Triploid plover female provides support for a role of the W chromosome in avian sex determination
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Abstract

Two models, Z Dosage and Dominant W, have been proposed to explain sex determination in birds where males are characterized by the presence of two Z chromosomes and females are hemizygous with a Z and a W chromosome. According to the Z Dosage model, high dosage of a Z-linked gene is needed to trigger male development, whereas the Dominant W model postulates that female development is triggered by a still unknown W-linked gene. Using 33 polymorphic microsatellite markers we describe a female triploid Kentish plover Charadrius alexandrinus identified by characteristic ‘three allele’ genotypes at 14 autosomal markers that produced viable diploid offspring. Peak ratio analysis showed that the sex chromosome composition of this female was ZZW. Our results suggest a prominent role for a female determining gene on the W chromosome. In light of this result, we propose that avian sex determination is more dynamic and complex than currently envisioned.

Introduction

Birds show striking sexual dimorphism with pronounced phenotypic differences between males and females. Sex in birds is determined genetically; males are ZZ and females are ZW. However, precisely how the phenotypic sexual dimorphism is initiated, is debated (Teranishi et al. 2001; Smith et al. 2009; Ellegren 2011). Two models have been proposed to explain sex determination in birds (Clinton 1998). The Z Dosage model postulates that the main determinant for sex is located on the Z chromosome. This sex determinant interacts with an autosomal gene and, depending on the ratio between copies of Z chromosomes and autosomes (Z:A ratio), the embryo will develop as male or female. If Z:A = 1 the embryo will develop into a male and, if Z:A = 0.5 into a female. Z Dosage is based on the observed ineffective dosage compensation for Z genes, i.e. their expression is proportional to the copy number (Itoh et al. 2007; but see Mank, Ellegren 2009). The model is supported by experimental RNA inhibition of DMRT1 a major sex determining gene in vertebrates which is located on the Z chromosome (Smith et al. 2009). When DMRT1 was inhibited early in
development, ZZ chicken *Gallus gallus* embryos subsequently developed ovaries but no testes. By contrast, the Dominant W model postulates that the main determinant for females is located on the W chromosome. For example, the presence of a gene located on the W chromosome may antagonistically interact with *DMRT1* by altering methylation of the male hypermethylated region (MHM) adjacent to *DMRT1* in chicken (Teranishi et al. 2001). However, such a ‘female gene’, potentially located upstream of *DMRT1* in the sex determination cascade, has yet to be described in birds.

Chromosomal aberrations such as aneuploidy can help to clarify the sex determination mechanism although they are often already lethal at the embryonic stage in birds (Forstmeier, Ellegren 2010). Triploid chickens with a ZWW genotype are not viable whereas triploid ZZZ chickens develop a male phenotype but produce only abnormal sperm. Triploid ZZW chickens initially develop female phenotypes but before sexual maturity they develop male phenotypes (Lin et al. 1995). Their right gonad develops into a testis whereas the left gonad develops into an ovotestis that degenerates shortly after hatching. Importantly, these intersexual chickens fail to produce viable gametes (Lin et al. 1995).

Here we present a case of a female triploid Kentish plover *Charadrius alexandrinus* that reproduced successfully in a natural population. We explore the type of sex chromosome aneuploidy exhibited by this bird and discuss the implications of this case for models of avian sex determination.

**Material and Methods**

The female was a regular breeder in a large Kentish plover population at Tuzla, Turkey (36°42’ N, 35°03’ W), and captured during incubation in both 1997 and 1999. In 1999 this female, her mate and their three chicks were sampled for blood. Twenty-five µl of blood were taken from either brachial vein (adults) or metatarsal vein (chicks) and stored in Queen’s lysis buffer (Seutin, White, Boag...
The female and her mate were initially sexed in the field based on plumage characteristics and sex-specific pattern of incubation in this species (Cramp, Simmons 1983; Kosztolányi, Székely 2002; AlRashidi et al. 2010). Molecular sexing (described in Küpper et al. 2009) confirmed the field observation by the presence of Z and W fragments in the female and Z fragments only in her mate. Sexing of the offspring showed that all three chicks were male. Subsequently the family was genotyped using 33 microsatellite markers including two Z-linked and one W-linked locus (Küpper et al. 2007; Küpper et al. 2008; Dawson et al. 2010). Genotypes were checked for consistency across two runs. Because no shorebird genome is yet available we mapped the location of the microsatellites to the chicken (WSHUC2) and zebra finch Taeniopygia guttata (taeGut3.2.4) genome data bases following the methodology described in Küpper et al. 2008.

The sex-linked markers had low polymorphism and the female genetic profile was monomorphic for all three sex chromosomal markers (Supplementary Material). Therefore we performed a peak height ratio analysis to establish the composition and number of sex chromosomes (Young et al. 2001). We amplified products for the W-linked marker Calex-31 and one Z-linked marker Calex-26 together in a single PCR with 35 cycles and established the W/Z peak height ratio of the triploid female and 22 females from the same population that had the same genetic profiles at the sex-linked markers. We then compared the value of the triploid female to the distribution of W/Z peak height ratios of the control females.

Results

All alleles of the chicks could be assigned to either the triploid mother or the diploid father. None of the chicks nor the male showed a three-allele genotype. For 17 of the 33 markers we identified homologues on nine zebra finch and nine chicken chromosomes (Table S1). The female had three allelic genotypes at 14 markers and all three female alleles were represented in the offspring for six of
these 14 markers (Table 1, for a genotype profile example see Figure S2). Eight three allelic markers could be mapped to six zebra finch and eight chicken autosomes (Table 1). The peak height ratio analysis revealed that the triploid female differed from the mean peak height ratio of the 22 control females by 4.47 standard deviations. The Z product was overrepresented in the triploid female by a factor of 1.5 to 2.2 in comparison with the control females suggesting a ZZW sex chromosome aneuploidy (Figure 1).

Discussion

We have demonstrated that a triploid ZZW Kentish plover produced viable diploid offspring. Triploidy is usually lethal at the embryonic stage in birds (Forstmeier, Ellegren 2010). However, it may occur more frequently than presently thought because the presence of three alleles at a single locus is easily confused with contamination.

The Z:A ratio is an important feature of the Z Dosage model (Clinton 1998). Triploid ZZW chickens that have an intermediate Z:A ratios of 2:3 are sex changers that start as females but assume phenotypic characteristics of males before sexual maturity. Importantly, these sex changers do not produce viable gametes (Lin et al. 1995) whereas the triploid Kentish plover we studied produced viable female gametes. The Kentish plover female was observed twice over a period of three years and we noted two attempts of reproduction with the same male. The age of the female was at least three years when it reproduced successfully and last seen alive. We consider it unlikely that she changed her sex subsequently, long after onset of sexual maturity and successful reproduction.

The observation of a reproducing ZZW female has implications for avian sex determination. Despite the recent support for an important role for DMRT1 in the sex determination cascade in a bird, an effect of a W-linked gene that triggers femaleness should not be discarded (Ellegren 2011). This still
unknown gene could antagonistically interact with DMRT1, for example through changes of methylation patterns (Teranishi et al. 2001). In amphibians with a ZW sex determination system, DM-W, a recently identified truncated paralogue of DMRT1, interacts antagonistically with DMRT1 and is known to trigger femaleness (Yoshimoto et al. 2010). DM-W has no known homologue in chicken although current lack of sequence information for the W chromosome from other birds does not rule out the presence of a DMRT1 paralogue or other potentially female-determining genes in other avian lineages.

We suggest that more than one sex determination mechanism may have evolved in birds and that the current description of DMRT1-driven male determination in birds is incomplete or overly simplistic. In most vertebrate groups the mechanism of sex determination is not fully conserved (Graves, Peichel 2010). For example, switches between environmental and genetic sex determination (ZW or XY) have occurred frequently during the evolutionary history of reptiles (Ezaz et al. 2006; Janes, Organ, Edwards 2009). Previously, adult ZZW females have been reported in blue-and-yellow macaw Ara ararauna and great reed warbler Acrocephalus arundinaceus (Tiersch, Beck, Douglass 1991; Arlt et al. 2004). However, the evidence of both cases was less conclusive than in our case. In both previous studies the aneuploidy was established only for blood cells. The females either did not have offspring (in case of the macaw) or transmitted only alleles of one Z chromosome to her 17 offspring (in case of the warbler). Therefore alternative explanations such as a tissue-restricted mosaicism cannot be ruled out (Fechheimer, Jaap 1980). By contrast, we observed triploidy in blood cells and found all three alleles for a number of chromosomes in the offspring profiles. Nevertheless, taken together the three ZZW cases suggest the intriguing possibility that non-galliform birds may have evolved a different sex determination mechanism different from chicken. This is further supported by the large extent of size variation in bird sex chromosomes (Stiglec, Ezaz, Graves 2007), and the observation that the expression of Z-linked genes, including the region where major sex determination factors such as DMRT1 and MHM are located, differs between Galliform and non-galliform birds (Itoh et al. 2010). Taken together, our findings suggest that avian sex determination is more complex and dynamic than
currently recognized. We suggest that future studies should focus not only on chicken but include a
phylogenetically broad range of bird species to fully understand the sex determination pathway in
birds.
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Table 1. Genotypes of 14 diagnostic loci of the triploid female Kentish plover, her mate and their offspring.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th># Chr</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Chick1</th>
<th>Chick2</th>
<th>Chick3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Calex-02</td>
<td>1 / ?</td>
<td>148/152/158</td>
<td>150/156</td>
<td>156/158</td>
<td>148/150</td>
<td>148/150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calex-04a</td>
<td>2 / 2</td>
<td>213/217/221</td>
<td>211/219</td>
<td>217/219</td>
<td>219/221</td>
<td>211/213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calex-14a</td>
<td>14 / 14</td>
<td>204/206/218</td>
<td>206/208</td>
<td>204/206</td>
<td>208/218</td>
<td>206/208</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calex-18a</td>
<td>17 / ?</td>
<td>155/159/163</td>
<td>157/159</td>
<td>155/159</td>
<td>157/159</td>
<td>157/163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calex-19</td>
<td>20 / 20</td>
<td>303/306/308</td>
<td>301/303</td>
<td>303/308</td>
<td>303/306</td>
<td>303/306</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C201</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>129/133/139</td>
<td>131/137</td>
<td>137/139</td>
<td>129/131</td>
<td>129/131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C205a</td>
<td>na</td>
<td>179/183/187</td>
<td>177/185</td>
<td>183/185</td>
<td>185/187</td>
<td>177/179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hru2</td>
<td>? / ?</td>
<td>144/146/148</td>
<td>146/148</td>
<td>144/148</td>
<td>163/167</td>
<td>146/146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calex-35</td>
<td>? / ?</td>
<td>127/141/147</td>
<td>141/143</td>
<td>141/143</td>
<td>143/143</td>
<td>143/147</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgu04-004a</td>
<td>4 / 4</td>
<td>161/163/169</td>
<td>161/167</td>
<td>167/169</td>
<td>163/167</td>
<td>161/167</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tgu03-002</td>
<td>3 / 3</td>
<td>120/122/124</td>
<td>122/122</td>
<td>122/122</td>
<td>122/124</td>
<td>122/124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGB18a</td>
<td>9 / 9</td>
<td>260/266/270</td>
<td>264/266</td>
<td>266/270</td>
<td>260/264</td>
<td>266/266</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#Chr, Chromosome number of hit in Chicken (Gga) / Zebra Finch (Tgu)

a all three female alleles are represented in offspring

?, no conclusive hit to genome map

na, microsatellite flanking region sequence not available
Figure 1. Peak height ratio of one triploid (black circle) and 22 diploid (open circles) females for
Calex-26 (Z-linked) and Calex-31 (W-linked).
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