



Citation for published version:

Sankaran, G, Kasparian, A & Beshkov, P 2020, 'Saturated and primitive smooth compactifications of ball quotients', *Annual of Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski". Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics*, vol. 106, pp. 53-77. <<https://www.fmi.uni-sofia.bg/sites/default/files/biblio/fulltext/106-053-077.pdf>>

Publication date:
2020

[Link to publication](#)

University of Bath

Alternative formats

If you require this document in an alternative format, please contact:
openaccess@bath.ac.uk

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Saturated and primitive smooth compactifications of ball quotients

P. G. Beshkov, A. K. Kasparian,^{*} and G. K. Sankaran[†]

Let $X_i = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$, $1 \leq i \leq 2$ be smooth toroidal compactifications of quotients \mathbb{B}/Γ_i of the complex 2-ball

$$\mathbb{B} = \{(z_1, z_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \mid |z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 < 1\} = \text{PSU}_{2,1}/\text{PS}(U_2 \times U_1)$$

by lattices $\Gamma < PU(2, 1)$, $D^{(i)} := X_i \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_i)$ be the toroidal compactifying divisors and $\rho_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ be compositions of blow downs with exceptional divisors $E(\rho_i)$ onto minimal surfaces Y_i . The present note establishes a bijective correspondence between the unramified coverings $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ of degree d , which restrict to unramified coverings $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$, $f : E(\rho_2) \rightarrow E(\rho_1)$ of degree d and the unramified coverings $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ of degree d of the corresponding minimal models, which restrict to unramified coverings $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$, $\varphi : \rho_2(E(\rho_2)) \rightarrow \rho_1(E(\rho_1))$ of degree d . The aforementioned covering relations among X_i define an artinian partial order \succcurlyeq on the set \mathcal{S} of the smooth toroidal compactifications $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$. The maximal elements with respect to \succcurlyeq are called saturated and the minimal elements with respect to \succcurlyeq are said to be primitive. Our considerations reduce the study of $X \in \mathcal{S}$ to the study of the primitive $X \in \mathcal{S}$. For an arbitrary totally ordered subset $\{X_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in A} \subset \mathcal{S}$, all the minimal models Y_α of X_α have one and a same universal cover and one and a same Kodaira dimension. We discuss the saturated and the primitive $X \in \mathcal{S}$ of non-positive Kodaira dimension. The covering relations among the smooth toroidal compactifications $(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ are studied in Uludag's [Uludag], Stover's [Stover], Di Cerbo and Stover's [DiCerboStover1] and other articles.

Here is a synopsis of the article. Let $\rho_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a composition of blow downs of a smooth projective surface X_1 onto a smooth projective surface Y_1 . The first section establishes a bijective correspondence between the unramified coverings $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ of degree d and the unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ of degree d through fibered product commutative diagrams (4) with appropriate compositions of blow downs $\rho_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$. In order to induce $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ by $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$, one observes that $\varphi\rho_2$ is the Stein factorization of the proper holomorphic map $\rho_1 f : X_2 \rightarrow Y_1$. If $D^{(i)} \subset X_i$ are (possibly reducible) divisors, which do not contain irreducible components of the exceptional divisors $E(\rho_i)$ of $\rho_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, then f is shown to restrict to an unramified covering $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$ of degree d if and only if φ restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ of degree d . In particular, if $\rho_1 : X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)' \rightarrow Y_1$ is a composition of blow downs of a smooth

^{*}Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia. Research partially supported by Contract 80-10-209/17.04.2019 with the the Scientific Foundation of Kliment Ohridski University of Sofia.

[†]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, UK (masgks@bath.ac.uk).

toroidal compactification $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ onto a minimal surface Y_1 then the unramified coverings $f : X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)' \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)' = X_1$ of degree d , which restrict to unramified coverings $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2$ of degree d are in a bijective correspondence with the unramified coverings $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ by appropriate minimal models Y_2 of X_2 . Under the aforementioned correspondence, f restricts to an unramified covering $f : D^{(2)} = X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) \rightarrow X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) = D^{(1)}$ of degree d of the corresponding compactifying divisors if and only if φ restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ of degree d . In such a way, the presence of a finite unramified cover $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ of $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ can be detected by the means of an arbitrary minimal model Y_1 of X_1 and its finite unramified covers Y_2 .

Let X_2, Y_2 be smooth projective surfaces and $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a composition of blow downs with exceptional divisors $E(\beta_i) \subset \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_r(X_2)$. The second section introduces compatibility conditions on the finite unramified coverings $f : X_2 \rightarrow f(X_2)$ or $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2)$ with ρ_2 in such a way that the existence of $f : X_2 \rightarrow f(X_2)$ to be equivalent to the existence of $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2)$. In particular, for a smooth toroidal compactification $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ with toroidal compactifying divisor $D^{(2)} := X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)$ and a composition of blow downs $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ onto a minimal surface Y_2 , there exists an unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow f(X_2) =: X_1$, which is compatible with ρ_2 and restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)$ of degree d if and only if there is an unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2) =: Y_1$ of a minimal model Y_1 of X_1 , which is compatible with ρ_2 and restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \varphi\rho_2(D^{(2)})$ of degree d . Moreover, $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ is a smooth toroidal compactification and if $\rho_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is a composition of blow downs onto Y_1 then $\varphi\rho_2(D^{(2)}) = \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ for the compactifying divisor $D^{(1)} := X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)$ of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 . A smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is primitive if there is no unramified covering $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma \rightarrow f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ of degree d and is compatible with some composition of blow downs $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a minimal surface Y . Due to the established duality between the finite unramified coverings $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ and $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of one and a same degree, the primitiveness of $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ can be detected by the properties of Y .

The last, third section studies the finite unramified Galois coverings $f : X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)' \rightarrow f(X)$ of smooth toroidal compactifications $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$, which admit a blow down $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ smooth irreducible rational (-1) -curves onto a minimal surface Y . Di Cerbo and Stover have shown in [DiCerboStover2] that the smooth toroidal compactifications $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with abelian or bi-elliptic minimal model Y have the aforementioned property. We establish that for such $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ the compatibility of the unramified coverings $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree d , restricting to unramified coverings $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ of degree d with $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ is automatic, as far as $\beta(E(\beta)) = \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ coincides with the singular locus of $\beta(D)$. The relative automorphism group $\text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) = \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D), \beta(D)^{\text{sing}})$ admits an isomorphism $\Phi : \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X, D)$ onto the relative automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X, D) = \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta))$. Let $N(\pi_1(Y))$ be the normalizer of the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$ of Y in the biholomorphism group $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Y})$ of the universal cover \tilde{Y} of Y . It is well known that the biholomorphism group $\text{Aut}(Y)$ of Y is the quotient $\text{Aut}(Y) = N(\pi_1(Y))/\pi_1(Y)$. If an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree d restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ of degree d then any $g_o \in N(\pi_1(Y)) \cap \pi_1(\varphi(Y))$ is shown to induce a biholomorphism $\bar{g}_o := g_o\pi_1(Y) : \beta(D) \rightarrow \beta(D)$ and, therefore, a factorization $f = f_o\zeta$ of the associated unramified covering $f : X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)' \rightarrow X_o =$

$(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0)'$ of $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ through the unramified Galois covering $\zeta : X \rightarrow X/\langle\Phi(g_o)\rangle$ and an unramified covering $f_o : X/\langle\Phi(g_o)\rangle \rightarrow X_0 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0)'$. In particular, for a smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with abelian minimal model Y , we establish that any unramified covering $f : X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)' \rightarrow X_0 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0)'$ of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0$ of degree d , factors through a Galois covering $X \rightarrow X/\langle g \rangle$, $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D)$, which restricts to a Galois covering $\mathbb{B}/\Gamma \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)/\langle g \rangle$. The third section discusses also the saturation and the primitiveness of the smooth toroidal compactifications $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$, as well as the smooth toroidal compactifications $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with K3 or Enriques minimal model.

1 Unramified pull back of a smooth compactification

Lemma 1. *Let M be a complex manifold and N be a complex analytic subvariety of M or an open subset of M .*

(i) *If $f : M \rightarrow f(M)$ is an unramified covering of degree d then $f : N \rightarrow f(N)$ is an unramified covering of degree d exactly when $f : M \setminus N \rightarrow f(M) \setminus f(N)$ is an unramified covering of degree d .*

(ii) *Let us suppose that $f : M \rightarrow f(M)$ is a holomorphic map onto a complex manifold, $f(N) \cap f(M \setminus N) = \emptyset$ and $f : N \rightarrow f(N)$, $f : M \setminus N \rightarrow f(M \setminus N)$ are unramified coverings of degree d . Then $f : M \rightarrow f(M)$ is an unramified covering of degree d .*

Proof. (i) Let $X := N$ or $X := M \setminus X$. Then $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ is an unramified covering of degree $\deg(f|_X) = \deg(f|M) = d$ exactly when $f^{-1}(f(X)) = X$. If so, then the intersection $f^{-1}(f(M \setminus X)) \cap X = \emptyset$ is empty, whereas $f^{-1}(f(M \setminus X)) = M \setminus X$, the union $f(M) = f(X) \amalg f(M \setminus X)$ is disjoint and $f : M \setminus X \rightarrow f(M \setminus X) = f(M) \setminus f(X)$ is an unramified covering of degree d .

(ii) The union $f(M) = f(N) \amalg f(M \setminus N)$ is disjoint, so that $f^{-1}(f(M \setminus N)) = M \setminus N$, $f^{-1}(f(N)) = N$ and $f : M \rightarrow f(M)$ is an unramified covering of degree d . □

Lemma 2. *Let $f : X \rightarrow X'$ be an unramified covering of degree d of smooth projective surfaces.*

(i) *Suppose that $D = \amalg_{j=1}^k D_j$ is a divisor on X with disjoint smooth irreducible components D_j and f restricts to an unramified covering $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$ of degree d . Then $f(D) = \cup_{j=1}^k f(D_j)$ has smooth irreducible components $f(D_j)$, f restricts to unramified coverings $f : D_j \rightarrow f(D_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $f(D_i) \cap f(D_j) = \emptyset$ for $f(D_i) \neq f(D_j)$.*

In particular, D_j are smooth elliptic curves of and only if $f(D_j)$ are smooth elliptic curves.

(ii) *If C' is a smooth irreducible rational curve on X' then the complete preimage $f^{-1}(C') = \amalg_{i=1}^d C_i$ consists of d disjoint smooth irreducible rational curves C_i and f restricts to isomorphisms $f : C_i \rightarrow C'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$.*

Proof. (i) The unramified covering $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$ is a local biholomorphism, so that $f(D)$ is a smooth divisor on X' . Thus, all the irreducible components $f(D_j)$ of $f(D)$ are smooth

curves and $f(D_i) \cap f(D_j) \neq \emptyset$ requires $f(D_i) \equiv f(D_j)$. For any $1 \leq i \leq k$ let $J(i)$ be the set of those $1 \leq j \leq k$, for which $f(D_j) \equiv f(D_i)$. Then there exists a subset $I \subseteq \{1, \dots, k\}$ with $\coprod_{i \in I} J(i) = \{1, \dots, k\}$ and $f(D) = \coprod_{i \in I} f(D_i)$. By the very definition of $J(i)$, there holds the inclusion $\coprod_{j \in J(i)} D_j \subseteq f^{-1}(f(D_i))$. Since f restricts to an unramified covering $f : D \rightarrow f(D)$ of degree d , any $p \in f^{-1}(f(D_i))$ belongs to D_s for some $1 \leq s \leq k$. Then $f(p) \in f(D_i)$ specified that $s \in J(i)$, whereas $f^{-1}(f(D_i)) \subseteq \coprod_{j \in J(i)} D_j$ and $f^{-1}(f(D_i)) = \coprod_{j \in J(i)} D_j$. Thus, for any $i \in I$ the morphism f restricts to an unramified covering $f : \coprod_{j \in J(i)} D_j \rightarrow f(D_i)$ of degree d . By definition, any $f(p) \in f(D_i)$ with $p \in \coprod_{j \in J(i)} D_j$ has a trivializing neighborhood U on $f(D_i)$, whose pull back $f^{-1}(U) = \coprod_{q \in f^{-1}(p)} V_q$ is a disjoint union of neighborhoods V_q of $q \in f^{-1}(p)$ on $\coprod_{j \in J(i)} D_j$ with biholomorphic restrictions $f : V_q \rightarrow U$. For a sufficiently small U one can assume that $V_q \subset D_j$ for $q \in D_j$. That is why f restricts to unramified coverings $f : D_j \rightarrow f(D_j) = f(D_i)$. In particular, D_j are smooth elliptic curves exactly when $f(D_j)$ are smooth elliptic curves.

(ii) Let $f^{-1}(C') = \sum_{i=1}^k C_i$ be a union of k irreducible curves C_i , $d_i := \deg[f|_{C_i} : C_i \rightarrow C']$ and $\text{Br}(f|_{C_i}) := \{q \in C' \mid |f^{-1}(q) \cap C_i| < d_i\}$ be the branch locus of $f|_{C_i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$. Any $\text{Br}(f|_{C_i})$ is a finite set, as well as the intersection $\cup_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} C_i \cap C_j$ of different irreducible components, so that

$$\Sigma := \left[\cup_{i=1}^k \text{Br}(f|_{C_i}) \right] \cup \left[\cup_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} f(C_i \cap C_j) \right]$$

is a finite subset of C' . For any $q \in C' \setminus \Sigma$ one has $f^{-1}(q) = \coprod_{i=1}^k f^{-1}(q) \cap C_i$, whereas

$$d = |f^{-1}(q)| = \sum_{i=1}^k |f^{-1}(q) \cap C_i| = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i.$$

If $q_j \in \text{Br}(f|_{C_j})$ then $f^{-1}(q_j) = \cup_{i=1}^k f^{-1}(q_j) \cap C_i$ with $|f^{-1}(q_j) \cap C_j| < d_j$, so that

$$d = |f^{-1}(q_j)| \leq \sum_{i=1}^k |f^{-1}(q_j) \cap C_i| < \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = d.$$

This is an absurd, justifying $\text{Br}(f|_{C_j}) = \emptyset$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. Similarly, for any $p \in C_i \cap C_j$ there holds

$$d = |f^{-1}(p)| < \sum_{i=1}^k |f^{-1}(p) \cap C_i| = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = d.$$

The contradiction shows that the irreducible components C_i of $f^{-1}(C')$ are disjoint. The unramified coverings $f|_{C_i} : C_i \rightarrow C'$ of the smooth irreducible rational curve C' are of degree $d_i = 1$, due to $\pi_1(C') = \{1\}$. Therefore $d = \sum_{i=1}^k d_i = k$ and $f^{-1}(C') = \coprod_{i=1}^k C_i$ consists of d

disjoint smooth irreducible rational curves with biholomorphic restrictions $f|_{C_i} : C_i \rightarrow C'$ for all $1 \leq i \leq d$. □

A (-1) -curve L_i on a smooth projective surface Y is a smooth irreducible rational curve with self-intersection $L_i^2 = -1$. Throughout, we say that a smooth projective surface Y is minimal if it does not contain a (-1) -curve. This is slightly different from the contemporary viewpoint of the Minimal Model Program, which considers a smooth projective surface Y to be minimal if its canonical divisor K_Y is nef (i.e., $K_Y \cdot C \geq 0$ for all effective curves $C \subset Y$). The numerical effectiveness of K_Y excludes the existence of (-1) -curves on Y . If Y is of Kodaira dimension $\kappa(Y) = -\infty$ then K_Y is not nef, regardless of the presence of (-1) -curves on Y . That is the reason for exploiting the older, out of date notion of minimality of a smooth projective surface, which requires the non-existence of (-1) -curves on Y . By a theorem of Castelnuovo (Theorem V.5.7 [Ha]), for any smooth irreducible projective surface X there is a birational morphism $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a minimal smooth projective surface Y , which is a composition of blow downs of (-1) -curves. If X is of Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \geq 0$ then the minimal model Y of X is unique (up to an isomorphism). This is no more true when X is birational to a rational or a ruled surface.

Lemma 3. (i) Let $\text{Bl} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a blow down of a (-1) -curve $L_1 \subset X_1$ and $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ be an unramified covering of degree d . Then the fibered product commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_2 := X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\beta} & Y_2 \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ X_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}} & Y_1 \end{array} \quad (1)$$

consists of an unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ of degree d and the blow down $\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ of the disjoint union $f^{-1}(L_1) = \coprod_{j=1}^d L_{1,j}$ of the (-1) -curves $L_{1,j}$.

(ii) Let $\rho_1 : \text{Bl}_1 \dots \text{Bl}_{r-1} \text{Bl}_r : T_r := X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 =: T_0$ be a composition of blow downs $\text{Bl}_i : T_i \rightarrow T_{i-1}$ of (-1) -curves $L_i \subset T_i$ and $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ be an unramified covering of degree d . Then the fibered product commutative diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_i := T_i \times_{T_{i-1}} S_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & S_{i-1} \\ \downarrow \varphi_i & & \downarrow \varphi_{i-1} \\ T_i & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_i} & T_{i-1} \end{array} \quad (2)$$

fit into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S_r & \dots S_i := T_i \times_{T_{i-1}} S_{i-1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & S_{i-1} & \dots S_0 := Y_2 \\ \downarrow f & \downarrow \varphi_i & & \downarrow \varphi_{i-1} & \downarrow \varphi = \varphi_0 \\ T_r := X & \dots T_i & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_i} & T_{i-1} & \dots T_0 := Y_1 \end{array} \quad (3)$$

and induce a fibered product commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
X_2 = X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2 & \xrightarrow{\rho_2} & Y_2 \\
f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\
X_1 & \xrightarrow{\rho_1} & Y_1
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

with an unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ of degree d and a composition $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_{r-1} \beta_r : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ of blow downs of $\varphi_i^{-1}(L_i) = \coprod_{j=1}^d L_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Proof. (i) By the very definition of a blow down $\text{Bl} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ of L_1 to $\text{Bl}(L_1) = q_1 \in Y_1$, one has $X_1 \setminus L_1 = Y_1 \setminus \{q_1\}$. Then

$$X_2 := X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2 = [(X_1 \setminus L_1) \times_{Y_1} Y_2] \coprod [L_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2]$$

decomposes into the disjoint union of

$$(X_1 \setminus L_1) \times_{Y_1} Y_2 = \{(x_1, y_2) \mid x_1 = \text{Bl}(x_1) = \varphi(y_2)\} \simeq Y_2 \setminus \varphi^{-1}(q_1) \quad \text{and}$$

$$L_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2 = \{(x_1, y_2) \mid q_1 = \text{Bl}(x_1) = \varphi(y_2)\} = L_1 \times \varphi^{-1}(q_1).$$

If $\varphi^{-1}(q_1) = \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$ then X_2 is the blow up of Y_2 at $\{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$. Due to $\text{Bl}f = \varphi\beta$, the exceptional divisor of β is $\beta^{-1}(\{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}) = \beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(q_1) = (\varphi\beta)^{-1}(q_1) = (\text{Bl}f)^{-1}(q_1) = f^{-1}\text{Bl}^{-1}(q_1) = f^{-1}(L_1) = \coprod_{j=1}^d L_{1,j}$. According to Corollary 17.7.3 (i) from Grothendieck's [Groth4], $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ is an unramified covering, since $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an unramified covering.

(ii) By an increasing induction on $1 \leq i \leq r$, one applies (i) to the fibered product commutative diagrams (2) and justifies (ii). □

Lemma 4. (i) In the notations from Lemma 3 (i) and the fibered product commutative diagram (1), let $D^{(2)}$ be a (possibly reducible) divisor on X_2 , which does not contain an irreducible component of the exceptional divisor of β and $D^{(1)}$ be a (possibly reducible) divisor on X_1 , which does not contain the exceptional divisor L_1 of Bl . Then the restriction $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$ is an unramified covering of degree $d = \deg[f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1]$ if and only if $\varphi : \beta(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \text{Bl}(D^{(1)})$ is an unramified covering of degree d .

(ii) In the notations from Lemma 3 (ii) and the fibered product commutative diagram (4), let $D^{(2)}$ be a (possibly reducible) divisor on X_2 , which does not contain an irreducible component of the exceptional divisor of ρ_2 and $D^{(1)}$ be a (possibly reducible) divisor on X_1 , which does not contain an irreducible component of the exceptional divisor of ρ_1 . Then the restriction $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$ is an unramified covering of degree d if and only if the restriction $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ is an unramified covering of degree d .

Proof. (i) If $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$ is an unramified covering of degree d then $f^{-1}(D^{(1)} \cap L_1) = f^{-1}(D^{(1)}) \cap f^{-1}(L_1) = D^{(2)} \cap f^{-1}(L_1)$ and the restriction $f : D^{(1)} \cap f^{-1}(L_1) \rightarrow D^{(1)} \cap L_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d . After denoting $f^{-1}(L_1) = \coprod_{j=1}^d L_{1,j}$, $\beta(L_{1,j}) = p_{1,j}$ and $\text{Bl}(L_1) = q_1$, one applies Lemma 1 (i), in order to conclude that

$$\varphi \equiv f : \beta(D^{(2)}) \setminus \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\} \equiv D^{(2)} \setminus f^{-1}(L_1) \longrightarrow D^{(1)} \setminus L_1 \equiv \text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \setminus \{q_1\}$$

is an unramified covering of degree d . Now, φ restricts to $\varphi : \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\} \rightarrow \{q_1\}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi : \beta(D^{(2)}) &= \beta(D^{(2)}) \setminus \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\} \coprod \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\} \longrightarrow \\ &\longrightarrow \left[\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \setminus \{q_1\} \right] \coprod \{q_1\} = \text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \end{aligned}$$

is an unramified covering of degree d by Lemma 1 (ii).

Conversely, assume that $\varphi : \beta(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \text{Bl}(D^{(1)})$ is an unramified covering of degree d . Choose a sufficiently small neighborhood V of $q_1 = \text{Bl}(L_1)$ on Y_1 , such that $\varphi^{-1}(V) = \coprod_{j=1}^d U_j$ is a disjoint union of neighborhoods U_j of $p_{1,j}$, $1 \leq j \leq d$ on Y_2 with biholomorphic restrictions $\varphi : U_j \rightarrow V$ of φ . Bearing in mind that $\text{Bl}_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is the blow up of Y_1 at q_1 , one decomposes

$$\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) = \left[\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \setminus V \right] \coprod \left[\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$D^{(1)} = \left[\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \setminus V \right] \coprod \text{Bl}^{-1}(\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V).$$

Similarly, $\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ is the blow up of Y_2 at $\varphi^{-1}(q_1) = \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$, so that there are decompositions

$$\beta(D^{(2)}) = \left[\beta(D^{(2)}) \setminus \varphi^{-1}(V) \right] \coprod \left[\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V) \right] \quad \text{and}$$

$$D^{(2)} = \left[\beta(D^{(2)}) \setminus \varphi^{-1}(V) \right] \coprod \beta^{-1}(\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V)).$$

According to $\varphi^{-1}(\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V) = \varphi^{-1}(\text{Bl}(D^{(1)})) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V) = \beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V)$, the restriction $\varphi : \beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V) \rightarrow \text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V$ is an unramified covering of degree d . Now, Lemma 1 (ii) applies to provide that

$$f \equiv \varphi : \beta(D^{(2)}) \setminus \varphi^{-1}(V) \longrightarrow \text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \setminus V$$

is an unramified covering of degree d . According to Lemma 1 (ii), it sufficed to show that

$$f : \beta^{-1}(\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V)) \longrightarrow \text{Bl}^{-1}(\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V)$$

is an unramified covering of degree d , in order to conclude that $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$ is an unramified covering of degree d . To this end, note that

$$\varphi^{-1}(\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V) = \beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V) = \beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \left(\coprod_{j=1}^d U_j \right) = \coprod_{j=1}^d \left[\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap U_j \right],$$

so that

$$\varphi : \prod_{j=1}^d \left[\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap U_j \right] \longrightarrow \text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V$$

is an unramified covering of degree d . Therefore, the biholomorphisms $\varphi : U_j \rightarrow V$ restrict to biholomorphisms $\varphi : \beta(D^{(2)}) \cap U_j \rightarrow \text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V$. According to $\varphi(p_{1,j}) = q_1$, there arise biholomorphisms

$$\varphi : (\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap U_j) \setminus \{p_{1,j}\} \longrightarrow (\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V) \setminus \{q_1\}.$$

By the very definition of a blow up at a point, these induce biholomorphisms

$$f : \left[(\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap U_j) \setminus \{p_{1,j}\} \right] \prod L_{1,j} \longrightarrow \left[(\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V) \setminus \{q_1\} \right] \prod L_1$$

for all $1 \leq j \leq d$. Bearing in mind that

$$\prod_{j=1}^d \left\{ \left[(\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap U_j) \setminus \{p_{1,j}\} \right] \prod L_{1,j} \right\} = \beta^{-1}(\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V)),$$

one concludes that φ induces an unramified covering

$$f : \beta^{-1}(\beta(D^{(2)}) \cap \varphi^{-1}(V)) \longrightarrow \text{Bl}^{-1}(\text{Bl}(D^{(1)}) \cap V)$$

of degree d .

(ii) Along the commutative diagram (3), if $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$ is an unramified covering of degree d then by a decreasing induction on $r \geq i \geq 1$ and making use of (i), one observes that $\varphi_i : \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_r(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{i+1} \dots \text{Bl}_r(D^{(1)})$ is an unramified covering of degree d , whereas $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ is an unramified covering of degree d . Conversely, suppose that $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ is an unramified covering of degree d . Then by an increasing induction on $1 \leq i \leq r$ and making use of (i), one concludes that

$$\varphi_i : \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_r(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \text{Bl}_{i+1} \dots \text{Bl}_r(D^{(1)})$$

is an unramified covering of degree d . As a result, $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow D^{(1)}$ is an unramified covering of degree d . □

Corollary 5. *Let $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)$ be a smooth toroidal compactification, $\rho_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a composition of blow downs onto a minimal surface Y_1 , $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ be an unramified covering of degree d and (4) be the defining commutative diagram of the fibered product $X_2 = X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$. Then:*

(i) *there is a subgroup Γ_2 of Γ_1 of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$, such that $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ is the toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_2 ;*

(ii) *$f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ restricts to unramified coverings $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$, respectively, $f : D^{(2)} := X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) \rightarrow X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) =: D^{(1)}$ of degree d ;*

(iii) *the composition $\rho_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ of blow downs maps onto a minimal surface Y_2 ;*

(iv) *φ restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ of degree d .*

Proof. By Lemma 3 (ii), the fibered product diagram (4) consists of an unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ of degree d and a composition $\rho_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ of blow downs. The surface Y_2 is minimal. Otherwise any (-1) -curve L'_i on Y_2 maps isomorphically onto a (-1) -curve $\varphi(L'_i) \subset Y_1$, according to Lemma 2 (ii). That contradicts the minimality of Y_1 and shows the minimality of Y_2 .

The unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ of degree d restricts to an unramified covering $f : f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$ of degree d . The smoothness of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 excludes the existence of isolated branch points of the Γ_1 -Galois covering $\zeta_1 : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$. However, ζ_1 can ramify along divisors and \mathbb{B} is not the usual universal cover of the complex manifold \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 . Nevertheless, \mathbb{B} is the orbifold universal cover of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 and the orbifold universal covering map $\zeta_1 : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$ factors through a (possibly ramified) covering $\zeta_2 : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)$ and the covering $f : f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$, i.e., $\zeta_1 = f\zeta_2$. Since $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{B}) = \{1\}$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_2 := \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1))$, the covering ζ_2 is Galois and its Galois group Γ_2 is a subgroup of $\Gamma_1 = \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)$ of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$. In particular, $f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) = \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2$. By Lemma 1 (i), f restricts to an unramified covering $f : D^{(2)} := X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) \rightarrow X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) =: D^{(1)}$ of degree d of the toroidal compactifying divisor $D^{(1)} = \coprod_{j=1}^k D_j^{(1)}$ of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 . Note that for any $1 \leq j \leq k$ the restriction $f : f^{-1}(D_j^{(1)}) \rightarrow D_j^{(1)}$ is an unramified covering of degree d , whereas a local biholomorphism. Therefore $f^{-1}(D_j^{(1)}) = \cup_{i=1}^{r_j} D_{j,i}^{(2)}$ is smooth and has disjoint smooth irreducible components $D_{j,i}^{(2)}$. As a result,

$$D^{(2)} = f^{-1}(D^{(1)}) = \prod_{j=1}^k f^{-1}(D_j^{(1)}) = \prod_{j=1}^k \prod_{i=1}^{r_j} D_{j,i}^{(2)}$$

has disjoint smooth irreducible components $D_{j,i}^{(2)}$. By assumption, $D_j^{(1)}$ are smooth elliptic curves, so that all $D_{j,i}^{(2)}$ are smooth elliptic curves by Lemma 2 (i). That is why, $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ is the toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_2 . According to Lemma 4 (ii), $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ of degree d . □

Lemma 6. (i) Let $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be an unramified covering of degree d of smooth projective surfaces and $\text{Bl} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a blow down of a (-1) -curve $L_1 \subset X_1$. Then the Stein factorization $\varphi\beta$ of $\text{Bl}f$ consists of the blow down $\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ of $f^{-1}(L_1) = \prod_{j=1}^d L_{1,j}$ and an unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ of degree d , so that $X_2 = X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$ is the fibered product of X_1 and Y_2 over Y_1 .

(ii) Let $\rho_1 = \text{Bl}_1 \dots \text{Bl}_r : T_r := X_1 \rightarrow Y_1 =: T_0$ be a composition of blow downs of (-1) -curves $L_i \subset T_i$ and $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be an unramified covering of degree d . Then the Stein factorization $\varphi\rho_2$ of $\rho_1 f : X_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ closes the fibered product commutative diagram (4) with the composition $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : S_r := X_2 \rightarrow Y_2 =: S_0$ of the blow downs $\beta_i : S_i \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ of $\varphi_i^{-1}(L_i) = \prod_{j=1}^d L_{i,j}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and an unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ of degree d .

Proof. (i) If $\text{Bl}f = \varphi\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is the Stein factorization of $\text{Bl}f$ and $q_1 := \text{Bl}(L_1)$

then $(\text{Bl}f)^{-1}(q_1) = f^{-1}\text{Bl}^{-1}(q_1) = f^{-1}(L_1) = \coprod_{j=1}^d L_{1,j}$ has irreducible components $L_{1,j}$

by Lemma ???. For any $q \in Y_1 \setminus \{q_1\}$ one has $(\text{Bl}f)^{-1}(q) = f^{-1}\text{Bl}^{-1}(q) = f^{-1}(q)$ of cardinality $|f^{-1}(q)| = d$. Therefore, the surjective morphism $\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ with connected fibres is the blow down of $L_{1,j}$, $\forall 1 \leq j \leq d$. According to Lemma 1 (i), the restriction $f : X_2 \setminus f^{-1}(L_1) \rightarrow X_1 \setminus L_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d , since $f : f^{-1}(L_1) \rightarrow L_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d . In such a way, there arises a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_2 \setminus f^{-1}(L_1) & \xrightarrow{\beta=\text{Id}} & Y_2 \setminus \beta f^{-1}(L_1) \\ f \downarrow & & \varphi \downarrow \\ X_1 \setminus L_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}=\text{Id}} & Y_1 \setminus \{q_1\} \end{array}$$

and $\varphi : Y_2 \setminus \beta f^{-1}(L_1) \rightarrow Y_1 \setminus \{q_1\}$ is an unramified covering of degree d . If $p_{1,j} := \beta(L_{1,j})$ then $\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(q_1) = (\varphi\beta)^{-1}(q_1) = (\text{Bl}f)^{-1}(q_1) = \coprod_{j=1}^d L_{1,j}$ reveals that $\varphi^{-1}(q_1) = \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$ consists of d points and $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d . By Lemma 3 (i), the fibered product $X'_2 := X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$ is the blow up of Y_2 at $\varphi^{-1}(q_1) = \{p_{1,j} \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$, so that $X'_2 = X_2$.

According to Grothendieck's Corollary 17.7.3 (i) from [Groth4], it suffices to show that $X'_2 = X_2$, in order to conclude that $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d . We have justified straightforwardly that $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d , in order to use it towards the coincidence of X_2 with the fibered product $X'_2 := X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$.

(ii) is an immediate consequence of the fact that the composition of morphisms with connected fibres has connected fibres. □

Corollary 7. *Let $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ be an unramified covering of degree d of a smooth toroidal compactification $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$, $\rho_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ be a composition of blow downs onto a minimal surface Y_1 and $D^{(1)} := X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)$ be the toroidal compactifying divisor of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 . Then:*

(i) *there exist a composition $\rho_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ of blow downs onto a minimal surface Y_2 and an unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ of degree d , which exhibits $X_2 = X_1 \times_{Y_1} Y_2$ as a fibered product of X_1 and Y_2 over Y_1 ;*

(ii) *there is a subgroup $\Gamma_2 < \Gamma_1$ of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$, such that $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ is the toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_2 and f restricts to unramified coverings $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$, $f : D^{(2)} := X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) \rightarrow X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) =: D^{(1)}$ of degree d ;*

(iii) *φ restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ of degree d .*

Proof. (i) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 6 (ii) and the fact that any inramified cover Y_2 of a minimal surface Y_1 is minimal.

(ii) The unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ of degree d restricts to an unramified covering $f : f^{-1}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$ of degree d . As in the proof of Corollary 5, there is a subgroup $\Gamma_2 < \Gamma_1$ of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$, such that $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ is the

toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_2 and f restricts to unramified coverings $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$, $f : D^{(2)} := X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) \rightarrow X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) =: D^{(1)}$ of degree d .

(iii) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 4 (ii). □

Definition 8. A smooth toroidal compactification $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ is saturated if there is no unramified covering $f : X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)' \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)' = X_1$ of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$ of degree d .

Bearing in mind that the fundamental group of a smooth projective variety is a birational invariant, one combines Corollary 5 with Corollary 7 and obtains the following

Corollary 9. A smooth toroidal compactification $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ is saturated if and only if one and, therefore, any minimal model Y_1 of X_1 is simply connected.

2 Unramified push forward of a smooth compactification

Let X_2 be a smooth projective surface, $\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a blow down with exceptional divisor $E(\beta) = \coprod_{s=1}^d L_{1,s}$ and $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ be an unramified covering of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering $f : E(\beta) \rightarrow f(E(\beta))$ of degree d . According to Lemma 2 (ii), $L_1 := f(E(\beta))$ is a (-1) -curve on X_1 . Then Lemma 6 (i) implies that there is a fibered product commutative diagram (1) with the blow down $\text{Bl} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ of L_1 and an unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ of degree d , which shrinks $\beta(E(\beta)) = \{p_{1,j} := \beta(L_{1,j}) \mid 1 \leq j \leq d\}$ to a point $q_1 \in Y_1$. We say that φ is induced by f .

Suppose that $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : S_r := X_2 \rightarrow Y_2 =: S_0$ is a composition of blow downs

$$\beta_i : S_i := \beta_{i+1} \dots \beta_r(S_r) \longrightarrow S_{i-1} := \beta_i \dots \beta_r(S_r) \quad (5)$$

with exceptional divisors $E(\beta_i) = \coprod_{s=1}^d L_{i,s}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. By a decreasing induction on $r \geq i \geq 1$, let us assume that there is a fibered product commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_r & \xrightarrow{\beta_r} & S_{r-1} & & \dots & S_{i+1} & \xrightarrow{\beta_{i+1}} & S_i \\ f=\varphi_r \downarrow & & \varphi_{r-1} \downarrow & & & \varphi_{i+1} \downarrow & & \varphi_i \downarrow \\ f(S_r) & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_r} & \varphi_{r-1}(S_{r-1}) & & \dots & \varphi_{i+1}(S_{i+1}) & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_{i+1}} & \varphi_i(S_i) \end{array}$$

with fibered product squares $\text{Bl}_j \varphi_j = \varphi_{j-1} \beta_j$, such that φ_j restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi_j : E(\beta_j) \rightarrow L_j := \varphi_j(E(\beta_j))$ of degree d and φ_{j-1} shrinks the set $\beta_j(E(\beta_j)) = \{p_{j,s} := \beta_j(L_{j,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\}$ to a point $q_j \in \varphi_{j-1}(S_{j-1})$ for all $r \geq j \geq i+1$. If $\varphi_i : S_i \rightarrow \varphi_i(S_i)$ restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi_i : E(\beta_i) \rightarrow L_i := \varphi_i(E(\beta_i))$ of degree d then there is an unramified covering $\varphi_{i-1} : S_{i-1} \rightarrow \varphi_{i-1}(S_{i-1})$ of degree d , which shrinks $\beta_i(E(\beta_i)) = \{p_{i,s} := \beta_i(L_{i,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\}$ to a point $q_i \in S_{i-1}$ and closes the fibered product commutative diagram $\varphi_{i-1} \beta_i = \text{Bl}_i \varphi_i$. Thus, if an unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$

of degree d induces unramified coverings $E(\beta_i) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{i,s} \rightarrow L_i$ of degree d for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ then there is an unramified covering $\varphi := \varphi_0 : Y_2 = S_0 \rightarrow \varphi_0(S_0) =: Y_1$ of degree d , which induces unramified coverings $\beta_i(E(\beta_i)) = \{p_{i,s} := \beta_i(L_{i,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\} \rightarrow \{q_i\} \subset \varphi_{i-1}(S_{i-1})$ of degree d for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.

Conversely, assume that Y_2 is a smooth projective surface, $\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ is a blow down with exceptional divisor $E(\beta) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{1,s}$ and $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d , which shrinks $\beta(E(\beta)) = \{p_{1,s} = \beta(L_{1,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\}$ to a point $q_1 \in Y_1$. According to Lemma 3 (i), there is a fibered product commutative diagram (1), where $\text{Bl} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ is the blow up of Y_1 at $q_1 \in Y_1$ and $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering $f : E(\beta) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{1,s} \rightarrow L_1 := \text{Bl}^{-1}(q_1)$ of degree d . Let $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : S_r := X_2 \rightarrow Y_2 =: S_0$ be a composition of blow downs (5) with exceptional divisors $E(\beta_i) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{i,s}$. By an increasing induction on $1 \leq i \leq r$, suppose that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S_i & \xrightarrow{\beta_i} & S_{i-1} & & \dots S_1 & \xrightarrow{\beta_1} & S_0 = Y_2 \\ \varphi_i \downarrow & & \varphi_{i-1} \downarrow & & \varphi_1 \downarrow & & \varphi = \varphi_0 \downarrow \\ \varphi_i(S_i) & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_i} & \varphi_{i-1}(S_{i-1}) & & \dots \varphi_1(S_1) & \xrightarrow{\text{Bl}_1} & \varphi(Y_2) \end{array}$$

is a fibered product commutative diagram with fibered product squares $\varphi_{j-1}\beta_j = \text{Bl}_j\varphi_j$, such that φ_{j-1} restricts to an unramified covering

$$\varphi_{j-1} : \beta_j(E(\beta_j)) = \{p_{j,s} := \beta_j(L_{j,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\} \longrightarrow \{q_j\} \subset \varphi_{j-1}(S_{j-1})$$

of degree d and φ_j restricts to an unramified covering

$$\varphi_j : E(\beta_j) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{j,s} \longrightarrow \varphi_j(E(\beta_j)) =: L_j$$

of degree d for all $1 \leq j \leq i$. If φ_i restricts to an unramified covering

$$\varphi_i : \beta_{i+1}(E(\beta_{i+1})) = \{p_{i+1,s} = \beta_{i+1}(L_{i+1,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\} \longrightarrow \{q_{i+1}\} \subset \varphi_i(S_i)$$

of degree d then there is an unramified covering

$$\varphi_{i+1} : S_{i+1} \longrightarrow \varphi_{i+1}(S_{i+1})$$

of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering

$$\varphi_{i+1} : E(\beta_{i+1}) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{i+1,s} \longrightarrow L_{i+1} := \varphi_{i+1}(E(\beta_{i+1}))$$

of degree d and closes the fibered product commutative diagram $\varphi_i \beta_{i+1} = \text{Bl}_{i+1} \varphi_{i+1}$ with the blow down $\text{Bl}_{i+1} : \varphi_{i+1}(S_{i+1}) \rightarrow \varphi_i(S_i)$ of L_{i+1} . In such a way, if $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is an unramified covering of degree d , which induces unramified coverings

$$\beta_i(E(\beta_i)) = \{p_{i,s} := \beta_i(L_{i,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\} \longrightarrow \{q_i\} \subset \varphi_{i-1}(S_{i-1})$$

of degree d for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ then $f := \varphi_r : X_2 \rightarrow f(X_2)$ is an unramified covering of degree d , which induces unramified coverings $E(\beta_i) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{i,s} \rightarrow L_i$ of degree d for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. The above considerations justify the following

Lemma-Definition 10. *Let X_2, Y_2 be smooth projective surfaces and*

$$\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : S_r := X_2 \longrightarrow Y_2 =: S_0$$

be a composition of blow downs (5) with exceptional divisors $E(\beta_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$. Then the following are equivalent:

(i) *there is an unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow f(X_2)$ of degree d , which induces unramified coverings $E(\beta_i) = \prod_{s=1}^d L_{i,s} \rightarrow L_i$ of degree d for all $1 \leq i \leq r$;*

(ii) *there is an unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2)$ of degree d , which induces unramified coverings $\beta_i(E(\beta_i)) = \{p_{i,s} = \beta_i(L_{i,s}) \mid 1 \leq s \leq d\} \rightarrow \{q_i\} \subset \varphi_{i-1}(S_{i-1})$ of degree d for all $1 \leq i \leq r$.*

If there holds one and, therefore, any one of the aforementioned conditions then there is a fibered product commutative diagram (4), where

$$\rho_1 = \text{Bl}_1 \dots \text{Bl}_r : X_1 := \varphi(X_2) \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2) =: Y_1$$

is the composition of blow downs Bl_i of L_i for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ and we say that $f : X_2 \rightarrow f(X_2)$ and $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2)$ are compatible with ρ .

Corollary 11. *Let $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification and $\rho_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a composition of blow downs onto a minimal surface Y_2 . If there is an unramified covering $f : X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)' \rightarrow f(X_2) =: X_1$ of degree d , which is compatible with ρ_2 and restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)$ of degree d then:*

(i) *there is a fibered product commutative diagram (4) with an unramified covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2) =: Y_1$ of degree d and a composition of blow downs $\rho_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ onto a minimal surface Y_1 ;*

(ii) *there is a lattice Γ_1 of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}) = \text{PU}(2,1)$, containing Γ_2 as a subgroup of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$ and such that $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ is the toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 ;*

(iii) *φ restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$ of degree d , where $D^{(j)} := X_j \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_j)$ are the compactifying divisors of \mathbb{B}/Γ_j , $1 \leq j \leq 2$.*

Proof. (i) is an immediate consequence of Lemma 10.

Towards (ii), let us note that the composition $f\zeta_2 : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)$ of the orbifold universal covering $\zeta_2 : \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2$ with the unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)$ is Galois, since $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{B}) = \{1\}$ is a normal subgroup of $\Gamma_1 := \pi_1^{\text{orb}}(f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2))$. Moreover, $\pi_1^{\text{orb}}(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) = \Gamma_2$ is a subgroup of Γ_1 of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$ and $f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) = \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$. By Lemma 1 (i), $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$

restricts to an unramified covering $f : D^{(2)} = X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2) \rightarrow D^{(1)} := X_1 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)$ of degree d . The toroidal compactifying divisor $D^{(2)}$ of \mathbb{B}/Γ_2 has disjoint smooth elliptic irreducible components, so that Lemma 2 (i) applies to provide that $D^{(1)}$ consists of disjoint smooth elliptic irreducible components and $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ is the toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 . According to Lemma 4 (ii), that suffices for $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ to restrict to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \rho_1(D^{(1)})$. \square

Corollary 12. *Let $X_2 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification, $D^{(2)} := X_2 \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2)$ be the compactifying divisor of \mathbb{B}/Γ_2 and $\rho_2 : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be a composition of blow downs onto a minimal surface Y_2 . If $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow \varphi(Y_2)$ is an unramified covering of degree d , which is compatible with ρ_2 and restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho_2(D^{(2)}) \rightarrow \varphi\rho_2(D^{(2)})$ of degree d then:*

(i) *there is a fibered product commutative diagram (4) with an unramified covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow f(X_2) =: X_1$ of degree d and a composition of blow downs $\rho_1 : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ onto a minimal surface Y_1 ;*

(ii) *there is a lattice Γ_1 of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}) = PU(2,1)$, containing Γ_2 as a subgroup of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$ and such that $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ is the toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 ;*

(iii) *f restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$ of degree d .*

Proof. Lemma 10 justifies (i). According to Lemma 4 (ii), f restricts to an unramified covering $f : D^{(2)} \rightarrow f(D^{(2)})$ of degree d . Then Lemma 1 (i) applies to provide that $f : X_2 \setminus D^{(2)} = \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_2 \rightarrow X_1 \setminus f(D^{(2)})$ is an unramified covering of degree d . The proof of Corollary 11 (ii) has established that this is sufficient for the existence of a lattice Γ_1 of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{B}) = PU(2,1)$, containing Γ_2 as a subgroup of index $[\Gamma_1 : \Gamma_2] = d$ and such that $X_1 \setminus f(D^{(2)}) = \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1$. That justifies (iii). By assumption, $D^{(2)}$ consists of smooth elliptic irreducible components. Therefore $f(D^{(2)})$ has smooth elliptic irreducible components and $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1) \amalg f(D^{(2)})$ is the toroidal compactification of \mathbb{B}/Γ_1 . \square

Definition 13. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification. If there is no unramified covering $f : X \rightarrow f(X)$ of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma \rightarrow f(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ of degree d and is compatible with some composition of blow downs $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a minimal surface Y , we say that $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is primitive.*

The Euler characteristic of a smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is a natural number $e(X) = e(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$. That is why, there exists a primitive smooth toroidal compactification $X_0 = \overline{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0}$ and a finite sequence

$$X_n := X \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n-1} \quad \dots \quad X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X_{i-1} \dots \quad X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0$$

of unramified coverings $f_i : X_i = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_i)' \rightarrow (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_{i-1})' = X_{i-1}$ of degree d_i of smooth toroidal compactifications $X_j = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_j)'$, which restrict to unramified coverings $f_i : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_{i-1}$ of degree d_i and are compatible with some compositions of blow downs $\rho_i : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ onto minimal surfaces Y_i . Combining Corollary 11 with Corollary 12, one obtains the following

Corollary 14. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification with toroidal compactifying divisor $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$. Then X is primitive if and only if no one minimal model Y of X with a composition of blow downs $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ admits an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree $d > 1$, which restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \rho(D) \rightarrow \varphi\rho(D)$ of degree d and is compatible with ρ .*

Let us suppose that a smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with toroidal compactifying divisor $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ admits a blow down $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ smooth irreducible rational (-1) -curves onto a minimal surface Y and there is an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree d , which restricts to unramified coverings $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ and $\varphi : \beta(E(\beta)) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(E(\beta))$ of degree d . Then the Euler number of the smooth surface $\varphi(Y)$ is $e(\varphi(Y)) = \frac{e(Y)}{d} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the cardinality of $\varphi\beta(E(\beta))$ is $|\varphi\beta(E(\beta))| = \frac{|\beta(E(\beta))|}{d} = \frac{n}{d} \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $d \in \mathbb{N}$ divides $e(Y)$ and $n = |\beta(E(\beta))|$. As a result, d divides the greatest common divisor $\text{GCD}(|\beta(E(\beta))|, e(Y))$.

Note that the compatibility of an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ with $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ reduces to $\varphi^{-1}(\varphi\beta(E(\beta))) = \beta(E(\beta))$ and is detected on Y . When $\rho = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : X \rightarrow Y$ is a composition of $r \geq 2$ blow downs, the compatibility of an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree d with ρ cannot be traced out on the minimal model Y of X alone. Namely, if $S_0 := Y$, $T_0 := \varphi(Y)$ then in the notations from the commutative diagram (3), the unramified covering $\varphi_1 : S_1 \rightarrow T_1$ of degree d may restrict to an unramified covering $\varphi_1 : \beta_2(E(\beta_2)) \rightarrow \varphi_1\beta_2(E(\beta_2))$ of degree d , but $\varphi_0 := \varphi$ is not supposed to restrict to an unramified covering $\varphi : \beta_1\beta_2(E(\beta_2)) \rightarrow \varphi\beta_1\beta_2(E(\beta_2))$ of degree d . More precisely, if an irreducible component $L_{1,j}$ of $E(\beta_1)$ intersects $\beta_2(E(\beta_2))$ in at least two points then $|\beta_1\beta_2(E(\beta_2))| < d$ and $\varphi : \beta_1\beta_2(E(\beta_2)) \rightarrow \varphi\beta_1\beta_2(E(\beta_2))$ is of degree $< d$.

3 Saturated and primitive smooth compactifications of non-positive Kodaira dimension

Definition 15. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ and $X_0 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0)'$ be smooth toroidal compactification. We say that X dominates X_0 and write $X \succeq X_0$ or $X_0 \preceq X$ if there exist a finite sequence of ball lattices*

$$\Gamma_n := \Gamma < \Gamma_{n-1} < \dots < \Gamma_i < \Gamma_{i-1} < \dots < \Gamma_1 < \Gamma_0,$$

with smooth toroidal compactifications $X_i = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_i)'$ of the corresponding ball quotients \mathbb{B}/Γ_i and a finite sequence of unramified coverings

$$X_n := X \xrightarrow{f_n} X_{n-1} \quad \dots \quad X_i \xrightarrow{f_i} X_{i-1} \quad \dots \quad X_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} X_0$$

of degree $\deg[f_i : X_i \rightarrow X_{i-1}] = [\Gamma_{i-1} : \Gamma_i] = d_i \in \mathbb{N}$, which restrict to unramified coverings $f_i : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_i \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_{i-1}$ of degree d_i and are compatible with some compositions $\rho_i = \beta_{i,1} \dots \beta_{i,r_i} : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$ of blow downs $\beta_{i,j}$ onto minimal surfaces Y_i .

It is clear that a smooth toroidal compactification $X = \overline{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma}$ is saturated if and only if it is maximal with respect to the partial order \succeq . Similarly, X is primitive exactly when it is minimal with respect to \succeq . Note that the partial order \succeq on the set \mathcal{S} of the smooth toroidal compactifications $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is artinian, i.e., any subset $\mathcal{S}_o \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ has a minimal element

$X_o = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_o)' \in \mathcal{S}_o$. The minimal $X \in \mathcal{S}$ are exactly the primitive ones, but the minimal $X_o \in \mathcal{S}_o$ are not necessarily primitive, since such X_o is not supposed to be a minimal element of \mathcal{S} .

The present section discusses the saturated and the primitive smooth toroidal compactifications $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ of Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) \leq 0$.

Proposition 16. *If $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is a smooth toroidal compactification of Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ then X is a rational surface or X has a ruled minimal model $\pi : Y \rightarrow E$ with an elliptic base E .*

Any smooth rational $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is both saturated and primitive.

There is no smooth saturated $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$, whose minimal model is a ruled surface $\pi : Y \rightarrow E$ with an elliptic base E .

Proof. (i) Let $\rho : X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)' \rightarrow Y$ be a composition of blow downs onto a minimal surface Y of $\kappa(Y) = -\infty$, Then $Y = \mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ is the complex projective plane or $\pi : Y \rightarrow E$ is a ruled surface with a base E of genus $g \in \mathbb{Z}^{\geq 0}$. The toroidal compactifying divisor $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma) = \coprod_{j=1}^k D_j$ has disjoint smooth irreducible elliptic components D_j . If $g \geq 2$ then the morphisms $\pi \rho : D_j \rightarrow E$ map to points $p_j := \pi \rho(D_j) \in E$, so that $\rho(D_j) \subseteq \pi^{-1}(p_j)$ for all $1 \leq j \leq k$. The exceptional divisor L of $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ has finite image $\rho(L) = \{q_1, \dots, q_m\}$ on Y and $\rho(L) \subseteq \coprod_{i=1}^m \pi^{-1}(\pi(q_i))$. Therefore

$$Y' := Y \setminus \left[\coprod_{i=1}^m \pi^{-1}(\pi(q_i)) \right] \subseteq Y \setminus \rho(L) \equiv X \setminus L$$

and ρ acts identically on Y' . Moreover,

$$Y'' := Y' \setminus \left[\coprod_{j=1}^k \pi^{-1}(p_j) \right] = Y \setminus \left[\left(\coprod_{i=1}^m \pi^{-1}(\pi(q_i)) \right) \coprod \left(\coprod_{j=1}^k \pi^{-1}(p_j) \right) \right] \subseteq \mathbb{B}/\Gamma.$$

However, Y'' contains (infinitely many) fibres $\pi^{-1}(e) \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$, $e \in E$ of $\pi : Y \rightarrow E$ and that contradicts the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of \mathbb{B}/Γ . In such a way, we have shown that any minimal model Y of a smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ of $\kappa(X) = -\infty$ is birational to $\mathbb{P}^2(\mathbb{C})$ or to a minimal ruled surface $\pi : Y \rightarrow E$ with an elliptic base E .

Any rational $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is simply connected and does not admit finite unramified coverings $X_1 \rightarrow X$ of degree $d > 1$. That is why X is saturated. Let us suppose that $f : X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)' \rightarrow X_0 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0)'$ is an unramified covering of degree $d > 1$, which is compatible with some composition of blow downs $\rho : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a minimal rational surface Y and restricts to an unramified covering $f : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0$ of degree d . The Kodaira dimension is preserved under finite unramified coverings, so that $\kappa(X_0) = \kappa(X) = -\infty$. The surface X_0 is not simply connected, whereas non-rational. Therefore, there is a composition $\rho_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ of blow downs onto a ruled surface $\pi_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow E_0$ with base E_0 of genus $g_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. The surjective morphism $\rho_0 f : X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)' \rightarrow Y_0$ induces an embedding $(\rho_0 f)^* : H^{0,1}(Y_0) \rightarrow H^{0,1}(X)$. On one hand, the irregularity of Y_0 is $h^{0,1}(Y_0) := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0,1}(Y_0) = g_0 \in \mathbb{N}$. On the other hand, the rational surface X has vanishing irregularity $h^{0,1}(X) = 0$. That contradicts the presence

of a finite unramified covering $f : X \rightarrow X_0$ of degree $d > 1$ and shows that any smooth rational toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is primitive.

Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification, whose minimal model Y is a ruled surface $\pi : Y \rightarrow E$ with an elliptic base E . Since Y is birational to $\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C}) \times E$ and the fundamental group is a birational invariant, one has $\pi_1(X) \simeq \pi_1(Y) \simeq \pi_1(E) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^2, +)$. In particular, Y is not simply connected. According to Corollary 9, X cannot be saturated. \square

According to the Enriques-Kodaira classification, there are four types of minimal smooth projective surfaces Y of Kodaira dimension $\kappa(Y) = 0$. These are the abelian and the bi-elliptic surfaces with universal cover \mathbb{C}^2 , as well as the $K3$ and the Enriques surfaces with $K3$ universal cover. If $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$ is a finite unramified covering of smooth projective surfaces then the Kodaira dimension $\kappa(Y_1) = \kappa(Y_2)$ and the universal covers $\widehat{Y}_1 = \widehat{Y}_2$ coincide. Let Y_2 be a smooth projective surface with a fixed point free involution $g_o : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ and $\beta : X_2 \rightarrow Y_2$ be the blow up of Y_2 at a $\langle g_o \rangle$ -orbit $\{p_{1,1}, p_{1,2} = g_o(p_{1,1})\} \subset Y_2$. Then by the very definition of a blow up, g_o induces a fixed point free involution $g_1 : X_2 \rightarrow X_2$, which leaves invariant the exceptional divisor $E(\beta) = L_{1,1} \amalg L_{1,2}$, $L_{1,i} := \beta^{-1}(p_{1,i})$ of β and there is a fibered product commutative diagram (4) with a $\langle g_o \rangle$ -Galois covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$, a $\langle g_1 \rangle$ -Galois covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and the blow up $\text{Bl} : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ of Y_1 at $\{q_1\} = \varphi(\{p_{1,1}, p_{1,2}\})$. Now, suppose that $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : S_r := X_2 \rightarrow Y_2 =: S_0$ is a composition of blow downs with exceptional divisors $E(\beta_i) = L_{i,1} \amalg L_{i,2}$ and $g_o : S_0 \rightarrow S_0$ is a fixed point free involution. By an increasing induction on $1 \leq i \leq r$, if $g_{i-1} : S_{i-1} \rightarrow S_{i-1}$ is a fixed point free involution, which leaves invariant $\beta_i(E(\beta_i)) = \{p_{i,1}, p_{i,2}\}$ then there is a fixed point free involution $g_i : S_i \rightarrow S_i$, which leaves invariant $E(\beta_i) = L_{i,1} \amalg L_{i,2}$. In such a way, if a fixed point free involution $g_0 : S_0 \rightarrow S_0$ induces isomorphisms $L_{i,1} \rightarrow L_{i,2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$ then there is a fixed point free involution $g_r : S_r \rightarrow S_r$ and a fibered product commutative diagram (4) with a $\langle g_o \rangle$ -Galois covering $\varphi : Y_2 \rightarrow Y_1$, a $\langle g_r \rangle$ -Galois covering $f : X_2 \rightarrow X_1$ and the composition $\rho_1 = \text{Bl}_1 \dots \text{Bl}_r : X_1 \rightarrow Y_1$ of the blow downs of $E(\beta_i)/\langle g_i \rangle = L_i \simeq \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$. If $g_o : S_0 \rightarrow S_0$ induces isomorphisms $L_{i,1} \rightarrow L_{i,2}$ of the irreducible components of $E(\beta_i) = L_{i,1} \amalg L_{i,2}$ for all $1 \leq i \leq r$, we say that g_o is compatible with $\rho_2 = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r$.

Proposition 17. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification, $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ be the toroidal compactifying divisor of \mathbb{B}/Γ and $\rho = \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : X \rightarrow Y$ be a composition of blow downs onto a $K3$ surface Y . Then:*

- (i) X is a saturated compactification;
- (ii) X is non-primitive exactly when there is a fixed point free involution $g_o : Y \rightarrow Y$, which is compatible with ρ and leaves invariant $\rho(D)$;
- (iii) if X is non-primitive then there is a fibered product commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xrightarrow{\rho} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \varphi \\ X_0 & \xrightarrow{\rho_0} & Y_0 \end{array}$$

with a primitive smooth toroidal compactification $X_0 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_0)'$, a composition of blow downs

$\rho_0 : X_0 \rightarrow Y_0$ onto a minimal Enriques surface Y_0 and unramified double covers $f : X \rightarrow X_0$, $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Y_0$.

Proof. (i) is an immediate consequence of $\pi_1(Y) = \{1\}$, according to Corollary 9.

(ii) and (iii) follow from Corollary 14 and the fact that a minimal projective surface Y_0 admits an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow Y_0$ by a K3 surface Y if and only if Y_0 is the quotient of Y by a fixed point free involution $g_o : Y \rightarrow Y$. Such $Y_0 = Y/\langle g_o \rangle$ are called minimal Enriques surfaces and do not admit unramified coverings $\varphi_0 : Y_0 \rightarrow \varphi_0(Y_0)$ of degree > 1 . \square

Proposition 18. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification and $\rho : \beta_1 \dots \beta_r : X \rightarrow Y$ be a composition of blow downs onto a minimal Enriques surface Y . Then:*

(i) X is a primitive compactification;

(ii) X is not saturated;

(iii) there is an unramified double cover $f : X_1 = \overline{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{B}/\Gamma} = X$ by a saturated smooth toroidal compactification $X_1 = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma_1)'$ with K3 minimal model Y_1 .

Proof. (i) is due to the lack of an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree $d > 1$.

(ii) follows from $\pi_1(Y) = (\mathbb{Z}_2, +) \neq \{1\}$.

(iii) is an immediate consequence of the Enriques-Kodaira classification of the smooth projective surfaces. \square

Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification with abelian or bi-elliptic minimal model Y . According to Theorem 1.3 from Di Cerbo and Stover's article [DiCerboStover2], X can be obtained from Y by blow up $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ points $p_1, \dots, p_n \in Y$.

Proposition 19. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification with a blow down $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ onto a minimal surface Y with exceptional divisor $E(\beta) = \prod_{i=1}^n L_i$ and $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ be the toroidal compactifying divisor of \mathbb{B}/Γ . Then:*

(i) β transforms $E(\beta)$ onto the singular locus $\beta(E(\beta)) = \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ of $\beta(D) \subset Y$;

(ii) X is non-primitive if and only if there is an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree $d > 1$, which restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ of degree d ;

(iii) the relative automorphism group $\text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) = \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D), \beta(D)^{\text{sing}})$ admits an isomorphism

$$\Phi : \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(X, D)$$

with the relative automorphism group $\text{Aut}(X, D) = \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta))$;

(iv) $g_o \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D))$ is fixed point free if and only if it corresponds to a fixed point free $g = \Phi(g_o) \in \text{Aut}(X, D)$.

Proof. (i) If $D = \prod_{j=1}^k D_j$ has irreducible components D_j then the singular locus of $\beta(D)$ is

$$\beta(D)^{\text{sing}} = \left[\bigcup_{j=1}^k \beta(D_j)^{\text{sing}} \right] \cup \left[\bigcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} \beta(D_i) \cap \beta(D_j) \right].$$

Since D_j are smooth irreducible elliptic curves, $\beta(D)^{\text{sing}} \subseteq \beta(E(\beta))$. Conversely, any (-1) -curve L_i on $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ intersects $D = \coprod_{j=1}^k D_j$ in at least three points, due to the Kobayashi hyperbolicity of \mathbb{B}/Γ . In fact, $|L_i \cap D| \geq 4$, according to Theorem 1.1 (2) from Di Cerbo and Stover's article [DiCerboStover2]. Therefore, the multiplicity of $\beta(L_i) = p_i$ with respect to $\beta(D)$ is ≥ 4 and $p_i \in \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$. That justifies $\beta(E(\beta)) \subseteq \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ and $\beta(E(\beta)) = \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$.

(ii) By Corollary 14 and (i), $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is non-primitive if and only if there is an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree $d > 1$, which restricts to unramified coverings $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ and $\varphi : \beta(D)^{\text{sing}} \rightarrow \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ of degree d . Let us observe that any unramified covering $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ of degree d restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \beta(D)^{\text{sing}} \rightarrow \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ of degree d , as far as the local biholomorphism $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ preserves the multiplicities of the points with respect to $\beta(D)$ and $\beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ consists of the points of $\beta(D)$ of multiplicity ≥ 2 .

(iii) If a holomorphic automorphism $g_o : Y \rightarrow Y$ restricts to a holomorphic automorphism $g_o : \beta(D) \rightarrow \beta(D)$ then g_o preserves the multiplicities of the points with respect to $\beta(D)$ and $\beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ is $\langle g_o \rangle$ -invariant. That justifies $\text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) \leq \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D), \beta(D)^{\text{sing}})$ and $\text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) = \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D), \beta(D)^{\text{sing}})$.

In order to show the existence of a group isomorphism

$$\Phi : \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D), \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}) \longrightarrow \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta)),$$

let us pick up $g_o \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D), \beta(D)^{\text{sing}})$. Then $X \setminus E(\beta) = Y \setminus \beta(E(\beta)) = Y \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ is acted by $\Phi(g_o)|_{X \setminus E(\beta)} := g_o|_{Y \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}}$. By the very definition of a blow up at a point, the bijection $g_o : \beta(D)^{\text{sing}} \rightarrow \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ with $g_o(\beta(L_{1,i})) = \beta(L_{1,j})$ induces isomorphisms $\Phi(g_o) : L_{1,i} \rightarrow L_{1,j}$ and provides an element $\Phi(g_o) \in \text{Aut}(X, E(\beta))$. After observing that $\Phi(g_o)(D \setminus E(\beta)) = g_o(\beta(D) \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}) = \beta(D) \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}} = D \setminus E(\beta)$, one concludes that $\Phi(g_o)$ transforms the Zariski closure D of $D \setminus E(\beta)$ onto itself and $\Phi(g_o) \in \text{Aut}(D)$.

The correspondence Φ is a group homomorphism since g_o and $\Phi(g_o)$ coincide on Zariski open subsets of Y , respectively, X . Towards the bijectiveness of Φ , let $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta))$ and note that $Y \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}} = X \setminus E(\beta)$. That allows to define $\Phi^{-1}(g)|_{Y \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}} := g|_{X \setminus E(\beta)}$. The isomorphism $g : E(\beta) \rightarrow E(\beta)$ of the exceptional divisor $E(\beta)$ of β induces a permutation $\Phi^{-1}(g) : \beta(D)^{\text{sing}} \rightarrow \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ of the finite set $\beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$ and provides an automorphism $\Phi^{-1}(g) \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)^{\text{sing}})$. Bearing in mind that $\Phi^{-1}(g)(\beta(D) \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}) = g(D \setminus E(\beta)) = D \setminus E(\beta) = \beta(D) \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}}$, one concludes that $\Phi^{-1}(g) \in \text{Aut}(\beta(D))$ is an automorphism of the Zariski closure $\beta(D)$ of $\beta(D) \setminus \beta(D)^{\text{sing}} = \beta(D)^{\text{smooth}}$.

Note that any automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D)$ acts on the set of the smooth irreducible rational curves on X . Moreover, g preserves the self-intersection number of such a curve and $\langle g \rangle$ acts on the set $E(\beta) = \coprod_{i=1}^n L_i$ of the (-1) -curves on X . Thus, $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta))$ and $\text{Aut}(X, D) \subseteq \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta))$, whereas $\text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta)) = \text{Aut}(X, D)$.

(iv) If $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D)$ has no fixed points on X then $g_o := \Phi^{-1}(g) \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D))$ restricts to $g_o|_{Y \setminus \beta(E(\beta))} = g|_{X \setminus E(\beta)}$ without fixed points. The assumption $g_o(p_i) = p_i = \text{Bl}(L_i)$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ implies that g restricts to an automorphism $g : L_i \rightarrow L_i$. Any biholomorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(L_i) = \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})) = \text{PGL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ of the projective line $L_i = \mathbb{P}^1(\mathbb{C})$ is a fractional linear transformation and has two fixed points, counted with their

multiplicities. That contradicts the lack of fixed points of g on X and implies that the associated automorphism $g_o = \Phi^{-1}(g) \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D))$ has no fixed points on Y .

Conversely, if $g_o \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D))$ has no fixed points on Y and $g := \Phi(g_o)$ then the restriction $g|_{X \setminus E(\beta)} = g_o|_{Y \setminus \beta(D)}$ has no fixed points. If $g(x) = x$ for some $x \in E(\beta) = \coprod_{i=1}^n L_i$ then $x \in L_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq n$ and $g(L_i) = L_i$. As a result, g_o fixes $p_i = \beta(L_i) \in Y$, which is an absurd. In such a way, any fixed point free $g_o \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D))$ corresponds to a fixed point free $g = \Phi(g_o) \in \text{Aut}(X, D)$. □

Proposition 20. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification with toroidal compactifying divisor $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ and a blow down $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ smooth irreducible rational (-1) -curves. Then $\text{Aut}(X, D)$ is a finite group.*

Proof. By Proposition 19 (iii), $\text{Aut}(X, D) = \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta))$. Any $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D)$ acts on $D = \coprod_{j=1}^k D_j$ and induces a permutation of the smooth elliptic irreducible components D_1, \dots, D_k of D . In such a way, there arises a representation

$$\Sigma_1 : \text{Aut}(X, D) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(D_1, \dots, D_k) = \text{Sym}(k).$$

The image of Σ_1 in the finite group $\text{Sym}(k)$ is a finite group, so that it suffices to show the finiteness of $\ker(\Sigma_1)$, in order to conclude that $\text{Aut}(X, D)$ is a finite group. Similarly, $\text{Aut}(X, D) = \text{Aut}(X, D, E(\beta))$ acts on the exceptional divisor $E(\beta) = \coprod_{i=1}^n L_i$ of $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ and defines a representation

$$\Sigma_2 : \text{Aut}(X, D) \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(L_1, \dots, L_n) = \text{Sym}(n).$$

Since $\Sigma_2(\ker(\Sigma_1))$ is a finite group, it suffices to show that $G := \ker(\Sigma_2) \cap \ker(\Sigma_1)$ is a finite group. For any $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$ and $g \in G$, the finite set $L_i \cap D_j$ is transformed into itself, according to $g(L_i \cap D_j) \subseteq g(L_i) \cap g(D_j) = L_i \cap D_j$. Therefore, there is a representation

$$\Sigma_{i,j} : G \longrightarrow \text{Sym}(L_i \cap D_j).$$

The image $\Sigma_{i,j}(G)$ is a finite group, while the kernel $K_{i,j} := \ker(\Sigma_{i,j})$ fixes any point $p \in L_i \cap D_j$ and acts on D_j . It is well known that the holomorphic automorphisms $\text{Aut}_p(D_j)$ of an elliptic curves D_j , which fix a point $p \in D_j$ form a cyclic group of order 2, 4 or 6. Therefore, $K_{i,j} \leq \text{Aut}_p(D)$, G , $\ker(\Sigma_1)$ and $\text{Aut}(X, D)$ are finite groups. □

Definition 21. *A smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with a blow down $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ smooth irreducible rational (-1) -curves onto a minimal surface Y is Galois non-primitive if there is a fixed point free automorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D) \setminus \{\text{Id}_X\}$.*

Any Galois non-primitive $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is non-primitive, because the $\langle g \rangle$ -Galois covering $\zeta : X \rightarrow \zeta(X) = X/\langle g \rangle$ is unramified and restricts to unramified coverings $\zeta : \mathbb{B}/\Gamma \rightarrow \zeta(\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$ and $\zeta : E(\beta) = \coprod_{i=1}^n L_i \rightarrow \zeta(E(\beta))$ of degree $|\langle g \rangle| = \text{ord}(g)$.

Note that the presence of an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ implies the coincidence $\tilde{Y} = \widehat{\varphi(\tilde{Y})}$ of the universal cover \tilde{Y} of Y with the universal cover $\widehat{\varphi(\tilde{Y})}$ of $\varphi(Y)$. The fundamental group $\pi_1(\varphi(Y))$ of $\varphi(Y)$ acts on \tilde{Y} by biholomorphic automorphisms without fixed points and contains the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$ of Y as a subgroup of index $[\pi_1(\varphi(Y)) : \pi_1(Y)] = d$.

Proposition 22. *Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification with toroidal compactifying divisor $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$, $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ be a blow down of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ smooth irreducible rational (-1) -curves to a minimal surface Y and $N(\pi_1(Y))$ be the normalizer of the fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$ of Y in the biholomorphism group $\text{Aut}(\tilde{Y})$ of the universal cover \tilde{Y} of Y . Then X is Galois non-primitive if and only if there exist a natural divisor $d > 1$ of $\text{GCD}(|\beta(D)^{\text{sing}}|, e(Y)) \in \mathbb{N}$ and an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree d , such that $\pi_1(\varphi(Y)) \cap N(\pi_1(Y)) \supseteq \pi_1(Y)$ and $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ is an unramified covering of degree d .*

Proof. If $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is Galois non-primitive then there exists a fixed point free biholomorphism $g \in \text{Aut}(X, D) \setminus \{\text{Id}_X\}$ of X . By Proposition 19(iv), g induces a fixed point free biholomorphism $g_o = \Phi^{-1}(g) \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) \setminus \{\text{Id}_Y\}$ of Y . The element g_o of the finite group $\text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D))$ is of finite order $d \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ and the $\langle g_o \rangle$ -Galois coverings $\zeta : Y \rightarrow Y/\langle g_o \rangle$, $\zeta : \beta(D) \rightarrow \zeta\beta(D)$ are unramified and of degree d . The automorphism g_o of Y lifts to an automorphism $\sigma \in \text{Aut}(\tilde{Y})$ of the universal cover \tilde{Y} of Y , which normalizes $\pi_1(Y)$ and belongs to

$$\pi_1(\zeta(Y)) = \pi_1(Y/\langle g_o \rangle) = \pi_1\left(\left(\tilde{Y}/\pi_1(Y)\right)/\langle \sigma\pi_1(Y) \rangle\right) = \pi_1\left(\tilde{Y}/\langle \sigma, \pi_1(Y) \rangle\right) = \langle \sigma, \pi_1(Y) \rangle.$$

Conversely, suppose that $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ is an unramified covering of degree $d > 1$, which restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ of degree d and there exists $\sigma \in [\pi_1(\varphi(Y)) \cap N(\pi_1(Y))] \setminus \pi_1(Y)$. Then $g_o := \sigma\pi_1(Y) \in \text{Aut}(Y) = N(\pi_1(Y))/\pi_1(Y)$ is a non-identical biholomorphism $g_o : Y \rightarrow Y$. Since $\langle \sigma, \pi_1(Y) \rangle$ is a subgroup of $\pi_1(\varphi(Y))$, the unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ factors through the $\langle g_o \rangle$ -Galois covering $\zeta : Y \rightarrow Y/\langle g_o \rangle$ and a covering $\varphi_o : Y/\langle g_o \rangle \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ along the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\zeta} & Y/\langle g_o \rangle \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \varphi_o \\ & & \varphi(Y) \end{array} \quad (6)$$

The finite coverings $\zeta : Y \rightarrow Y/\langle g_o \rangle$ and $\varphi_o : Y/\langle g_o \rangle \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ are unramified, because their composition $\varphi = \varphi_o\zeta : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ is unramified. That is why, g_o has no fixed points on Y . If $\beta(D) \subset Y$ is not $\langle g_o \rangle$ -invariant then there is an orbit $\text{Orb}_{\langle g_o \rangle}(y_o) \subset Y$ of some $y_o \in \beta(D)$ which intersects, both, $\beta(D)$ and $Y \setminus \beta(D)$. Therefore, $\zeta : \beta(D) \rightarrow \zeta\beta(D)$ has a fibre $\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(y_o))$ of cardinality $|\zeta^{-1}(\zeta(y_o))| < \text{deg}(\zeta) = |\langle g_o \rangle| = \text{ord}(g_o)$ and $\zeta : \beta(D) \rightarrow \zeta\beta(D)$ is ramified. As a result, the composition $\varphi = \varphi_o\zeta : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ is ramified. The contradiction shows the $\langle g_o \rangle$ -invariance of $\beta(D)$. According to Proposition 19 (iv), the

fixed point free $g_o \in \text{Aut}(Y, \beta(D)) \setminus \{\text{Id}_Y\}$ corresponds to a fixed point free $g = \Phi(g_o) \in \text{Aut}(X, D) \setminus \{\text{Id}_X\}$ and X is Galois non-primitive. \square

Definition 23. A covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ by a smooth projective surface Y has Galois factorization if there exist $g_o \in \text{Aut}(Y) \setminus \{\text{Id}_Y\}$ and a covering $\varphi_o : Y/\langle g_o \rangle \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$, such that $\varphi = \varphi_o \zeta$ factors through the $\langle g_o \rangle$ -Galois covering $\zeta : Y \rightarrow Y/\langle g_o \rangle$ and a covering φ_o along the commutative diagram (6).

Now, Proposition 22 can be reformulated in the form of the following

Corollary 24. Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a non-primitive smooth toroidal compactification with toroidal compactifying divisor $D := X \setminus (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)$, $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ be a blow down of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ smooth irreducible rational (-1) -curves onto a minimal surface Y and $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ be an unramified covering of degree d , which restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ of degree d . Then X is Galois non-primitive if and only if φ admits a Galois factorization.

Corollary 25. (i) Let $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ be a smooth toroidal compactification with abelian minimal model Y . Then X is not saturated and X is non-primitive if and only if it is Galois non-primitive.

(ii) If $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is a smooth toroidal compactification with bi-elliptic minimal model Y then X is not saturated.

Proof. (i) Any abelian surface Y has non-trivial fundamental group $\pi_1(Y) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^4, +)$. According to Corollary 9, that suffices for a smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with abelian minimal model Y to be non-saturated.

By Theorem 1.3 from Di Cerbo and Stover's article [DiCerboStover2], if a smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ has abelian minimal model Y then there is a blow down $\beta : X \rightarrow Y$ of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ smooth irreducible rational (-1) -curves on X onto Y . Such X is non-primitive exactly when there exists an unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ of degree $d > 1$, which restricts to an unramified covering $\varphi : \beta(D) \rightarrow \varphi\beta(D)$ of degree d . Since Y and $\varphi(Y)$ have one and a same universal cover $\widetilde{\varphi(Y)} = \widetilde{Y} = \mathbb{C}^2$ and one and a same Kodaira dimension $\kappa(\varphi(Y)) = \kappa(Y) = 0$, the minimal smooth irreducible projective surface $\varphi(Y)$ is abelian or bi-elliptic.

If $\varphi(Y)$ is an abelian surface then its fundamental group $\pi_1(\varphi(Y)) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^4, +)$ is abelian and $\pi_1(Y) \simeq (\mathbb{Z}^4, +)$ is a normal subgroup of $\pi_1(\varphi(Y))$. As a result, $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ is a $\pi_1(\varphi(Y))/\pi_1(Y)$ -Galois covering and Y is Galois non-primitive.

Let us suppose that $\varphi(Y)$ is a bi-elliptic surface. According to Bagnera-de Franchis classification of the bi-elliptic surfaces from [BagneraDeFranchis], there is an abelian surface A and a cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle \leq \text{Aut}(A)$ of order $d \in \{2, 3, 4, 6\}$ with a non-translation generator $g \in \text{Aut}(A)$, such that $\varphi(Y) = A/\langle g \rangle$. Let $\text{AffLin}(\mathbb{C}) := \mathcal{T}(\mathbb{C}^2) \rtimes \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ be the group of the affine linear transformations of $\mathbb{C}^2 = \widetilde{Y} = \widetilde{\varphi(Y)} = \widetilde{A}$ and

$$\mathcal{L} : \text{AffLin}(\mathbb{C}^2) \longrightarrow \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$$

be the group homomorphism, associating to $\sigma \in \text{AffLin}(\mathbb{C}^2)$ its linear part $\mathcal{L}(\sigma) \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. Then the fundamental group of A is the maximal translation subgroup

$$\pi_1(A) = \pi_1(\varphi(Y)) \cap \ker(\mathcal{L})$$

of $\pi_1(\varphi(Y))$. The translation subgroup $\pi_1(Y) \leq \pi_1(\varphi(Y)) \cap \ker(\mathcal{L})$ of $\pi_1(\varphi(Y))$ is contained in $\pi_1(A)$ and the unramified covering $\varphi : Y \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$ factors through unramified coverings $\varphi_1 : Y \rightarrow A$ and $\varphi_2 : A \rightarrow \varphi(Y)$, along the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y & \xrightarrow{\varphi_1} & A \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \varphi_2 \\ & & \varphi(Y) \end{array} .$$

The covering $\varphi_1 : Y \rightarrow A$ is $\pi_1(A)/\pi_1(Y)$ -Galois, so that $\varphi = \varphi_2\varphi_1$ is a Galois factorization of φ for $\pi_1(Y) \leq \pi_1(A)$. In the case of $\pi_1(Y) = \pi_1(A)$, there is an isomorphism $Y \simeq \mathbb{C}^2/\pi_1(Y) \simeq \mathbb{C}^2/\pi_1(A) = A$ and the covering $\varphi : Y \simeq A \rightarrow \varphi(Y) = A/\langle g \rangle$ is $\langle g \rangle$ -Galois. Thus, X is Galois non-primitive and a co-abelian smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ is non-primitive if and only if it is Galois non-primitive.

(ii) The fundamental group $\pi_1(Y)$ of a bi-elliptic surface Y is subject to an exact sequence

$$1 \longrightarrow \pi_1(Y) \cap \ker(\mathcal{L}) \longrightarrow \pi_1(Y) \longrightarrow \langle g \rangle \longrightarrow 1$$

with a non-translation cyclic subgroup $\langle g \rangle$ of $\text{Aut}(\mathbb{C}^2/\pi_1(Y) \cap \ker(\mathcal{L})) = \text{Aut}(A_o)$ of order 2, 3, 4 or 6. In particular, Y is not simply connected and a smooth toroidal compactification $X = (\mathbb{B}/\Gamma)'$ with bi-elliptic minimal model Y is not saturated. □

References

- [BagneraDeFranchis] Sur les surfaces hyperelliptiques, C. R. Acad. Sci. 145 (1907), 747-749.
Bagnera G., de Franchis M.,
- [DiCerboStover1] De Cerbo L. F., Stover M., Multiple realizations of varieties as ball quotient compactifications, Michigan Mathematical Journal 65, 2 (2016), 441-447.
- [DiCerboStover2] Di Cerbo L.F., Stover M., Punctures spheres in complex hyperbolic surfaces and bi-elliptic ball quotient compactifications, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, 372 (2019) 4627-4646.
- [Groth4] Grothendieck A., Éléments de géométrie algébrique: IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas, Quatrième partie, Publications mathématiques de l' I.H.E.S., tome 32 (1967), p. 5-361.
- [Ha] Hartshorne R., *Algebraic Geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 52, Springer, 1977.
- [Stover] Stover M., Cusp and b_1 growth for ball quotients and maps onto \mathbb{Z} with finitely generated kernel, ArXiv : 1506.06126v3 [mathGT] 8 Aug 2018.
- [Uludag] Uludag M., Covering relations between ball quotient orbifolds, Mathematische Annalen 328, 2 (2004), 503-523.