



*Citation for published version:*

Barden, C, Stokes, K, Quarrie, K & McKay, C 2021, 'Employing standardised methods to compare injury risk across seven youth team sports', *International Journal of Sports Medicine*, vol. 42, no. 11, pp. 1019-1026.  
<https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1327-3009>

*DOI:*

[10.1055/a-1327-3009](https://doi.org/10.1055/a-1327-3009)

*Publication date:*

2021

*Document Version*

Peer reviewed version

[Link to publication](#)

© 2021. Thieme. Int J Sports Med. DOI: 10.1055/a-1327-3009

**University of Bath**

**Alternative formats**

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:  
[openaccess@bath.ac.uk](mailto:openaccess@bath.ac.uk)

**General rights**

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

**Take down policy**

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

## **Employing standardised methods to compare injury risk across seven youth team sports**

### **Abstract**

Injury surveillance systems seek to describe injury risk of a given sport to inform preventative strategies. This often leads to comparisons between studies, although these inferences may be inappropriate given the range of methods adopted. This study aimed to describe the injury epidemiology of seven youth sports, enabling valid comparisons of injury risk. Consistent methods were employed across seven sports [male American football, basketball, soccer, rugby league, rugby union; female soccer and rugby union] at a high-school in England. A 24-hour time-loss injury definition was adopted. Descriptive statistics and injury incidence (/1000 match-hours) are reported. In total, 322 injuries were sustained by 240 athletes (mean age =  $17.7 \pm 1.0$ ) in 10,273 player-match hours. American football had a significantly greater injury incidence (86/1000h; 95% CI 61-120) than all sports except female rugby union (54/1000h; 95% CI 37-76). Concussion was the most common injury (incidence range 0.0-26.7/1000h), whilst 59% of injuries occurred via player contact. This study employed standardised data collection methods, allowing valid and reliable comparisons of injury risk between youth sports. This is the first known study to provide epidemiological data for female rugby union, male basketball and American football in an English youth population, enabling the development of preventative strategies.

### **Keywords**

Youth, Sport, Injury, Epidemiology, Surveillance, Methods

## Introduction

Numerous injury surveillance programmes have been developed across the sporting landscape[1], aiming to describe injury risk and identify aetiological factors to guide preventative strategies[2]. These surveillance programmes are influenced by operationalised sport-specific consensus statements[3-6], encouraging consistent methods to ensure accurate and reliable data collection[7]. However, these guidelines are often directed towards professional settings and may not be appropriate for all contexts. For example, the recommended time-loss definition in soccer is based on an athlete missing a match or session[4]. In a professional environment this may be the same day the injury occurred, whilst in a community environment a week could elapse prior to the next scheduled activity. These methodological issues are particularly pertinent in youth sport where resources are generally limited. There is a need for context-specific injury surveillance methods, dictated by level of participation and aims of the surveillance programme[8]. This would enable comparisons to be made across similar contexts rather than across whole sports, creating more valid comparisons than those frequently made in the literature.

The variety of methods adopted creates difficulty when comparing injury rates between sports and cohorts[8]. Injury definitions range from physical complaints to tissue damage, medical attention or participation time-loss[3, 9, 10]. Interpretation of data is further compounded by various outcome measures. Sports injury prevention research often utilises incidence rates, allowing for more meaningful comparison between two or more rates whilst standardising the denominator[11]. However, the range of denominators, where time at risk is defined by hours, events or athlete-exposures, is a barrier when comparing rates[3, 12]. Brooks and Fuller[13] highlighted the effect of using different incidence denominators on interpretation; yielding significantly different injury rates between “/1000h athlete-exposures” and “/1000 matches” denominators for the same data set from professional rugby union. Time-based denominators are preferable[3], but difficulties in achieving this often result in studies adopting participant numbers to provide crude-rates[11]. The use of incidence rates can be supplemented by combining with injury severity (injury burden = mean days lost x incidence) to provide a more accurate interpretation of injury risk[14,

15]. The range of methodologies highlighted, and impact these have on interpreting results, creates difficulty when comparing data between sports.

Multi-sport surveillance systems exist in American high-school[16] and collegiate systems[17, 18]. However, no such systems exist in England. Indeed, there are some English youth sports, such as female rugby union, male basketball and American football, where injury risk is undescribed. With limited injury data available, comparisons in injury risk between sports and settings cannot be made. Providing such data would enable athletes and parents to make informed decisions regarding participation, whilst providing government departments and sporting bodies with the necessary evidence to inform public health policy and to transfer successful prevention initiatives across sports settings[19].

Therefore, the study objectives were to (1) describe the injury epidemiology of seven youth sports teams from a single high-school in England, using a consistent injury surveillance system with operationalised definitions and methodology, and (2) compare injury rates (incidence and burden) among sports.

## **Methods**

A cohort study (August 2015 - May 2019) of seven sports teams [male American football, male basketball, male and female soccer, male rugby union (15 a-side), male rugby league (two seasons 2015-2017; team disbanded 2017), and female rugby union (Sevens, Tens and 15 a-side; three seasons 2016-2019; team created 2016)] from a single high-school in England, UK. All teams were under-19 in age (athletes ranging between 16-19 years old), except American football, for which the age range was 16-20. All athletes provided written informed consent in pre-season for their injury data to be retained anonymously for the purposes of this study. Parental consent was obtained for individuals under-18 years old. This study was conducted in accordance with the ethical standards of the International Journal of Sports Medicine[20].

The weekly structure of all sports was similar and consisted of two training sessions (Monday and Friday), one match (Wednesday; or training if no match) and either one or two supervised strength and conditioning sessions. Male soccer was the only team to have regular matches outside of this structure, with the team participating in a Wednesday and Saturday league. The American Football team played friendly matches against other schools, representative international teams (e.g. Ireland under-20s) and universities (restricted

to under-20s) due to absence of a domestic league. All other teams participated in the highest level of collegiate competitions nationally (under-18/19). Friendly matches, included in this study, were played in pre-season and intermittently throughout the season.

The corresponding author was the medical lead for the school. Each team was assigned a lead therapist who provided athletes with free injury assessment and treatment. Medical staff provided match first aid, dependant on staff availability and competition regulations. A member of the medical team provided first aid for all first team male rugby union, rugby league and home American football matches. There was partial coverage of female soccer, female rugby union and male soccer, whilst no basketball matches were covered. Coaches were first aid trained, ensuring that there was a qualified member of staff at matches regardless of whether medical staff were present.

Training attendance was compulsory and those unable to train were instructed to attend the injury clinic for assessment. This ensured match injuries were captured using a 24-hour time-loss injury definition. Injuries were recorded by medical staff on a bespoke standardised paper report form upon assessment in clinic. Medical staff subsequently logged all injuries in an electronic database detailing: sport, date of injury, date of return, body region, type and mechanism (player contact, other contact, non-contact, unknown). Analysis of specific injury diagnoses was conducted for the three most prevalent injuries. Body regions were grouped into the following "general" (solid pica dots) and "specific" regions (open pica dots):

- Head/neck
  - concussion
  - neck
  - face (non-concussion)
- Upper limb
  - shoulder
  - arm
  - elbow
  - finger/hand/wrist

- Trunk
  - sternum/ribs/upper back
  - low back
  - sacrum/pelvis
- Lower limb
  - hip
  - thigh/groin
  - knee
  - calf/achilles
  - ankle
  - foot/toe

Injury types were classified as follows:

- muscle/tendon
- ligament/joint
- central/peripheral nervous system (CNS/PNS)
- contusion/laceration/haematoma
- bone/fracture

Match exposure was recorded weekly on a standardised report form by medical staff, detailing the sport and overall exposure in hours (number of starting players x match duration in minutes / 60 minutes). Additional exposure was recorded for matches which went to extra-time, but no adjustments were made for matches where players were removed from the field, due to foul-play or injuries, which left a team with fewer players than started the match.

Injury incidence is reported per 1000 player-hours of match exposure (/1000h) along with 95% confidence intervals (CI). Injury severity was calculated as the number of days elapsed from, but not including, the date of injury to the date the athlete was cleared for full participation in training and available for match

selection. Injury burden (mean days lost x incidence/1000h) is provided as a measure of the overall number of days lost over a given time period. Descriptive statistics (percentages, mean, median, range, 95% CI) are reported where applicable. Athletes with injuries which extended into the off-season were treated by the medical team two weeks into the off-season, after which the return to play date was marked as unknown and excluded from severity or burden analysis (n=10). The same method was applied to injuries where the return to play date is unknown because athletes did not return to the injury clinic, either due to self-discharge/not returning to follow up (n=39) or leaving the school (n=3). The accuracy of estimated return to play dates could not be established and thus were not used. Rate ratios (RR) were calculated to compare the injury incidence and burden between sports. RR were deemed statistically significant if the 95% CI did not cross 1.0[11].

## Results

In total, 843 athletes (mean age=17.7±1.0) were enrolled in the seven teams, completing 1182 player-seasons across the study period. There were 322 match injuries recorded, sustained by 240 players (28% of all athletes), in 10,273 player match-hours (Table 1). Male rugby union accounted for the largest percentage of injuries (42%), whilst male soccer had the greatest exposure (5031 player-hours). There was no significant difference in overall injury incidence (range 28-36/1000h) or injury burden (range 781-993/1000h) between seasons.

American football had a significantly higher injury incidence (86/1000h, 95% CI 61-120) than all sports except female rugby union (53/1000h, 95% CI 37-76; RR=1.6, 95% CI 1.0-2.6). Male soccer had a significantly lower injury incidence (16/1000h, 95% CI 13-20) than all sports except female soccer (21/1000h, 95% CI 14-33; RR=1.3, 95% CI 0.8-2.2) and rugby league (24/1000h, 95% CI 12-46; RR=1.5, 95% CI 0.7-3.0). An overview of descriptive data by sport can be seen in table 1, with a RR matrix provided in table 2.

Lower limb injuries were most prevalent (53%; 16/1000h, 95% CI 14-19; 26 days lost, 95% CI 22-42), followed by injuries to the head/neck (26%; 8/1000h, 95% CI 7-10; 30 days lost, 95% CI 24-37), whilst having the highest injury burden (427/1000h, 95% CI 367-496 and 241/1000h, 95% CI 194-299

respectively). Upper limb injuries had the highest mean severity (37 days lost, 95% CI 28-49; 5/1000h, 95% CI 4-7; burden 185/1000h, 95% CI 141-244), with trunk injuries the least severe (21 days lost, 95% CI 22-42; 2/1000h, 95% CI 1-3; burden 41/1000h, 95% CI 27-64). The head was the most commonly injured specific body location (25%; 8/1000h, 95% CI 6-10), followed by the thigh/groin (19%; 6/1000h, 95% CI 5-8) and ankle (17%; 5/1000h, 95% CI 4-7). Large variations were observed in the general and specific location of injuries by sport (table 3).

Ligament injuries accounted for nearly a third (32%; 10/1000h, 95% CI 8-12) of all injuries, followed by CNS/PNS (25%; 8/1000h, 95% CI 6-10) and muscle/tendon injuries (24%; 7/1000h, 96% CI 6-9; table 3). Concussion was the most common pathology across all sports, accounting for 24% of injuries recorded (7/1000h, 95% CI 6-9). All sports reported multiple concussions except basketball (n=0). Female rugby union had the highest concussion incidence (27/1000h, 95% CI 16-44), representing 50% of all injuries collected for that sport. Concussion incidence in other sports was: American football (23/1000h, 95% CI 12-44, 26% of injuries), male rugby union (15/1000h, 95% CI 11-20, 29% of injuries), rugby league (11/1000h, 95% CI 4-28, 44% of injuries), male soccer (1/1000h, 95% CI 1-3, 8% of injuries) and female soccer (4/1000h, 95% CI 2-11, 20% of injuries). Concussion had the highest injury burden (223/1000h, 95% CI 179-279) of any specific diagnoses. Lateral ankle sprains were the next most frequent pathology (n=37, 4/1000h, 95% CI 3-5), having the second highest injury burden (87/1000h, 95% CI 63-120). The incidence of lateral ankle sprains in basketball (24/1000h, 95% CI 12-49), was more than double any other sport (American football incidence = 10/1000h, 95% CI 4-27). Quadriceps haematomas were the third most common injury (2/1000h, 95% CI 1-3).

Overall, the most common mechanism of injury was player contact (59%; 19/1000h, 95% CI 16-21) followed by non-contact (27%; 8/1000h, 95% CI 7-10) and other contact (11%; 3/1000h, 95% CI 2-5). Athletes were unable to identify injury mechanism for 3% (n=11) of injuries and thus were recorded as 'other'. Female and male soccer had a greater percentage of non-contact injuries (both 50%; 11/1000h and 8/1000h respectively) than other sports. Male rugby union had the highest percentage of injuries from player



contact (73%; 37/1000h, 95% CI 30-45), followed by American football (68%; 58/1000h, 95% CI 39-87) and rugby league (67%; 15.8/1000h, 95% CI 7-35; Figure 1).

Injury severity ranged from 1-179 days lost with an overall mean severity of 29 days lost (95% CI 26-32). Female rugby union had the highest mean severity (40 days, 95% CI 28-57), followed by American football (38 days, 95% CI 27-53). Basketball had the lowest mean severity (20 days, 95% CI 12-33). The largest proportion of injuries (38%) resulted in 8-28 days lost (12/1000h, 95% CI 10-14) and 35% of injuries took longer than 28 days to resolve (11/1000h, 95% CI 9-13).

American football had a significantly greater injury burden (3237/1000h, 95% CI 2313-4530) than all other sports, except female rugby union (2135/1000h, 95% CI 1493-3054; RR=1.5, 95% CI 0.9-2.5). Male soccer had the lowest injury burden (378/1000h, 95% CI 304-471; Figure 2).

## **Discussion**

This paper details the injury epidemiology of seven youth sports, some of which were previously undescribed in English youth populations. Employing a consistent data collection methodology enabled meaningful comparisons between sports[13], with American football having a significantly greater injury incidence and burden than all sports except female rugby union. Injury risk in basketball was greater than soccer and rugby league, which is potentially surprising given it is classed as ‘non-contact’ sport. The descriptive data provided in this study may inform injury prevention strategies employed by healthcare professionals in youth cohorts. These findings are particularly useful for sports where no evidence-base exists, enabling clinicians and key stakeholders to adopt preventative measures found effective in similar sports settings. All sports participated at the elite end of high-school sport and given injury risk appears to increase with level of play[21-23], the results may have limited generalisability to teams and participants participating at lower levels of play. However, further research is required to confirm this supposition.

Injury risk in youth male rugby (union and league)[24, 25], has been well described in the literature, with Freitag et al reporting a pooled injury incidence of 27/1000h using a combined time-loss and medical-attention definition[24]. However, the review highlights the effect of various data collection methods, with six different injury definitions adopted and injury incidence ranging from 4-218/1000h. A meta-analysis of

time-loss injuries in elite male youth soccer reported a pooled incidence of 8/1000h[26, 27], whilst in female soccer a systematic review reported a range in injury incidence from 1-12/1000h[27]. These incidence rates are lower than rates found in this study, but included younger participants, potentially influencing the findings as injury risk appears to increase with age[28, 29]. The male soccer review[26] included older adolescents, playing at a higher level than this study, so it is a surprise that the observed injury incidence is twice as high. This may relate to player workload[30], with male soccer the only team playing twice a week, participating in Wednesday and Saturday leagues.

No previous studies have described injury risk of American football played in England. Injury epidemiology research primarily originates from North America[18], where it is often not possible to compare risk given the preferred use of an athlete-exposure denominator. The injury risk of American football described in this study is of concern, with injury incidence greater than professional contact sports such as ice hockey[31] and rugby league[32] and injury burden greater than professional rugby union[33]. Further epidemiological research would provide clinicians with an evidence-base to support the adoption of existing injury prevention interventions[19] or aid the development of new strategies.

Basketball injury incidence is of interest given it was the only 'non-contact' sport investigated. Despite this, it had a significantly greater injury incidence than male and female soccer, and a comparable incidence to male and female rugby union. There is a lack of comparable studies using a time denominator, but injury incidence was similar to a study of youth male basketball players in Finland (36.8/1000h)[34]. Recent participation figures from Sport England, an autonomous government funded public body, show basketball as the second most commonly played team sport in England, behind soccer, amongst young people[35]. Consequently, the public health impact of basketball injuries in England could be greater than anticipated. Numerous injury prevention strategies have been shown to reduce injury risk in basketball across various participation levels[36]. These interventions, predominately neuromuscular training programmes, focus on the reduction of lower limb injuries. Educational institutes should consider adopting such interventions to reduce injury risk in this playing population, although the complexities of successfully implementing these interventions should not be underestimated[37].

The female rugby injury risk found in this study is greater than that reported across various playing levels and ages[38]. This rate may be inflated as it includes data from both Sevens and Tens formats, with previous research suggesting that shorter, more intensive, formats of rugby have an increased injury risk in comparison to 15 a-side matches[39]. Thus far, there has been a focus on male rugby injuries at youth[24] and senior levels[33, 40, 41]; however, female participation rates have increased rapidly worldwide since 2017[42] due to a specific focus from World Rugby[43]. Previous studies have reported that female athletes are at a greater risk of specific diagnoses, namely anterior cruciate ligament tears[44] and concussions[45, 46], than their male counterparts. To establish this in a youth female rugby cohort, robust longitudinal surveillance studies are required to replicate those already established in various male cohorts worldwide. There are national multi-sport injury surveillance systems in place at educational institutes[16, 18], but none in England. Specific injury surveillance guidelines for educational settings may encourage and facilitate institutes to collect injury epidemiology data. Findings could be used clinically by medical practitioners or shared more widely to produce larger-scale injury surveillance reports, creating a body of evidence to inform prevention strategies.

A study limitation was that severity was not recorded for injuries which extended more than two weeks into the off-season or for those where return to play dates are unknown. Excluding long-term injuries from analysis creates an underestimate of injury severity and burden. It should be recognised that a time-loss injury definition fails to capture the impact that non-time-loss injuries, generally due to overuse, have on an athlete and their physical performance[47]. The prevalence of these injuries has been reported to be four times greater than time-loss injuries amongst youth athletes[48] and thus their impact on athlete health and performance should not be underestimated.

## **Conclusion**

This is the first-time injury risk in English youth American football, basketball and female rugby union has been described. There were significant differences in injury risk between sports, with American football having the highest injury incidence and burden. Basketball had a significantly greater risk than traditional sports such as soccer, warranting further investigation given its growing popularity in England.

## Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank the medical professionals at the college for collecting injury data throughout the study period. No financial support was received by any author for this study.

## References

1. Finch CF, Staines C. Guidance for sports injury surveillance: the 20-year influence of the Australian Sports Injury Data Dictionary. *Inj Prev* 2018; 24: 372-380.
2. Finch C. A new framework for research leading to sports injury prevention. *J Sci Med Sport* 2006; 9: 3-9.
3. Bahr R, Clarsen B, Derman W et al. International Olympic Committee consensus statement: methods for recording and reporting of epidemiological data on injury and illness in sport 2020 (including STROBE Extension for Sport Injury and Illness Surveillance (STROBE-SIIS)). *Br J Sports Med* 2020; 54: 372-389.
4. Fuller CW, Ekstrand J, Junge A et al. Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures in studies of football (soccer) injuries. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2006; 16: 83-92.
5. Fuller CW, Molloy MG, Bagate C et al. Consensus statement on injury definitions and data collection procedures for studies of injuries in rugby union. *Br J Sports Med* 2007; 41: 328-331.
6. King DA, Gabbett TJ, Gissane C et al. Epidemiological studies of injuries in rugby league: suggestions for definitions, data collection and reporting methods. *J Sci Med Sport* 2009; 12: 12-19.
7. Bahr R, Krosshaug T. Understanding injury mechanisms: a key component of preventing injuries in sport. *Br J Sports Med* 2005; 39: 324-329.
8. Ekegren CL, Gabbe BJ, Finch CF. Sports Injury Surveillance Systems: A Review of Methods and Data Quality. *Sports Med* 2016; 46: 49-65.
9. Hagglund M, Walden M, Bahr R et al. Methods for epidemiological study of injuries to professional football players: developing the UEFA model. *Br J Sports Med* 2005; 39: 340-346.

10. Goldberg AS, Moroz L, Smith A et al. Injury surveillance in young athletes: a clinician's guide to sports injury literature. *Sports Med* 2007; 37: 265-278.
11. Knowles SB, Marshall SW, Guskiewicz KM. Issues in estimating risks and rates in sports injury research. *J Athl Train* 2006; 41: 207-215.
12. Stovitz SD, Shrier I. Injury rates in team sport events: tackling challenges in assessing exposure time. *Br J Sports Med* 2012; 46: 960-963.
13. Brooks JH, Fuller CW. The influence of methodological issues on the results and conclusions from epidemiological studies of sports injuries: illustrative examples. *Sports Med* 2006; 36: 459-472.
14. Bahr R, Clarsen B, Ekstrand J. Why we should focus on the burden of injuries and illnesses, not just their incidence. *Br J Sports Med* 2018; 52: 1018-1021.
15. Fuller CW. Managing the risk of injury in sport. *Clin J Sport Med* 2007; 17: 182-187.
16. Rechel JA, Yard EE, Comstock RD. An epidemiologic comparison of high school sports injuries sustained in practice and competition. *J Athl Train* 2008; 43: 197-204.
17. Kerr ZY, Dompier TP, Snook EM et al. National Collegiate Athletic Association Injury Surveillance System: Review of Methods for 2004-2005 Through 2013-2014 Data Collection. *J Athl Train* 2014; 49: 552-560.
18. Kerr ZY, Wilkerson GB, Caswell SV et al. The First Decade of Web-Based Sports Injury Surveillance: Descriptive Epidemiology of Injuries in United States High School Football (2005-2006 Through 2013-2014) and National Collegiate Athletic Association Football (2004-2005 Through 2013-2014). *J Athl Train* 2018; 53: 738-751.
19. Longo UG, Loppini M, Berton A et al. The FIFA 11+ program is effective in preventing injuries in elite male basketball players: a cluster randomized controlled trial. *Am J Sports Med* 2012; 40: 996-1005.
20. Harriss DJ, Macsween A, Atkinson G. Standards for Ethics in Sport and Exercise Science Research: 2018 Update. *Int J Sports Med* 2017; 38: 1126-1131.

21. McIntosh AS, McCrory P, Finch CF et al. Head, face and neck injury in youth rugby: incidence and risk factors. *Br J Sports Med* 2010; 44: 188-193.
22. van Beijsterveldt AMC, Stubbe JH, Schmikli SL et al. Differences in injury risk and characteristics between Dutch amateur and professional soccer players. *J Sci Med Sport* 2015; 18: 145-149.
23. Luiggi M, Griffet J. Sport injury prevalence and risk by level of play and sports played among a representative population of French adolescents. A school-based study. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique* 2019; 67: 383-391.
24. Freitag A, Kirkwood G, Scharer S et al. Systematic review of rugby injuries in children and adolescents under 21 years. *Br J Sports Med* 2015; 49: 511-519.
25. Leahy TM, Kenny IC, Campbell MJ et al. Injury surveillance in school Rugby: A systematic review of injury epidemiology & surveillance practices. *Phys Ther Sport* 2019; 38: 170-178.
26. Jones S, Almousa S, Gibb A et al. Injury Incidence, Prevalence and Severity in High-Level Male Youth Football: A Systematic Review. *Sports Med* 2019; 49: 1879-1899.
27. Klein C, Henke T, Platen P. Injuries in football (soccer)-a systematic review of epidemiology and aetiological aspects. *Ger J Exerc Sport Res* 2018; 48: 309-322.
28. Pfirrmann D, Herbst M, Ingelfinger P et al. Analysis of Injury Incidences in Male Professional Adult and Elite Youth Soccer Players: A Systematic Review. *J Athl Train* 2016; 51: 410-424.
29. Rossler R, Junge A, Chomiak J et al. Soccer Injuries in Players Aged 7 to 12 Years: A Descriptive Epidemiological Study Over 2 Seasons. *Am J Sports Med* 2016; 44: 309-317.
30. Bowen L, Gross AS, Gimpel M et al. Accumulated workloads and the acute:chronic workload ratio relate to injury risk in elite youth football players. *Br J Sports Med* 2017; 51: 452-459.
31. McKay CD, Tufts RJ, Shaffer B et al. The epidemiology of professional ice hockey injuries: a prospective report of six NHL seasons. *Br J Sports Med* 2014; 48: 57-62.
32. Fitzpatrick AC, Naylor AS, Myler P et al. A three-year epidemiological prospective cohort study of rugby league match injuries from the European Super League. *J Sci Med Sport* 2018; 21: 160-165.

33. Fuller CW. Injury Risk (Burden), Risk Matrices and Risk Contours in Team Sports: A Review of Principles, Practices and Problems. *Sports Med* 2018; 48: 1597-1606.
34. Pasanen K, Ekola T, Vasankari T et al. High ankle injury rate in adolescent basketball: A 3-year prospective follow-up study. *Scand J Med Sci Sports* 2017; 27: 643-649.
35. Sport England. Active lives data table: children and young peoples surveys 2018/19. 2019. On the internet: <https://sportengland-production-files.s3.eu-west-2.amazonaws.com/s3fs-public/2020-01/active-lives-cyp-18-19-tables-14-18-outcomes.xlsx?xsQ2WLeIQVF.3InphBQnDNM6k6bMqfh>; Accessed on: 20<sup>th</sup> January 2020.
36. Taylor JB, Ford KR, Nguyen AD et al. Prevention of lower extremity injuries in basketball: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sports Health* 2015; 7: 392-398.
37. Tee JC, McLaren SJ, Jones B. Sports Injury Prevention is Complex: We Need to Invest in Better Processes, Not Singular Solutions. *Sports Med* 2020; 50: 689-702.
38. King D, Hume P, Cummins C et al. Match and Training Injuries in Women's Rugby Union: A Systematic Review of Published Studies. *Sports Med* 2019; 49: 1559-1574.
39. Gabb N, Trewartha G, Kemp S et al. Epidemiology of Injuries in a Women's International Rugby Sevens World Cup Squad. *Br J Sports Med* 2014; 48: 596-597.
40. Yeomans C, Kenny IC, Cahalan R et al. The Incidence of Injury in Amateur Male Rugby Union: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Sports Med* 2018; 48: 837-848.
41. Williams S, Trewartha G, Kemp S et al. A meta-analysis of injuries in senior men's professional Rugby Union. *Sports Med* 2013; 43: 1043-1055.
42. World Rugby. World Rugby launches global campaign to revolutionise women's rugby. 2019. On the internet: <https://www.world.rugby/news/422288?lang=en>; Accessed on 13<sup>th</sup> February 2020.
43. World Rugby. Accelerating the global development of women's rugby 2017-2025. 2017. On the internet: [https://pulse-static-files.s3.amazonaws.com/worldrugby/document/2019/12/02/edcf47e7-af75-4caf-b72c-be57d338a232/2019-WomeninRugby-Womens\\_Plan-1\\_Pager-DIGITAL-EN.pdf](https://pulse-static-files.s3.amazonaws.com/worldrugby/document/2019/12/02/edcf47e7-af75-4caf-b72c-be57d338a232/2019-WomeninRugby-Womens_Plan-1_Pager-DIGITAL-EN.pdf); Accessed on 13<sup>th</sup> February 2020.

44. Montalvo AM, Schneider DK, Yut L et al. "What's my risk of sustaining an ACL injury while playing sports?" A systematic review with meta-analysis. *Br J Sports Med* 2019; 53: 1003-1012.
45. Black AM, Sergio LE, Macpherson AK. The Epidemiology of Concussions: Number and Nature of Concussions and Time to Recovery Among Female and Male Canadian Varsity Athletes 2008 to 2011. *Clin J Sports Med* 2017; 27: 52-56.
46. Chandran A, Elmi A, Young H et al. Determinants of concussion diagnosis, symptomology, and resolution time in U.S. high school soccer players. *Res Sports Med* 2020; 28: 42-54.
47. Clarsen B, Ronsen O, Myklebust G et al. The Oslo Sports Trauma Research Center questionnaire on health problems: a new approach to prospective monitoring of illness and injury in elite athletes. *Br J Sports Med* 2014; 48: 754-760.
48. Kerr ZY, Lynall RC, Roos KG et al. Descriptive Epidemiology of Non-Time-Loss Injuries in Collegiate and High School Student-Athletes. *J Athl Train* 2017; 52: 446-456.

Author Version



**Table 1.** Overview of general injury outcomes per sport.

<b>Sport</b>	<b>Age (SD)</b>	<b>Exposure (hours)</b>	<b>Injuries (n)</b>	<b>Incidence /1000h (95% CI)</b>	<b>Mean Severity Days (95% CI)</b>	<b>Median Severity Days (95% CI)</b>	<b>Burden /1000h (95% CI)</b>
<b>American Football</b>	18.5 (1.3)	396	34	86 (61-120)	38 (27-53)	31 (22-43)	3237 (2313-4530)
<b>Basketball</b>	17.7 (0.8)	327	14	43 (25-72)	20 (12-33)	15 (9-25)	849 (503-1433)
<b>Female Soccer</b>	17.4 (0.8)	940	20	21 (14-33)	25 (16-39)	11 (7-17)	534 (344-827)
<b>Female Rugby Union</b>	17.5 (0.8)	562	30	53 (37-76)	40 (28-57)	27 (19-39)	2135 (1493-3054)
<b>Male Soccer</b>	17.5 (0.8)	5031	80	16 (13-20)	24 (19-30)	22 (18-27)	378 (304-471)
<b>Male Rugby Union</b>	17.3 (0.7)	2638	135	51 (43-61)	29 (24-34)	24 (20-28)	1464 (1237-1733)
<b>Rugby League</b>	17.9 (0.9)	379	9	24 (12-46)	30 (16-58)	22 (11-42)	712 (371-1369)
<b>Total</b>	17.7 (1.0)	10,273	322	31 (28-35)	29 (26-32)	23 (21-26)	896 (804-1000)

Note: SD = standard deviation, n = number, CI = confidence interval

**Table 2.** Rate ratio matrix comparing injury incidence (/1000h) and injury burden (/1000h) between sports with 95% CIs.

Sport	Measure	American Football	Female Rugby Union	Male Rugby Union	Basketball	Rugby League	Female Soccer
Female Rugby Union	Incidence	1.6 (1.0-2.6)	-	-	-	-	-
	Burden	1.5 (0.9-2.5)	-	-	-	-	-
Male Rugby Union	Incidence	1.7* (1.2-2.4)	1.0 (0.7-1.5)	-	-	-	-
	Burden	2.2* (1.5-3.2)	1.5 (1.0-2.2)	-	-	-	-
Basketball	Incidence	2.0* (1.1-3.7)	1.2 (0.7-2.3)	1.2 (0.7-2.1)	-	-	-
	Burden	3.8* (2.0-7.1)	2.5* (1.3-4.7)	1.7 (1.0-3.0)	-	-	-
Rugby League	Incidence	3.6* (1.7-7.5)	2.2* (1.1-4.7)	2.2* (1.1-4.2)	1.8 (0.8-4.2)	-	-
	Burden	4.5* (2.2-9.5)	3.0* (1.4-6.3)	2.1* (1.0-4.0)	1.2 (0.5-2.8)	-	-
Female Soccer	Incidence	4.0* (2.3-7.0)	2.5* (1.4-4.4)	2.4* (1.5-3.8)	2.0* (1.0-4.0)	1.1 (0.5-2.5)	-
	Burden	6.1* (3.5-10.5)	4.0* (2.3-7.0)	2.7* (1.7-4.4)	1.6 (0.8-3.1)	1.3 (0.6-2.9)	-
Male Soccer	Incidence	5.4* (3.6-8.1)	3.4* (2.2-5.1)	3.2* (2.4-4.2)	2.7* (1.5-4.8)	1.5 (0.7-3.0)	1.3 (0.8-2.2)
	Burden	8.6* (5.7-12.8)	5.6* (3.7-8.6)	3.9* (2.9-5.1)	2.2* (1.3-4.0)	1.9 (0.9-3.8)	1.4 (0.9-2.3)


\* 95% CI did not cross the null value (1.0), indicating a significant difference

**Table 3.** Locations, types and mechanisms of injuries sustained per sport.

Injury Descriptive	Overall		American Football		Basketball		Female Soccer		Female Rugby U		Male Soccer		Male Rugby U		Rugby League	
	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)	n (%)	Inc/1000h (95% CI)
<b>General Location</b>																
Head/ Neck	83 (26%)	8 (7-10)	9 (26%)	23 (12-44)	-	-	4 (20%)	4 (2-11)	15 (50%)	27 (16-44)	6 (8%)	1 (0-3)	45 (33%)	17 (13-23)	4 (44%)	11 (4-28)
Upper limb	51 (16%)	5 (4-7)	7 (21%)	18 (8-37)	2 (14%)	6 (2-24)	-	-	6 (20%)	11 (5-24)	6 (8%)	1 (0-3)	29 (21%)	11 (8-16)	1 (11%)	2.6 (0-19)
Trunk	20 (6%)	2 (1-3)	-	-	-	-	1 (5%)	1 (0-8)	1 (3%)	2 (0-13)	8 (10%)	2 (1-3)	10 (7%)	4 (2-7)	-	-
Lower limb	168 (52%)	16 (14-19)	18 (53%)	45 (29-72)	12 (86%)	37 (21-65)	15 (75%)	16 (10-26)	8 (27%)	14 (7-28)	60 (75%)	12 (9-15)	51 (38%)	19 (15-25)	4 (44%)	11 (4-28)
<b>Specific Location (top 5)</b>																
Head	79 (25%)	8 (6-10)	9 (26%)	23 (12-44)	-	-	1 (5%)	1 (0-8)	15 (50%)	27 (16-44)	6 (8%)	1 (0-3)	41 (30%)	16 (11-21)	4 (44%)	11 (4-28)
Thigh/groin	60 (19%)	6 (5-8)	7 (21%)	18 (8-37)	1 (7%)	3 (0-22)	6 (30%)	6 (3-14)	-	-	25 (31%)	5 (3-7)	20 (15%)	8 (5-12)	1 (11%)	3 (0-19)
Ankle	53 (17%)	5 (4-7)	5 (15%)	13 (5-30)	8 (57%)	24 (12-49)	6 (30%)	6 (3-14)	3 (10%)	5 (2-17)	22 (28%)	4 (3-7)	8 (6%)	3 (2-6)	1 (11%)	3 (0-19)
Knee	38 (12%)	4 (3-5)	5 (15%)	13 (5-30)	1 (7%)	3 (0-22)	1 (5%)	1 (0-8)	5 (17%)	9 (4-21)	7 (9%)	1 (1-3)	19 (14%)	7 (5-11)	-	-
Shoulder	29 (9%)	3 (2-4)	3 (9%)	8 (2-23)	1 (7%)	3 (0-22)	-	-	4 (13%)	7 (3-19)	3 (4%)	1 (0-2)	17 (13%)	6 (4-10)	1 (11%)	3 (0-19)
<b>Type</b>																
Muscle/ Tendon	77 (24%)	7 (6-9)	6 (18%)	15 (7-34)	1 (7%)	3 (0-22)	8 (40%)	9 (4-17)	4 (13%)	7 (3-19)	29 (36%)	6 (4-8)	26 (19%)	10 (7-14)	3 (33%)	8 (3-25)
Ligament/ Joint	104 (32%)	10 (8-12)	12 (35%)	30 (17-53)	11 (79%)	34 (19-61)	4 (20%)	4 (2-11)	5 (17%)	9 (4-21)	29 (36%)	6 (4-8)	43 (32%)	16 (12-22)	-	-
CNS/PNS	79 (25%)	8 (6-10)	9 (26%)	23 (12-44)	-	-	4 (20%)	4 (2-11)	15 (50%)	27 (16-44)	8 (10%)	2 (1-3)	39 (29%)	15 (11-20)	4 (44%)	11 (4-28)
Contusion/ Laceration/ Bruising	45 (14%)	4 (3-6)	6 (18%)	15 (7-34)	2 (14%)	6 (2-24)	4 (20%)	4 (2-11)	2 (7%)	4 (1-14)	10 (13%)	2 (1-4)	21 (16%)	8 (5-12)	-	-
Bone/fracture	17 (5%)	2 (1-3)	1 (3%)	3 (0-18)	-	-	-	-	4 (13%)	7 (3-19)	4 (5%)	1 (0-2)	6 (4%)	2 (1-5)	2 (22%)	5 (1-21)
<b>Injury Severity</b>																
1-7 days	50 (16%)	5 (4-6)	1 (3%)	3 (0-18)	3 (21%)	9 (3-28)	6 (30%)	6 (3-14)	1 (3%)	5 (2-17)	11 (15%)	2 (1-4)	30 (22%)	11 (8-16)	1 (11%)	3 (0-19)
8-28 days	123 (38%)	12 (10-14)	8 (24%)	20 (10-40)	7 (50%)	21 (10-45)	10 (50%)	11 (6-20)	7 (23%)	12 (6-26)	3 (39%)	6 (4-9)	49 (36%)	19 (14-25)	5 (56%)	13 (5-32)
>28 days	111 (35%)	11 (9-13)	13 (38%)	33 (19-57)	3 (21%)	9 (3-28)	3 (15%)	3 (1-10)	1 (3%)	5 (2-16)	22 (28%)	4 (3-7)	53 (39%)	20 (15-26)	3 (33%)	8 (3-25)

Unknown	34 (11%)	3 (2-5)	12 (35%)	30 (17-53)	1 (7%)	3 (0-22)	1 (5%)	1 (0-8)	1 (3%)	2 (0-13)	15 (19%)	3 (2-5)	3 (2%)	1 (0-4)	-	-
---------	-------------	------------	-------------	---------------	-----------	-------------	-----------	------------	-----------	-------------	-------------	------------	-----------	------------	---	---


Author version



**Figure 1.** Mechanism of injury per sport (%).

Note: American Football (AF), basketball (BB), female soccer (FS), female rugby union (F RU), male soccer (MS), male rugby union (M RU), rugby league (RL).

Author



**Figure 2.** Injury risk matrix showing the injury burden (incidence/1000h and days lost, with 95% CIs) per sport. Contours represent equal burden along the line.

Note: American Football (AF), basketball (BB), female soccer (FS), female rugby union (F RU), male soccer (MS), male rugby union (M RU), rugby league (RL).