Fibre-Selective Recording from Peripheral Nerves using a Multiple-Contact Cuff: Report on Pilot Pig Experiments

Martin Schuettler, Member IEEE, Vipin Seeto, Member IEEE, Nico J.M. Rijkhoff, Flemming V. Moeller, Nick Donaldson, and John Taylor, Member IEEE

Abstract—A single cuff electrode with multiple contacts permits fibre selective recording from peripheral nerves. This has been demonstrated in frog nerve in vitro and earthworm before. Now, we could successfully apply this method to the peripheral median nerve of pig in vivo. Compound action potentials (CAPs) were electrically excited at the median nerve close to the wrist of the forelimb. The CAPs were recorded by a recording nerve cuff located proximal to the stimulation cuff. Applying simple mathematical routines allowed for generating a profile of nerve fibre activation as a function of propagation velocity.

I. INTRODUCTION

Erlanger and Gasser received the Nobel Price in 1944 for their work on nerve fibre classification. They discovered the relationship between fibre diameter, action potential propagation velocity and biological function of the neural information transmitted. This concept was and still is used for diagnostic tools providing information on peripheral nerve regeneration, nerve injuries and neural diseases. Commonly, the nerve is electrically activated at one point, and the compound action potential (CAP) is recorded at two or more points along the nerve, either using nerve cuff electrodes [1] or non invasively - surface electrodes. While this method reveals the predominant neural propagation velocity when using two or more recordings electrodes, we were interested whether this information could be extracted by using a single implanted recording cuff with multiple electrical contacts. In theory [2], this method even provides a detailed view on which fibre types actually contribute to a compound action potential. This information might be very useful for neuroscientists but also could be a valuable control for future closed-loop neural prostheses. The general feasibility of the method on velocity selective recording using a multiple-contact nerve cuff was demonstrated on frog nerve in vitro [3] and earthworm [4]. Here, we present the applicability to the peripheral nerve of a farm pig in vivo.

II. MATERIALS AND METHODS

A. Experimental Setup

A stimulation cuff electrode was used to electrically generate compound action potentials that travel along the median nerve of a pig. This neural activity was sensed by an eleven-contact nerve cuff electrode, amplified, digitized and stored for off-line data processing. During the course of the experiment the stimulation amplitude was gradually increased, leading to an increasing number of exited fibres. Applying mathematical routines, the recorded data was used to generate propagation velocity profiles, indicating which type of fibre type contributed to the compound action potential.

B. Stimulation Electrode

The stimulation cuff was fabricated in polyimide thin-film technology. This technology is described in detail elsewhere [5]. The resulting cuff consisted of two 5\(\mu\)m layers of polyimide between which a 300 nm layer of platinum was sandwiched. The platinum was patterned to tracks, contact pads and electrode sites. At the locations of contact pads and electrodes sites, the top layer of polyimide was removed. This structure resembling a very thin printed circuit board (PCB) was rolled to form a tube with electrode contacts facing towards the lumen. After 90 min at 350 °C, the tube shape became permanent, forming a cuff with an inner diameter of 1.6 mm. The contact pads were ball-bonded [5] to a ceramic PCB to which wires were soldered. The ceramic PCB including ball-bonds and solder joints was casted in rubber for electrical insulation. The stimulation cuff had three electrode contacts shaped as rings, having a contact width of 0.5 mm and a centre-to-centre distance of 5 mm, the cuff was self curling and adapted to a nerve diameter between 1.6 and 2 mm [6]. In order to permit high electrical charge to pass through, the electrode contacts were coated with platinum black according to the recipe by Schuettler et al. [7].

C. Recording Electrode

The recording electrode consisted of a polyimide scaffold fabricated in the same technology as the stimulation cuff, carrying the electrode contacts, embedded interconnection lines and the contact pads. The scaffold was laminated between two 100 \(\mu\)m thin sheets of cured silicone rubber (MED-1000, NuSil, Carpinterial, Ca, USA) by plasma bonding [8]. One of the sheets had openings in the area of the polyimide scaffold electrode contacts (Fig. 1a-b). By
wrapping the planar silicone-polyimide-silicone structure around a 4 mm diameter stainless steel mandrel, a cuff was formed (Fig. 1c-d). In order provide a reversible closing mechanism for the cuff, silicone rubber tubing of 36 mm length and 1.6 mm outer diameter was cut into segments of about 4 mm length, which were glued (MED-1000) to the cuff as shown in Fig. 1e, resulting in a piano-hinge like closure. For locking the closure, a surgical thread was pushed through the tubing segments as sketched in Fig. 1f. A cable was connected to the cuff as described in Section II-B. The recording cuff had eleven platinum electrode contacts shaped as 1 mm wide rings longitudinally distributed along the cuff with a centre-to-centre distance of 3 mm. The length of the entire cuff was 38 mm.

Fig. 1: A polyimide structure is sandwiched between two layers of silicone rubber (a, b) and wrapped around a mandrel (c) forming a spiral cuff (d). Silicone rubber tubing is cut into short segments, which are glued to the spiral cuff (e), resulting in a piano hinge-closure (f).

D. Stimulator & Recording Electronics

A custom built stimulator generated a cathodic current pulse of adjustable amplitude I and 250 µs width, followed by an anodic counter pulse of I/10 amplitude and width of 2.5 ms. The stimulator provided two channels of identical output, wired to the three contacts of the tripolar cuff electrode, ensuring symmetrical flow of the current between the centre cathode and the anodes.

The recording cuff electrode was connected to a custom build ultra low noise amplifier chip [9] that differentially amplified the voltages \( V_{IN} \) picked up by the electrodes 10,000 times and band-pass filtered it (310 Hz to 3.3 kHz). The output signals were digitized at 40 kHz/channel, 12 bit, using a commercial data acquisition system (DAQCard-6062E, National Instruments, Austin, TX, USA) controlled by a LabView program (National Instruments) running on a computer.

According to our experimental protocol, a single stimulus of given amplitude was followed by 10 ms of recording time. This was repeated 64 times at 20 Hz before the stimulus amplitude was changed for the next experiment. The time between two experiments was about one minute.

E. Data Processing

Data processing was performed using Matlab software (R12, The MathWorks, Natick, MA, USA). Ten differential signals supplied by the amplifier chip were transformed to nine double-differential signals \( V_{OUT} \) (see Fig. 2), providing good rejection of signals originating from outside the nerve cuff.

In order to extract information on the propagation velocity of the recorded CAPs travelling along the nerve, the double-differential signals \( V_{OUT} \) were delayed relatively to each other, e.g.: \( V_{OUT,2} \) was delayed by \( dt \) against \( V_{OUT,1} \). \( V_{OUT,3} \) was delayed by \( 2 \cdot dt \) relative to \( V_{OUT,1} \), etc. After delaying, all nine signals were combined to one signal \( V_{DS} \) by summation in the time domain according to Eq. 1.

\[
V_{DS}(t, dt) = \sum_{i=1}^{9} V_{OUT,i}(t-(i-1) \cdot dt)
\]  

Eq. 1

A delay profile was calculated by determining the maximum voltage value \( V_{MAX}(dt) \) of \( V_{DS} \) for each \( dt \). The propagation velocity \( v \) equals \( p / dt \) with \( p = 3 \) mm being the pitch of two adjacent electrode contacts. This allowed for the calculation of a velocity profile \( V_{MAX}(v) \). Defined by the sampling frequency of 40 kHz, \( dt \) is 25 µs or it's multiples, providing a rather low resolution of the profile in the high velocity range: \( v = 120 \) m/s, 60 m/s, 30 m/s, etc. In order to increase the resolution, the voltages \( V_{OUT} \) were interpolated to a virtual sampling frequency of 200 kHz. Now, \( dt \) became 5 µs or it's multiples and the resolution in the velocity domain was increased accordingly (in the range \( v \leq 120 \) m/s: 120 m/s, 100 m/s, 86 m/s, 75 m/s, 67 m/s, 60 m/s, etc.).

Fig. 3: Location of stimulation (S) and recording (R) cuff electrodes at the median nerve (N) in pig forelimb.
F. Surgical Procedure
A female adolescent (50 kg) farm pig was anaesthetized using Isofluoran and Dormicum, intubated and the medial nerve of the right forelimb was exposed over a length of about 80 mm. The recording nerve cuff was implanted close to the elbow joint. Distally on the same nerve, a tripolar stimulation cuff was placed. The location of the implantation site is indicated in Fig. 3. All surgical procedures were carried out according to the guidelines for ethical treatment of animals of the university hospitals of Aarhus, Denmark.

III. RESULTS

A. Electrodes
The stimulation cuff is a self-spiralling design that adapts to any diameter between 1.5 mm and 2.0 mm in diameter. Fig. 4 shows a photo of the recording cuff, closed and locked by a blue thread.

![Fig. 4: Photograph of multiple-contact recording cuff (E), closed with a blue surgical thread (T), connected to an alumina substrate (A) to which a cable (C) is soldered.](image)

B. Surgical Procedure
During the surgical procedure, we observed some nerve swelling in the distal region of the exposed nerve (Fig. 5) to up to ~6 mm diameter. This large diameter prohibited the stimulation cuff from being completely wrapped around the nerve. The electrode contacts touched only half of the nerve circumference. In the proximal region, the nerve diameter did not change, permitting the 4 mm recording cuff to be implanted, closed and locked by a surgical thread without difficulties. Saline solution was injected into the cuff in order to displace any air bubbles, ensuring a good electrical contact between electrodes and nerve.

![Fig. 5: Photograph of stimulation (S) and recording (R) cuff implanted on pig median nerve (N).](image)

C. Data Processing
The recording of the first 5 ms of an experiment is shown in Fig. 6 and Fig. 7. Beginning at a very low stimulation amplitude and gradually increasing it, we found 200 µA to be the threshold for generating CAPs (Fig. 6). Depending on the tripole, we recorded voltages between 5.13 and 18.3 µVpp while the noise floor was calculated to range from 0.982 to 2.73 µVrms based on the last half of the 10 ms recording of each channel, which is discarded in the figure. Manually estimation of the propagation velocity was carried out by measuring the delay between clearly visibly peaks of different channels, e.g. the delay between peaks from channel one and channel eight is $dt = 0.35$ ms. The distance from tripole one to tripole eight was $x = 21$ mm, therefore the velocity is $v_F = \frac{x}{dt} = 60$ m/s.

![Fig. 6: Recorded CAPs after double-differential amplification ($V_{OUT}$). The dashed line indicates the propagation of positive peaks from the first tripole (top) to the ninth tripole (bottom). Stimulus amplitude: 200 µA.](image)

With increasing stimulation amplitude, the CAP amplitudes increased. At 4 mA the excited CAPs had peak-to-peak voltages between 56 and 157 µVpp (Fig. 7). The noise was found to be between 0.784 and 2.57 µVrms. The delay between the first and the ninth fast peak was 0.4 ms. This corresponds to a velocity of $v_F = \frac{24 \text{ mm}}{0.4 \text{ ms}} = 60$ m/s. Additionally, a second positive phase became visible. However, this positive peak was less sharp. A time delay between the first and the fourth tripole was estimated to be 0.21 ms, suggesting a propagation velocity of $v_S = 43$ m/s.

![Fig. 7: Recorded CAPs after double-differential amplification ($V_{OUT}$). The dashed lines indicate the propagation of positive peaks from the first tripole (top) to the ninth tripole (bottom). Stimulus amplitude: 4 mA.](image)
Applying the algorithm for obtaining a velocity profile led to Fig. 8. Although we repeated a stimulus 64 times, the recordings following a single stimulus were sufficient to allow the calculation of a velocity profile, so we omitted the option of averaging the CAP recordings. With rising stimulus amplitude, an increasing number of fast fibres ($v_f = 60 \text{ m/s}$) was activated. At 4 mA stimulus amplitude, a slower fibre population ($v_s = \sim 30 \text{ m/s}$) contributed to the CAP.

![Velocity spectra obtained by three successive experiments.](image)

**Fig. 8:** Velocity spectra obtained by three successive experiments. The vertical dashed lines indicate positive velocities at 30 m/s and 60 m/s, respectively. No averaging was applied to obtain this velocity profile. Positive velocities correspond to CAPs travelling in proximal (afferent) direction, negative velocities indicate efferent activity.

### IV. DISCUSSION

We were able to obtain velocity profiles from electrically evoked compound action potentials in pig peripheral nerve, although the experimental setup offered room for improvement, e.g. the swelling of the nerve could be minimized in future with the experience gained during the first surgery. The stimulation cuff was too small for the nerve, even before swelling occurred.

The recording amplitudes varied along the cuff. Especially at the proximal end, where the amplitudes are halved compared to the distal end. A possible explanation is a re-arrangement of the stimulated nerve fibres inside the nerve, changing from superficial location (close to the electrode contacts) to a deeper location in the nerve tissue, having inactive nerve fibres attenuating their activity seem to melt into each other in the velocity profile (Fig. 8). It is unclear whether the manual method ($v_f = 43 \text{ m/s}$) or the profile ($v_f = \sim 30 \text{ m/s}$) provides a more accurate value. In order to address this problem, the method requires a tool for increasing the velocity selectivity. We could show elsewhere that band-pass filtering in the frequency domain is a simple and effective tool for improving the selectivity [10].

### V. CONCLUSION

The method of velocity selective recording using a single multi-contact nerve cuff was successfully applied to the peripheral nerve of a pig *in-vivo*. A single electrical stimulus was sufficient to obtain a velocity profile, indicating the propagation velocities of the predominant fibres contributing to an electrically evoked compound action potential. This method might have many applications as tool in fundamental neuroscience and could possibly provide selective information on neural activity as a control for neural prosthesis. However, for the latter, it remains to be proven that the method is applicable to physiological nerve activity.
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