends on n and σ , to be fixed later on. We assume that ϕ in (2.17)-(2.24) satisfies the following bound

$$\|\phi\|_{in} := \|\phi\|_{a,\sigma} + \|(1 + |y|)\nabla\phi\|_{a,\sigma} \leq T^\beta \quad (4.1)$$

where $\|\phi\|_{a,\sigma}$ is the least number K with

$$|\phi(y, t)| \leq K \frac{R^{n+1-a}}{1 + |y|^{n+1}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma}, \quad \text{for } y \in B(0, 2R).$$

For parameter functions λ_1, d_1 satisfying (2.7), and for functions ϕ satisfying (4.1), we find a solution ψ to the initial value Problem

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_t &= \Delta\psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial x_1} + V\psi + \left((\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t})\eta_R \right) \Phi + 2\nabla\Phi\nabla\eta_R + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\eta_R}{\partial x_1} \Phi \\ &+ p \left[W_2^{p-1} - [\lambda_0^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda_0})]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'}\eta_R\Phi \\ &+ N[\mathbf{w}] + \bar{E}_2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \\ \psi &= -W_2, \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \quad \psi = \psi_0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times \{t = 0\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

For any smooth function $f = f(x, t)$, $x \in \mathcal{D}$ and $t \in (0, T)$, we define the norm

$$\|f\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} := \inf \left\{ M > 0 : \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |f(x, t)| \leq M \left(\omega_{*,1}(y, t) + \omega_{*,2}(y, t) \right) \right\}, \quad (4.3)$$

where, for $y = \frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_{*,1}(y, t) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^\sigma \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n-2} + |y|^{n-2}} \left(\frac{1}{1 + |y|^\alpha} + \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{(T-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}} \right) \\ \omega_{*,2}(y, t) &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \left(\frac{1}{1 + |y|^{n-5}} + \frac{\lambda^{n-5}}{(T-t)^{\frac{n-5}{2}}} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

The solution to (4.2) will have $\|\cdot\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}$ -norm bounded, for any small and smooth initial condition ψ_0 .

We have the validity of

Proposition 4.1. *Assume that the parameters λ_1 and d_1 satisfy (2.7), and the function ϕ satisfies the constraint (4.1). Assume that T is small and that the radius R defined in (3.17) satisfies the stronger condition*

$$R^{p(n+1)}T^{\frac{4}{n-4}} < 1. \quad (4.5)$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the number introduced in Lemma 3.3. Then there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$ so that, for any $\psi_0 \in C_0^2(\bar{\mathcal{D}})$ with

$$\|\psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{D}})} + \|\nabla\psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\bar{\mathcal{D}})} \lesssim T^{\bar{\varepsilon}},$$

Problem (4.2) has a unique solution $\psi = \Psi(\lambda_1, d_1, \phi)$. This solution satisfies the following estimates: for $y = \frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$,

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\psi(x, t)| \lesssim T^{\bar{\varepsilon}} (\omega_{*,1}(y, t) + \omega_{*,2}(y, t)), \quad (4.6)$$

and, for $|y| < R$,

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\nabla_x \psi(x, t)| \lesssim T^{\bar{\varepsilon}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{|y|^{\alpha+1} + 1}. \quad (4.7)$$

To prove this result, we shall estimate, for given functions $f(x, t)$, $g(x, t)$, $h(x)$ the unique solution of the linear problem

$$\partial_t \psi = \Delta \psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial x_1} + V \psi + f(x, t) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\psi = g \quad \text{on } \partial \mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \quad \psi(\cdot, 0) = h,$$

where the function V is defined in (2.21).

Lemma 4.1. *Let $\psi = \psi[f, g, h]$ be the unique solution of Problem (4.8).*

Part (i). If $f(x, t)$ satisfies, for all (x, t) ,

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |f(x, t)| \leq \|f\|_{**,1} \omega_{**,1}(y, t), \quad y = \frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$$

*with $\|f\|_{**,1}$ finite, then*

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\psi(x, t)| \lesssim (\|f\|_{**,1} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|g\|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}) \omega_{1,*}(y, t). \quad (4.9)$$

*We refer to (3.19), (4.4) and (3.20) for the definition of $\omega_{**,1}$, $\omega_{*,1}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})}$ respectively. Moreover, we have the following local estimate on the gradient*

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\nabla_x \psi(x, t)| \lesssim (\|f\|_{**,1} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|g\|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}) \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{1 + |y|^{\alpha+1}}, \quad (4.10)$$

for $|y| \leq R$.

Part (ii). If $f(x, t)$ satisfies, for all (x, t) ,

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |f(x, t)| \leq \|f\|_{**,2} \omega_{**,2}(y, t), \quad y = \frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$$

*with $\|f\|_{**,2}$ finite, then*

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\psi(x, t)| \lesssim (\|f\|_{**,2} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|g\|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}) \omega_{*,2}(y, t). \quad (4.11)$$

We refer to (3.19), (4.4) and (3.20) for the definition of $\omega_{**,2}$, $\omega_{*,2}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})}$ respectively. Moreover, we have the following local estimate on the gradient

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\nabla_x \psi(x, t)| \lesssim (\|f\|_{**,1} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|g\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}}) \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{1 + |y|^{n-4}}, \quad (4.12)$$

for $|y| \leq R$.

Proof. To prove the results for both parts (i) and (ii), we construct a super solution for (4.8). We will do it in full details to prove Part (i) of the Lemma. To this end, let $q(|z|) = \frac{1}{1+|z|^{2+\alpha}}$ and let $Q(|z|)$ be the radial positive solution of

$$\Delta Q + 4Mq = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \quad \text{given by} \quad Q(r) = 4M \int_r^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_0^\rho q(s) s^{n-1} ds,$$

for a constant M to be fixed later on. Observe that $Q(z) \sim \frac{1}{1+|z|^\alpha}$ in \mathbb{R}^n . One has

$$\Delta Q + \frac{\delta}{1+|z|^2} Q + 3Mq \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n$$

provided δ is small enough. Define $\bar{Q}(x) := Q\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right)$ and $\bar{q}(x) := \frac{1}{\lambda^2} q\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right)$. For a possibly smaller δ , one has

$$\Delta_x \bar{Q} + \lambda^{-2} \frac{\delta}{1 + \left|\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right|^2} \bar{Q} + 3M\bar{q} \leq 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Observe now that

$$|V(x, t)| \leq A \frac{\lambda^{-2} R^{-2}}{1 + |y|^2},$$

for some constant A independent of t and T , as a direct consequence of the definition of V given in (2.21), and the bounds (2.7) on the parameter functions λ_1 and d_1 . Moreover,

$$\left| \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \bar{Q}}{\partial x_1}(x, t) \right| \lesssim \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{|(\xi + \lambda y)_1|} \frac{1}{1 + |y|^{1+\alpha}} \lesssim B \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1 + |y|^{2+\alpha}},$$

for a constant B depending on the diameter of \mathcal{D} . From the above estimates, choosing M large in terms of the diameter of \mathcal{D} , we obtain

$$\Delta \bar{Q} + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \bar{Q}}{\partial x_1} + V\bar{Q} + 2M\bar{q} \leq 0$$

thanks to the fact that R is large.

Define $Q_1(x, t) = K_1 \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^\sigma \frac{\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}}}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n-2} + |y|^{n-2}} \bar{Q}(x)$, for $y = \frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}$ and K_1 a constant. Let $\pi(y, t) = \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^\sigma \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n-2} + |y|^{n-2}}$. A direct computation shows that

$$|\nabla_x \bar{Q} \nabla_x \pi| + |\bar{Q} \Delta \pi| + \left| \frac{1}{x_1} \bar{Q} \partial_{x_1} \pi \right| \leq c_1 K_1 \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1 + |y|^{2+\alpha}} \pi,$$

and

$$|\partial_t Q_2| \leq c_2 K_1 \frac{\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} (T-t)}{1 + |y|^\alpha} \pi(y, t)$$

where c_1, c_2 are two positive constants that depend only on n . Possibly choosing M larger if necessary, we find two positive constants A and B so that

$$\begin{aligned} & -\partial_t Q_1 + \Delta Q_1 + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x_1} + V \bar{Q}_1 + f(x, t) \\ & \leq \|f\|_{**1} \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \pi(y, t) \left[-A \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1 + |y|^{2+\alpha}} + B \frac{(T-t)^{-1}}{1 + |y|^\alpha} \right] \\ & \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |y| < \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}, \\ \tilde{c}_1 \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \pi(y, t) \frac{(T-t)^{-1}}{1 + |y|^\alpha}, & \text{if } |y| > \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Let $Q_2(x, t) = c \|f\|_{**1} \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \pi(y, t) \frac{\lambda^\alpha}{(T-t)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}}$, and $\psi(x, t) = Q_1(x, t) + Q_2(x, t)$. We have

$$-(\psi)_t + \Delta \psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \partial_{x_1} \psi + V \psi + f(x, t) \leq 0.$$

Moreover, one has $|g(x, t)| \leq K \psi(x, t)$, for $(x, t) \in \partial \mathcal{D} \times (0, T)$, and $|h(x)| \leq K \psi(x, 0)$, for $x \in \mathcal{D}$, provided the constant $K > 0$ is properly chosen. Thus $K \psi$ is a positive super solution for (4.8). Estimate (4.9) thus follows from parabolic comparison.

To get the gradient estimate in (4.10) we scale around ξ letting

$$\psi(x, t) := \tilde{\psi} \left(\frac{x - \xi}{\lambda}, \tau(t) \right), \quad z = \frac{x - \xi}{\lambda},$$

where $\dot{\tau}(t) = \lambda(t)^{-2}$. We choose T small so that $\tau \geq 2$. Then $\tilde{\psi}$ satisfies for $|z| \leq \delta \lambda^{-1}$, with sufficiently small δ ,

$$\partial_\tau \tilde{\psi} = \Delta_z \tilde{\psi} + a(z, t) \cdot \nabla_z \tilde{\psi} + b(z, t) \tilde{\psi} + \tilde{f}(z, \tau)$$

where $\tilde{f}(z, \tau) = \lambda^2 f(\xi + \lambda z, t(\tau))$, and $a(z, t)$ and $b(z, t)$ are uniformly small coefficients. Our assumption in f implies that in the region $|z| < \delta \lambda^{-1}$

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |\tilde{f}(z, \tau)| \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\|f\|_{**1}}{1 + |z|^{2+\alpha}}$$

while we have already established that for $|z| < R$

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\tilde{\psi}(z, \tau)| \lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \|f\|_{**1} \frac{1}{1 + |z|^\alpha}.$$

Let us now fix $0 < \eta < 1$. By standard parabolic estimates we get that for $\tau_1 \geq \tau(t_0) + 2$,

$$\begin{aligned} & [\nabla_z \tilde{\psi}(\tau_1, \cdot)]_{\eta, B_{10}(0)} + \|\nabla_z \tilde{\psi}(\tau_1, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(B_{10}(0))} \\ & \lesssim \|\tilde{\psi}\|_{L^\infty(B_{20}(0)) \times (\tau_1-1, \tau_1)} + \|\tilde{f}\|_{L^\infty(B_{20}(0)) \times (\tau_1-1, \tau_1)} \\ & \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \|f\|_{**1}. \end{aligned}$$

provided that $\tau_1 \geq 2$. Translating this estimate to the original variables (x, t) we find that for any $t \geq c_n t_0$, for a suitable constant c_n ,

$$(R\lambda)^{1+\eta} [\nabla_x \psi(t, \cdot)]_{\eta, B_{10R\lambda}(\xi)} + R\lambda \|\nabla_x \psi(t, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty(B_{10R\lambda}(\xi))} \lesssim \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \|f\|_{**1}.$$

Using similar parabolic estimate up to the initial condition ψ_0 at 0 for ψ yields the validity of the above estimate, and hence of (4.10), for any $t \geq 0$. The proof of Part (i) is complete.

To obtain the result in Part (ii), we define

$$Q_1(x, t) = K_1 \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \bar{Q}(x, t), \quad \bar{Q}(x, t) = Q\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right),$$

where K_1 is a positive constant and Q is the solutions to $\Delta Q + \frac{4M}{1+|y|^{n-3}} = 0$ that decays as $|Q(y)| \leq \frac{4M}{1+|y|^{n-5}}$, with M a fixed constant, defined as $Q(r) = 4M \int_r^\infty \frac{d\rho}{\rho^{n-1}} \int_0^\rho \frac{s^{n-1}}{1+s^{n-3}} ds$, $r = |y|$. Recall that $n \geq 6$. One has

$$\begin{aligned} -\partial_t Q_1 + \Delta Q_1 + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial Q_1}{\partial x_1} + V \bar{Q}_1 + f(x, t) \\ \leq \|f\|_{**,2} \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \left[-A \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1+|y|^{n-3}} + B \frac{(T-t)^{-1}}{1+|y|^{n-5}} \right] \\ \leq \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } |y| < \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}, \\ \tilde{c}_1 \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{(T-t)^{-1}}{1+|y|^{n-5}}, & \text{if } |y| > \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}, \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

for some positive constants A and B . Let $Q_2(x, t) = c \|f\|_{**,2} \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{n-5}}{(T-t)^{\frac{n-5}{2}}}$, and $\psi(x, t) = Q_1(x, t) + Q_2(x, t)$. We have

$$-(\psi)_t + \Delta \psi + \frac{1}{x_1} \partial_{x_1} \psi + V \psi + f(x, t) \leq 0.$$

Moreover, one has $|g(x, t)| \leq K \psi(x, t)$, for $(x, t) \in \partial \mathcal{D} \times (0, T)$, and $|h(x)| \leq K \psi(x, 0)$, for $x \in \mathcal{D}$, provided the constant $K > 0$ is properly chosen. Thus $K \psi$ is a positive super solution for (4.8). Estimate (4.11) thus follows from parabolic comparison. The proof of (4.12) is similar to the corresponding one in Part (i). \square

We now give the

Proof of Proposition 4.1. Combining Part (i) and Part (ii) in Lemma 4.1, one defines a linear bounded operator $S(f, g, h) = \psi$, which is the solution to (4.8) and the existence of a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|S(f, g, h)\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq c (\|f\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|g\|_{\partial \mathcal{D}}).$$

We refer to (4.3) and (3.18) for the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma}$. We establish the existence of a solution ψ to (4.2), satisfying (4.6), as a fixed point for the Problem

$$\psi = \mathbf{S}(\psi), \quad \mathbf{S}(\psi) := S(f, g, h), \quad (4.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} f &= \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \eta_R \Phi + 2 \nabla \Phi \nabla \eta_R + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \eta_R}{\partial x_1} \Phi \\ &\quad + p \left[W_2^{p-1} - \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}\right) \right]^{p-1} \right] \eta_R \eta_R \Phi + N[\mathbf{w}] + \bar{E}_2, \\ g &= -W_2, \quad h = \psi_0. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be the constant in (3.21), Lemma 3.3. We claim that there exist $\bar{\varepsilon} \in (0, \varepsilon)$ and a fixed point ψ for (4.13) in the set

$$B_M = \{\psi : \|\psi\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq M T^{\bar{\varepsilon}}\}, \quad \text{for some } M > 0,$$

as a consequence of the Contraction Mapping Theorem. Indeed, by Lemma 4.1, there exists a constant c such that, for any $\psi \in B_M$

$$\|\mathbf{S}(\psi)\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq c (\|f\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma} + \|h\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|g\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}})$$

From (3.21) in Lemma 3.3, we get that $\|\bar{E}_2\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma} \lesssim T^\varepsilon$ and $\|g\|_{\partial\mathcal{D}} \lesssim T^{1-\frac{\alpha+\sigma}{(n-4)}}$. Thus the map \mathbf{S} sends the set B_M into B_M provided that $\|f\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma} \leq cT^{\bar{\varepsilon}}$, for some positive constant c independent of t and T . This last inequality follows from the fact that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \eta_R \Phi + 2\nabla\Phi\nabla\eta_R + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\eta_R}{\partial x_1} \Phi \right\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma} \lesssim R^{\alpha-a} \|\phi\|_{in} \\ & \|p \left[W_2^{p-1} - \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U \left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} \eta_R \Phi + N[\mathbf{w}]\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma} \lesssim R^{-a} \|\phi\|_{in} \end{aligned} \quad (4.14)$$

combined with our assumptions on R in (3.17), (4.5).

We also claim that there exists a positive number b , which depends on n , so that

$$\|\mathbf{S}(\psi_1) - \mathbf{S}(\psi_2)\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \lesssim T^b \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}. \quad (4.15)$$

Thus, the map \mathbf{S} is a contraction. This concludes the proof of the existence of ψ solution to (4.2), satisfying estimate (4.6). Estimate (4.7) follows from Lemma 4.1 and estimate (4.10).

The rest of this proof is devoted to establish the validity of (4.14) and (4.15)

We now prove (4.14). Recall that

$$\Phi(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \phi \left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}, t \right)$$

We start with the first estimate. Since we are assuming the bound (4.1) in the *inner* function ϕ , we observe that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left| \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \eta_R \Phi \right| \lesssim \left(\left| \frac{\eta''}{R^2 \lambda^2} \right| + \left| \frac{\eta'}{R \lambda} \frac{\dot{\lambda}}{\lambda} \right| + \left| \eta' \frac{\dot{d}}{\lambda} \right| \right) \|\phi\|_{in} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{1}{1+|y|^a},$$

so that, in the region where it is not zero (that is $R \leq |y| \leq 2R$), we get

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left| \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \eta_R \Phi \right| \lesssim R^{-a+\alpha} \|\phi\|_{in} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}}.$$

Analogous estimate holds for the term $\frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\eta_R}{\partial x_1} \Phi$. Similarly, one has

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |2\nabla\Phi\nabla\eta_R| \lesssim \left| \frac{\eta'}{\lambda R} \right| \|\phi\|_{in} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-1}}{1+|y|^{1+a}},$$

so that, in the region where it is not zero, we get

$$\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |2\nabla\Phi\nabla\eta_R| \lesssim R^{-a+\alpha} \|\phi\|_{in} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}}.$$

We conclude that

$$\left\| \left(\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \right) \eta_R \Phi + 2 \nabla \Phi \nabla \eta_R + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial \eta_R}{\partial x_1} \Phi \right\|_{**,\alpha} \lesssim R^{-a+\alpha} \|\phi\|_{in}. \quad (4.16)$$

Since $a > \alpha$ and (4.1), the first estimate in (4.14) is proven.

Next we consider the second estimate in (4.14). We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left| p \left[W_2^{p-1} - \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U \left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} \eta_R \Phi \right| \\ & \lesssim \|\phi\|_{in} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}} \eta_R \frac{\lambda}{d} R^{n+1-a} \\ & \lesssim \|\phi\|_{in} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{\lambda^{-2}}{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}} \eta_R R^{-a} \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\left\| \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \left(p \left[W_2^{p-1} - \left[\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U \left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda} \right) \right]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} \eta_R \Phi \right) \right\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma} \lesssim R^{-a} \|\phi\|_{in}. \quad (4.17)$$

In order to estimate $N(\mathbf{w})$, we write

$$W_2(x, t) = \frac{1}{\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}} [U(y) + \rho(y)]. \quad (4.18)$$

From (2.19), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |N(\mathbf{w})|(\lambda y + \xi) & \lesssim (U + \rho + \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} [\psi + \eta_R \Phi])^p - (U + \rho)^p \\ & \quad - p(U + \rho)^{p-1} \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} [\psi + \eta_R \Phi] \lesssim |\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \psi|^p + |\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \eta_R \Phi|^p. \end{aligned}$$

We have that

$$|\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \psi(x, t)|^p \lesssim \|\psi\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}^p (|\omega_{*,1}|^p + |\omega_{*,2}|^p)(y, t).$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} |\omega_{*,1}|^p & \lesssim \frac{1}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^{n+2} + |y|^{n+2}} \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^{p\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda^{\alpha p}}{(T-t)^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \omega_{**,\alpha,\sigma}(y, t) \frac{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}}{\left(\frac{d}{\lambda}\right)^4 + |y|^4} \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^{p\alpha}} + \frac{\lambda^{\alpha p}}{(T-t)^{\frac{\alpha p}{2}}} \right) \\ & \lesssim \omega_{**,\alpha,\sigma}(y, t) \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^2, & \text{if } |y| < \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}, \\ (T-t)^{\frac{n-2}{n-4} - \frac{\alpha}{n-2} \left(\frac{n+2}{2} - 4\frac{n-3}{n-4}\right)}, & \text{if } |y| > \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}, \end{cases} \\ & \lesssim T^b \omega_{**,\alpha,\sigma}(y, t) \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\omega_{*,2}|^p &\lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n+2} \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^{(n-5)p}} + \frac{\lambda^{(n-5)p}}{(T-t)^{\frac{(n-5)p}{2}}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \omega_{**,2}(y,t) \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{4-\sigma} (1+|y|^2) \left(\frac{1}{1+|y|^{(n-5)p}} + \frac{\lambda^{(n-5)p}}{(T-t)^{\frac{(n-5)p}{2}}} \right) \\
&\lesssim \omega_{**,2}(y,t) \begin{cases} \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{4-\sigma} \frac{1}{(1+|y|)^{n-7+4\frac{n-5}{n-2}}}, & \text{if } |y| < \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}, \\ (T-t)^{\frac{n-3}{2}+\frac{1}{n-4}(1-\sigma+4\frac{n-5}{n-2})}, & \text{if } |y| > \frac{\sqrt{T-t}}{\lambda}, \end{cases} \\
&\lesssim T^b \omega_{**,2}(y,t)
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

for some $b > 0$ which depends on n . From (4.19) and (4.20), we get

$$|\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \psi(x,t)| \lesssim \|\psi\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}^p T^b (|\omega_{**,1}| + |\omega_{**,2}|)(y,t).$$

Furthermore, since ϕ satisfies the bound (4.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
|\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \eta_R \Phi|^p &\lesssim |\eta_R \phi|^p \lesssim \eta_R^p \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n+2+p\sigma} \|\phi\|_{in}^p \frac{R^{p(n+1-a)}}{(1+|y|^{n+1})^p} \\
&\lesssim \eta_R^p \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{1}{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}} \|\phi\|_{in}^p T^{\frac{4+(p-1)\sigma}{n-4}} R^{(n+1)p} R^{-ap} \\
&\lesssim \omega_{**,1}(y,t) \eta_R \|\phi\|_{in}^p T^{\frac{4+(p-1)\sigma}{n-4}} R^{(n+1)p} R^{-ap}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Thanks to (4.21) and (4.5), we conclude that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |N(\mathbf{w})|(\lambda y + \xi) \lesssim T^\varepsilon (\omega_{**,1}(y,t) + \omega_{**,2}(y,t)) (\|\psi\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}^p + \|\phi\|_{in}^p),$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$. This, together with (4.17), concludes the proof of the second estimate in (4.14).

We now prove (4.15). Observe that, for any pair of functions $\psi_1, \psi_2 \in B_M$, we have

$$\|\mathbf{S}(\psi_1) - \mathbf{S}(\psi_2)\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq c \|N(\mathbf{w}_1) - N(\mathbf{w}_2)\|_{**,\alpha,\sigma}$$

since g and h , as defined in (5.6), do not depend on ψ . Here we denote

$$\mathbf{w}_j = \psi_j(x,t) + \eta_R(x,t)\Phi(x,t), \quad j = 1, 2.$$

We refer to (2.19) for the definition of N . Using again (4.19)-(4.20), we can write

$$\begin{aligned}
\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} |N(\mathbf{w}_1) - N(\mathbf{w}_2)|(\lambda y + \xi, t) &\lesssim \left[(U + \rho + \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\psi_1 + \eta_R \Phi))^p \right. \\
&\quad \left. - (U + \rho + \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\psi_2 + \eta_R \Phi))^p - p(U + \rho)^{p-1} \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} |\psi_1 - \psi_2| \right] \\
&\lesssim |\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}(\psi_1 - \psi_2)|^p \lesssim \|\psi_1 - \psi_2\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}^p T^b (|\omega_{**,1}| + |\omega_{**,2}|)(y,t),
\end{aligned}$$

for some $b > 0$, dependent on n . From here, we get the validity of (4.15), and this concludes the proof of the Proposition. \square

We further observe that the solution $\psi = \psi[\lambda_1, d_1, \phi]$ to Problem (4.2) clearly depends on the parameter functions λ_1 , d_1 and ϕ . Next Proposition clarifies that ψ is Lipschitz with respect to λ_1 , d_1 and ϕ and their respective topologies.

Lemma 4.2. *Assume the validity of the hypothesis in Proposition 4.1. There exists a positive number $b > 0$, which depends on n and σ , so that, for any λ_1^1, λ_1^2 satisfying (2.7), we have*

$$\|\psi[\lambda_1^1, d_1, \phi] - \psi[\lambda_1^2, d_1, \phi]\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq T^b \|\lambda_1^1 - \lambda_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}}, \quad (4.22)$$

for any d_1^1, d_1^2 satisfying (2.7),

$$\|\psi[\lambda_1, d_1^1, \phi] - \psi[\lambda_1, d_1^2, \phi]\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq T^b \|d_1^1 - d_1^2\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}}, \quad (4.23)$$

and, for any ϕ_1, ϕ_2 satisfying (4.1)

$$\|\psi[\lambda_1, d_1, \phi_1] - \psi[\lambda_1, d_1, \phi_2]\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq T^b \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in}, \quad (4.24)$$

Proof. Estimates (4.22) and (4.23) follows from the Lipschitz bound on the error function \bar{E}_2 contained in (3.22) and (3.24), and from the Lipschitz bound on the value of W_2 on the boundary $\partial\mathcal{D}$ as described in (3.23) and (3.25). We leave the details to the reader.

We shall prove (4.24). As in the argument to show the first estimate in (4.14), we need to chose the number a in the definition of the norm (4.3) and the number α in the definition of the norm (3.18) so that $a > \alpha$.

Let λ_1 and d_1 be fixed. The Implicit Function Theorem ensures that $\phi \rightarrow \psi(\phi)$, solution to Problem (4.2), is a smooth map. Let us define $Z(x, t) = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\phi}[\bar{\phi}](x, t)$, for functions $\bar{\phi}$ satisfying (4.1). Then Z solves

$$\begin{aligned} Z_t &= \Delta Z + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial Z}{\partial x_1} + VZ + \left((\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \eta_R \right) \bar{\Phi} + 2\nabla\bar{\Phi}\nabla\eta_R + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\eta_R}{\partial x_1} \bar{\Phi} \\ &\quad + p \left[W_2^{p-1} - [\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda})]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} \eta_R \bar{\Phi} \\ &\quad + p \left((W_2 + \psi + \eta_R \Phi)^{p-1} - W_2^{p-1} \right) \eta_R \bar{\Phi} \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times (0, T) \\ \psi &= 0, \quad \text{on } \partial\mathcal{D} \times (0, T), \quad \psi = 0, \quad \text{in } \mathcal{D} \times \{t = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Phi(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \phi\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}, t\right), \quad \bar{\Phi}(x, t) = \lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} \bar{\phi}\left(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda}, t\right).$$

Arguing as in (4.16) and (4.17), we get that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left| \left((\Delta - \frac{\partial}{\partial t}) \eta_R \right) \bar{\Phi} + 2\nabla\bar{\Phi}\nabla\eta_R + \frac{1}{x_1} \frac{\partial\eta_R}{\partial x_1} \bar{\Phi} \right| \lesssim R^{-a+\alpha} \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in} (\omega_{**,1} + \omega_{**,2})$$

and

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left| p \left[W_2^{p-1} - [\lambda^{-\frac{n-2}{2}} U(\frac{x-\xi}{\lambda})]^{p-1} \right] \eta_{R'} \eta_R \bar{\Phi} \right| \lesssim R^{-a} \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in} (\omega_{**,1} + \omega_{**,2}).$$

On the other hand, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left| p \left((W_2 + \psi + \eta_R \Phi)^{p-1} - W_2^{p-1} \right) \eta_R \bar{\Phi} \right| &\lesssim |\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \psi|^{p-1} |\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \bar{\phi}| \eta_R \\ &\quad + |\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \eta_R \phi|^{p-1} |\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} \bar{\phi}| \eta_R = A + B, \end{aligned}$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} |A| &\lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n+2+p\sigma} \frac{R^{n+1-a}}{1+|y|^{n+1}} \|\psi\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{R^{-a}}{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}} T^{\frac{4+(p-1)\sigma}{n-4}} R^{n+1} \|\psi\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} |B| &\lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n+2+p\sigma} \frac{R^{(n+1-a)p}}{1+|y|^{p(n+1)}} \|\phi\|_{in} \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in} \\ &\lesssim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \frac{R^{-ap}}{1+|y|^{2+\alpha}} T^{\frac{4+(p-1)\sigma}{n-4}} R^{p(n+1)} \|\phi\|_{in} \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in}. \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.17) and (4.5), we conclude that

$$\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left| p \left((W_2 + \psi + \eta_R \Phi)^{p-1} - W_2^{p-1} \right) \eta_R \bar{\Phi} \right| \lesssim (T^\varepsilon + T^\beta) \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in}.$$

We conclude that there exists $b > 0$, which depends on n and σ , so that

$$|Z(x, t)| \lesssim T^b \|\bar{\phi}\|_{in}.$$

This fact gives the validity of (4.24). \square

A last remark in in order.

Remark 4.1. Proposition 4.1 defines the solution to Problem (4.2) as a function of the initial condition ψ_0 , in the form of an operator $\psi = \bar{\Psi}[\psi_0]$, from a neighborhood of 0 in the Banach space $C_0(\mathcal{D})$ equipped with the C^1 norm $\|\psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|\nabla\psi_0\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})}$ into the Banach space of functions $\psi \in L^\infty(\mathcal{D})$ equipped with the norm $\|\psi\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma}$, defined in (4.3).

A closer look to the proof of Proposition 4.1, and the Implicit Function Theorem give that $\psi_0 \rightarrow \bar{\Psi}[\psi_0]$ is a diffeomorphism, and that

$$\|\bar{\Psi}[\psi_0^1] - \bar{\Psi}[\psi_0^2]\|_{*,\alpha,\sigma} \leq c \left[\|\psi_0^1 - \psi_0^2\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} + \|\nabla\psi_0^1 - \nabla\psi_0^2\|_{L^\infty(\mathcal{D})} \right],$$

for some positive constant c .

5. FINDING THE PARAMETER FUNCTIONS

As mentioned in Section 2, we can solve the *inner* Problem (2.28), provided that certain orthogonality condition of the "right-hand side" as in (3.26) are satisfied. In this Section we first derive the system of ordinary differential equations in λ_1 and d_1 that is equivalent to get the orthogonality conditions satisfied. Then we find parameter functions λ_1 and d_1 which solve these ODEs. This is done, for any ϕ fixed, and satisfying (4.1), while ψ is already fixed as the solution of the *outer* problem (2.22), as stated in Proposition 4.1. We conclude the Section showing that the solution λ_1 and d_1 Lipschitz depends on ϕ .

We start with

Lemma 5.1. Let ψ be the solution to Problem (2.22), whose existence and properties are stated in Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Let $H = H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi]$ be the function defined in (2.29). Let ϕ satisfy the constraint (4.1), and parameters λ_1

and d_1 satisfy the bound (2.7). We assume R to be a fixed large number satisfying (4.5). Then, for any T small, we have the validity of the following expansions

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H(y,t)Z_1(y) dy &= \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_1^2 \right) a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + \bar{q}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \\ &+ \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} R a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H(y,t)Z_0(y) dy &= \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 a_{0,R} A \left(1 + p(t) + \bar{q}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \\ &- \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} B \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right] a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \\ &+ \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} R^2 a_{0,R} q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.2)$$

where A and B are the constants given by

$$A = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_0^2(y) dy, \quad B = \frac{p(n-3)\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1} Z_0 \right).$$

Here $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ is the number fixed in (2.8). With $a_{0,R}$ we denote generic constants (i.e. independent of t) with $a_{0,R} = 1 + o(R^{-1})$, as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Here $p = p(t)$ denotes a generic function, which is smooth for $t \in (0, T)$ so that, for some $\sigma > 0$, $\|p\|_\sigma$ is uniformly bounded, as $T \rightarrow 0$. We refer to (2.6) for the definition of the $\|\cdot\|_\sigma$ -norm. The explicit expression of $p = p(t)$ changes from line to line. Moreover, $q_1 = q_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi)$ denotes another generic function, which is smooth in its variable, uniformly bounded, as $t \rightarrow T$, for $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in L^\infty(0, T)$, and ϕ satisfying (4.1), with $q_1(0, 0, 0) = 0$, and for any $t \in (0, T)$

$$|q_1[\eta_1^1, \eta_2, \phi](t) - q_1[\eta_1^2, \eta_2, \phi](t)| \lesssim \|\eta_1^1 - \eta_1^2\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \quad (5.3)$$

$$|q_1[\eta_1, \eta_2^1, \phi](t) - q_1[\eta_1, \eta_2^2, \phi](t)| \lesssim \|\eta_2^1 - \eta_2^2\|_{L^\infty(0, T)} \quad (5.4)$$

$$|q_1[\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi_1](t) - q_1[\eta_1, \eta_2, \phi_2](t)| \lesssim T^\varepsilon \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in}, \quad (5.5)$$

for some $\varepsilon > 0$ small. The explicit expression of q_1 also changes from line to line. The function \bar{q}_1 share the same properties as q_1 , and moreover $\bar{q}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \bar{\phi} + \hat{\phi}) = \bar{q}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \bar{\phi}) + \bar{q}_1(\eta_1, \eta_2, \hat{\phi})$.

Proof. We write

$$H = \sum_{j=1}^3 H_j, \quad H_1 = p \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} U^{p-1} \psi(\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau)), \quad H_3 = B[\phi]. \quad (5.6)$$

The proof of (5.1) is consequence of the following three expansions, as $T \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H_2 Z_1 dy &= \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_1^2 \right) a_{0,R} \left(1 + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0 \right) \right) \\ &- \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} \frac{p(n-2)\alpha_n}{2^{n-1}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1} y_1 Z_1 \right) a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0 \right) \right) \\ &+ \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} R a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0 \right) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

$$\int_{B(0,2R)} H_1 Z_1 dy = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right), \quad (5.8)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H_3 Z_1 dy &= \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{2n-2} a_{0,R} O(R^{-2}) \bar{q}_1(0, 0, \phi) \\ &+ \lambda_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \dot{d}_1 O(R^{-1}) \bar{q}_1(0, 0, \phi) \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where we are using the same notations as in the statement of the Lemma.

Proof of (5.7). In the region $y \in B(0, 2R)$, the function

$$H_2 = \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} \left[e_1 + e_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta w + p W_0^{p-1} w \right] \eta \left(\frac{|x - \xi|}{b d_0} \right) \right] (\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau))$$

has been described in Lemma 3.2. Referring to (3.13), we see immediately that $\int_{B(0,2R)} E_{2,\lambda}(y, t) Z_1(y) dy = 0$, for all t , because of symmetry. We get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H_2 Z_1 dy &= \lambda \left[\dot{d}_1 - \frac{d_0}{1+d} \right] \int_{B(0,2R)} Z_1^2(y) dy \\ &+ \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \int_{B(0,2R)} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1} Z_1(y) dy \\ &- \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} \frac{p(n-2)\alpha_n}{2^{n-1}} \left(\int_{B(0,2R)} U^{p-1} y_1 Z_1 \right) \\ &+ \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} O \left(\int_{B(0,2R)} |Z_1(y)| dy \right) \\ &+ \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} O \left(\int_{B(0,2R)} |Z_1(y)| dy \right) \\ &+ \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n+2} O \left(\int_{B(0,2R)} |Z_1(y)| dy \right). \end{aligned}$$

Expansion (5.7) follows after we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} Z_1^2(y) dy &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_1^2(y) dy \right) (1 + O(R^{2-n})), \quad \int_{B(0,2R)} \frac{\partial h}{\partial y_1} Z_1(y) dy = O(R^{-2}), \\ \int_{B(0,2R)} U^{p-1} y_1 Z_1 &= \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1} y_1 Z_1 \right) (1 + O(R^{-2})), \quad \int_{B(0,2R)} |Z_1| = O(R), \end{aligned}$$

for R large.

Proof of (5.8). From the result of Proposition 4.1, and more specifically estimate (4.6)-(4.7), we expand

$$\psi(\xi + \lambda y, t) = \psi(\xi) + \nabla \psi(\bar{\xi}) \lambda y$$

for some $\bar{\xi}$. By symmetry, the integral of the first term is zero, so that we get

$$\int_{B(0,2R)} H_1 Z_1 = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0\right)\right) \left(\int_{B(0,2R)} U^{p-1} y_1 Z_1\right).$$

Thus we get the validity of (5.8).

Proof of (5.9). From the definition of the function $B[\phi]$, we immediately observe that this is a linear function of ϕ , and it does not depend on λ_1 . A direct computation and the use of the estimate on ϕ given in (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H_3 Z_1 &= \lambda \dot{\lambda} \int_{B(0,2R)} \left[\frac{n-2}{2} \phi(y, t) + \nabla \phi(y, t) \cdot y \right] Z_1 + \lambda \dot{d} \int_{B(0,2R)} \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial y_1}(y, t) Z_1 \\ &= \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{2n-2+\sigma} a_{0,R} O(R^{-1+\alpha}) q_1(0, 0, \phi) + \lambda \left(\frac{\lambda}{d}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \dot{d}_1 \bar{q}_1(0, 0, \phi). \end{aligned}$$

The proof of (5.2) is consequence of the following three expansions, as $T \rightarrow 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H_2 Z_0 dy &= \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0\right)\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_0^2(y) dy \\ &\quad - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \frac{p(n-3)\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{d_1}{d_0}\right] \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1} Z_0\right) \times \\ &\quad \times a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0\right)\right) \\ &\quad + \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-1} O(R^2) q_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0\right) + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n+2} O(R^2) q_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0\right), \end{aligned} \tag{5.10}$$

$$\int_{B(0,2R)} H_1 Z_0 dy = \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1\left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi\right)\right), \tag{5.11}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B(0,2R)} H_3 Z_0 dy &= \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{2n-2+\sigma} a_{0,R} O(R^{-1+\alpha}) q_1(0, 0, \phi) \\ &\quad + \lambda_0 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2+\sigma} \dot{d}_1 \bar{q}_1(0, 0, \phi), \end{aligned} \tag{5.12}$$

where we are using the same notations as in the statement of the Lemma. The proofs of (5.11) and (5.12) are similar to the ones of (5.8) and (5.9) respectively, so we leave them to the reader.

Proof of (5.10). Referring again to (3.13) for the expression of H_2 in the region we are considering, we see immediately that $\int_{B(0,2R)} E_{2,d}(y, t) Z_0(y) dy = 0$, for all

t , because of symmetry. We get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0,2R)} H_2 Z_0 \, dy &= [\lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 + \dot{\lambda}_0 \lambda_1] \int_{B(0,2R)} Z_0^2(y) \, dy \\
&+ [\lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 + \dot{\lambda}_0 \lambda_1] \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \int_{B(0,2R)} \left(\frac{n-2}{2} h + \nabla h \cdot y \right) Z_0(y) \, dy \\
&- \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \frac{p(n-2)\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right] \left(\int_{B(0,2R)} U^{p-1} Z_0 \right) \times \\
&\times (1 + p(t) + q_1(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0)) \\
&+ \left[\lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} + \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \right] O(R^2) q_1(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0) \\
&+ \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n+2} O(R^2) q_1(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Using (2.14), that is $\dot{\lambda}_0 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_0^2 = \frac{p\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1} Z_0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0,2R)} H_2 Z_0 \, dy &= \lambda \dot{\lambda}_1 a_{0,R} \left(1 + p(t) + q_1(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0) \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} Z_0^2(y) \, dy \\
&- \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-2} \frac{p(n-3)\alpha_n}{2^{n-2}} \left[\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} - \frac{d_1}{d_0} \right] \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} U^{p-1} Z_0 \right) \times \\
&\times a_{0,R} (1 + p(t) + q_1(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0)) \\
&+ \lambda \dot{d}_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n-1} O(R^2) q_1(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0) + \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0} \right)^{n+2} O(R^2) q_1(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus (5.10) follows. \square

Next we get the existence and Lipschitz properties of λ_1 and d_1 that make the required orthogonality conditions.

Proposition 5.1. *Let ψ be the solution to Problem (2.22), whose existence and properties are stated in Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2. Let $H = H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi]$ be the function defined in (2.29). For any function ϕ satisfying the constraint (4.1), there exist functions $\lambda_1 = \lambda_1[\phi]$ and $d_1 = d_1[\phi]$, which satisfy the bound (2.7), for which*

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{B(0,2R)} H(y, t) Z_0(y) \, dy &= 0 \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T) \\
\int_{B(0,2R)} H(y, t) Z_1(y) \, dy &= 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, T).
\end{aligned} \tag{5.13}$$

Moreover, if ϕ_1 and ϕ_2 satisfy (4.1), one has

$$\| \dot{\lambda}_1[\phi_1] - \dot{\lambda}_1[\phi_2] \|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} + \| \dot{d}_1[\phi_1] - \dot{d}_1[\phi_2] \|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \leq T^b \| \phi_1 - \phi_2 \|_{in} \tag{5.14}$$

for some fixed number $b > 0$, which depends on n and σ .

Proof. The result of Lemma 5.1 is telling us that solving equation (5.13) is equivalent to solving a certain non linear non local system of ordinary differential equation of first order in λ_1 and d_1 . Indeed, from (5.1) we get that the second equation in (5.13) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{d}_1 + A_R(T-t)^{\frac{2}{n-4}} &= (T-t)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \left(p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \\ &+ (T-t)^{1+\frac{1}{n-4}} \lambda_1 \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where A_R is a constant (independent of t), with the property that $A_R \sim A_\infty(1 + O(R^{-1}))$, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, with a fixed positive constant A_∞ . The functions p and q_1 have the same properties as stated in Lemma 5.1.

We next look at (5.2) to get the differential equation corresponding to the first equation in (5.13). Using (2.5)-(2.15)-(2.14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\lambda}_1 - \frac{(n-3)}{(T-t)} \lambda_1 &= (T-t)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} f(t) + \frac{\lambda_1}{(T-t)} q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \\ &+ (T-t)^{1+\frac{1}{n-4}} \dot{d}_1 \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

Here $f = f(t)$ stands for a uniformly bounded in $(0, T)$, as $T \rightarrow 0$. It is convenient to multiply the above equation against $(T-t)^{n-3}$, and re-write it as

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt} ((T-t)^{n-3} \lambda_1) &= (T-t)^{n-3+\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} f(t) + (T-t)^{n-4} \lambda_1 q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \\ &+ (T-t)^{n-2+\frac{1}{n-4}} \dot{d}_1 \left(1 + p(t) + q_1 \left(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \frac{d_1}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.16)$$

Let $\mathbf{d} = \mathbf{d}(t)$ and $\Lambda = \Lambda(t)$ be the solution to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\mathbf{d}} + A_R(T-t)^{\frac{2}{n-4}} &= (T-t)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} p(t) \\ \frac{d}{dt} ((T-t)^{n-3} \Lambda) &= (T-t)^{n-3+\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} f(t), \end{aligned}$$

given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{d}(t) &= \int_t^T \left[-A_R(T-s)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} + (T-s)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} p(s) \right] ds \\ \Lambda(t) &= \frac{1}{(T-t)^{n-3}} \int_t^T (T-s)^{n-3+\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} f(s) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

These functions satisfy the bound (2.7). Then $d_1 = \mathbf{d} + d$, $\lambda_1 = \Lambda + \lambda$ solves the system (5.15)-(5.16) if

$$\begin{aligned} d(t) &= \int_t^T (T-s)^{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} q_1 \left(\frac{\Lambda + \lambda}{\lambda_0}, \frac{\mathbf{d} + d}{d_0}, \phi \right) (s) ds \\ &\quad + \int_t^T (T-s)^{1+\frac{1}{n-4}} (\dot{\Lambda} + \dot{\lambda})(s) \left(1 + p(s) + q_1 \left(\frac{\Lambda + \lambda}{\lambda_0}, \frac{\mathbf{d} + d}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) ds \\ \lambda(t) &= \frac{1}{(T-t)^{n-3}} \int_t^T (T-s)^{n-4} \lambda_1(s) q_1 \left(\frac{\Lambda + \lambda}{\lambda_0}, \frac{\mathbf{d} + d}{d_0}, \phi \right) ds \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(T-t)^{n-3}} \int_t^T (T-s)^{n-2+\frac{1}{n-4}} \dot{\lambda}_1(s) \left(1 + p(s) + q_1 \left(\frac{\Lambda + \lambda}{\lambda_0}, \frac{\mathbf{d} + d}{d_0}, \phi \right) \right) ds. \end{aligned}$$

Using again the result of Lemma 5, and in particular (5.3)-(5.4), one can solve (5.17) with a fixed point argument based on the Contraction Mapping Theorem. Estimate (5.14) follows from (5.17) and (5.5). \square

6. SOLVING THE INNER PROBLEM

The last step in the proof of our result is to solve the *inner* Problem (2.28), after we already defined the *outer* solution ψ , whose existence and properties are contained in Proposition 4.1 and Lemma 4.2 in Section 5, and the parameter functions d_1 , λ_1 , as in Proposition 5.1 in Section 5.

The key ingredient to solve (2.28) for functions ϕ satisfying (4.1) is the resolution of following linear problem: Given a sufficiently large number $R > 0$, construct a solution (ϕ, e_0) to the initial value problem

$$\phi_\tau = \Delta \phi + pU(y)^{p-1} \phi + h(y, \tau) \quad \text{in } B_{2R} \times (\tau_0, \infty) \quad (6.1)$$

$$\phi(y, \tau_0) = e_0 Z(y) \quad \text{in } B_{2R}$$

provided that h satisfies certain time-space decay rate and certain orthogonality conditions. Here Z is the positive radially symmetric bounded eigenfunction associated to the only negative eigenvalue to the linear problem (2.30). We recall that $\tau = \tau(t)$ is given in (2.27), as

$$\tau(t) \sim \frac{n-4}{(n-2)\ell} (T-t)^{-1-\frac{2}{n-4}} \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T, \quad \text{and } \tau_0 = \tau(0).$$

In the τ -variable, the bound (4.1) on ϕ reads as

$$\begin{aligned} \|\phi\|_{in} &= \sup_{\tau > \tau_0, y \in B(0, 2R)} \tau^\nu R^{-n-1+a} (1 + |y|^{n+1}) |\phi(y, \tau)| \\ &\quad + \sup_{\tau > \tau_0, y \in B(0, 2R)} \tau^\nu R^{-n-1+a} (1 + |y|^{n+2}) |\nabla \phi(y, \tau)| \lesssim R^{-1} \end{aligned}$$

where ν is given by

$$\tau^{-\nu} \sim \left(\frac{\lambda}{d} \right)^{n-2+\sigma} \sim (T-t)^{\frac{n-2+\sigma}{n-4}}, \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow T.$$

Here $\sigma \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ is the constant (which can be thought close to 1) introduced in (2.7). The solution for Problem (6.1) we build has R -dependent uniform bounds

for right hand-side h with L^∞ -weighted norms of the type

$$\|h\|_{\nu, 2+a} := \sup_{\tau > \tau_0} \sup_{y \in B_{2R}} \tau^\nu \lambda^2 (1 + |y|^{2+a}) |h(y, \tau)|.$$

Also, for a function $p = p(\tau)$ we denote

$$\|p\|_\nu := \sup_{\tau > \tau_0} \tau^\nu |p(\tau)|.$$

We have the validity of

Proposition 6.1. *Let $R > 0$ be large enough. For any τ_0 sufficiently large (depending on R), for any $h = h(y, \tau)$ with $\|h\|_{\nu, 2+a} < +\infty$ that satisfies for all $j = 0, 1$*

$$\int_{B(0, 2R)} h(y, \tau) Z_j(y) dy = 0 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in (\tau_0, \infty), \quad (6.2)$$

there exist $\phi = \phi[h]$ and $e_0 = e_0[h]$ which solve Problem (6.1). They define linear operators of h that satisfy the estimates

$$(1 + |y|) |\nabla \phi(y, \tau)| + |\phi(y, \tau)| \lesssim \tau^{-\nu} \frac{R^{n+1-a}}{1 + |y|^{n+1}} \|h\|_{\nu, 2+a}, \quad (6.3)$$

and

$$|e_0[h]| \lesssim \|h\|_{\nu, 2+a}. \quad (6.4)$$

The proof of this Proposition is a straightforward adaptation to our symmetric setting of the result contained in Proposition 7.1 in [7]. We thus refer the reader to [7] for the proof of this result.

Proposition 6.1 states the existence of a linear operator Ξ which to any function $h(y, \tau)$, with $\|h\|_{\nu, 2+a}$ -bounded and satisfying (6.2), associates the solution (ϕ, e_0) to (6.1). Furthermore, it states that Ξ is continuous between L^∞ spaces equipped with the topologies described by (6.3)-(6.4).

We want to use Proposition 6.1 to solve the *inner* problem (2.28). Up to this moment in our argument, the radius R is chosen large and the final time T was chosen small, with $R^{p(n+1)} T^{\frac{4}{n-4}} < 1$. See (3.17) and (4.5). We claim that Problem (2.28) has a solution. Indeed, we observe first that the parameter functions λ_1 and d_1 as defined in Section 5 are such that the right-hand side $H(y, t)$ satisfies the orthogonality condition (6.2), for any $t \in (0, T)$ (or equivalently for any $\tau > \tau_0$). Thus, the existence and properties of ϕ and e_0 solution to (2.28) are reduced to find a fixed point for

$$\phi = \mathcal{A}(\phi), \quad \text{where } \mathcal{A}(\phi) := \Xi(H(\lambda_1[\phi], d_1[\phi], \phi, \psi[\phi]))$$

in a proper set of functions. We recall the definition of H given in (2.29)

$$\begin{aligned} H[\lambda, d, \phi, \psi](y, \tau) &= p \lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}} U^{p-1} \psi(\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau)) \\ &\quad + \lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} E_2(\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau)) + B[\phi], \end{aligned}$$

where we recall that $E_2 = e_1 + e_2 - \left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} [\Delta w + p W_0^{p-1} w]$. From Lemma 3.2, we get that

$$\|\lambda^{\frac{n+2}{2}} E_2(\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau))\|_{\nu, 2+a} \leq C T^{\frac{1-\sigma}{n-4}},$$

while from Proposition 4.1 we have the existence of $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ so that

$$\|p\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}U^{p-1}\psi(\lambda y + \xi, t(\tau))\|_{\nu, 2+a} \leq CT^{\bar{\varepsilon}}.$$

Here C is a positive fixed constant. These estimates suggest to search for a fixed point for the map \mathcal{A} in the set of functions ϕ so that

$$\mathcal{C} := \{\phi : \|\phi\|_{in} \leq rT^\beta\}, \quad \beta = \max\left\{\frac{1-\sigma}{n-4}, \bar{\varepsilon}\right\}$$

for some r large, independent of T and R . From (2.26) and our assumptions (4.5) on R , we easily get

$$\|B[\phi]\|_{\nu, 2+a} \leq CT^{1+\frac{1}{n-4}}R^{n+1-a}\|\phi\|_{in} \leq C\|\phi\|_{in},$$

for some positive constant C . This implies that, provided the constant r is chosen large, one has $\mathcal{A}(C) \subset C$. We next prove that \mathcal{A} is a contraction mapping, provided R is (possibly) larger (and thus T smaller). We shall emphasize the fact that ψ depends from ϕ in a non linear and non local way, recalling that

$$\psi = \psi[\phi] = \psi[\lambda_1(\phi), d_1(\phi), \phi].$$

Combining (4.22), (4.23), (4.24) and (5.14), one gets

$$\|\psi[\phi_1] - \psi[\phi_2]\|_{*, \alpha, \sigma} \leq \mathbf{c}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in} \quad (6.5)$$

for some $\mathbf{c} \in (0, 1)$, which can be done arbitrarily small, provided T is chosen small enough. We claim that there exists $\mathbf{c} \in (0, 1)$ so that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\phi_1) - \mathcal{A}(\phi_2)\|_{in} \leq \mathbf{c}\|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in}$$

for any $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in \mathcal{C}$. From Proposition 6.1 we get that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\phi_1) - \mathcal{A}(\phi_2)\|_{in} \leq cR^{n+1-a}\|H[\lambda_1, d_1, \phi_1, \psi_1] - H[\lambda_2, d_2, \phi_2, \psi_2]\|_{\nu, 2+a} \quad (6.6)$$

where $\lambda_i = \lambda[\phi_i]$, $d_i = d[\phi_i]$ and $\psi_i = \psi[\phi_i]$. Consider first

$$H_1[\phi] := p\lambda^{\frac{n-2}{2}}[\phi]U^{p-1}\psi[\phi](\lambda[\phi]y + \xi[\phi], t(\tau)).$$

We write

$$\begin{aligned} |H_1[\phi_1] - H_1[\phi_2]| &\lesssim p\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}}U^{p-1}|\psi[\phi_1](\lambda_1 y + \xi_1, t(\tau)) - \psi[\phi_2](\lambda_1 y + \xi_1, t(\tau))| \\ &\quad + p\left(\lambda_1^{\frac{n-2}{2}} - \lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}\right)U^{p-1}|\psi[\phi_2](\lambda_1 y + \xi_1, t(\tau))| \\ &\quad + p\lambda_2^{\frac{n-2}{2}}U^{p-1}|\psi[\phi_2](\lambda_1 y + \xi_1, t(\tau)) - \psi[\phi_2](\lambda_2 y + \xi_2, t(\tau))| \\ &= h_1 + h_2 + h_3. \end{aligned}$$

Thanks to (4.24)-(6.5), there exists a number $b > 0$, which depends on n and σ , for which

$$|h_1(y, \tau)| \leq cT^b \frac{\tau^{-\nu}}{1+|y|^4} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in},$$

for some constants $c > 0$. Also, thanks to (5.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(h_2 + h_3)(y, \tau)| &\leq c \frac{\tau^{-\nu}}{1+|y|^4} \left(\|\dot{\lambda}[\phi_1] - \dot{\lambda}[\phi_2]\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} + \|\dot{d}[\phi_1] - \dot{d}[\phi_2]\|_{\frac{1+\sigma}{n-4}} \right) \\ &\leq cT^b \frac{\tau^{-\nu}}{1+|y|^4} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in}, \end{aligned}$$

for some fixed constant $b > 0$. We conclude that, for some constants c and $b > 0$,

$$\|H_1[\phi_1] - H_1[\phi_2]\|_{\nu, 2+a} \leq T^b \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in} \quad (6.7)$$

for some $\mathbf{c} \in (0, 1)$. Next, we consider $E_2[\phi](\lambda[\phi]y + \xi[\phi], t(\tau))$. Since the part of E_2 given by $-\left(\frac{\lambda_0}{d_0}\right)^{n-2} \left[\Delta w + pW_0^{p-1}w\right]$ does not depend on ϕ , we have, for $\lambda_i = \lambda[\phi_i]$, $d_i = d[\phi_i]$

$$\begin{aligned} & \lambda_1^{\frac{n+2}{2}} E_2[\phi_1](\lambda_1 y + \xi_1, t(\tau)) - \lambda_2^{\frac{n+2}{2}} E_2[\phi_2](\lambda_2 y + \xi_2, t(\tau)) \\ &= \left[\left(\lambda_1 \dot{d}_1 + \frac{1}{(\lambda_1 y + \xi_1)_1} \right) - \left(\lambda_2 \dot{d}_2 + \frac{1}{(\lambda_2 y + \xi_2)_1} \right) \right] Z_1 y \\ &+ \left(\lambda_1 \dot{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2 \dot{\lambda}_2 \right) Z_0(y) - pU^{p-1}(y) \left(U\left(y + \frac{2d_1}{\lambda_1}\right) - U\left(y + \frac{2d_2}{\lambda_2}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the fact that we are in the region $|y| < 2R$ and the validity of (5.14) we get

$$\|\lambda_0^{\frac{n+2}{2}} [E_2[\phi_1] - E_2[\phi_2]]\|_{\nu, 2+a} \leq cT^b \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in}, \quad (6.8)$$

for some constants c and $b > 0$. Moreover we have that

$$\begin{aligned} B[\phi_1] - B[\phi_2] &= \lambda_1 \dot{\lambda}_1 \left[\frac{n-2}{2} (\phi_1 - \phi_2) + \nabla(\phi_1 - \phi_2) \cdot y \right] \\ &+ (\lambda_1 \dot{\lambda}_1 - \lambda_2 \dot{\lambda}_2) \left[\frac{n-2}{2} \phi_2 + \nabla \phi_2 \cdot y \right] \\ &+ \left[\lambda_1 \dot{d}_1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1 y + \xi_1)_1} \right] \frac{\partial(\phi_1 - \phi_2)}{\partial y_1} \\ &+ \left(\left[\lambda_1 \dot{d}_1 + \frac{\lambda_1}{(\lambda_1 y + \xi_1)_1} \right] - \left[\lambda_2 \dot{d}_2 + \frac{\lambda_2}{(\lambda_2 y + \xi_2)_1} \right] \right) \frac{\partial \phi_2}{\partial y_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Using again (5.14), we get

$$\|B[\phi_1] - B[\phi_2]\|_{\nu, 2+a} \leq cT^b \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in}, \quad (6.9)$$

for some constants c and $b > 0$. Inserting estimates (6.7)-(6.8)-(6.9) into (6.6), we conclude that

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\phi_1) - \mathcal{A}(\phi_2)\|_{in} \leq cR^{n+1-a} T^b \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in}.$$

Choosing, if necessary, T even smaller, we get

$$\|\mathcal{A}(\phi_1) - \mathcal{A}(\phi_2)\|_{in} \leq \mathbf{c} \|\phi_1 - \phi_2\|_{in} \quad (6.10)$$

for some $\mathbf{c} \in (0, 1)$.

Estimate (6.10) gives the contraction property for \mathcal{A} in the set \mathcal{C} . Thus we proved the existence of a solution to the *inner* problem (2.28). This fact concludes the proof of the existence of the solution predicted by Theorem 2, with the expected properties.

Acknowledgements: M. del Pino has been supported by a Royal Society Research Professorship, UK. M. Musso has been supported by EPSRC research Grant EP/T008458/1. The research of J. Wei is partially supported by NSERC of Canada.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. Angenent, J.J.L. Velázquez. *Degenerate neckpinches in mean curvature flow*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 482 (1997), 15-66.
- [2] T. Cheng, H. Lan, Haipeng, J. Yang, G. Zheng, *On the behavior of blow-up solutions to a parabolic problem with critical exponent*. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 402 (2013), no. 1, 255–260.
- [3] C. Collot, *Non radial type II blow up for the energy supercritical semilinear heat equation*. Anal. PDE 10 (2017) 127–252.
- [4] C. Collot, F. Merle, P. Raphael, *Dynamics near the ground state for the energy critical nonlinear heat equation in large dimensions*. Comm. Math. Phys. 352 (2017).
- [5] C. Collot, F. Merle, P. Raphael, *On strongly anisotropic type II blow up*. Preprint arXiv:1709.04941.
- [6] C. Collot, P. Raphael, J. Szeftel *On the stability of type I blow up for the energy super critical heat equation*. Preprint arXiv:1605.07337.
- [7] C. Cortázar, M. del Pino, M. Musso, *Green’s function and infinite-time bubbling in the critical nonlinear heat equation*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 22 (2020), no. 1, 283–344.
- [8] P. Daskalopoulos, M. del Pino, N. Sesum. *Type II ancient compact solutions to the Yamabe flow*. J. Reine Angew. Math. 738 (2018), 1–71.
- [9] M. del Pino, M. Musso, J. Wei, *Infinite time blow-up for the 3-dimensional energy critical heat equation*. Analysis and PDEs, 13-1 (2020), 215–274.
- [10] M. del Pino, M. Kowalczyk, J. Wei *On De Giorgi’s conjecture in dimension $N = 9$* . Ann. of Math. (2) 174 (2011), no. 3, 1485–1569.
- [11] M del Pino, M. Musso, Pacard. *Bubbling along boundary geodesics near the second critical exponent*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 12 (2010), no. 6, 1553–1605.
- [12] T. Duyckaerts, C.E. Kenig, F.Merle, *Universality of blow-up profile for small radial type II blow-up solutions of the energy-critical wave equation*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) 13 (2011), no. 3, 533–599.
- [13] A. Farina, *On the classification of solutions of the Lane-Emden equation on unbounded domains of \mathbb{R}^n* . J. Math. Pures Appl. 87 (2007) 537–561.
- [14] S. Filippas, M.A. Herrero, J.J.L. Velázquez, *Fast blow-up mechanisms for sign-changing solutions of a semilinear parabolic equation with critical nonlinearity*. R. Soc. Lond. Proc. Ser. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci. 456 (2000), no. 2004, 2957–2982.
- [15] V.A. Galaktionov, J.R. King, *Composite structure of global unbounded solutions of nonlinear heat equations with critical Sobolev exponents*. J. Differential Equations 189 (2003), no. 1, 199–233.
- [16] G. Luo, Guo, T. Hou, *Toward the finite-time blowup of the 3D axisymmetric Euler equations: a numerical investigation*. Multiscale Model. Simul. 12 (2014), no. 4, 1722–1776.
- [17] J. Jendrej, *Construction of type II blow-up solutions for the energy-critical wave equation in dimension 5*, Preprint, arXiv:1503.05024.
- [18] C. E. Kenig and F. Merle, *Global well-posedness, scattering and blow-up for the energy-critical focusing non-linear wave equation*. Acta Math. 201, 147–212 (2008)
- [19] J. Krieger, W. Schlag, D. Tataru, *Slow blow-up solutions for the $H^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$ critical focusing semilinear wave equation*. Duke Math. J. 147 (2009), no. 1, 1–53.
- [20] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn. *Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 38(3) (1985), 297–319.
- [21] Y. Giga and R. V. Kohn, *Characterizing blowup using similarity variables*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 36:1 (1987), 1–40.
- [22] Y. Giga, S. Matsui, and S. Sasayama, *Blow up rate for semilinear heat equations with subcritical nonlinearity*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 53:2 (2004), 483–514.
- [23] C. Gui, W.-M. Ni, X. Wang, *On the stability and instability of positive steady states of a semilinear heat equation in \mathbb{R}^n* . Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 45 (1992), no. 9, 1153–1181
- [24] M.A. Herrero, J.J.L. Velázquez. *Explosion de solutions d’équations paraboliques semilinéaires supercritiques*. [Blowup of solutions of supercritical semilinear parabolic equations] C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Ser. I Math. 319 (1994), no. 2, 141–145.
- [25] M.A. Herrero, J.J.L. Velázquez. *A blow up result for semilinear heat equations in the supercritical case*. Unpublished.
- [26] D. D. Joseph and T. S. Lundgren, *Quasilinear Dirichlet problems driven by positive sources*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 49 (1973), 241–269.

- [27] H. Matano, F. Merle. *On nonexistence of type II blowup for a supercritical nonlinear heat equation*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 57 (2004) 1494–1541.
- [28] H. Matano, F. Merle. *Threshold and generic type I behaviors for a supercritical nonlinear heat equation*. J. Funct. Anal. 261 (2011), no. 3, 716–748.
- [29] F. Merle, P. Raphael, I. Rodnianski, *Blow-up dynamics for smooth data equivariant solutions to the critical Schrödinger map problem*. Invent. Math. 193 (2013), no. 2, 249–365.
- [30] F. Merle and H. Zaag. *Stability of the blow-up profile for equations of the type $u_t = \Delta u + |u|^{p-1}u$* . Duke Math. J., 86(1) (1997) 143–195.
- [31] N. Mizoguchi, *Boundedness of global solutions for a supercritical semilinear heat equation and its applications*, Indiana Univ. Math. J. 54 (2005), 1047–1059.
- [32] C.-C. Poon, *Blow-up behavior for semilinear heat equations in nonconvex domains*, Differential Integral Equations 13 (2000), 1111–1138.
- [33] P. Quittner, Ph. Souplet. *Superlinear parabolic problems. Blow-up, global existence and steady states*. Birkhauser Advanced Texts. Birkhauser, Basel, 2007.
- [34] P. Raphael, I. Rodnianski, *Stable blow up dynamics for the critical co-rotational wave maps and equivariant Yang-Mills problems*. Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. 115 (2012), 1–122.
- [35] R. Schweyer. *Type II blow-up for the four dimensional energy critical semi linear heat equation*. J. Funct. Anal. 263 (2012), no. 12, 3922–3983.
- [36] G. Talenti, *Best constants in Sobolev inequality*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) 10 (1976), 353–372.
- [37] J. J. L. Velázquez, *Estimates on the $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the blow-up set for a semilinear heat equation*. Indiana Univ. Math. J. 42 (1993), no. 2, 445–476.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: m.delpino@bath.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES UNIVERSITY OF BATH, BATH BA2 7AY, UNITED KINGDOM

Email address: m.musso@bath.ac.uk

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF BRITISH COLUMBIA, VANCOUVER, BC V6T 1Z2, CANADA

Email address: jcwei@math.ubc.ca